

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers - just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ralph Arthur  
838 Northwoods Dr E  
Woodland Park, CO 80863

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to

do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Debbie Sasser  
1707 Oakhill Dr  
Sherman, TX 75092

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Garry Copeland  
2184 Rockville Rd  
Fairfield, CA 94534

=====

From: Robert Petersen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please protect net neutrality. We don't want pay-to-play internet and big telecorporations running things.

Thank you!

Robert Petersen

32901

=====  
From: Brent Spencer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:40  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

We (the one percent) do not want any corporation to 'control' the speed of the internet. This would be considered prejudicial in nature, as only the 'rich' can have access to high-speed, and those less fortunate (and many are much less) are relegated to very poor internet access. Where is the Constitutionality of this..?!?

Brent Spencer

90808

=====  
From: Joe Barton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:40  
Subject: Keep the internet open

The internet has become the driving force of the world and the reason for that is because it a tool that everyone can equally access; given they have access to a computer and the World Wide Web. To restrict it, or to create a tier system will only encumber its growth. The flower is flurshing fine on it's own; do not try to uproot it an encase it in glass because you think that will protect it or allow it to grow better under supervision.

Joe Barton - Brooklyn NY

=====  
From: Cami Cameron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:41  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Everyone uses the internet from students to CEOs. If net neutrality is gone so many people would have trouble paying for the websites they need to see either for school or work. Please keeping protecting net neutrality.

Cami Cameron

98661

=====  
From: jim Schimpf  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:44  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The internal conflict of interest between content providers who are also ISP's is too great a temptation for even the most moral of corporations. These two functions must be separated and not be one and the same. Thus I hope you will find that the broadband delivery system is really a public utility not a private property.

jim Schimpf

15627

=====  
From: Madeleine Coughlin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:44  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality is for all players....and a democratic right.  
We all depend on it equally!  
Protect REAL net neutrality.

Madeleine Coughlin

N2T 1Y9

=====  
From: Debbie Allen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:46  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Debbie Allen  
3243 S Elizabeth Ave  
Wichita, Kansas 67217

=====  
From: Donald Craney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:46  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Donald Craney  
421 Willow Rd  
Marengo, Illinois 60152

=====  
From: Elena Looper  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:46  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Chairman Wheeler,

I am an African-American woman who survived the sit-in's, riots, boycotts, and marches for the opportunity to have similar opportunities to who did not look like me. While I lived "up North" and was not treated as bad - openly - as those in the South, there was then - and is now - subtle and not-so-subtle discrimination against African Americans and women. I am pleading to you NOT to take another privilege to which I have become accustomed. If the net neutrality policy goes through with the major companies in control, everyday people like me will become disenfranchised. I use the internet many hours each day. I use it for business and personal communications. I am able to conduct business with people across the country in an instance. It has increased my ability to provide better quality of service with minimal costs. As such, my clients do not have to absorb additional fees for my service. It's a win-win for the middle class. However, I have witnessed over and over again how irrelevant the middle class has become to big business. They seem to only operate with their personal interest in mind. That should NOT be how the government operates. It should operate for the benefit of the people who have paid, through taxation, for others to work on their behalf. With corporations and wealthy individuals being afforded the opportunity to pay less taxes already, it would seem totally inappropriate that the average citizen have to support big businesses again through this service. We pay them well for their services now - for they are basically oligopolies. Don't they use the citizenry enough already? Please save the people for a change. Thank you.

Elena Looper

46220

=====  
From: Lina Ott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lina Ott  
3007 Willowbrook Dr  
Bridgeville, Pennsylvania 15017

=====  
From: Mary Grube  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Grube  
37 Lakeview Ave  
Kingston, New York 12401

=====  
From: Melissa Coleman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Melissa Coleman  
2123 Blackwolf Run Ln  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

=====  
From: Elisa Ramirez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Elisa Ramirez  
260 Birch Ct  
Silt, Colorado 81652

=====  
From: Harriett Russell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Harriett Russell  
1439 Tecumseh St  
Toledo, Ohio 43607

=====  
From: Lamar Burrell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lamar Burrell  
311 S 2nd St  
Blythe, California 92225

=====  
From: Colin Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:50  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

The net is all about access. If you and the committee allow corporations to decide who can have speedy access (if they are willing to pay) then it will not take long for that power to get into fewer and fewer hands and the price rise higher and higher at a rapid pace. The only way to have true competition on the net is for it to be neutral, allowing equal, speedy access to all rather than those who can pay.

Please keep the net neutral for this and coming generations.

Sincerely,

Colin Brown

Colin Brown

02657

=====  
From: Michael Westbay  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:56  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please help us to preserve net neutrality on the internet. So much of the country is now "owned" by big money and big business. As a part of our Government, please help "We the People" to have a level playing field.  
Thanks for taking the time to consider my comment.

Michael Westbay

87111

=====  
From: John Henderson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:32:57  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

It comes as no surprise that Big Corporations are trying to intentionally slant the internet, which they do not own, in their favor. This is another major change during the Obama administration that makes me ill. At least, it is being attempted. We must stop all this partisanship and return what was built for Americans back to the people. Don't let anything else slip under the fascist influence!!!

John Henderson

85120

=====  
From: Joshua Shields  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:04  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Joshuah Shields

30087

=====  
From: joyce williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:04  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Because I am a senior with a lot of health problems  
I do most of my life business online. ..  
IF the Internet isn't available to me, and cost effective  
I will have to find others means to conduct my business  
Or turn my affairs over to someone else.  
Please keep the Internet affordable and accessible  
To all., no matter where we are, and the amount of money  
They can pay.

joyce williams

93955

=====  
From: Josh Gitomer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:05  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
Friends - Please, I beg you.

The internet belongs to everyone. Now, at the height of the communication age, equal access to the web is critical. In some cases lives depend on it, careers grow on it, families fight for their rights on it, victims find justice on it, afflicted people find support on it, students get educated on it, doctors find lifesaving information they need on it, citizens get informed on it. friendships grow on it, international understanding has a better chance on it. This list is virtually endless. Free access to the web affects the quality of nearly every life in America, whether they know it or not.

It is no different that the right of each individual to vote, to breath clean air, to have children, to pursue happiness, to defend their homes. I am a world traveler. It has gotten to the point where having internet access is more important to me than having a private bathroom. My business and my future security and success depends on.

Please, I beg you. Keep the web neutral and free. Give everyone the same fair chance you and I had growing up.

Sincerely,  
Josh Gitomer

Josh Gitomer

95003

=====  
From: Steve Rand  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:05  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Dear Sir,

I am the owner of a small hardware store here in Plymouth NH. Already, our internet service is slow, and I can't imagine what it would be like if it were slower. To me, it seems like "closing" a speedy internet to those who can afford it is one more reduction in the freedom of opportunity that citizens should have in accessing societal infrastructure. We might as well have special lanes in our public highways for those who will pay the higher fee to get there faster.

Federal Communications Commission, the name suggests, is part our government, which should be about serving all the people and all the businesses. Big business already owns our election process, our energy sources, and our financial systems. Please give us small guys a way to equalize their oligopoly. Give us this vital part of our ability to communicate on an even level with Big Corporate America. Please!

Steve Rand  
Rand's Hardware  
Plymouth NH 03264

Steve Rand

03264

=====  
From: Lisa van de Laar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:06  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
Internet must be a public utility! As an educator I am dependent on a fast access to  
the internet.

Lisa van de Laar

18901

=====  
From: Shari MacFarlane  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:06  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Dear FCC Chairman Wheeler,

It appears to me that citizens and small businesses are once again loosing rights due  
to favoritisme granted to large corporations. I urge you NOT to grant Big Telecom  
with access to much faster internet while the rest of us lag behind. The FCC has the  
authority under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the  
internet as a public utility. PLEASE USE YOUR AUTHORITY TO SECORE THE INTERNET AS A  
PUBLIC UTILITY TO BE SHARED EVENLY BY ALL.

Shari MacFarlane

Shari MacFarlane

33433

=====  
From: KEITH WILLIAMS  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:08  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

you guys are a FRAUD . Everybody knows the business corporation  
use internet2 the ultra high speed network and people in the know know that  
the FORTUNE 500 companies use the internet3 ultrafast bigdata  
so how can the internet be "neutral"

KEITH WILLIAMS

11420

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:09  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Isaac Levine  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: One Old Ferry Road  
Zip: 02809

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Dewey Keller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:10  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dewey Keller  
275 Cedar Valley Rd  
Hudson, North Carolina 28638

=====  
From: Charles Cameron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:11  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Charles Cameron  
5800 N Florida Ave Apt D  
Tampa, Florida 33604

=====  
From: Eliseo Acosta  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:11  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPAâ\200\231s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Eliseo Acosta  
311 Kellogg St  
Delta, Colorado 81416

=====  
From: Ronald Saatkamp  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:11  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ronald Saatkamp  
909 E 640 N  
Orem, Utah 84097

=====  
From: Cauldwell Neals  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:12  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cauldwell Neals  
260 Myrtle Ave Apt 07  
Irvington, New Jersey 07111

=====  
From: Dennis Whitehouse  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:12  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dennis Whitehouse  
408 E Scenic Dr  
The Dalles, Oregon 97058

=====  
From: Waltraud van de Laar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:13  
Subject: Re: RE: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

Thank you for your mail.  
I am out of office through August with limited access to email.

I will be checking my messages with occasional delays and will respond as soon as possible.

Vielen Dank für Ihre Nachricht. In den kommenden Wochen bis Ende August bin ich viel unterwegs und werde meine Mailbox nur sporadisch checken.

Beste Grüße  
W. van de Laar

Am 15.09.2014 um 20:33 schrieb OpenInternet < xxxx@xxxx.xxx>:

> Thank you, we have received your comments and will review them.

=====

From: Steve Iverson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:14  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY. Net neutrality has been the default state of the internet since its inventionâ\200\224internet service providers load all websites at the same speed. Don't let Big Telecom change the rules so they can extract more money from websites by making them pay to be served to customers at faster speeds.

Please preserve net neutrality, by banning Big Telecom from creating these so-called â\200\234fast-lanesâ\200\235 which only large companies could afford. Start-ups and independent sites like would be left behind and crushed. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY.

Steve Iverson

92625

=====

From: Sybil Bolivar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:14  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

We need to keep net neutrality. There must be open communication without everyone being able to express opinions without control by the corporate world as they are doing on tv and news media. Corporations are gaining too much power in the USA - in elections - and without net neutrality, their power increases to domination.

Sybil Bolivar

30034

=====

From: Mo Sheik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:16  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

We need what rightfully belongs to us, not to be interfered with!

Mo Sheik

4092

=====  
From: Michael Kalesniko  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:19  
Subject: In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America, West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn't decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a "slow lane."

But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices- like their cable bills- and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

Michael Kalesniko

=====  
From: Ashleigh Correll  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:26  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

This kind of arrangement would allow companies to circumvent our freedom of speech by sensoring what they want to. It's absolutely ridiculous and I'm shocked that its even being considered in our country in the 21st century.

Ashleigh Correll

98380

=====  
From: Linda Kidder  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:26  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Linda Kidder

47905

=====  
From: none  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:26  
Subject: Keep the internet open.

The subject says it all.

Keep the internet free and open. Keep companies from putting meters on the internet.

Richard Decker  
1230 Moultrie Drive  
Aiken, SC 29803

=====  
From: Yvonne Zubalik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:29  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I think that for some of us older people whose main source for communication and education, it would be disastrous to allow corporations with money to be able to have priority over the internet in any way. Please consider citizens rather than corporations.

Yvonne Zubalik

98407

=====  
From: Stephanie Wiesman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:32  
Subject: Net Neutrality is Vital to Protect Women's & Girls' Voices Online

Already, major media's structural inequality continually leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our words on our own terms, uncensored and controlled by no one. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named "open Internet" would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech -- my speech -- online.

And the threat is twofold: we use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it. The internet allows us to spread messages farther than our feet could take us, make ideas louder than our voices can shout, and stand together on the same ground though we are miles apart. But our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. In the fight for media justice, we are at risk of the very people we fight against having authority over the tools we use to fight them. Silencing dissenting voices will be easier than ever -- and legal -- under these proposed rules.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women's voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

Stephanie Wiesman  
4024 Orchard Hill Terrace  
Stone Mountain, GA 30083

=====  
From: James Bor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:34  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Can at least One government official get his head out of his posterior and do the right

thing for a change? what has happened to our country when the only thing that matters is the ultra rich get what they want

James Bor

46385

=====  
From: Nadiyah Abdullah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:34  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nadiyah Abdullah  
2411 Lyndale Ave N  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55411

=====  
From: Quincy Hughes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:34  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
â\200\234What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control.â\200\235 In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Quincy Hughes  
1622 E Cork St Apt 1A  
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001

=====  
From: Courtes Ravanelli  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:35  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Courtes Ravanelli  
4015 Bobbin Ln  
Addison, Texas 75001

=====  
From: Doug Keyes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:35  
Subject: In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America, West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn't decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a "slow lane."

But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices- like their cable bills- and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

Doug Keyes

=====  
From: Lizeth Perez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:35  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lizeth Perez  
2605 S Moorland Pl  
West Covina, California 91792

=====  
From: Patricia Collins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:35  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Everything I have heard about the need to protect net neutrality is legitimate. The only companies that are in favor of allowing it to change are the big telecom companies and cable companies. A lot of people depend on the internet and it should not be up to the cable and telecom companies to determine the speed at which their pages will load based on arbitrarily decided fees geared to favor the companies with the most money. Where does that leave smaller companies and individuals? In the dust that's where. The internet is very important to common people. We are citizens who need this and it definitely should be considered a public utility. We simply cannot give up net neutrality to those with the most money. It would be a disservice to society.

Patricia Collins

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Simmons  
970 Bella Bridge Rd  
Broadway, NC 27505

=====  
From: Adrien Garrison  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:36  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Adrien Garrison  
5120 Plainfield Ave  
Baltimore, Maryland 21206

=====  
From: Daniel Salva  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:36  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Daniel Salva  
44864 Trails Ct  
Canton, Michigan 48187

=====  
From: Diana Washington  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:36  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it,

remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Diana Washington  
1319 Cadillac Dr  
Daytona Beach, Florida 32117

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Giovanna Dodge  
170 Sims Creek Ln  
Jupiter, FL 33458

=====  
From: JILL STAMPS  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:37  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know

that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

JILL STAMPS  
1205 Mount Elbert Dr  
Leadville, Colorado 80461

=====  
From: Jim Mee  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:37  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jim Mee  
2 Par Ct  
Mansfield, Texas 76063

=====  
From: Julian Lease  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:37

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Julian Lease  
707 W 10th St  
Ashland, Ohio 44805

=====  
From: April Tipton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:38  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

April Tipton  
19109 Brown Rd

Lutz, Florida 33559

=====  
From: Chanitel Lucero  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:38  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chanitel Lucero  
1691 S Wolff St  
Denver, Colorado 80219

=====  
From: Jason Vitiritti  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:38  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jason Vitiritti  
541 Lindsey Lake Rd  
Dallas, Georgia 30157

=====  
From: Mary Elkins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:39  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Elkins  
161 Robin Trl  
Caldwell, Texas 77836

=====  
From: Reggie Daniels  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:39  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Reggie Daniels  
217 Merrell Dr Apt 201  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27610

=====  
From: Carl Genesis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal governmentâ\200\231s â\200\234help,â\200\235 and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carl Genesis  
436 Broadmoor St  
Lehigh Acres, Florida 33974

=====  
From: Joan Mayfield  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along -- no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joan Mayfield  
66 E Market St  
Seville, Ohio 44273

=====  
From: ERIC INGRAM  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:41  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

ERIC INGRAM  
1428 Obrig Ave  
Guntersville, Alabama 35976

=====  
From: Nancy Carpenter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:44  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Please support net neutrality. Do we really need a bunch of big companies extracting more money? No. It's not fair for people. We should be able to access any site on the net, not just the sites that pay to be seen.  
Thank you

Nancy Carpenter

90803

=====  
From: Carol Klamser  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:45  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I don't want big corporations to have any more control over my life then they already have. The internet is not there for big corporations most of the users are individuals. DO NOT LET BIG CORPORATIONS GET AWAY WITH THIS!

Carol Klamser

32404

=====  
From: Ellen Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:51  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

It seems to me that Net Neutrality is the only DEMOCRATIC option that should be considered for the internet. It goes to Freedom of Speech, in our very First Amendment. Corporation's speech is NOT more important or valid than someone's grandmother's right... in fact, I believe it is of little or no value, as large corporations already take GREAT advantage of the average person. Also, with this economy, as deflated by Wall Street and big corporations, many creative and ingenious people are becoming entrepreneurs. This is a GREAT boon to the US economy; it is job creation at it's finest. These entrepreneurs and their small businesses DEPEND on a fair internet for their businesses. Some are STRICTLY internet-based. Allowing large corporations a "fast lane" would effectively "kill" all these small businesses. Talk about job loss! If the economy is allowed to "tank" again, there would be no money circulating for the average person to support big corporations. Are they so greedy that they can't see how it would hurt them directly?! The fact that this issue is even being debated makes me very frustrated, and sad. Please do the right thing for the American PEOPLE.

Respectfully,

Ellen P. Johnson

Ellen Johnson

01119

=====  
From: jim and christine cox  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:53  
Subject: Comment on Proceeding 14-28

jim and christine cox  
303 camino bravado  
san clemente, CA 92673

September 15, 2014

Dear Tom Wheeler,

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

The internet has experienced unfettered growth and contributed to unprecedented advancements in technology largely because of the current "hands off" regulatory approach. That is why I am writing to express my opposition to the so-called "net neutrality" rule, which would replace the relative freedom of today's internet with new, heavy-handed federal regulations.

Imposing "net neutrality" and Title II regulations will only create an atmosphere that creates more government-imposed barriers to broadband investment and innovation. These misguided rules will also make it harder for startups to enter the market and slow broadband deployment. Moreover, these proposed regulations will give the federal bureaucracy unparalleled and unprecedented power over the Internet.

In short, these proposed "net neutrality" rules will be bad for competition, bad for consumers, and harm America's digital economy.

I urge you to leave the internet alone and say no to Title II and so-called "net neutrality."

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

jim and christine cox

=====  
From: Mary Buttacavoli  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Buttacavoli  
49 Gloria Ann Smith Dr  
Brick, New Jersey 08723

=====  
From: Jeanette Oxelson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:33:57  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

My husband and I are both artists. We both have art websites to show our work. If Big Telecom has their way neither of us will be able to gain anything from our sites again, literally blocking our means of getting our art shown and possibly making money.

I also work part-time for a very nice man who is a therapist. He is also Blind. We have been working on putting together a website for him that would be the main avenue for him to get new clients.

Please don't shut us down! This will happen if the big-money guys get their way!

Jeanette Oxelson

80033

=====  
From: Sharon Bevis-Hoover  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:00  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

I am writing to express my support for net neutrality. The success of the internet is based upon its openness. If we allow large companies to leverage their financial resources to prioritize content, innovation will be severely hampered. Small companies and individuals drive innovation, develop new markets, and foster a competitive environment. Net neutrality is a key enabler that must be preserved.

Best regards,  
Sharon Bevis-Hoover  
xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
4320 Quail Ridge Way  
Peachtree Corners, GA 30092

Sharon Bevis-Hoover

30092

=====  
From: Catherine Dennis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:02  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Catherine Dennis  
240 Lindsay St  
Alcoa, Tennessee 37701

=====  
From: Brianna Cowger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brianna Cowger  
439 Route 88  
Carmichaels, Pennsylvania 15320

=====  
From: Cecilia McGhee  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:03  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Cecilia McGhee

94923  
=====

From: Constance Dabbs  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Constance Dabbs  
474 Livingston Ave  
Albany, New York 12206

=====  
From: Sharait Hardy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sharait Hardy  
38800 7th Ave  
Zephyrhills, Florida 33542

=====  
From: Audrey Baca  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Audrey Baca  
202 Walnut St  
Windsor, Colorado 80550

=====  
From: Delores Tew  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Delores Tew  
74 90th Ave  
Clayton, Wisconsin 54004

=====  
From: mr. g. west  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:04  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Keep the net free!

Do not corrupt the net with greed.

Please keep the net free!

mr. g. west

98201

=====  
From: Danny Melton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Danny Melton  
1600 Aster Dr Apt 82  
Antioch, California 94509

=====  
From: Janice Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCCâ\200\231s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Janice Williams  
3917 Haas Ave Apt 05  
Lyons, Illinois 60534

=====  
From: Daniela Peluso  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:06  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Please preserve Net Neutrality

Daniela Peluso

=====  
From: Irina Wynn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Irina Wynn  
76 Booth St  
Stratford, Connecticut 06614

=====  
From: Jon Owens  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving

government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jon Owens  
11107 Sagemeadow Ln  
Houston, Texas 77089

=====  
From: RAFAEL ROJAS  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

RAFAEL ROJAS  
1126 101st Ave  
Oakland, California 94603

=====  
From: Srikan Palagdugh  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling

new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Srikan Palagdugh  
1158 Charter Pl  
Dayton, Ohio 45458

=====  
From: Julie Degasperi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Julie Degasperi  
610 Bougainvillea St  
Los Fresnos, Texas 78566

=====  
From: Missy Tapya  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Missy Tapya  
165 Julian Ave Apt 02  
San Francisco, California 94103

=====  
From: Stacy Graham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of

Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Stacy Graham  
15120 Green Randolph Rd  
Leonardville, Kansas 66449

=====  
From: Jacob R. Raitt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:08  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

My wife and I are each retired, living on what is laughably called a "fixed income". Should net neutrality be decimated, there is a real chance that in order to get proper communication speeds, we might have to give up food, medication and/or travel expenses (trips to physicians, dentists, etc). Or we might be forced to give up or reduce communication with our 06 children, 11 grandchildren and 02 great-grandchildren.

Must we be forced to one of these formulae simply to fatten the wallets of greedy money-grubbers?

Jacob R. Raitt

6605

=====  
From: Randall Newnham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:08  
Subject: Net Neutrality is Vital to Protect Women's & Girls' Voices Online

Already, major media's structural inequality continually leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our words on our own terms, uncensored and controlled by no one. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named "open Internet" would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech -- my speech -- online.

And the threat is twofold: we use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it. The internet allows us to spread messages farther than our feet could take us, make ideas louder than our voices can shout, and stand together on the same ground though we are miles apart. But our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. In the fight for media justice, we are at risk of the very people we fight against having authority over the tools we use to fight them.

Silencing dissenting voices will be easier than ever -- and legal -- under these proposed rules.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women's voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

Randall Newnham  
520 Lindley LN  
Eugene, OR 97401

=====  
From: Michael Ruiz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:11  
Subject: Net Neutrality Policy

For what it is worth, I am a small business owner, supposedly the back bone of the country. There is nothing positive about allowing the cable companies to flex their position a monopoly in supplying internet access. Please do not allow this. The market for data delivery is still being developed. With only a few players, we are seeing the old Railroad tycoons coming back into America. It takes forward thinking and ballsy American leadership like Teddy Roosevelt showed to stand up to the money these companies represent and to not allow them to highjack the last vestige of free thought. Please do not allow them to do this. COMCAST and TIME WARNER CABLE are not evil, but they are exceedingly greedy. There is no NEED for them to do this. Please do not let them manufacture one in your minds.

Very respectfully,

The owners of the London Bridge Pub in Raleigh, NC  
Michael Ruiz  
Darren Bridger

Sent from Windows Mail

=====  
From: Meredith Mill  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:13  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Chairman Wheeler - -

Please consider the impact this new ruling will have on those of us who are working hard to grow our businesses.

Had this ruling been in effect since the internet's inception, we might have been more able to accept the ruling. But, we've now grown dependent on a fast and accessible internet and, to shift support to enormously successful business at the expense of our own, seems an unbalanced decision that bows to the powerful corporations, again. To what end?

Comcast et al are taking a product developed for all and are now asking that they pirate the product for their own gain, diminishing our productivity. Hard to believe this is even being considered by the FCC.

Meredith Mill

94904

=====  
From: Dean Simmons  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:17  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Media consolidation is a serious issue, and the Internet is one of the few places which are not dominated by a handful of voices. Anything which tends to reduce the diversity of the Internet is therefore inimical to democracy.

Further, American internet connections are not fast compared to other advanced nations. The internet providers should be required to raise speeds across the nation, and especially in rural areas, before they do anything else.

Dean Simmons

80031

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear. Investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Enoch Nyamekye  
482 S 10th St  
Newark, NJ 07103

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Todd Reynolds  
512 W Main St  
Greenfield, IN 46140

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme

Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Potter  
17 E White Hawthorne Dr  
Savannah, GA 31419

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Helen Cronan  
5937 S Pearl St  
Centennial, CO 80121

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Amalia Rayas  
200 Widgeon Ct  
Suffolk, VA 23434

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Pamela Driver  
301 N Bunker Hill Dr  
Tucson, AZ 85748

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lakeisha Stanton  
14338 Coyle St  
Detroit, MI 48227

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American

people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Andrea Sherman  
11048 Magnolia Dr  
Plantersville, TX 77363

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mike Neugebauer  
202 Hillendale Rd  
Pittsburgh, PA 15237

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition

in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jack Dodson  
12311 Afton Pl  
Arlington, TN 38002

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:22  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Raymond Bennett  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 15402 E. Arizona Ave #303  
Zip: 80017

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government

agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tamara Wiltse  
28 Mcintyre Dr  
Fenton, MO 63026

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Susan Warrick  
2039 Gravel Rd  
Seneca Falls, NY 13148

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mindy Hickman  
Po Box 175  
Boaz, AL 35957

=====

From: Arta Ethridge  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:24  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

If the internet is controlled by big corporations freedom of speech is quite literally curtailed. STOP this infringement on my constitutional rights!

Arta Ethridge

75081

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Bruce Finney  
1916 I Ave  
National City, CA 91950

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Wigal Sr  
324 Hillcrest Dr  
Mt Washington, KY 40047

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joe Russo  
25 Craig Rd  
Islip Terrace, NY 11752

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Billy Alexander  
236 E Camden St  
Milledgeville, GA 31061

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joseph Fraser  
2923 Constance St  
New Orleans, LA 70115

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alicia Guinn  
4651 S Oxford Ave  
Tulsa, OK 74135

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free

is what has kept it open and free all along Ã¢â\202-â\200\234 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Larry Martinez  
128 Oak Knoll Ave  
San Anselmo, CA 94960

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Harlan Hirschy  
864 Graceland Dr  
Oshkosh, WI 54904

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Walter Loarca  
10929 Acacia Ave  
Inglewood, CA 90304

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx-cpa.com  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Strauch  
316 Main St  
Lafayette, IN 47901

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

change&\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation&\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Nadia Brundage  
500 Crawford Rd Lot 417  
Opelika, AL 36804

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change&\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation&\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Short  
114 N Oak St  
Waco, TX 76705

=====  
From: Glenna Adovasio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Glenna Adovasio  
715 Oak Rd  
Kemah, Texas 77565

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Risner  
1124 Evans Gann Rd  
Lufkin, TX 75904

=====  
From: Marie Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:29  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As an internet user since 1983 (BITNET before WWW), I am appalled at the suggestion that net neutrality should be abolished.

Why should individual citizens be second class internet users?

Why should large corporations be allowed to revamp the internet system for their own profits and gains??

There is one place of freedom left - the internet. We pay for it. It isn't free.

Corporations have taken over our government in the form of lobbies, PACS and Citizens United.

LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE!!

Public Cititzen

Marie Johnson

77379

=====  
From: Mayle Underwood  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mayle Underwood  
1006 Butter and Egg Rd  
Hazel Green, Alabama 35750

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Steven Ethridge  
113 Walnut Ridge Ln  
Cordova, TN 38018

=====  
From: Dennis Anderson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dennis Anderson  
2326 Wantland Ave  
Klamath Falls, Oregon 97601

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Denis Dieringer  
222 Columbia St  
Huntingtn Sta, NY 11746

=====  
From: Julie Aguilar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling

new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Julie Aguilar  
3604 E 13th St N  
Wichita, Kansas 67208

=====  
From: Richard Sandlin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Richard Sandlin

220 Skipper Dr  
Whitesburg, Kentucky 41858

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Latarsha Worsley  
1466 Nc Highway 97 E  
Tarboro, NC 27886

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brian Thorpe  
259 Champion Oaks Cir  
Havana, FL 32333

=====  
From: Beverly Sullivan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the peopleâ\200\231s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Beverly Sullivan  
61 Oakdale Ave  
Akron, Ohio 44302

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Corey Fields  
Po Box 55989  
North Pole, AK 99705

=====  
From: Janice Lynn Alexander  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:31  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content; If it ain't broke, don't fix it. The Public doesn't have a problem with internet access, so leave it alone. If you want it faster then improve it for everyone.

Janice Lynn Alexander

44120

=====  
From: Nick Mcgrath  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express

creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nick McGrath  
11950 Snider Rd  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45249

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Wayne Saunders  
226 E 170th Pl  
South Holland, IL 60473

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:32

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cj Fisk  
933 W Granite St Apt A  
Butte, MT 59701

=====  
From: natalie- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Keith Mansfield  
39152 N Bernice Ter  
Beach Park, IL 60099

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
William Bertsch  
1556 University Ln Apt 401  
Cocoa, FL 32922

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Buchanan  
2512 Ellington Cir  
Nashville, TN 37211

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx-tel.net  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Patrick J. Curry  
1307 Grace Ave Sw  
Willmar, MN 56201

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alex Mendez  
2830 Poco Rd  
Templeton, CA 93465

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Gatling  
44815 Ruthron St  
Lancaster, CA 93536

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years Ã¢\202-\202\234 that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Florence Iyen  
58 Charter Ave  
Waterbury, CT 06705

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Dean  
4111 Springdale Ave  
Gwynn Oak, MD 21207

=====

From: Mari Sears

Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:35  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Mari Sears

21032

=====  
From: K Allison  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

To Whom It May Concern:

Please move to protect our democracy, and protect the first amendment rights of individuals persons not to those of so called individual corporate entities. Examine your conscience(s), think of the future. Help keep the internet free.

K Allison

10028

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Kobzoff  
749 S Bluff Rd  
Montebello, CA 90640

=====  
From: Patricia O'Neil  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am against making two lanes of internet because this would prevent access for all Americans. Our freedom of speech is important and the internet is one of the tools used to express this. By penalizing those who do not have money to "buy" the fast lane then, in effect, their freedom is limited.

Patricia O'Neil

72204

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kari Fairchild  
Po Box 454  
Intervale, NH 03845

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Provost  
607 Douglas Dr  
Mill Valley, CA 94941

=====  
From: carter McLaughlin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:38  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

It needs to be illegal to prioritize one type of data over another. ISPs exist because of public land use, and are supported by public subscription fees. Net neutrality is a moral imperative, and a democratic necessity.

carter McLaughlin  
95032

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lupita Rosalez  
1801 3rd St  
Port Isabel, TX 78578

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jesse Smith  
956 Blankenship Ave  
Las Vegas, NV 89106

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Roman  
29944 Fallen Oak Rd  
Tollhouse, CA 93667

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Linda Matney  
4541 S Delaware Ave  
Springfield, MO 65804

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Linda Timmerman  
3189 County Road 56  
Auburn, IN 46706

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Melvin Sazon  
1553 Carl Ave  
Milpitas, CA 95035

=====  
From: Joe Acosta  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joe Acosta  
1411 Jefferson Ave  
Brooklyn, New York 11237

=====  
From: Kurt Bendixen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:41  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

It's the fair thing to do.

Kurt Bendixen

50313

=====  
From: elaine cichowski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:47  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As an internet user I am opposed to the carving out of a fast lane for commercial/paid use. It will slow the system for the rest of us and drive smaller businesses and/or special-interest groups from the system.

elaine cichowski

13795

=====  
From: Anthony Morton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:48  
Subject: Protect Net Neutrality

I was deeply disappointed to hear that the Federal Communications Commission is planning on implementing rule changes that would allow Internet service providers to pay for special, faster lanes to deliver their content. In an environment where large corporations can pay for faster service, start-ups and every day Internet users won't be able to compete and we will lose the open, free-flowing exchange of information that has made the Internet so dynamic and integral to our lives. This would be a fatal blow to the internet as a tool for democracy; free speech; innovation; creativity; competition; and the economy.

The FCC can ensure it has the tools to act by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934. I urge you to reject the new rules and to take this vital and common sense step toward protecting net neutrality.

I also urge FCC Tom Wheeler to reject his plan to regulate the internet under Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act. The Supreme Court has already ruled that such regulation is no good because under it, broadband would still be classified as an information service as it is right now; making broadband ineligible for telecommunication protections, and allowing Big Telecom to challenge the FCC in court, and win again.

Tom Wheeler and the other decision makers/commissioners need to stop dragging their feet and quit giving lip service to the public, and abide by what the American People (not Corporate America) and President Obama have called and demanded for; and even the Supreme Court has mandated; Reclassify broadband as a common carrier/public utility/telecommunications service under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934, so that the FCC can regulate broadband with rules that will prevent discrimination, monopolies; two tiered internet; or any other unethical business practice from ANY ISP.

What ever decision you make commissioners; you will be remembered for. It's up to you to decide how you will be remembered: for making ISPs gatekeepers of an internet that will ultimately be censored and restricted by Big Money and corporate influence; or acknowledging consumers and content providers as the true keepers of an open and free internet.

Thank you for this time to comment. God Bless.

Anthony Morton  
2025 Cedarbridge Rd  
Northfield, NJ 08225

=====  
From: Joseph Gibbycheek  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joseph Gibbycheek  
122 Thurmond Rd  
Toccoa, Georgia 30577

=====  
From: Angela Serra  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. Thatâ\200\231s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Angela Serra  
16268 Amethyst Key Dr  
Wimauma, Florida 33598

=====  
From: Davina Castillo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the peopleâ\200\231s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Davina Castillo

1108 Nakomis Dr NE Apt B  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87112

=====  
From: Lisa Chapman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lisa Chapman  
20 Horton St  
Battle Creek, Michigan 49014

=====  
From: Marty Underwood  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marty Underwood  
107 Puritan Cir  
New Market, Alabama 35761

=====

From: Rich Meyers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:58  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

This is like corporations getting free overnight delivery on mailing. Where will special interests running the government stop?

Rich Meyers

94401

=====

From: Tom Mack  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:34:58  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Tom Mack

19087

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:00

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Chris Mackey  
2834 Whitener St Apt 06  
Cpe Girardeau, MO 63701

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Bingham  
16 Mount Nebo Rd  
Newtown, CT 06470

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Ramie  
13801 Pinkard Way Unit 01  
El Cajon, CA 92021

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Chantry  
Po Box 783  
Heyburn, ID 83336

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Earl Brown  
201 Bennington Ct  
Clifton, NJ 07013

=====  
From: Richard Marlais  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:02  
Subject: Comment Re: WC Docket No. 14-28

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

Richard Marlais  
705 Modesto Ave  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

<<http://list.credoaction.com/wf/open?upn=CFwW2LiM8egNfp5WPKl72vck1Jm5GzqaOw5FucO4B5J31w>

6mFPiv9XKgLfizJY6QOViS0ZT9WmoToatbBf15M-2FNwWIHeuccO6Ls200x-2F-2BdnZTUUVzDg7zu471XxS3S6  
a8fTQiXhMDccMu09BGRXB4-2BmzSbjWLHnECccDp5F3VvucP3fnK97RRINHD9WVrf3HnTZToczEMt9sAFBsqTu4  
qA-3D-3D>

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Santos  
4430 S 140th St  
Tukwila, WA 98168

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and

growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Emmanuel Ikoni  
2622 N National Ave  
Springfield, MO 65803

=====  
From: Ames Gilbert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:04  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Greetings,  
I strongly support the so-called 'Net Neutrality' as it presently exists. And, if necessary, rules to further strengthen and clarify what we have in place, now, which is equal access to all, large or small. I strongly believe that Net Neutrality is in the Public Interest. So, please do not support or allow or encourage any changes that allow corporate internet portals or providers to provide two- or more-tier speeds to customers based on ability to pay or dispense favors. Changing the present rules would also open a Pandora's box of unintended consequences, which neither you nor your advisors nor lobbyists pressing you have the ability to foresee, but will almost certainly not result in benefits to ordinary citizens.

Thank you for taking my concerns into consideration.

Ames Gilbert

Ames Gilbert

95945

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cecilia Granados  
972 Se 15th St  
Oklahoma City, OK 73129

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Curtis Wilson  
844 E Monument St  
Colorado Spgs, CO 80903

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Suzanne Updike  
1316 19th St  
Chesapeake, VA 23324

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Danny Boothe  
3361 Locust Rd  
Decorah, IA 52101

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Trevor Cooley  
14009 40th Dr Se  
Mill Creek, WA 98012

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Bart Cooper  
15628 Route 08  
Union City, PA 16438

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Walter Mulryan  
1588 Ventnor Ave  
Salt Lake Cty, UT 84121

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lara Trindade  
51 Cervantes Blvd  
San Francisco, CA 94123

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Danny Kahler  
878 Coutant Ln  
Grants Pass, OR 97527

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:08  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Aaron Spiropoulos  
82042 Deniro Ct

Indio, CA 92201

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:08  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Horning  
1878 State Hwy 52  
Erie, CO 80516

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brad Furchtsam  
400 Brittany Ct Apt E  
Geneva, IL 60134

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Candi Phillips  
104 Rambler Rd  
Cambridge, MD 21613

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change

Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Mallory  
1105 N X St  
Lompoc, CA 93436

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Logan Ward  
3800 Wedgewood Dr  
Lansing, MI 48911

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Maria Bates  
729 Clinton St  
Harriman, TN 37748

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Smith  
1293 Lundy Ln  
Burton, MI 48509

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Vitagliano  
1216 Venetian Dr  
Tybee Island, GA 31328

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Marcus Fisher  
885 Pitkin Way  
Castle Rock, CO 80104

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mary Huffman  
3500 Warwick Dr Apt 43  
Lexington, KY 40517

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alexander Vollmer  
10540 Aquila Ave S  
Minneapolis, MN 55438

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Miller  
2400 Sleepy Hollow Ln  
Fall River, KS 67047

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to

any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Thom Garlock  
100 E Tupper St  
Buffalo, NY 14203

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:14  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Gilliam  
5 N Matlack St  
West Chester, PA 19380

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:14  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jason Routson  
2885 Eastwood Dr  
Decatur, GA 30032

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Redcross  
12 Woodrow Ct  
Troy, NY 12180

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Steven Straight  
715 Cypress Rd  
Hartsville, PA 18974

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Zinn  
59 Park Ave  
Ephrata, PA 17522

=====  
From: Bill Stohrer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bill Stohrer  
6021 Venus Ave  
Fort Collins, Colorado 80525

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid

of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Beau Holiday  
301 Dyches Dr  
Savannah, GA 31406

=====  
From: Jenneale Gittens  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jenneale Gittens  
18722 NW 31st Ave  
Miami Gardens, Florida 33056

=====

From: Nichole Gagliardo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nichole Gagliardo  
18823 Eisenhower St  
Salinas, California 93906

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Wesley Johnson  
604 N Independence St  
Harrisonville, MO 64701

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Linda Buck  
19 Mauger Rd  
Elverson, PA 19520

=====  
From: Carlos Camacho  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carlos Camacho  
586 Sycamore Ln  
Laredo, Texas 78041

=====  
From: Dmitriy Marchuk  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dmitriy Marchuk  
14594 SE 155th Dr  
Clackamas, Oregon 97015

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lonnie Mcdonald  
6001 Carolyn Ln  
Mabelvale, AR 72103

=====  
From: Amanda Eapinoza  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Amanda Eapinoza  
6301 Gwin Rd SW  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87121

=====  
From: ROBERT JACKSON  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

ROBERT JACKSON  
11 Wright St  
West Babylon, New York 11704

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free

economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Samuel Pierce  
620 S Clay St  
Troy, OH 45373

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ben Treanor  
Po Box 511  
Oxford, IN 47971

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alma Aguilera  
1557 N 2225 W  
Clearfield, UT 84015

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Freeman  
525 Hall St Apt 64  
Susanville, CA 96130

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Don Massey  
563 Rosanne Ln  
Lockport, IL 60441

=====  
From: Emma Cantu  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Emma Cantu

3073 US Highway 57  
Eagle Pass, Texas 78852

=====  
From: Jenice Train  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jenice Train  
1935 E 52nd St S  
Wichita, Kansas 67216

=====  
From: David Latt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:20  
Subject: In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America, West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn't decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a slow lane.

But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to

decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices- like their cable bills- and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

David Latt

=====  
From: Dewey Carpenter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dewey Carpenter  
1322 W Cabaniss Rd  
Shelby, North Carolina 28150

=====  
From: Lafeysha Hill  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lafeysha Hill  
2109 Van Buren St  
High Point, North Carolina 27260

=====  
From: Maxine Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and

urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maxine Brown  
917 Greencove Dr  
Garland, Texas 75040

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Nimphie  
3451 Section Rd  
Lambertville, MI 48144

=====  
From: Peyton Todd  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:20  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

What with Citizens United making an already bad situation much worse, big corporations (hence their owners, who hold the bulk of their stock, not to mention privately-owned ones), exert enormous control - not just over our daily lives and the air waves, but the BRAIN waves of American citizens.

If big cable companies can control the speed at which particular websites load, they can charge high fees for greater speed, giving an unfair advantage to big corporations, who can afford to pay for this speed, hence to their owners, the great majority of whom have shown next to no interest in the common good. Alternative voices will be weaker, as their potential audience gives up when forced to endure long waits to view their content.

Please don't let our democracy be further damaged than it already is!

Peyton Todd

30307

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Roberta Cook  
125 Avon St  
Manchester, NH 03102

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Friedberg  
710 W Franklin St  
Richmond, VA 23220

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Adrian Harvey  
1713 Wayne St Ne  
Roanoke, VA 24012

=====  
From: Lisa Eberle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lisa Eberle  
1410 SW Oakley Ave  
Topeka, Kansas 66604

=====  
From: Monique Hernandez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know

that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option, because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Monique Hernandez  
2026 Via Esperanza  
San Dimas, California 91773

=====  
From: Sky Eisenhauer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sky Eisenhauer  
6177 Raleigh St Apt 216  
Orlando, Florida 32835

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Nick Christensen  
1811 Orchis Ct  
Lodi, CA 95242

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear Æ\202-â\200\234 investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry

left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Debra Sample  
540 Wallace Rd Nw Apt 104  
Salem, OR 97304

=====  
From: Nichole Shahan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:22  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nichole Shahan  
31 Countryside Est  
Sandwich, Illinois 60548

=====  
From: April Fiber  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

April Fiber  
398 Edith Ave  
Akron, Ohio 44312

=====  
From: Dawn Wonsik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dawn Wonsik  
510 Elm St  
Pana, Illinois 62557

=====  
From: Patti Mohn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Patti Mohn  
993 Winfield St  
Newbury Park, California 91320

=====  
From: Erika Sopak  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Erika Sopak  
9618 Pacific Ave Apt 09  
Tacoma, Washington 98444

=====  
From: Joe Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joe Johnson  
1608 Leaning Pine Way  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

=====  
From: Jordan Nell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation - just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jordan Nell  
2241 Blackwood Dr  
Venice, Florida 34293

=====  
From: Karen Kunkle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change - and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation - just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Karen Kunkle  
41 Castlegate Dr  
Jackson, Tennessee 38305

=====  
From: Tydruneisha Jackson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:25  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option" because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tydruneisha Jackson  
602 S 3rd St  
Warner Robins, Georgia 31088

=====  
From: dennis kreiner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:26  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

dennis kreiner

60110  
=====

From: Edward Pontius  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:26  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler and Committas-

As a physician and as a citizen who has had the benefit of training in science and technology, I must comment as you consider the implications of proposals that would allow fast track internet access to some at the expense of everyone else.

Those proposing this are fully familiar with Moore's Law and the unrelenting acceleration of data dependence that has accompanied it. They anticipate, as do I, that multimedia participation on the Internet will increasingly become a necessary part of the fabric of economic and political life. Slow that access to all but a privileged few, and you create a landscape tipping further and further towards income inequality and digital feudalism.

Innovation and corporate profits are both well - served currently and these changes- which will in the long run hurt the public.

Please do think this through carefully.

Thank you.

Edward Pontius

04103

=====  
From: Peter Rozsa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:27  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Peter Rozsa

97424

=====  
From: Philip Gorman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:46  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairperson,

Please keep big telecom out of making policy regarding the speed of the internet. The internet is much like a public utility where we all have equal access. We pay bills, do banking and find information. The idea that Big Telecom can use there power and

money to take what is ours and sell it back to us in theft. Please vote to support Net Neutrality.

Phil Gorman  
San Diego, Ca  
619-252-7828

Philip Gorman

92123

=====  
From: Robert Clenney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert Clenney  
6081 Flintwood Rd  
Parker, Colorado 80134

=====  
From: Deborah Brooks  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Deborah Brooks  
745 Peyton Rd SW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30311

=====  
From: Kathleen Kaminske  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Kaminske  
32 Barbary Cir  
Mashpee, Massachusetts 02649

=====  
From: Linda Langley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Linda Langley  
2106 Crestmoor Rd  
Nashville, Tennessee 37215

=====  
From: Teneaka Moreland  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Teneaka Moreland  
681 Old Atlanta Hwy  
Newnan, Georgia 30263

=====  
From: Hazel Park  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Hazel Park  
22611 Strawberry Ct Apt 107  
Novi, Michigan 48375

=====  
From: Louise Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Louise Johnson  
601 Oscar Gray Rd  
Butler, Alabama 36904

=====  
From: Michelle Volland  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same

scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michelle Volland  
1230 Orville Ave  
Kansas City, Kansas 66102

---

From: Beatrice Duirial  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Beatrice Duirial  
3775 Trails End Rd NW  
Bremerton, Washington 98312

---

From: Christina Quevedo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Christina Quevedo  
2013 Landing Ct  
Modesto, California 95357

=====  
From: Debra Saalfeld  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Debra Saalfeld  
19972 280th St  
Columbus, Nebraska 68601

=====  
From: Erin Shea  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:50  
Subject: Net Neutrality is Vital to Protect Women's & Girls' Voices Online

Already, major media's structural inequality continually leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our words on our own terms, uncensored and controlled by no one. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named "open Internet" would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech -- my speech -- online.

And the threat is twofold: we use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it. The internet allows us to spread messages farther than our feet could take us, make ideas louder than our voices can shout, and stand together on the same ground though we are miles apart. But our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. In the fight for media justice, we are at risk of the very people we fight against having authority over the tools we use to fight them. Silencing dissenting voices will be easier than ever -- and legal -- under these proposed rules.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women's voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

Erin Shea  
2123 W 108TH PL  
CHICAGO, IL 60643

=====  
From: Mary Byrd  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:50  
Subject: In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America, West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn't decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a "slow lane."

But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices- like their cable bills- and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

Mary Byrd

=====  
From: Alisa Sookne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:51  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a technical writer working as an independent contractor. My small business depends on the internet being free and open. My personal website containing my portfolio would be inaccessible without net neutrality. I also mostly work for small tech startups, who also need an open internet to thrive. Both parts of the equation would be history if the cable companies won. Killing net neutrality means killing small business in the US.

The open internet is necessary for our economy, which is only just recovering as it is. We cannot compete internationally without an open internet. Our days as a worldwide economic power would be over.

Not to mention, freedom of the press, freedom of speech, freedom to petition the government, and even freedom to peaceably assemble all depend heavily on the internet these days. This is how the people talk to the government. Through the internet. The internet has a huge affect on how we learn. I take classes online. Will my state

school be able to afford to pay for the 'fast lane' for us to learn? probably not. At least not without raising tuition so much that it prices out most of the population. Big telecom wants to widen the gap between the rich and that poor, the haves and the have-nots. There is no way around it. Net neutrality is a necessity to freedom in this nation.

Alisa Sookne

90230

=====  
From: David J. Llewellyn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:51  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I urge you to keep the internet free and neutral for everyone. Right now it is an open forum for all opinions. It is a great disseminator of the truth. If large providers are allowed to prioritize traffic the free flow of ideas will be seriously compromised. I use the internet daily in my work. But the type of searches I do are unlikely to be of interest to big companies. I should not have to have slow searches because financial interests have taken priority, nor should I have to pay fees to get rapid research responses. Please do not kill a good thing by ending net neutrality.

David J. Llewellyn

30342

=====  
From: Ellen Jackson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ellen Jackson  
2525 N Howell St  
Davenport, Iowa 52804

=====  
From: Michael Dahn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Donâ\200\231t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michael Dahn  
3470 Bahia Blanca W  
Laguna Woods, California 92637

=====  
From: Crystal Collins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesnâ\200\231t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Crystal Collins  
1298 Cranberry Springs Rd  
Fleetwood, North Carolina 28626

=====  
From: Robert Habros  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the peopleâ\200\231s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert Habros  
433 Teller Rd  
Point Roberts, Washington 98281

=====  
From: Sean Mcdowell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:35:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sean Mcdowell  
223 Winton Dr  
Richmond, Kentucky 40475

=====  
From: Niels Pemberton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:00  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

We must have a democratic, open internet. The Internet is for all. It is in keeping with the First Amendment to the US Constitution. Net Neutrality is proof that the United States of America is a vibrant democracy where all people's opinions are heard. Net Neutrality is also good for spreading democracy around the world and strengthening emerging democracies. Net Neutrality is important to foster and promote human rights and human dignity world-wide. So it is in America's best interest that Net Neutrality be preserved and developed.

I cannot condone Big Telecom's power grab as the best thing for the internet. I

depend on the internet to find jobs, lookup information and keep in touch with friends. I am concerned that prioritizing, blocking, or slowing down access to information on the internet will threaten the 1st .amendment to the US Constitution--a document that is better than the Talmud, the Bible or the Koran.

Niels Pemberton

20190

=====  
From: Michael Fischer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:02  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Please leave the net free for everyone. I am disabled and on a fixed income. I struggle every month to make ends meet. If I have to make payments for the web sites that I use, I don't know if I could afford it. Please leave the system as it is. The major corporations do not need any additional profit, they already get more than they deserve.

Michael Fischer

96707

=====  
From: Kayla Alejandro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kayla Alejandro  
9345 E Highway 290 Apt 5306  
Austin, Texas 78724

=====  
From: Deborah Bowes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Deborah Bowes  
120 X Campbell Rd  
Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312

=====  
From: Denise Echevarria  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know

that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obamaâ\200\231s political agenda, then it is no longer an â\200\234independent agency,â\200\235 and it is no longer an â\200\234expert agency.â\200\235 If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obamaâ\200\231s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Denise Echevarria  
6 Farrington St Apt 02  
Newburgh, New York 12550

=====  
From: Kathy Wilson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kathy Wilson  
828 Avenue B Apt 06  
Council Bluffs, Iowa 51503

=====  
From: Peter Grenewich  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Peter Grenewich  
700 Commonwealth Ave Apt 102  
Duluth, Minnesota 55808

=====  
From: JEANNINE JADRNAK  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

JEANNINE JADRNAK  
3220 Corydon Dr  
Toledo, Ohio 43613

=====  
From: Kyle Steen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kyle Steen  
5075 Pear Ridge Dr Apt 322  
Dallas, Texas 75287

=====  
From: Laurie Kuntz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:12  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality has been the default state of the internet since its invention; internet service providers load all websites at the same speed. Now, Big Telecom wants to change the rules so they can extract more money from websites by making them pay to be served to customers at faster speeds. This would in fact limit free speech, given that only people who could pay for the faster speeds would have their voices heard.

In order to preserve net neutrality, the FCC needs to ban Big Telecom from creating

these so-called "fast-lanes" which only large companies could afford. Start-ups and independent sites like my own would be left behind and crushed.

Please preserve net neutrality.

Laurie Kuntz

83713

=====  
From: Rebecca Sanders  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:15  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Sanders  
PO Box 3349  
Big Bear City, California 92314

=====  
From: Sharon Tuffo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:15  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and

urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sharon Tuffo  
8722 Ledbury Ct  
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

=====  
From: Daniel Cohen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Daniel Cohen  
396 Avey Cir  
Cookeville, Tennessee 38506

=====  
From: Judy Stiteler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation - just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Judy Stiteler  
124 Wilson Dr  
Wampum, Pennsylvania 16157

=====  
From: Kitty Eastman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kitty Eastman  
PO Box 245  
Trevor, Wisconsin 53179

=====  
From: Audrey Welsh  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Audrey Welsh  
PO Box 151  
Peel, Arkansas 72668

=====  
From: Karen Adair  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Karen Adair  
508 Coeur De Royale Dr Apt 102  
Saint Louis, Missouri 63141

=====  
From: Maryline Guzman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous

for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maryline Guzman  
1748 Pompano Dr  
Kissimmee, Florida 34759

=====  
From: Janet Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Janet Williams  
579 W Schmitt Rd  
Rossville, Georgia 30741

=====  
From: Marge Palmer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marge Palmer  
23791 E Clearmont Dr  
Elkmont, Alabama 35620

=====  
From: Christine Cornfield  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Christine Cornfield  
5802 Appleman Rd  
Erie, Pennsylvania 16509

=====  
From: Constance Rice  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Constance Rice  
112 8th Ave  
Brooklyn, Maryland 21225

=====  
From: Susan Poore  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Susan Poore  
215 S Davis St  
Beverly Hills, Florida 34465

=====  
From: Annie Burnett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obamaâ\200\231s political agenda, then it is no longer an â\200\234independent agency,â\200\235 and it is no longer an â\200\234expert agency.â\200\235 If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obamaâ\200\231s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Annie Burnett  
306 S 11th St  
Conroe, Texas 77301

=====  
From: Barbara Pass  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Barbara Pass  
1623 Aspen Run  
Birmingham, Alabama 35209

=====  
From: Tyesha Carter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tyesha Carter  
1575 Richmond Blvd Apt B14  
Danville, Virginia 24540

=====

From: Jamul Habbib  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jamul Habbib  
2054 Donahue Dr  
El Cajon, California 92019

=====

From: Josie Crisp  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The

FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Josie Crisp  
802 Grand Ave  
Esbon, Kansas 66941

=====  
From: Elvira Gavilan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:22  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Elvira Gavilan  
3760 Savannah Rd  
Fremont, California 94538

=====  
From: Martha Underwood

Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:22  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Martha Underwood  
119 Octavia Dr  
Meridianville, Alabama 35759

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Raymond Kennedy  
20979 Spinnaker St  
Bend, OR 97701

=====  
From: Richard Braly  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:22  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Richard Braly  
844 FM 112  
Thrall, Texas 76578

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet

after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Max Sopher  
1104 Maxwell Hill Rd  
Beckley, WV 25801

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Julie Garfield  
1764 W 800 S  
Orem, UT 84058

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Antonio Morado  
150 N Bonita Ct  
Ontario, CA 91762

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Craig Onken  
18577 Embers Ave  
Farmington, MN 55024

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Acevedo  
3218 S Naco Vis  
Tucson, AZ 85713

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Monts  
617 Pine Lake Dr  
Virginia Bch, VA 23462

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:28

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years -- that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dan Olin Jr  
1068 W Commerce St  
Brownwood, TX 76801

=====  
From: Crystal Holloway  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Crystal Holloway  
521 Oak Knoll Rd NE  
Ranger, Georgia 30734

=====  
From: Kathy Rossi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kathy Rossi  
8917 Kloss Ln  
Hudson, Florida 34667

=====  
From: Kenneth Archie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the

FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Archie  
309 63rd St NE  
Washington, District of Columbia 20019

=====  
From: Laurie Hunter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Laurie Hunter  
204 N Washington St  
Norton, Massachusetts 02766

=====  
From: RICHARD DANTO  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

RICHARD DANTO  
100 Shady Spring Rd  
Baden, Pennsylvania 15005

=====  
From: Savannah Goicoechea  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Savannah Goicoechea

4277 Oldwyck Dr  
Janesville, Wisconsin 53546

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Arman Shirvani  
436 Country Club Dr  
San Francisco, CA 94132

=====  
From: Toni Fallon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Toni Fallon  
471 Tanglewood Trl  
Sedona, Arizona 86351

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Earl Campbell  
380 E Main St  
Wabash, IN 46992

=====  
From: Elias Ramirez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Elias Ramirez  
55608 Fresa Ln  
Olathe, Colorado 81425

=====  
From: Kelsey Elliott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Elliott  
19 McKinley St  
Rochester, New Hampshire 03867

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Raymond Curtis  
2532 Pinto Dr  
Virginia Bch, VA 23456

=====  
From: Leanesheia Farley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Leanesheia Farley  
628 Newsome Cir  
Eatonton, Georgia 31024

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Maier  
3508 Quiet Pueblo St  
N Las Vegas, NV 89032

=====  
From: Lorna Reese-Mitchell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:29  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

There are a lot of people who are disabled and/or housebound and the internet is their window on the world. Passing the net neutrality bill will ensure that people all have access to load whatever content THEY (not big corporations) want without suffering a penalty because the content they want does not pay internet providers as much as some other corporations. To me, taking away net neutrality is the same as voting for extortion and goes against those who say they support small business in this country.

Thank you for your time in considering my point of view.

Lorna Reese

Lorna Reese-Mitchell

23063

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sunder S Matta  
18714 Capella Ln  
Gaithersburg, MD 20877

=====  
From: Tabatha Thornton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tabatha Thornton  
109 Deer Run Ct  
Matamoras, Pennsylvania 18336

=====  
From: Wendy Schwigen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Wendy Schwigen  
614 S West St  
Kewanee, Illinois 61443

=====  
From: Gertie Blackmon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gertie Blackmon  
313 Willow Run  
Pearl, Mississippi 39208

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx-usa.com  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Malone  
6732 Winterwood Ln  
Dallas, TX 75248

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Meyer Hughes  
1320 S Rolfe St  
Arlington, VA 22204

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brock Johanson  
1620 Sundance Ln  
Pocatello, ID 83201

=====  
From: David Mutchler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:31  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The internet was founded on the principle of net neutrality. It is critical that it remain the case that internet service providers be required to load all websites at the same speed (as they do now). Without that, the "little voices" that are such a critical part of the internet will be lost in the noise.

I urge the FCC to assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites.

David Mutchler, Professor of Computer Science and Software Development  
Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology (for 16 straight years the #01 ranked Engineering college among those that do not offer a Ph.D.)

David Mutchler

47803

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Diane Weaver  
12217 Pevero  
Tustin, CA 92782

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Soto  
3860 San Clemente Ct  
Newbury Park, CA 91320

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tim Morrison  
900 Kingston St  
Lenoir City, TN 37771

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Nena Bosquez  
Po Box 721045  
San Jose, CA 95172

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Fatou Ceesay

1512 W El Paso St  
Broken Arrow, OK 74012

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Constance Holliman  
2424 7th Ave  
Terre Haute, IN 47803

=====  
From: Craig Lefton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:34  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please keep the internet open and equal for everyone. "Fast Lanes" will force consumers to have to pay more to get access to the things they use the internet for. Many people on fixed or limited incomes rely on quick access to the internet, not just for entertainment, but for news, education, paying utility bills and much, much more. Keep the internet affordable and equal for all.

Craig Lefton

85044  
=====

From: H D Edwards  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:34  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The future prosperity of our country and its citizens depend on the best internet access we can have at the least cost. Instead what we have are mega-companies trying to squeeze every penny they can by rationing full and open access.

Thank you.

H D Edwards

20912

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years - that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Hassan Beaini  
15115 Toccoa Ct  
Gainesville, VA 20155

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Freddie Thurmond  
124 Wynthrope Ln  
Riverdale, GA 30274

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Nelson  
218 Plaza Serena  
Ontario, CA 91764

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ashley Eaken  
Po Box 94  
Hollidaysburg, PA 16648

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Major Rood  
1506 11th St Apt 04  
Monroe, WI 53566

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Ellis  
2001 Sw Imperial St  
Port St Lucie, FL 34987

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years Ã¢â\202-â\200\234 that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Antonio Jimenez  
6217 Flushing Ave  
Maspeth, NY 11378

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Walls  
1405 E Oak St  
Dexter, MO 63841

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the

Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Brown  
322 W Osage St  
Greenfield, IN 46140

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Darren Berg  
112 S Gay St Apt 305  
Knoxville, TN 37902

=====

From: Carol Teicher  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:38  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC,

Please keep the internet neutral, as it has been. No providers should be able to charge for faster service, which would leave all small business people like my daughter in the lurch!  
When her husband left her with four small children, she had to find a way to make money on her own and still be home for her children. She has courageously created and founded a unique small business that functions through the internet. She has just begun to make enough money to hire one remote assistant, which is using every extra penny that she makes. She is online all day and as much of the night as she can be after the children are asleep. She needs the access she has now and could never pay to get it back! If net neutrality is not preserved, she will be ruined! Don't set back her progress for herself, her family, and all the families she is serving on four continents!

Think of the internet as a public utility like the water we all get when we turn on the faucet. Designate it as a public utility so it can be regulated fairly for rich and poor alike.

Rich and poor get the water to flow at the same speed. Would you condone allowing a faster stream of water for the rich who could pay for it, and making the poor use a slow lane to get water unless they can sacrifice to pay for water at the speed they used to have it?

So many people depend on the internet for their livelihoods these days, and they would be crushed by the rich competitors who can pay for the fast speed. Protect all the people and don't give in to an idea which will just make the rich more wealthy and powerful than they already are.

You know we already have a huge income gap between the wealthy and the poor and that the middle class has been disappearing at an alarming rate. Keeping net neutrality allows people who find themselves able to make a decent living using the internet to keep making progress to build their American dream. Destroying net neutrality will destroy many in the middle and lower classes just because they won't have the ability to pay for the fast lane as a rich corporation will do.

Don't let lobbyists sway you to change the internet in the horrible way they see it. The American people want that net to stay neutral for all to enjoy using equally. What could be more American than that?

Thank you for considering all the comments you are receiving.

Sincerely,  
Carol Teicher

Carol Teicher

63146

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet

does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Samuel Belciug  
2504 Babson Dr  
Elk Grove, CA 95758

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Weissmann  
10470 Nw 31st Ter  
Doral, FL 33172

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Flower Centeno  
4535 County Road G  
Orland, CA 95963

=====  
From: jrsn mueller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:40  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

A free and open Internet is essential to democracy. Corporate controlled media is an element of fascism and it must not be allowed.

jrsn mueller

54024

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and

growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mark Brad  
146 N Smith Rd  
Lagrangeville, NY 12540

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christella Nguyen  
5535 Groveton St  
Houston, TX 77033

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Hedi Fazai  
423 W Bay St Apt 05  
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Scarborough  
5512 Berry Creek Cir  
Raleigh, NC 27613

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Audrey Matesic  
111 Oak Dr  
Trafford, PA 15085

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jenny Johnson  
301 Smith St Apt 07  
Clio, MI 48420

=====  
From: Steven Mewaldt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:42  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Please support net neutrality. Company's should not be able to purchase faster access and in essence make access harder for poorer company's non profits, and others. Please put the American people ahead of corporate profits.

Steven Mewaldt

25701

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Leanne Beedham  
925 Drake Rd  
Brockport, NY 14420

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Beau Bendalin  
2147 Marigold Ct  
San Jacinto, CA 92582

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:44  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Hendricks  
3085 State Route 161

Centralia, IL 62801

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:44  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dena Jensen  
2908 Marrcrest W  
Provo, UT 84604

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:45  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jackie Chapman  
21 S Stillwater Way  
Nampa, ID 83651

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Adolphus Mayfield  
2610 Elm Ave  
Long Beach, CA 90806

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free

economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Fredette Jr.  
701 Leland Ct  
Redding, CA 96001

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ed Mendoza  
34633 Agonis Way  
Winchester, CA 92596

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Douglas Hamilton  
1401 Sudden Vly  
Bellingham, WA 98229

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Donna Thomas  
10814 Ne 79th Cir  
Vancouver, WA 98662

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Wright Jr.  
216 E Pearl St  
Burlington, NJ 08016

=====  
From: Cassie Cratty  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cassie Cratty  
23290 NE Village Ct

Wood Village, Oregon 97060

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Frederick Hill  
378 Alfred Rd  
Sanford, ME 04073

=====  
From: Joseph Gaston  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joseph Gaston  
3507 Coats Rd  
Benton, Arkansas 72015

=====  
From: Margit Johansson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:49  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

A democracy cannot function unless its citizens have access to good information with which to make decisions on whom to represent them. Please do not create any conditions which favor one category of influential over another.

Imperfect as the Internet is (and it is very imperfect when it comes to security), it was, I believe, developed with public money. Why now should private entities steal unequal access from tax payers?

The FEC as a public body should be serving users equally, in democratic fashion. Please serve democratically.

Thank you.  
Sincerely,  
Margit Johansson,  
Boulder, Colorado

Margit Johansson

80304

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in

its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Peter Deangelo  
328 Lincoln St  
Tamaqua, PA 18252

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tom Pohle  
64 Red Bank Dr  
Sicklerville, NJ 08081

=====  
From: Chris Mahone  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chris Mahone  
1616 S Drake Ave  
Chicago, Illinois 60623

=====  
From: Erin Morena  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Erin Morena  
1511 Ohio Ave

Industry, Pennsylvania 15052

=====  
From: Joseph Allen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joseph Allen  
185 Veterans Ave  
Lexington, North Carolina 27292

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such

extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Hans Loback  
802 92nd St Se  
Everett, WA 98208

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Staci Leewright  
4135 E Wood Harbor Ct Apt 04  
Richmond, VA 23231

=====  
From: ABDUL SHAHID  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and

urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

ABDUL SHAHID  
3851 S Ashleaf Ln  
Beavercreek, Ohio 45440

=====  
From: Denise Lara  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Denise Lara

1315 Pleasant Ave Apt 07  
Los Angeles, California 90033

=====  
From: Josephine Ocampo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Josephine Ocampo  
2807 N I St  
San Bernardino, California 92405

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Ross  
Po Box 253  
Santaquin, UT 84655

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Susan Mattix  
Po Box 1841  
Jonesboro, AR 72403

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the

century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Guido Argote  
712 S Lois Ave  
Tampa, FL 33609

=====  
From: Martin Howle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Martin Howle  
14210 Richardson Dr  
Greenwell Springs, Louisiana 70739

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:52

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Steve Ronde  
14629 Stratford Ct  
Addison, TX 75001

=====  
From: Andral Kress  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Andral Kress

630 Division St  
Trenton, New Jersey 08611

=====  
From: Laurie Vasbinder  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Laurie Vasbinder  
75 Traveller Rd  
Falling Waters, West Virginia 25419

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's

right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Van Alstine  
3058 Fairfield St  
Muskegon, MI 49441

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Techak  
42040 Acacia Ave  
Hemet, CA 92544

=====  
From: Wayne Sanders  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Wayne Sanders  
7087 Nolin Dam Rd  
Mammoth Cave, Kentucky 42259

=====  
From: Darlene Rancourt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:54  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I live in a rural area with no discernable competition or choice in phone, television, or internet providers. Many providers are 'the same one' behind the scenes, but with differing marketing up front. Even in non-rural areas, the hoops and caveats and show-stoppers abound.

I can not be the driver of my own content; for instance, I would like only basic television services with a Tivo-like DVR. I can not afford to keep replacing my expensive antennae (where I could then have an actual Tivo DVR), due to hurricane winds, so I 'must' purchase basic cable or basic satellite.

Satellite is not mandated to provide a 'digital card' (so I may use a Tivo), and the 'digital card' provided by my only cable company (so that I may have Tivo), mysteriously fails every three months or so, when I must begin a weeklong campaign of 01-800 voice hell in order to get a new digital card, which then fails.

Neither cable nor satellite will provide me their proprietary DVR, at add'l cost, until I upgrade to a larger package than basic, also at add'l cost. Further, per-room fees begin mounting in both scenarios (due to scrambling boxes now required with larger packages), whereas with basic cable or antennae there are no per room fees.

I fought for a few years, based on principal, but have since given up, shamefully, for the peace that is engineered into a plump plan with a proprietary DVR. Because I could afford to. Shame on me.

This hellish scenario is what will seep into our collective Internet experience, with

layer-upon-layer of hoops and caveats that may be well-intentioned but actually only seek to correct the original wrong-doing.

Please, please, do not let the Internet go the way of television, because it is inevitable without your principals to get in the way, where mine could not.

Darlene Rancourt

33852

=====  
From: Kenneth Rich  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Rich  
1212 Terra Bella Ave  
Mountain View, California 94043

=====  
From: Diane Ries  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:55  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As an online student net neutrality is extremely important to me. The cost of tuition is already high enough, I suspect that if colleges have to start paying more for their use of online learning management systems that cost will be directly passed down to the students. Plus, there are so many educational sites that won't be able to pay high

prices to keep their sites moving "faster" which could seriously hinder the effectiveness of distance learning. The internet has played such a huge role in the growth of distance education I would really hate to see it slowed down because big corporations want to make even more money and rule yet another entity of our economy. Everyone should have the same access available all the time, period.

Diane Ries

72120

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christy Harvey  
1005 Chillum Rd Apt 405  
Hyattsville, MD 20782

=====  
From: olga cantu  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is

broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

olga cantu  
PO Box 2081  
Edinburg, Texas 78540

=====  
From: Patricia Yeghissian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:55  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Unless we protect net neutrality we will be creating 02 tier access to the internet which undercuts everyone's equal access to information. Internet neutrality is critical for students and educators trying to narrow the Achaemenid gap in our educational systems

Patricia Yeghissian

20910

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Alvarez  
4720 Genevieve St  
Sn Bernrdno, CA 92407

=====  
From: Tony Green  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tony Green  
521 Chateau Ave  
Baltimore, Maryland 21212

=====  
From: Victoria Rachuj  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying

for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Victoria Rachuj  
S7339 Rocky Circle Rd  
Hillpoint, Wisconsin 53937

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jose Camacho  
106 Pamela Dr  
Allenhurst, GA 31301

=====  
From: Catherine Bostic  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Catherine Bostic  
19522 Evergreen Rd  
Detroit, Michigan 48219

=====  
From: laura darling  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

laura darling  
264 Bleecker St  
Gloversville, New York 12078

=====  
From: Sylvia Wehmeyer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Wehmeyer  
660 Susie Dr  
Canyon Lake, Texas 78133

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme

Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Antonio Altamirano  
376 Beale Dr  
Hayward, CA 94544

=====  
From: William N. Hopkins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:56  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

An FCC decision denying Net Neutrality could result in an effect similar to what we see since the Supreme Court allowed big corporations to make huge donations resulting in political favors going to the highest bidder. This decision would leave the average internet user with very slow service. Please keep things the way they are now.

Thank you,

William N. Hopkins  
xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Des Moines, IA, 50310-3043

William N. Hopkins

50310

=====  
From: Arnold Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:57  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Arnold Smith

89110

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brandon Lemon  
3196 Wash Davis Rd  
Summerton, SC 29148

=====  
From: Perla Ibarra  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Perla Ibarra  
4107 78th St W  
Bradenton, Florida 34209

=====  
From: Robert McKinney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert McKinney  
911 Williamsburg Village Dr  
Jackson, Tennessee 38305

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jim Wu  
2316 Hallmark Ave N  
Saint Paul, MN 55128

=====  
From: Brianna Maynard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brianna Maynard

3135 Robinson Rd  
Mansfield, Ohio 44903

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Ecell  
1720 W Madison St  
Herrin, IL 62948

=====  
From: Dennis Moore  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:58  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC

I have been a amateur radio operator for more than fifty years and frankly. I am disappointed that everything we do with the FCC spectrum is all about money. The internet spectrum, like the air ways was establish as a public service with the intent to service the public and not corporations. I would hope that there is some concern on the FCC's part that it is not in the public interest to provide those with money better internet service then those who cannot afford it.

I am deeply concerned that another of our freedoms is slowly eroding away. I encourage

you to consider the impact this will have and support keeping the net neutral.

Dennis Moore

Dennis Moore

45377

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Curtis Paddy  
207 Moore St  
Guthrie, KY 42234

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist

group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Hurley  
19 Lenti Dr  
Manchester, CT 06040

=====  
From: Robin Jackson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robin Jackson  
67 Plain St  
Rutland, Vermont 05701

=====  
From: Amanda Caballero

Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Amanda Caballero  
3045 Ruby Ave  
Kansas City, Kansas 66106

=====  
From: Barbara Coady  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:59  
Subject: Protect Net Neutrality

I was deeply disappointed to hear that the Federal Communications Commission is planning on implementing rule changes that would allow Internet service providers to pay for special, faster lanes to deliver their content. In an environment where large corporations can pay for faster service, start-ups and every day Internet users won't be able to compete and we will lose the open, free-flowing exchange of information that has made the Internet so dynamic and integral to our lives.

The FCC can ensure it has the tools to act by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service. I urge you to reject the new rules and to take this vital and common sense step toward protecting net neutrality.

Barbara Coady  
17 Cleveburn Place  
Buffalo, NY 14222

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sharmaine Davis  
5930 Bluebonnet Cir  
Atlanta, GA 30349

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Craig Arakawa  
45-527 Kamooalii St  
Kaneohe, HI 96744

=====  
From: Tara Tuck  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:36:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tara Tuck  
11880 Highway 101 S  
Tillamook, Oregon 97141

=====  
From: Ashley Davis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:00  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ashley Davis  
2029 Henry St  
Muskegon, Michigan 49441

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Patti McClelland  
2165 S Trenton Dr  
Trenton, MI 48183

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rich Zimmer  
38 Eaton Ln  
West Islip, NY 11795

=====  
From: Romaine Reid  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:00  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Romaine Reid  
17739 105th Ave  
Jamaica, New York 11433

=====  
From: Sheila Ratliff  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:00  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sheila Ratliff  
4016 S Park Dr  
Morganton, North Carolina 28655

=====  
From: Doug Grady  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

We all have the right to use the internet equally. Giving "special interest" company's more/better access is a travesty. Let them build their own private internet if they have all that , money, interest, and need.

Doug Grady

76209

=====  
From: Kim Taylor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:01  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kim Taylor  
3708 Forestwood Dr  
Bryan, Texas 77801

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tracy T Williams  
424 Millvale St  
Birmingham, AL 35224

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gilbert J. Peterson  
142 Pheasant Run  
Newington, CT 06111

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Aam Murniawati  
10069 Nuerto Ln  
Spring Valley, CA 91977

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rose Stratton  
7207 Ne 13th Ave Apt B15  
Vancouver, WA 98665

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Saeid Sadeghy  
Po Box 744  
Woodland Hls, CA 91365

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jason Leube  
2880 Sun River Dr  
Chico, CA 95973

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
William Huffman  
105 Primrose Ln  
Cameron, NC 28326

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic

and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Bob Wineretzki  
22 Highland Ave  
Montville, NJ 07045

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jinna Moore  
78 Burtonwood Dr  
Mobile, AL 36608

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ron Deel  
1011 Mustang Ct  
Springtown, TX 76082

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Clarence Taylor  
5 Egypt Farms Rd  
Owings Mills, MD 21117

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Iris Cruz  
529 Maxey Dr  
Virginia Bch, VA 23454

=====  
From: William Mulkey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:06  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Comcast and the other internet providers are getting rich beyond belief now and they are already charging us larger amounts for greater speeds. I when over to a friends house, who is a disabled vet in a wheelchair, to help him setup a new computer. I noticed he only had a 3mbs download speed and 1mbs upload speed. He said he paid \$47.44 a month for that speed and \$09 of that was for modem rent every month for a modem he could have purchased 10 years ago for \$70. FCC is already letting these companies get away with taking advantage of non-tech people!

Please! don't give them control of the internet. They have proved time and again you cannot trust them to do the right thing with the control! My friend has paid over the 10 years he had the Comcast modem he has paid over \$700 for a less than \$70 modem. I am currently helping him get and install a modem so he is getting help, but there are thousands if not millions that depend on the FCC to help: so, PLEASE HELP by keeping Net Neutrality.

William Mulkey

30120

=====  
From: Lee Jenkinson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:07  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

To whom it may concern,

Since its inception, the internet has always been a level playing field. No matter your size or facilities, the internet remained as a basic resource for all.

Unfortunately there have been some decisions made lately that seem to have been made under false premises; the first is that internet and cable customers have a choice in their internet and cable TV providers. My city signed a contract with Time Warner years ago for cable service in my area, so I have them, or at&t U Verse via my land line connection, (Dish and Direct TV, do not provide internet access). Not really much of a choice, is it? The second is that cable and internet companies (ISPs) should be able to determine the speeds and how much we pay for those speeds rather than there be a level playing field for everyone.

The poor decisions made by the FCC in the late nineties have come back to haunt us and the public, once again will be the loser. It's not enough that cable companies own the movies that they show on cable channels and bombard us with advertising every ten minutes, and stiff us with exorbitant unjustified rate hikes; they now want to charge us extra just to get what we ordered downloaded.

Americans already pay more for access to the internet than most other countries, please don't cave to the Big Cable lobbyists who are just looking for even more obscene profits from their monopolistic grip on the internet and cable TV.

Thank you.

Lee Jenkinson

91351

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Emanuel Hardy  
5837 Master St  
Philadelphia, PA 19131

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Nicolas Romero  
537 W Julianna St  
Anaheim, CA 92801

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:08  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Gonzales  
334 Catherine Ln  
Salmon, ID 83467

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:08  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Bonnie Sowarsh  
705 S Obannon St  
Raymond, IL 62560

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor

their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Baxter  
230 Silver Lake Rd Apt F1  
Church Hill, TN 37642

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Brown  
765 Newton Factory Bridge Rd  
Covington, GA 30014

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Aaron Westmoreland  
5110 White Tail Pl  
Paso Robles, CA 93446

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Derrick Moses Jr  
38 Cove Dr  
Orange, TX 77630

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers Ãçâ\202-â\200\234 just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Rutherford  
330 Pinehurst Dr  
Stockbridge, GA 30281

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Diana Sowers  
1746 Sw Fairmont Rd  
Topeka, KS 66604

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Carl Kolar  
551a Old Waynesburg Rd  
Carmichaels, PA 15320

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use

the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Eric Bolton  
24499 Corte Jaramillo  
Murrieta, CA 92562

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Paul Martinez  
3525 Highway 21  
San Marcos, TX 78666

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Maxwell Devlin  
3719 Greencrest Dr  
Santa Rosa, CA 95405

=====  
From: shirley pendergrass  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:15  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

shirley pendergrass  
3158 sims bridge rd.  
kittrell, North Carolina 27544

=====  
From: William (Bill) Lenz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:16  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Mr. Tom Wheeler, Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission

Dear Chairman Wheeler:

Having lost a six-figure income to corporate rightsizing in 2011, I am now, at a traditional retirement age, reinventing myself through a new entrepreneurial enterprise.

With the "AshevilleVoices" trademark just registered this week, I am pursuing development of a media-centered, localized small business that will absolutely depend on the Internet for its success. The concept appears to be completely unique and should, according to seasoned executives with SCORE and other corporate consulting nonprofits, be a paradigm for adapting to other locales nationwide.

But that will only be possible, let alone successful, if the Internet remains "neutral."

It's my understanding that the FCC has authority to regulate the Internet as a public utility. A professional broadcaster since the early '60s, I have long had respect for your agency as an advocate of fairness and the public interest. Please continue to strengthen that advocacy by ensuring that the FCC's historical stewardship of the airways is now expanded to encompass the 21st century's digital highway.

Thank you.

William (Bill) Lenz

28803

=====  
From: Milona Wall  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:17  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC,

It is so vital that you don't allow those huge corporations to control the internet. The people should say whether or not this is a good thing for us, not the conglomerates. America is because of its people. It is important to have net neutrality.

Sincerely,

Milona A. Wall

Milona Wall

15201

=====  
From: Chris Lund  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:19  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please support net neutrality. It is very important to stand against big business. This is a Freedom of Speech issue.

Chris Lund

90405

=====  
From: Jacob Mapp  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jacob Mapp  
200 Round Table Rd  
Athens, Georgia 30606

=====  
From: Richard Pomeroy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Richard Pomeroy  
8 Cat Feet Ct  
Spring, Texas 77381

=====  
From: Nicole carpenter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nicole carpenter  
6666 W Washington Ave Apt 516  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

=====  
From: Samantha Brooks  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Samantha Brooks  
2606 Tupelo St  
Kenner, Louisiana 70062

=====  
From: Wallace Cascio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of

private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Wallace Cascio  
4201 S Ocean Blvd Apt K7  
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

=====  
From: Andree Laval  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:21  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Stop Comcast and other corporations who want to control speed of communication to the expense of ordinary citizens.

Andree Laval

95670

=====  
From: brandy Jackson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:22  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

brandy Jackson  
454A Baron Dr  
Monroe, Georgia 30655

=====  
From: Milla Mckenzie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:22  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Milla Mckenzie  
10440 S Ave E  
Scotts, Michigan 49088

=====  
From: Amanda Foster  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:23

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Amanda Foster  
17 Deer Oaks Dr  
Pleasanton, California 94588

=====  
From: Cindy Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cindy Johnson  
1269 Elwood Ct  
Burlington, North Carolina 27217

=====  
From: Ori Guerrero  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ori Guerrero  
12222 Vance Jackson Rd Apt 923  
San Antonio, Texas 78230

=====  
From: Artemisa Caro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political

agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Artemisa Caro  
2710 W Brooks St  
Chandler, Arizona 85224

=====  
From: Darcy Abrahams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:24  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Chairman Wheeler, FCC:

Please do not let net neutrality become the victim of moneyed interests. As a regular person who uses the internet every day for research, education, library work, communication, and activism, I would be very disappointed and hindered in my internet usage to find a pay-to-play scheme in place, as would millions of other US citizens whose rights would be violated in the service of corporate power and greed.

Thank you, Darcy A.

Darcy Abrahams

92116

=====  
From: Curtis Hoskins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:25  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option, because it would devastate private investment with

the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Curtis Hoskins  
13111 E Briarwood Ave Ste 110  
Englewood, Colorado 80112

=====  
From: Eloine Gabriel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:25  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option, because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Eloine Gabriel  
1888 Watercrest Dr  
Jonesboro, Georgia 30236

=====  
From: Linda turnage  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:25  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Linda Turnage  
28 Ten Broeck Pl  
Albany, New York 12210

=====  
From: Mary Martinez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:25  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Martinez

3931 W Compton Ave  
Orange, California 92868

=====  
From: Angela Hammond  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Angela Hammond  
1601 May Pl NE  
Canton, Ohio 44705

=====  
From: Frank Medina  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Frank Medina  
765 W26 St. Unit-304  
San Pedro, California 90731

=====  
From: Kathleen Chicken  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Chicken  
PO Box 22  
Waucoma, Iowa 52171

=====  
From: Maria Perales

Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option, because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maria Perales  
5444 Saddlebrook Way Apt 04  
Wesley Chapel, Florida 33543

=====

From: Richard Brunner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:26  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Richard Brunner

98405

=====

From: Charles Taylor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Charles Taylor  
5013 Lake Rd  
Mattoon, Illinois 61938

=====  
From: Edwin Bailey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Edwin Bailey  
13292 6625 Rd  
Montrose, Colorado 81401

=====  
From: Jamie Delaney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jamie Delaney  
9137 Harvest Way  
Sacramento, California 95826

=====  
From: Banks Eanes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Banks Eanes  
3648 White Eagle Dr  
Naperville, Illinois 60564

=====  
From: John Parker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:28  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

This country was founded on the principle of...for the people and by the people... not for the big corporations. Keep the internet open to everyone...not just the few.

Thank you!

John Parker  
xxxx@xxxx.xxx

John Parker

80007

=====  
From: Cecilia Camacho  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Camacho  
512 Guerrero Ave  
Zapata, Texas 78076

=====  
From: Chrissie Hays  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the peopleâ\200\231s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chrissie Hays  
3009 Harder Dr  
Gillette, Wyoming 82718

=====  
From: Kayla Brewer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kayla Brewer  
1023 W Cypress St  
Rogers, Arkansas 72756

=====  
From: Robert Duffy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert Duffy  
9366 W Iowa Pl

Lakewood, Colorado 80232

=====  
From: Stephanie Easton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:29  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Why are you trying to nickle & dime the public even more since the 1934 law went into effect? Net neutrality has been the default state of the internet since its invention. Internet service providers load all websites at the same speed. Now, you want to let Big Telecom change the rules so they can extract more money from websites. Under the pretense of faster service which should already be supplied to everyone.

In order to preserve net neutrality, the FCC needs to ban Big Telecom from creating these so-called "fast-lanes" which only large companies could afford. Start-ups and independent sites would be left behind and crushed.

The FCC has tried protecting net neutrality twice before, and been defeated by Big Telecom in court both times. In order to legally prevent Big Telecom from destroying net neutrality once again, the FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites.

Stephanie Easton

92503

=====  
From: Angie Patel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Angie Patel  
913 State Line Rd Apt 601  
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37412

=====  
From: Charles Eyre  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Charles Eyre  
3179 Preston St  
Paris, Illinois 61944

=====  
From: Luz Downes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet

after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Luz Downes  
5565 Mansions Blfs Apt 5707  
San Antonio, Texas 78245

=====  
From: Angel Garcia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Angel Garcia  
413 Susan Dr  
Buda, Texas 78610

=====  
From: Rtalbert Talbert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option" because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rtalbert Talbert  
2109 Largo Ave  
Mobile, Alabama 36609

=====  
From: Carol Alan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:32  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

An open internet is tantamount to our democracy. American rights are being sold to the highest bidder (voting rights, campaign contributions, etc), and your actions today will affect generations to come! Please PLEASE listen to us-- the little guys-- to maintain net neutrality. I'm sure financial pressure are great for you to lean toward to big corporations. But take a moment to look at the bigger picture, and do what's right.

Carol Alan

29153

=====  
From: Catherine Benedetto  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know

that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Catherine Benedetto  
1175 Black Foot Ave  
Orlando, Florida 32825

=====  
From: Mike Craig  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mike Craig  
1557 Dover Hl N  
Walled Lake, Michigan 48390

=====  
From: Pam Uhrstadt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Pam Uhrstadt  
906 S Kenmore St  
Anaheim, California 92804

=====  
From: Kelly Graham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kelly Graham  
820 University City Blvd Ste 02  
Blacksburg, Virginia 24060

=====  
From: Mary Adkins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obamaâ\200\231s political agenda, then it is no longer an â\200\234independent agency,â\200\235 and it is no longer an â\200\234expert agency.â\200\235 If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obamaâ\200\231s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Adkins  
411 Aberfoyle Rd  
Gibson Island, Maryland 21056

=====  
From: Robin Yaskulski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robin Yaskulski  
4752 County Road 16  
Canandaigua, New York 14424

=====  
From: William Box  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:33  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
The net should be for everyone on a equal basis not to sold to the highest bidder.

William Box

33090

=====  
From: Matt Stidams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:34  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as

competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Matt Stidams  
240 Ellis Ln  
Mount Carmel, Tennessee 37645

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:35  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Kaelyn Taylor  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 5545 Lakepoint Drive Apt. 16C  
Zip: 84107

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II's any more than you can be a slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government

agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Diane McLain-Skillicorn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:37  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The internet is my window on the world & how I make many of my purchases. Plus my husband sells his art online. Strangling the open internet will defeat the concept of freedom for all and seriously impact our lives. Please protect an open internet.

Diane McLain-Skillicorn

24486

=====  
From: Dick Knight  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:37  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Dick Knight

08525

=====  
From: Andrea Ercolino  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:38  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and

urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Andrea Ercolino  
68 Lafayette St  
Rochester, New Hampshire 03867

=====

From: John Coker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:43  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am really opposed to the idea of allowing Big Telecom to extract more money from companies that operate on the internet and allowing internet service providers to make deals that would prioritize content consumers can access? Making an elite internet is a dangerous idea. It will result in more expense to the companies which will then need to use it, causing an increase in prices to the consumer, as well as making the internet more expensive. We brag to other countries that we are not an elitist society, but a democracy. Why should we create an elite channel. We are living in a time when the average person's income and comfort is falling and wealth is being transferred to the financial elite. Do we really want to modify the democratic technology to make it less democratic so that more money can be made by the few.

John Coker

94565

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:44  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: David Young  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 26893 Heather Ln.  
Zip: 46514

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: maricela rios  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

maricela rios  
897 S Foothill Ave  
Lindsay, California 93247

=====  
From: Connie Hamilton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Connie Hamilton  
9617 Archdale St  
Detroit, Michigan 48227

=====  
From: Stanley Murrey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Stanley Murrey  
30 W Barnard St Apt 317  
West Chester, Pennsylvania 19382

=====  
From: James Copley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:49  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Sir. The internet is a UTILITY not just a service. I depend on it to operate my business just as I do the electricity that runs my computers and the gas that keep the building livable. To allow a few corporations to slow it down or require monthly payments is to treat it as a commodity they developed. They didn't. We, the US government did and like the air waves used for Radio and TV broadcast it should be regulated and controlled by you as OUR representative. You do NOT work for the corporations, yet. Please don't act like you do.

Sincerely,  
Dr. James W. Copley

James Copley

50010

=====  
From: rose scott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:37:56  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Grassroots organizations such as ours struggle to keep/pay internet service. I shudder to think what would happen if this connection is eliminated because we can't afford it. Please allow some of us to tell the truth and not be controlled by MONEY.

rose scott  
53210

=====  
From: Karen Bernhard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:00  
Subject: Comment re: WC Docket No. 14-28

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

=====  
From: Burton Shulman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:05  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
Net neutrality is a First Amendment issue. Our rights to information must be protected against big money interests that keep trying to take control of the web. I implore you to fight and vote for net neutrality.

Burton shulman xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Burton Shulman

11215

=====  
From: Karen Salman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:05  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

I am writing to express my thoughts about net neutrality...I am in favor of an internet that is neutral and that is not ruled by large corporations, thus stiffling smaller organizations. It seems immoral for big telecom companies to decide what consumers can and cannot have access to. Big corporations control too much in this country already; ie Citizens United; what about the "little people" who are trying to provide a service and people like me who want to be served by smaller companies who should have the same equal chance as the large corporation.

Karen Salman

60098

=====  
From: Sherry Walker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:05  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

I object to big corporations having internet speed priority for information, and blocking my freedom to access the independent press and information that I wish to research, and in essence stealing my rights for what I pay for in order to use the internet freely and independently, without "BIG BROTHER" corporations' interference.

PROTECT OUR RIGHTS TO INTERNET ACCESS TO INFORMATION AND SPEED OF INFO.

Sherry Walker

45387

=====  
From: james zenos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:09  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

james zenos  
1135 9th St  
Port Arthur, Texas 77640

=====  
From: Keith Rogers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:10  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Keith Rogers  
25 Summit St Apt 401  
Newark, New Jersey 07103

=====  
From: lashunda cook  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:10  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

lashunda cook  
1034 Power Ave Apt 102  
Pittsburg, California 94565

=====  
From: Deanna Ball  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:12  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Deanna Ball

80537

=====  
From: Genesis Ocasio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:12  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Genesis Ocasio  
9000 NW 28th Dr Apt 304  
Coral Springs, Florida 33065

=====

From: John Cannon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:12  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I sat back for years and watched a Republican led FCC help corporations destroy the media industry. It's embarrassing as a Democrat that a change in the White House six years ago has made no difference. That we're still facing these challenges from the wealthiest corporations and can't trust the FCC to protect us is shocking. Please step up and do your job for the people of this country who don't have a voice in the process.

John Cannon

94403

=====

From: Patricia Caraballo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:12  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality is extremely important because it gives people all over the world a way to communicate with each other without (in most cases) censorship. The more people communicate, the more they will see that we have much more in common than our differences. To have a company like Comcast decide who can see what, who can write what, etc. is an abomination. DO NOT GIVE SUCH POWER TO CORPORATIONS - THEY ARE CONTINUOUSLY WRONG-DOING ENTITIES THAT DON'T CARE ABOUT INDIVIDUALS. They only want money, which they make more than enough of already. Please keep the internet free and neutral. It is so important.

Patricia Caraballo

60540

=====  
From: cynrthia barrett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

cynrthia barrett  
8974 S 257th East Pl  
Broken Arrow, Oklahoma 74014

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gloria Hawkins  
8369 Cardova Rd  
Richmond, VA 23227

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:14  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Winslow  
1310 Woodfair Dr  
Richmond, TX 77406

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christine Kalt  
909 13th St  
Kamiah, ID 83536

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Uriel Martinez  
4114 Wynona St  
Houston, TX 77087

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Woods Jr  
7304 S Artesian Ave  
Chicago, IL 60629

=====  
From: Michael Lyttle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:16  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I believe that the democratic solution to this problem is to keep the internet open to all users equally. Unfortunately, I suspect that big money will prevail, and propel us further towards the Corporate States of America!

Michael Lyttle

90068

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along -â\200\234 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Noah Atherton  
14748 W Larkspur Dr  
Surprise, AZ 85379

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cheri Johnson  
385 Waterloo Geneva Rd Apt 4b  
Waterloo, NY 13165

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jon Howton  
200 Spruce St  
Dix, NE 69133

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gary Gobeil  
200 Cleaves St  
Biddeford, ME 04005

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Travis  
1114 Buente St Apt 2b  
Pittsburgh, PA 15212

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ali Walker  
1738 W 3rd St  
Piscataway, NJ 08854

=====  
From: Michael Christner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:18  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please stop this Net Neutrality equals freedom of Speech controlling bandwidth & speed for a select few is not what Our founding father's put forth when they wanted to assure free speech, access to education & information for the American people. Net Neutrality is paramount in assuring free & equal access to all publications, information, educational materials, access to business & job searching and yes, even stupid cat videos. No one person, organization or company should have advantage or be able to buy preferential control over the flow, speed or access of information. Thank you for your time & consideration Please keep the internet accessible to all

Michael Christner  
Michael Christner  
80537

=====  
From: Paul Habbestad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Paul Habbestad  
1151 San Marcos Dr  
Salinas, California 93901

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Ramage  
5736 164th St  
Fresh Meadows, NY 11365

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Evans  
110 W Main St  
Moncks Corner, SC 29461

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joe Lyons  
21 Cedar St  
Worcester, MA 01609

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Herrod Jr.  
10726 9th Ave  
Hanford, CA 93230

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Peter Slaughter  
2030 E College Way  
Olathe, KS 66062

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lorrie Hundley  
1018 Cedar St  
Jacksonville, FL 32207

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Curtis Powers  
526 Kentucky  
West Helena, AR 72390

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Rodriguez  
7913 Mount Shasta Cir  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information

services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Mcelwee  
3531 Dartmoor Ln  
Olney, MD 20832

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael James  
1080 N Wixom Rd  
Wixom, MI 48393

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along -â\200\234 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Danny Dausend  
6896 W Ridgeline Rd  
Peoria, AZ 85383

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers -â\200\234 just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ricardo Santos  
3585 W Ball Rd  
Anaheim, CA 92804

=====  
From: Lyn Saul  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:24  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Doesn't big business already have enough advantages, one being able to wriggle out of paying their share of taxes while not providing a living wage in some instances....why give them an even bigger and better advantage in the virtual world of business?

Really? I think instead of United States it should be changed to Privileged States as our government no longer works for an average American just the privileged 1percent.

Lyn Saul

33780

=====  
From: devon- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Johnny Lucas  
9646 Schaefer Hwy  
Detroit, MI 48227

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Ashburn  
215 S Kolb Rd Apt E  
Tucson, AZ 85710

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tony Cunningham  
3715 Belmede Dr  
Gulfport, MS 39507

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dawn Nemethy  
12650 7th St E  
Treasure Is, FL 33706

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Mayo  
3316 N Stanley St  
Peoria, IL 61604

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rickey Pinard  
1791 Se 18th Ter  
Homestead, FL 33035

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Livingstine  
12281 County Road P  
La Jara, CO 81140

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Leroy Hollan  
Po Box 449  
Fowlerton, TX 78021

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the

Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Autumn Firestine  
1550 N Casa Grande Ave Apt 136  
Casa Grande, AZ 85222

=====  
From: chanon williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:29  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Do we not already suffer enough as non-rich American citizens from big business and corporations? Why make it harder for us. I use the internet everyday. I pay bills, look up information, and even sometimes read the news. I do not want to be hampered in any way in my use of the internet, that includes anything that will negate, lessen, and discriminate against me in my access of the internet

chanon williams

60649

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Kivell  
527 North St  
West Bend, WI 53090

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shirley Snyder  
610 Ulrich Rd  
Fort Pierce, FL 34982

=====  
From: Val Ryazanov  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:29  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I find it ridiculous (and completely wrong) that major corporations like AT&T, Verizon, Comcast, Time Warner are allowed to provide several competing services such as Internet and TV. The same company MUST NOT be allowed to provide internet services and TV services at the same time. This allows those companies to play with internet speeds, get extra non-competitive money providing increased bandwidth for some based on specific agreements - such as with Netflix.

Companies that provide internet services MUST BE concerned only about internet speed

and reliability. Those companies MUST NOT BE INVOLVED in any other activities.

As a minimum, laws MUST BE created to prevent major corporations from playing around with internet speed and internet content.

Maybe it's time to split those major corporations into multiple companies as it happened in the past with AT&T.

Val Ryazanov

75025

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Batsheva Bucholz  
1556 38th St  
Brooklyn, NY 11218

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Surry  
10111 Central St Apt 104  
Kansas City, MO 64114

=====  
From: Richard Morris  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:30  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler, and Commissioners,  
I am requesting that the FCC preserve NET NEUTRALITY. Speech is a commodity accessible to all citizens, like voting. It is obvious that if speech is allocated to some first--for whatever the reason, other than that his or her place in line has come before mine--this is against the democratic rules of the game. So you are a big user, that doesn't your subscribers to come before me, unless their--not your--places come before me. Crowding into the line is just not fair. or the DEMOCRATIC way, as every movie-goer knows! Thank you for your attention to my plea. Rich Morris, San Juan Bautista, CA

Richard Morris  
95045

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on

taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cleyde Silva  
2217 Leland Ln  
Casselberry, FL 32707

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Taylor  
Po Box 1445  
Fort Gibson, OK 74434

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years Ãçâ\202-â\200\234 that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Don Sarlow  
3842 Pinebluff Ln  
Rockwall, TX 75032

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Pamela Avink  
1414 Winifred St  
Jenison, MI 49428

=====

From: orenthial crear  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

orenthial crear  
815 Wilkens Ave  
Jacksonville, Texas 75766

=====

From: Alex Walker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Alex Walker  
1930 Brainard Ave  
Cleveland, Ohio 44109

=====  
From: Betty Deyarmond  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Betty Deyarmond  
80 Rossway Ave Apt C4  
Rossford, Ohio 43460

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Erik Jacobsen  
1480 Us Highway 46 Apt 120b  
Parsippany, NJ 07054

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Loyet  
3450 Village Ln Apt 214  
Granite City, IL 62040

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Randall Leviston  
11758 Huckleberry Trl E  
Macclenny, FL 32063

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Glenda Snyder  
16121 Hill Valley Rd  
Mount Union, PA 17066

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Susan Page  
8824 Mt Rainier Dr  
Vancouver, WA 98664

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Don Lindau  
6188 Clyde Ct  
St Charles, IL 60174

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Busse  
1004 E Chestnut St  
Crawfordsville, IN 47933

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then

it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gary Sturgill  
5196 Talbots Lndg  
Ellicott City, MD 21043

=====  
From: Amber Egner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

We all should get the same speed of internet, regardless of what your income is. It's not like we aren't paying customers, or getting it for free. We are paying customers, and should get the same treatment everyone else does. United We Stand; Divided We Fall.

Amber Egner

42003

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Iris Jacobs  
1304 Leon Dr  
Jonesboro, LA 71251

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Vincent Beach  
500 Gunntown Rd Apt D12  
Bellefontaine, OH 43311

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of

the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gerald Wilson  
15716 Ryon Ave  
Bellflower, CA 90706

=====  
From: Jonathan Moll  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:37  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

There is a disturbing trend in this country to conflate money with free speech. To allow the internet to be tiered into "fast" and "slow" lanes based on payment is clearly trampling on our First Amendment rights.

I was a partner in the first Web consulting company in NYC in 1994. What has been true since the birth of this new medium is that all voices had equal weight. Over the past 20 years, the Web has gone from being a curiosity to a central utility in people's lives. Money can not be allowed to trample on this last refuge of free expression and new business development.

Jonathan Moll

10014

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Terri Hobson  
589 Quaker Ln S  
West Hartford, CT 06110

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jason Collins  
1865 Homestead Dr  
Ellabell, GA 31308

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kareem Garner  
1337 Lillian Ave  
Louisville, KY 40208

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lamar Frye  
12822 Newman Green Rd  
Soddy Daisy, TN 37379

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated

telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Yost  
1116 Robinson Hwy  
Mc Donald, PA 15057

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brett Emery  
5425 Borden Rd  
Milton, FL 32583

=====  
From: Cathy Clark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cathy Clark  
307 Wall St  
Bloomington, Illinois 61701

=====  
From: Judith Fordham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:40  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As an older American woman, I adamantly oppose taking away NET NEUTRALITY. This wonderful internet that has developed is the most significant educational tool I have ever experienced. When you are retired and live in a rural area it is often difficult to be able to experience new things. The internet brings this right too your home. When your grandchildren are on the other side of the USA the internet makes communicating with them both fun and easy to do. Surely these things would not merit the "fast lane" yet to me and others like me they are what make life worthwhile and keep it interesting.

I use this tool on a daily basis and use it to keep in contact with dear friends and family, watch the world news, learn about new gardening techniques, find new books to read, shop for gifts. To put all this into the hands of corporations to increase their ability to wring money out of more people is not being responsible to the public. Don't let pressure and money hide your moral obligations to the public.

Judith Fordham

16832

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Paul Ledoux  
35 Cambridge Ave  
Warwick, RI 02889

=====  
From: eunice gurny  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:41  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

eunice gurny

60203

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open,

and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation - just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Stacie Bunnell  
5242 Wagon Wheel Trl  
Indianapolis, IN 46237

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change - and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation - just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John W Stippell  
8 Cecil Pl  
Hicksville, NY 11801

=====  
From: cathey ferguson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:42  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

One of the principals our country is based on , is freedom of speech. Letting telecom corps. regulate the flow of information according to their set of rules will virually cripple this principle, allowing only what they want us to hear or say. Net neutrality is essential for a strong America. What keeps America strong is our differences, our ideas, our diversity of cultures and our ability and freedom to express these differences, take that freedom away and your going to end up with more of an imbalance of power than what already exist, and not just economically. You take away a persons right of speech, you're headed down a slipppery slope, probably the reason they are militarizing all the police depts. Hello 1930's Germany, here we come. and all this coming out of the mouth of a liberal! you would think I'm a tea party-er.

cathey ferguson

75228

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cathleen Zane  
11 Basket Walk Dr  
Okatie, SC 29909

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Greiner  
102 Meadowcreek Dr  
Crandall, TX 75114

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Houlihan  
8032 E Del Rubi Dr  
Scottsdale, AZ 85258

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:43

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joontae Howard  
6102 N Bayou Black Dr  
Gibson, LA 70356

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Simon Williams  
33 Fm 1746  
Woodville, TX 75979

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:44  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
J. Cook  
511 Hillcrest Ave  
Tyler, TX 75702

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:44  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Keith Cassidy  
102 Walnut Ridge Dr

Pittsburgh, PA 15238

=====  
From: Kristin Hopper-Losenicky  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:44  
Subject: Net Neutrality is Vital to Protect Women's & Girls' Voices Online

Already, major media's structural inequality continually leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our words on our own terms, uncensored and controlled by no one. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named "open Internet" would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech -- my speech -- online.

And the threat is twofold: we use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it. The internet allows us to spread messages farther than our feet could take us, make ideas louder than our voices can shout, and stand together on the same ground though we are miles apart. But our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. In the fight for media justice, we are at risk of the very people we fight against having authority over the tools we use to fight them. Silencing dissenting voices will be easier than ever -- and legal -- under these proposed rules.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women's voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

Kristin Hopper-Losenicky

Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:45  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear -- investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the

most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Roger Carpenter  
12473 Doherty Rd  
Hibbing, MN 55746

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:45  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michele Huff  
12004 Pennfield Pl  
Riverview, FL 33579

=====  
From: vivek balasubramaniam  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:45  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Hi there, I would like to write in support of preserving the neutrality of the internet. When it was first created the internet was just between universities and was not available to many outside. I recall the ability to send messages on that internet to friends at school across the country. Since then the internet has become integrated into our very lifestyles. It is on par with other utilities and as such should not be constrained by the companies that are our "gatekeepers" to the internet. It is quite crazy that the average cost of internet hookup is \$100/year for a household and that it is not achievable by many who are impoverished. If there is no restraint on the cost that the providers can charge, the cost will only go up. There is not really a "free market" to restrain cost, as in many areas, cable or telecom providers have a monopoly on the cables to hook up to the internet and therefore there is no choice for the consumer. This situation is ripe for the companies to take advantage and have disproportionate pricing, as exists today. If they also have the ability to prioritize content and limit the speeds for certain forms of information, that would further polarize the consumer. Please preserve the neutrality of the net, as in a neutral environment there is the chance to innovate and create new projects and technologies. More and more companies are reluctant to take a risk and if they have preferential access to the internet, new companies and ideas may be squelched. I hope you will take this seriously.

Vivek Balasubramaniam

vivek balasubramaniam

53562

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Amy Terry  
172 Rolling Meadows Dr

Lancaster, KY 40444

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Karl Ready  
14015 N 94th St Apt 1134  
Scottsdale, AZ 85260

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Donnie Franklin Franklin  
4000 Tanglewood Ln Apt 16  
Odessa, TX 79762

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Close  
5711 Ensign Dr E  
Fort Worth, TX 76119

=====  
From: James Ezell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:48  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

James Ezell

97601

=====  
From: Marilyn Whitesides  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:48  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Can you not recognize what a truly terrible idea it would be to create fast and slow lanes on the internet?

Don't we have enough inequality in America?

Please don't let big business and their big bucks hold the internet hostage. The internet is today's greatest communication tool. Low income and high-rollers have equal access, as it should be.

Please don't screw it up. That would be so very wrong.

Marilyn Whitesides

10009

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mary Miles  
1262 S Uvalda St

Aurora, CO 80012

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Newton  
832 Potter Ave  
Berwyn, PA 19312

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Cole  
5350 S Drexel Ave  
Chicago, IL 60615

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jesus Arrieta  
Po Box 1227  
Stanton, TX 79782

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered

smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Carlos Melendez  
168 S Main St  
Milton Frwtr, OR 97862

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Von Doran  
394 Rochester Street  
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Onuigwe  
740 Mansfield Rd  
Essex, MD 21221

=====  
From: Madeline Shapiro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:51  
Subject: Net Neutrality

Dear Chairman Federal Communications Commission,

In the interest of fairness to all Internet users, please do not allow faster speeds for the corporate and wealthy, and slower speeds for the common folk.

Sincerely,

Madeline Shapiro

9816 Maryknoll Ave  
Whittier, CA 90605

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shan Boyd  
10177 Alice Dr  
Omaha, NE 68142

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Craig Eckstein  
8449 Jackies Dr  
Cincinnati, OH 45239

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mark Tallman  
5260 Kaylin Dr  
Akron, OH 44319

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Ross  
68 Ardsley Cir  
Brockton, MA 02302

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Bailey  
949 E Lilly Ln  
Palatine, IL 60074

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along -- no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shahe Keshishian  
560 E Villa St Apt 915

Pasadena, CA 91101

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:54  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Holden  
21 Coast Ln  
Portland, ME 04103

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:54  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Catlin  
5276 E Hamilton Ave  
Castle Rock, CO 80104

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Andre Mitchell  
1310 Mystic Ridge Pl  
Cumming, GA 30040

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might,

theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Juan Rodriguez  
Po Box 8445  
College Sta, TX 77844

=====  
From: Inez Joy Gillies  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Inez Joy Gillies  
1490 Carson St  
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402

=====  
From: Ronald Sturm  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ronald Sturm  
7055 Sprig Dr  
Sacramento, California 95842

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tom Graban  
231 N Hamilton St  
Watertown, NY 13601

=====  
From: andy- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Charles Putzier  
2601 S Melrose St  
Tacoma, WA 98405

=====  
From: christina wallace  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

christina wallace  
753 Kiamensi Rd  
Wilmington, Delaware 19804

=====  
From: juanita gonzalez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

juanita gonzalez  
12646 Mansfield Glen Ct  
Houston, Texas 77014

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition

in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Latricia Prayer  
2362 Jackie Field Rd  
Greenville, NC 27834

=====  
From: Teresa Gordner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Teresa Gordner  
1271 Clyde Rd  
Unityville, Pennsylvania 17774

=====  
From: Kendall Jones

Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kendall Jones  
519 Colgate Dr  
Allen, Texas 75013

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shawn Spiess  
10284 Vans Dr  
Frankfort, IL 60423

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sylvia Freeman  
792 Garrett Rd  
Quebeck, TN 38579

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such

extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tiffany Luckner  
515 6th St Ne  
Massillon, OH 44646

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alfred Servati  
1323 Se 23rd Ave  
Cape Coral, FL 33990

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Russell Hodges  
1475 6th St  
Elko, NV 89801

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:38:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kimberley Gestes  
1932 Fm 368 N  
Iowa Park, TX 76367

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Paul Hackley  
4594 Killarney Dr  
Highland, UT 84003

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Quinn

134 School St  
Bradford, PA 16701

=====  
From: James Eaks  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:01  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Eaks  
1501 Chapman Dr  
Greenfield, Indiana 46140

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its

rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Purvis  
11219 147th Pl  
Live Oak, FL 32060

=====  
From: Jason Harvey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:02  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jason Harvey  
11 Castlevue Ln  
Camdenton, Missouri 65020

=====  
From: Richard Baptiste  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:02  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Richard Baptiste  
4550 Gibbens Payne Ave  
Baker, Louisiana 70714

=====  
From: Nathan Trancho  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for

government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nathan Trancho  
1415 G St Apt 13  
Sacramento, California 95814

=====  
From: Patrick Bishop  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Patrick Bishop  
503 E 700 N  
Tooele, Utah 84074

=====  
From: Sherry Romero  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sherry Romero  
2 N Cascade Ave Ste 1100  
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903

=====  
From: Zackery Vagneur  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Zackery Vagneur  
4121 Madeline Way  
Modesto, California 95356

=====  
From: Claire Kavanagh  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Claire Kavanagh  
12807 Sunrise Dr NE  
Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110

=====  
From: Louis Berry Jr  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Donâ\200\231t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Louis Berry Jr  
410 Middle Ave  
Wilmerding, Pennsylvania 15148

=====  
From: Theresa Walker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Donâ\200\231t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Theresa Walker  
25 Maplesville School Rd  
London, Kentucky 40741

=====

From: Joseph Bobich  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:10  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
SAVE NET NEUTRALITY.

Joseph Bobich

96707

=====  
From: sarah merickel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:12  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please protect net neutrality!! I am a small business owner/part-time student. I have concerns that my first amendment right may be infringed by the purposeful blocking or slowing of information flowing to me. Please do not allow them to make deals that prioritize content I can access, I need to make up my own mind not have others do it for me!! SAY NO TO FAST LANES ON THE INTERNET!!

sarah merickel

98258

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:14  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Jeff Cauley  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: Parkville, MD  
Zip: 21234

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Valenya Franks  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:15  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a daily internet user that accesses many websites throughout the day, most of which are for smaller businesses and organizations. I pay for the ability to view whatever website I want, no matter how much they are able to pay for visibility. This is not something that just affects the business or organization, it also affects the users.

I'm originally from a small town with growing businesses, many of which are developing their own websites. If the larger businesses and corporations are sucking all of the bandwidth away from these small websites, this can affect not only the business, but also the entire community. I've seen many businesses come and go, and a lot of the more successful businesses also have an online presence. This presence helps with their day-to-day business, and if it's taken away, what will that mean for their business?

I believe that this is a violation of not only our rights but the rights of business owners. To me, freedom is about equal opportunity, jacking up prices to where only certain businesses can afford speed is not equal.

Valenya Franks

=====  
From: Ronald Fujiyoshi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:16  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,  
The internet should be available to all, not depending on who can pay more for it's use.  
Please make it available to all as has been the case.  
Aloha from Hawaii!

Ronald Fujiyoshi

96720

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:16  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Samuel Ragland  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 6572 Old Dobbin Drive North  
Zip: 36695

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital

mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Pamela Melchor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Pamela Melchor  
1083 Midway Rd SE  
Bolivia, North Carolina 28422

=====

From: Robert Mckay  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert Mckay  
PO Box 561  
Honea Path, South Carolina 29654

=====  
From: Chris Corbo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chris Corbo  
2998 Oaktree Ln

Hollywood, Florida 33021

=====  
From: Judith Hamje  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:19  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am retired on a social security limited budget and do a lot of volunteer work. I depend on the internet to keep connected with my organizations. My internet service rate was raised and I had to cut back on food to maintain the service. I tried to cut my cable and my internet rate was raised. I feel trapped now by the big telcom services.

Please keep the internet open so every one will have access not just the rich.

Judith Hamje

60302

=====  
From: Judy Morris  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Judy Morris  
1128 Cottonwood Dr  
Loveland, Ohio 45140

=====  
From: Tun Aung  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:19  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content  
The right to free information through independent news source is an important part of democratic society.

Tun Aung

20904

=====  
From: Bradley Shepherd  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:21  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I support Net Neutrality and appose the creation of a fast and slow lane internet system. I urge you to use the authority given to you under Title II of the Telecommunications actâ\200\224to treat the internet like the public utility it is- and not proceed to create two internets.

Bradley Shepherd

43209

=====  
From: Fran Egloff  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:22  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -keep net neutrality the same- Please specify some content

Fran Egloff

27455  
=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:24  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Diane A. Downing  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 7255 248th Rd  
Zip: 67005

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:25

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Kathleen Byrne  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 49 Susan Ln.  
Zip: 14225

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Debra Yard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Debra Yard  
85 Hoover Ave  
Bristol, Connecticut 06010

=====  
From: Emily Stakley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Emily Stakley  
1159 SW Plass Ave  
Topeka, Kansas 66604

=====  
From: Lorrie Crawford  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lorrie Crawford  
6534 Lyndon B Johnson Dr  
Jackson, Mississippi 39213

=====  
From: Marquis Nath  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marquis Nath  
60 Randolph Rd Fl 01  
Plainfield, New Jersey 07060

=====  
From: DIANE MASSIE  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

DIANE MASSIE  
192 Overbrook Dr

Monroe, Ohio 45050

=====  
From: Hector Garcia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Hector Garcia  
155 B and L Trailer Park Ln  
Warsaw, North Carolina 28398

=====  
From: Christophr Gallk  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this

competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Christophr Gallk  
13430 Kolmar Ave  
Midlothian, Illinois 60445

=====  
From: Elizabeth Moreno  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Moreno  
1030 N Acacia St Apt G4  
Anaheim, California 92805

=====

From: Allison Jundt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Allison Jundt  
9183 W Warren Dr  
Lakewood, Colorado 80227

=====  
From: Glenda Peters  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Glenda Peters  
115 Croteau Rd  
Libby, Montana 59923

=====  
From: Nellie Marino  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nellie Marino  
3578 State Highway 205  
Hartwick, New York 13348

=====  
From: Nicole Wissell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third

time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nicole Wissell  
58 Indian Rd  
Groton, Massachusetts 01450

=====  
From: Andrea N  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:31  
Subject: Net Neutrality is Vital to Protect Women's & Girls' Voices Online

Already, major media's structural inequality continually leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our words on our own terms, uncensored and controlled by no one. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named "open Internet" would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech -- my speech -- online.

And the threat is twofold: we use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it. The internet allows us to spread messages farther than our feet could take us, make ideas louder than our voices can shout, and stand together on the same ground though we are miles apart. But our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. In the fight for media justice, we are at risk of the very people we fight against having authority over the tools we use to fight them. Silencing dissenting voices will be easier than ever -- and legal -- under these proposed rules.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women's voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

Andrea N

Essex Junction, VT 05452

=====  
From: Edith Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:38  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Edith Brown

94124

=====  
From: era shealy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:41  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

era shealy  
1933 S State Road 349  
Branford, Florida 32008

=====  
From: Kevin McGarity  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:41  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kevin McGarity  
3625 W Bonanza Rd  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

=====

From: Donna Segura  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Donna Segura  
2185 S County Road 193  
Byers, Colorado 80103

=====  
From: Maye Malachi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maye Malachi  
2622 Highway 177  
Wallace, South Carolina 29596

=====  
From: William Burnett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

William Burnett  
813 King James St SW Apt C  
Roanoke, Virginia 24014

=====  
From: HEIDI JAMES  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

HEIDI JAMES  
PO Box 121  
Arizona City, Arizona 85123

=====  
From: Howard Jackson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:43  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
We should maintain net neutrality.

Howard Jackson

34677

=====  
From: patty butler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

patty butler  
4816 Cypress St Lot 203  
West Monroe, Louisiana 71291

=====  
From: Tammeka Thompson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tammeka Thompson  
16631 Spring Glade Dr  
Cypress, Texas 77429

=====  
From: Capote Benita  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Capote Benita  
1414 W 6th St  
Hastings, Nebraska 68901

=====  
From: Michael Thompson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michael Thompson  
127 Private Road 1534  
Midland City, Alabama 36350

=====  
From: Tania Nyman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:46  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC Commissioners,

Please do not create a "fast lane" on the internet. Doing so will not just unfairly privilege the larger corporations who are currently lobbying you to make this change. It would undermine entrepreneurial opportunities for all others, and it is such small start ups that truly invigorate our economy. Protect America's innovative spirit! Do not create a "fast lane" on the internet.

Tania Nyman

Tania Nyman

70808

=====  
From: Clarice Armstrong  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Clarice Armstrong  
17604 N 161 E Ave  
Oologah, Oklahoma 74053

=====  
From: Andrea Katzer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Andrea Katzer  
8 Turaco Dr  
Voorhees, New Jersey 08043

=====  
From: Claudia Lopez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Claudia Lopez  
1122 S 4th Ave Apt A  
Yakima, Washington 98902

=====  
From: Cathy Morrison  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cathy Morrison  
470 Mitchell Moore Rd  
Hazel Green, Alabama 35750

=====  
From: Shakira Jennings  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Shakira Jennings  
640 Santanna Dr Apt 202  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17109

=====  
From: Steve Brenner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Steve Brenner  
444 Lafayette Blvd  
Brigantine, New Jersey 08203

=====  
From: Dennis Hall  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:54  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please leave the internet as it is. Do not change speed for different forms of users.  
Content Found -- Please specify some content

Dennis Hall

28105

=====  
From: D'nea Stephens  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:54

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

D'nea Stephens  
35 Ridgewood Trailer Ct  
Monticello, Kentucky 42633

=====  
From: Hewitt Bernauer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Hewitt Bernauer  
7 Claxton St Apt 03

Roslindale, Massachusetts 02131

=====  
From: Helen Strahinich  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:56  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Helen Strahinich

02130

=====  
From: Amber Reser  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:39:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Amber Reser  
55111 5th St  
Astor, Florida 32102

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:00  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: james shehorn  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1915 camargo drve  
Zip: 95132

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II's any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

---

From: Daniela Lieggi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

To whomever it may concern,

Creating a money based priority fast lane would be a major first amendment violation. The internet should be another monopoly field for big businesses to throw their weight around, blocking opinions or content that threaten their bottom line. Printed newspapers have been dying out giving way to web based news. Some of these enterprises are independent and don't have the funds to compete with large corporations. These voices would be stifled by a paid fast lane. That is unconstitutional.

Please protect net neutrality.

Signed,

Daniela E Lieggi

Daniela Lieggi

60657

=====  
From: Jason Wells  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Jason Wells

97217

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Josue Saintus  
379 Eastern Pkwy Apt B4  
Brooklyn, NY 11216

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
George Tucker  
3922 Cameron Creek Dr  
Matthews, NC 28105

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were

sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Preston  
19 Watchman Way  
San Francisco, CA 94107

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Margo Yinug  
20300 Se Morrison Ter Apt 1093  
Gresham, OR 97030

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:03  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Sara DeCramer  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 3843 Shady Glen Ave.  
Zip: 88005

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible

the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private

investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Aunice Taylor  
4714 Ne Garfield Ave  
Portland, OR 97211

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rysheania Traymon  
135 Rockwell Ave Apt 56  
Long Branch, NJ 07740

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Smith George  
3202 Jefferson Square Ct  
Decatur, GA 30030

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
J Rosensteel  
949 5th Ave Fl 01 Front  
E Mckeesport, PA 15035

=====  
From: Nancy Kosnar Hartman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:05  
Subject: Protect Net Neutrality

I was deeply disappointed to hear that the Federal Communications Commission is planning on implementing rule changes that would allow Internet service providers to

pay for special, faster lanes to deliver their content. In an environment where large corporations can pay for faster service, start-ups and every day Internet users won't be able to compete and we will lose the open, free-flowing exchange of information that has made the Internet so dynamic and integral to our lives.

The FCC can ensure it has the tools to act by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service. I urge you to reject the new rules and to take this vital and common sense step toward protecting net neutrality.

Nancy Kosnar Hartman  
2514 Evans Ave  
Louisville, CO 80027

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Purusharth Rajyaguru  
1441 E Drachman St  
Tucson, AZ 85719

=====

From: Alexander Kowalski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:06  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Alexander Kowalski

13208

=====  
From: David Freid  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:06  
Subject: Protect Net Neutrality

I was deeply disappointed to hear that the Federal Communications Commission is planning on implementing rule changes that would allow Internet service providers to pay for special, faster lanes to deliver their content. In an environment where large corporations can pay for faster service, start-ups and every day Internet users won't be able to compete and we will lose the open, free-flowing exchange of information that has made the Internet so dynamic and integral to our lives.

The FCC can ensure it has the tools to act by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service. I urge you to reject the new rules and to take this vital and common sense step toward protecting net neutrality.

David Freid  
195 Garfield Pl  
Brooklyn, NY 11215

=====  
From: Marjorie Grinols  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:06  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

It is very important that control of the internet is not handed to any one particular group. That's a bad model for any public resource in a democracy.

Marjorie Grinols

14618

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once

again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Marjorie Ramirez  
107 Brandywyne Dr  
Boston, MA 02128

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shaun Johnson  
Po Box 46  
Newhall, WV 24866

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Paul Uffelman  
4428 Baker St  
Philadelphia, PA 19127

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:08  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Amber Peterson  
1225 Lake Elmo Dr Apt 304b  
Billings, MT 59105

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:08  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Klay Smith  
4710 Wildhorse Ct  
Missouri City, TX 77459

=====  
From: Lynn Gravelle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:08  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please protect net neutrality from Big Telecom by asserting FCC authority to reclassify broadband services as Title II communications and regulate the internet as a public utility. Corporations should not control the internet by prioritizing, blocking, or slowing down access to information. An open internet is necessary for the protection of our first amendment rights.

Lynn Gravelle

23836

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:08  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an

unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Pamiloza  
2845 Allew Way  
San Diego, CA 92139

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Noah Hayes  
90 Liberty Rd  
Steelville, MO 65565

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Franks Janet  
1220 E Commerce Ave  
Gilbert, AZ 85234

=====  
From: Joan Hughes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:10  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Joan Hughes  
55416

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has

descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rosemary Joiner  
4468 Harding Ave  
Holt, MI 48842

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Paul Lambert  
Po Box 119  
Moody, TX 76557

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Cooper  
12992 104th Pl  
Live Oak, FL 32060

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Julio Mondragon  
2739 E Jackson St  
Long Beach, CA 90810

=====  
From: lynn signorelli  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:11  
Subject: Protect Net Neutrality

I was deeply disappointed to hear that the Federal Communications Commission is planning on implementing rule changes that would allow Internet service providers to pay for special, faster lanes to deliver their content. In an environment where large corporations can pay for faster service, start-ups and every day Internet users won't be able to compete and we will lose the open, free-flowing exchange of information that has made the Internet so dynamic and integral to our lives.

The FCC can ensure it has the tools to act by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service. I urge you to reject the new rules and to take this vital and common sense step toward protecting net neutrality.

lynn signorelli  
817 mendocino ave  
berkeley, CA 94707

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gene Williams  
1820 Ne Euclid St  
Oklahoma City, OK 73117

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mark Ammann  
14517 Hague Dr  
Dallas, TX 75234

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Aaron Parham  
1035 Live Oak St  
Westlake, LA 70669

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Leo Hart  
11 Fitch St  
Walton, NY 13856

=====  
From: Patricia Lewis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:13  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As a retired person with limited funds but lots of time on my hands, I am begging the FCC to do the right thing. I spend a great deal of time on the internet...for my news, for inspiration in my art, for medical information, for information regarding all manner of life. I believe, like other utilities, the internet should be a COMMON, SOMETHING WE ALL OWN. I cannot fathom why the FCC is willing to even contemplate letting the large corporations take over another of our publicly necessary means of communication and information. Isn't it bad enough that large corporations own most of our airwaves, dominating the news media and diminishing our access to factual information? Shame, shame...it should always be about what is best for the majority of citizens...not about what the already wealthy, controlling, over-bloated corporations want. If this democracy is to survive, we need free access to all information and allowing corporations to choose who will dominate the internet is JUST PLAIN WRONG.

Patricia Lewis

55446

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:14  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Reinke  
4503 John David Dr Apt A  
Killeen, TX 76549

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors

and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Greg Newton  
1418 Titus Ave  
Rochester, NY 14622

=====  
From: Nancy Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:15  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

You must continue Net Neutrality for us American citizens.  
In a world where our right to vote has been sold to the highest bidders through the explosion of money donated by corporations via Citizens United decision; you must maintain our right to access internet communications neutrally at fast speeds.  
At a time when 75% of our countries wealth is owned by 10% of its people, you want to give that 10% another financial bite at us 90% stretching the last 25% of wealth to make our families lives work??? Seriously?  
Your job is to regulate communications, not sell them off. Please set an example and do your job. Who knows? Your actions may spur on others, even those in congress to start doing their jobs.

WE DO NOT NEED AT&T, COMCAST, AND ALL THE OTHER BIG TELECOM CORPORATIONS GETTING PAID FOR PROGRAMING THEY CHOOSE AND CHARGING US EXTRA FOR ACCESS. THAT IS NOT THEIR JOB; DON'T LET THEM HAVE YOURS!

WE THE PEOPLE NEED NET NEUTRALITY!

Nancy Smith

94602

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech

support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Pleasance Conrady  
24866 Barclay Ln  
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rodney Ricard Ricard  
8060 Lakepointe Ct  
Plantation, FL 33322

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:16

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Danny Garcia  
8792 Montrose Ave  
Westminster, CA 92683

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brian Rogers  
1801 Medford Ave  
Indianapolis, IN 46222

=====  
From: Roy Lawrence  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:16  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Preserve Net Neutrality. No sell outs.

Roy Lawrence  
90004

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Sefchik  
65 Horse Pond Rd Apt A  
Salem, CT 06420

=====  
From: el- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Isaac Nunez  
3325 Loma Linda Ln  
Las Vegas, NV 89121

=====  
From: Jim Houston  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:17  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please do not put our economic future in the hands of a few by allowing private companies the right to decide who will and will not have full access to the Internet, whether on the content side or the consumer side. Return the Internet to public control.

Jim Houston

94536

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jenny Flores  
6900 N Main St Apt 834  
Baytown, TX 77521

=====  
From: Charles Hickey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:18  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
No Contplease leave the internet free for all. I live on a small fixed income and hace several health issue , the internet is a life saver for me and others like me that depend on it .

thank you charles Hickey.ent Found -- Please specify some content

Charles Hickey

1420

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ron Fillion  
3614 Cyrus St  
Raleigh, NC 27610

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Gilbert  
3000 Rose City Rd  
Lupton, MI 48635

=====  
From: Jaleh Niazi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:19  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Please don't favor big corporations over small companies.

Jaleh Niazi

94609

=====  
From: James Casey Fos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:19  
Subject: Net Neutrality

I want internet service providers to be classified as common carriers.

Casey Fos

=====  
From: Stephanie Cybulski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:19  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

This is common sense to keep the internet open and free. Without a free and open web, what does America have left? Businesses should not dictate everything.

Stephanie Cybulski

14224

=====  
From: Nat Lerner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:21  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

In 1776 it took little cost to print a page and nail it to a post in the village square. Freedom of speech and expression was then available. Today the Internet is that post in the village square and to destroy Net Neutrality would be an economic censorship that would destroy the free speech of the 21st Century. Do not segregate internet traffic by price.

Nat Lerner

89119

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence

that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers 202-200\234 just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation 200\224 just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Nick Lenard  
12835 Bassett St  
N Hollywood, CA 91605

=====  
From: Richard Marlais  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:21  
Subject: Comment Re: WC Docket No. 14-28

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

Richard Marlais  
705 Modesto Ave  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

<<http://list.credoaction.com/wf/open?upn=CFwW2LiM8egNfp5WPKl72vck1Jm5GzqaOw5FucO4B5Ke98omJTTrk22ajM0v0S00cQm0BIwLjd3QeA7LFJx1sm4A5SBqZWN6wil0vPGT83Gz28tLwEPNOmZKtmm49mczBMyUnV12oUEiC9d7siHmzmAX7B9R0IeQcQ-2FM5wUguyIgssrTNXh518nngbkP4-2FGY-2BnxV0PF7JarvrhMccVIhIaA-3D-3D>>

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change 200\224 and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information

services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Rithcie  
373 E 9th St  
Fond Du Lac, WI 54935

=====  
From: Katherine Benson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:22  
Subject: Please reclassify ISPs as common carriers

To FCC,

This is so important: to keep the Internet equal and open. Please do not sell the public communication channels to the highest bidder. This would be so wrong.

Please reclassify ISPs as Title II carriers.

In time, improvements will make the Internet faster and even those trying to pay you off now for exclusive, faster speeds will be happy.

You have been given the public trust. Don't be corrupt and violate that trust.

Thank you for your attention,

Kathy Benson  
US Citizen

Sent from my iPhone

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave

the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jose Lopez  
335 National Pl Apt 22  
Longmont, CO 80501

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Randy Visscher  
4786 Furney St  
Holt, MI 48842

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world

with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rodney Lassiter  
2803 W 48th St S  
Wichita, KS 67217

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alan Gurganus  
11916 Tidewater Dr  
Cottondale, AL 35453

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Corey Jamison  
1521 Marilyn Ave  
Greenville, MS 38703

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kristen Petlicki  
784 Milbeck Ave  
Elk Grove Vlg, IL 60007

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Ansley  
40 Lakewood Dr  
Lake Dallas, TX 75065

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Phieng Jugo  
4866 Charlemagne Ave  
Las Vegas, NV 89130

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ed Viliunas  
7 Via Las Colinas Apt 08  
Rancho Mirage, CA 92270

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet

after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gerald Scheetz  
3206 Vigilante Dr  
Helena, MT 59602

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Randolph Goodness  
19421 W Wortham Rd  
Saucier, MS 39574

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to

a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Bryan Matta  
8716 1st Ave S  
Bloomington, MN 55420

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Pillmore  
1394 W Musket Way  
Chandler, AZ 85286

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Amy Langston  
26814 S Mooney Blvd Spc C79  
Visalia, CA 93277

=====  
From: David Richmond  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:29  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC Members,  
You have a chance to make history, either bad or good. You can protect the average American taxpayer who is paying your wages or you can give in to the big corporations and screw the average American. Your choice and your legacy.  
Thank you in advance for protecting net neutrality for all of us little guys.  
David Richmond

David Richmond

97212

=====  
From: Philip Agee  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:29  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

At age 72 I still believe what I was told and taught from the beginning: Ours is a classless society. People are NOT served or engaged in that social order according to their pocket books.  
So to divide our social network according to who pays, who can pay, who can "buy" bigger, is to disrespect the premise of our country's founding. Granted we have to be vigilant, to

guard  
against the greedy rich and thoughtless bullies who stomp and thrash around among us.  
Fair and even net neutrality should be a given, even among the FCC Board members.

Philip Agee

94114

=====  
From: Amanda Takemoto  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:30  
Subject: Net Neutrality

I am writing to urge you to maintain net neutrality. Our internet providers are already pathetic. Service is sketchy at best, and it frustrates me to no end to know that I could have better, faster internet access if only there was a will from the ISPs to give us what we are already overpaying for. Please don't let the corporations win this battle.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tony Washington  
2015 E 51st St  
Savannah, GA 31404

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Miryam Dominguez  
6929 W St Charles Ave  
Laveen, AZ 85339

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tony Bui  
505 Bluefield Dr  
San Jose, CA 95136

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Henry Buer  
67 Country Meadows Dr  
Asheville, NC 28806

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Edgar Bullock  
506 Saberwood Dr  
Missouri City, TX 77489

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Carter  
690 Mare Island Way Apt 02  
Vallejo, CA 94590

=====  
From: Terrance Sullivan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Terrance Sullivan  
212 View Dr.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Leeann Santorufo  
33 Linden Ln  
Farmingville, NY 11738

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brenda Sue  
3927 E Anaheim St  
Long Beach, CA 90804

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Manuel Garcia  
2003 Millay Dr  
Austin, TX 78752

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable

will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Kensler  
9413 Wandering Way  
Columbia, MD 21045

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Darren Friedman  
195 E Hillcrest Dr Ste 100a  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ryan White  
4114 Rose Garden Dr  
Toledo, OH 43623

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Conrad Garza  
720 Patchway Ln  
Austin, TX 78748

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Colin Hans  
812 W 13th St  
Sterling, IL 61081

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alejandra Salazar  
12074 Highdale St  
Norwalk, CA 90650

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Tyler  
452 S Washington St  
Montpelier, IN 47359

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lisa Langdon  
1006 Spotted Owl Way  
Calhan, CO 80808

=====  
From: Thalianette Sepulveda  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:38  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Thalianette Sepulveda  
2522 Johnson Meadows Pl Apt 204  
Springdale, Arkansas 72762

=====  
From: Alexandra Daigle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:39  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Daigle  
270 Quail Hill Ln  
Arroyo Grande, California 93420

=====  
From: Angel Tomalka  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:39  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Angel Tomalka  
147 Garden St  
Marion, Ohio 43302

=====  
From: Daniel Towey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:39  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Daniel Towey  
126 96th Ln NE  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55434

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jo Dale Gibson  
2707 Refuge Rd  
Pendleton, SC 29670

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Mckinley  
5351 Wingohocking Hts  
Philadelphia, PA 19144

=====  
From: Roberta Prada  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:39  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Net neutrality matters vitally to everyone.

Roberta Prada

10016

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Todd Hettick  
6721 Rustler Ct Nw  
Albuquerque, NM 87120

=====  
From: Deborah White  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Deborah White  
409 S Rosemary Ave  
Andrews, South Carolina 29510

=====  
From: James Abraham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Abraham  
2137 Ayrshire Ct  
Imperial, Missouri 63052

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ann Gregory  
3104 Brookview Dr  
Plano, TX 75074

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lucrecia Roncancio  
10107 Victoria Ridge Ln  
Houston, TX 77075

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and

growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Nu Bao  
3335 San Rivas Dr  
San Jose, CA 95148

=====  
From: Shayla Moore  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Shayla Moore  
8100 Leonora St Apt D5  
Houston, Texas 77061

=====  
From: Darlene Willis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:41  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Darlene Willis  
6237 S Dunton Ave  
Tucson, Arizona 85706

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Layne  
2617 Fairmont Dr  
Burley, ID 83318

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:41

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ewa Drewicz  
263 W Holly Ct  
Round Lake, IL 60073

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:41  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Kenneth Bishop  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1100 S 2000  
Zip: 84015

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it

in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Shaun Summers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:41  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Shaun Summers  
3050 E State Road 236  
Anderson, Indiana 46017

=====  
From: Heather Dailey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Heather Dailey  
310 Arlington Ave Unit 305  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28203

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lucille Gagnon  
1914 Quail Run  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

=====  
From: Loretta Allen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Loretta Allen  
2445 56th St  
Dallas, Texas 75241

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Paul Ray  
16402 N Sagewood Rd  
Nine Mile Fls, WA 99026

=====  
From: Salam Habbaba  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Salam Habbaba  
793 Applewood Dr  
El Cajon, California 92021

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brent Fraser  
8718 Jones Ave Nw  
Seattle, WA 98117

=====  
From: Annette Seger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Annette Seger

7019 S Maplewood Ave  
Chicago, Illinois 60629

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Frank Barthelemy  
6217 E Gelding Dr  
Scottsdale, AZ 85254

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jill Kary  
620 Warner Ave  
Jonesboro, AR 72401

=====  
From: Kedy Chanthavong  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kedy Chanthavong  
535 Jenna Ln  
Garden City, Kansas 67846

=====  
From: Kimberly Curtis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Curtis  
206 Harris St  
Calhoun, Georgia 30701

=====  
From: PJ Bivens  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:43  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please support real net neutrality. Everyone must have a free and equal voice on the internet. Please do not back big telecom. They get enough \$\$\$ for everything else they charge for.

PJ Bivens

60563

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:44  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Charles Aue  
3916 Fountain Village Cir  
High Point, NC 27265

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:44  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lori Tanner  
2600 Alamos Ave  
Clovis, CA 93611

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:45  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gloria Romero  
37105 Tait Ave  
Dade City, FL 33523

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Smith  
1609 Glenwood Dr  
Abilene, TX 79605

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express

creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Roland Braswell  
1926 N Humboldt Blvd # 3s  
Chicago, IL 60647

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cindi Yanovich  
322 Chelmsford Dr  
Aurora, OH 44202

=====  
From: JACK ALLISON  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:47  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content Please take action so that my/our internet will NOT be influenced by MONEY. How much money is ENOUGH for these money hungry, power hungry corporations? YOU CAN STOP IT, hopefully they haven't got into the FCC's pocket yet. DO THE RIGHT THING FOR AMERICANS!!!!

JACK ALLISON

99205

=====  
From: Martha Allen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:47  
Subject: Net Neutrality is Vital to Protect Women's & Girls' Voices Online

Already, major media's structural inequality continually leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our words on our own terms, uncensored and controlled by no one. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named "open Internet" would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech -- my speech -- online.

And the threat is twofold: we use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it. The internet allows us to spread messages farther than our feet could take us, make ideas louder than our voices can shout, and stand together on the same ground though we are miles apart. But our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. In the fight for media justice, we are at risk of the very people we fight against having authority over the tools we use to fight them. Silencing dissenting voices will be easier than ever -- and legal -- under these proposed rules.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women's voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

Martha Allen

Washington, DC 20009

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Phra Rewat Chuesaard  
9560 W Lilac Rd  
Escondido, CA 92026

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Bellino  
23227 Freedom Ave  
Pt Charlotte, FL 33980

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jeremy Bouvier  
243 Mast Rd  
Goffstown, NH 03045

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Lucius  
18108 S Parkview Dr Apt N18  
Houston, TX 77084

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:48  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: juanita dalgo  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 2420s25th st  
Zip: 39564

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Anil Karaca

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anil Karaca

ADDRESS: Turkey

POST CODE: 35200

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540aecf23df1c7000eee1341

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/uAZTcrCESqai2r1VpkAEbw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/uAZTcrCESqai2r1VpkAEbw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Scurto  
1010 Shadow Glen Pl  
Lincoln, CA 95648

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Ferragonio  
3271 Wexford Rd  
Gibsonia, PA 15044

-----  
From: Edvard Kristinn Guðjónsson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Edvard Kristinn Guðjónsson

ADDRESS: Álfhellsvegur 43a

POST CODE: 200 Kópavogur

COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ade51ff30ea000e0a9de7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/XSkI7OUqRku54z77TCy4dw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/XSkI7OUqRku54z77TCy4dw/uh1>> .

=====

From: elena  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: elena  
ADDRESS: idras  
POST CODE: 71304  
COUNTRY: GR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ac0e0f0b424000ed0be56

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/yf6X-4jiTrusUmNxwiapJQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/yf6X-4jiTrusUmNxwiapJQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Grethe O. Flaaten  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Grethe O. Flaaten

ADDRESS: Tonafjellet 35

POST CODE: 5355 Knarrevik, Norway

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ad40cf0b424000ed0be5e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/mk4FZHydTduSrpELOC\\_F\\_A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/mk4FZHydTduSrpELOC_F_A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/mk4FZHydTduSrpELOC\\_F\\_A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/mk4FZHydTduSrpELOC_F_A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: kat halliran  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: kat halliran  
ADDRESS: po box 1902  
POST CODE: 98020  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540abad53df1c7000eee1331

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/j8G6-dVNSJGu1kFV6Wl2Zg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/j8G6-dVNSJGu1kFV6Wl2Zg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Mary Dean

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:49

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mary Dean

ADDRESS: 3327 E. Bellaire Ct

POST CODE: 67218

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ae4baf0b424000ed0be63

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/5kpxpj4rQ3CmHG2P\\_e8k3g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/5kpxpj4rQ3CmHG2P_e8k3g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/5kpxpj4rQ3CmHG2P\\_e8k3g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/5kpxpj4rQ3CmHG2P_e8k3g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Tomáš Procházka  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tomáš Procházka

ADDRESS: Jeronýmova 07

POST CODE: 13000

COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ae535f0b424000db02256

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/mu2\\_ujtvRouoC1cqVOnkLQ/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/mu2_ujtvRouoC1cqVOnkLQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/mu2\\_ujtvRouoC1cqVOnkLQ/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/mu2_ujtvRouoC1cqVOnkLQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: AudrÃ©e Fortin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: AudrÃ©e Fortin  
ADDRESS: 234 rue parÃ©e  
POST CODE: j5W 0K6

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540af58ef0b424000c49e6f8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/i\\_KGkw7fSj-t4XmiOjbpQA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/i_KGkw7fSj-t4XmiOjbpQA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/i\\_KGkw7fSj-t4XmiOjbpQA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/i_KGkw7fSj-t4XmiOjbpQA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:50  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Christopher C Smith  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 576 McAfee Street NW  
Zip: 30313

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Luc Forcier  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luc Forcier

ADDRESS: 4220 Dargis, Trois-Rivières, QC CANADA

POST CODE: G8Y 2B1

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540af65cff30ea000d2f9140

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/-zlxpP9VRXq2EJPyvwJvRw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/-zlxpP9VRXq2EJPyvwJvRw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Maria Leonhardt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maria Leonhardt

ADDRESS: FriedenstraÃ\237e 1e

POST CODE: 06114

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ab99df0b424000ed0be51

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/zR0DPjUnSNWgMQti3REzxcg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/zR0DPjUnSNWgMQti3REzxcg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:50

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Monte Allgood

3002 Trailridge Dr

Norman, OK 73072

=====  
From: Pete Hancock  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pete Hancock

ADDRESS: 10 Durban Road

POST CODE: SE27 9RP

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540afabd3df1c7000c15d21e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/MIV-GzcpSMudsBHm1pV6AA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/MIV-GzcpSMudsBHm1pV6AA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Richard Bost

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:50

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please keep the internet equal. Big corporations do not need more power over what I want to read, send, or write!!

Richard Bost

76023

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:50

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Richard Horzempa

749 Campbell Ave

New Kensington, PA 15068

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Belinda Davis  
440 Grant St  
Middleport, OH 45760

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Carol Motley  
8321 Trabue Rd  
Richmond, VA 23235

=====  
From: Michael Ruiz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:51  
Subject: Net Neutrality

For what it is worth, I am a small business owner, supposedly the back bone of the country. There is nothing positive about allowing the cable companies to flex their positionâ\200\231s a monopoly in supplying internet access. Please do not allow this. The market for data delivery is still being developed. With only a few players, we are seeing the old Railroad tycoons coming back into America. It takes forward thinking and ballsy American leadership like Teddy Roosevelt showed to stand up to the money these companies represent and to not allow them to highjack the last vestige of free thought. Please do not allow them to do this. COMCAST and TIME WARNER CABLE are not evil, but they are exceedingly greedy. There is no NEED for them to do this. Please do not let them manufacture one in your minds.

Very respectfully,

The owners of the London Bridge Pub in Raleigh, NC  
Michael Ruiz  
Darren Bridger

Sent from my iPhone

=====  
From: Garfield H. Deleon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Garfield H. Deleon

ADDRESS: Heleâ\200\231s School Seymour Road Plympton

POST CODE: P17 41T

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b0ee0ff30ea000d2f914d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/KH8Qh01zTn09DPOV0U4mlw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/KH8Qh01zTn09DPOV0U4mlw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marta Bukacek

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:52

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marta Bukacek

ADDRESS: Wimauma, FL

POST CODE: 33598

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b2412f0b424000ed0be88

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/xl5qMawzSpuEwsO23fANTg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/xl5qMawzSpuEwsO23fANTg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Miranda  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Miranda

ADDRESS: 805 E Jackson St

POST CODE: 47305

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b27f5f0b424000ed0be89

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/hqFllly7uRTKrPoxXQ32HeQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/hqFllly7uRTKrPoxXQ32HeQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: strawberry beiser  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: strawberry beiser  
ADDRESS: 1209 hallen drive apt D arcata calufornia  
POST CODE: 95521  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b1ce3f0b424000c49e70a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/cY4m-EthQce3AqgtKBCsTQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/cY4m-EthQce3AqgtKBCsTQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Theresa Rankin

Po Box 178  
Crucible, PA 15325

=====  
From: aaron bennett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: aaron bennett

ADDRESS: new park road Paignton

POST CODE: tq33uu

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540afa89ff30ea000d2f9145

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/cQpTddTvTmucABC8M3aYcA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/cQpTddTvTmucABC8M3aYcA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Cameron Edwards  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cameron Edwards

ADDRESS: 321 Princeton Ave. Bourbonnais Il

POST CODE: 60914

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b175df0b424000ed0be7e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/ianKeVWJRZaVd58PEQ21YQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/ianKeVWJRZaVd58PEQ21YQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Debra Rieck  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Debra Rieck  
ADDRESS: 511 Highfield Ave, Canonsburg, PA  
POST CODE: 15317  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b16dcf0b424000ed0be7d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/456YVn8jQp6lVkO2f-pUxQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/456YVn8jQp6lVkO2f-pUxQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: FÃ©lix

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: FÃ©lix

ADDRESS: Av. Pirineos 07

POST CODE: 28703

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ab790f0b424000c49e6e1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/rj3OI6QwQvC401IHI2F1JA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/rj3OI6QwQvC401IHI2F1JA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Lane  
169 Durkee Rd Ne  
Cleveland, TN 37323

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kathryn Calzaretta  
506 Stone Canyon Cir  
Inverness, IL 60010

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Latonia Hand  
1421 Kinkaid Dr  
Oklahoma City, OK 73119

=====  
From: luc gagnon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: luc gagnon  
ADDRESS: sherbrooke  
POST CODE: j1n4j7  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540af62eff30ea000d2f913f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/NUPNI1DxSEC0H71eq6tjZw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/NUPNI1DxSEC0H71eq6tjZw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Patrick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patrick

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 95945

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540aaf37752255000e76c594

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/sMAwycYARWqdvBZ1MsuVjA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/sMAwycYARWqdvBZ1MsuVjA/uh1>> .

-----  
From: RaÃ³l Royo Fraguas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: RaÃ³l Royo Fraguas  
ADDRESS: calle Mayor 43 2Â°dcha Zaragoza (Spain)  
POST CODE: 50001  
COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ae834b971f0000c7b7a8a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/\\_1ekXbfAQV-MvBM6xt6MXw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/_1ekXbfAQV-MvBM6xt6MXw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/\\_1ekXbfAQV-MvBM6xt6MXw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/_1ekXbfAQV-MvBM6xt6MXw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Santeri  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Santeri  
ADDRESS: mÄöntytie 24 as1  
POST CODE: 67300 kokkola, Keski-pohjanmaa  
COUNTRY: FI  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b344fff30ea000d2f9167

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/ZRUD2z5oS8iCjP4bUup9fQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/ZRUD2z5oS8iCjP4bUup9fQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: William  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 04043

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b1cb1f0b424000c49e709

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/JfyCcbv\\_TAW9uKVsdQGWHw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/JfyCcbv_TAW9uKVsdQGWHw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/JfyCcbv\\_TAW9uKVsdQGWHw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/JfyCcbv_TAW9uKVsdQGWHw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Yves Poirier  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Yves Poirier

ADDRESS: 3052 avenue BeauprÃ©

POST CODE: G9N7S8

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540af6a4f0b424000c49e6fa

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/DDXPD9M-RaapI6hDXrbtQQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/DDXPD9M-RaapI6hDXrbtQQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Andrew Hughes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew Hughes

ADDRESS: 101 meadowview drive

POST CODE: 30233

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b30b5f0b424000ed0be93

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/pgbNESZkTbyn9Rk7mpIj1A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/pgbNESZkTbyn9Rk7mpIj1A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Bonnie Goldin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Goldin  
2000 Hillcrest St Apt 602  
Orlando, Florida 32803

=====

From: Eric  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric

ADDRESS: 16000 Cutters Ct

POST CODE: 33908

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b3f86ff30ea000d2f916d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/kOYwzEPPR92Q8B4k0D5Rvw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/kOYwzEPPR92Q8B4k0D5Rvw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Karen

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:54

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karen

ADDRESS: 1015 E 67th St Inglewood, CA

POST CODE: 90302

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b4b48b971f0000e0d671d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/eBfS1Dy-TVmNS9maTQMv2w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/eBfS1Dy-TVmNS9maTQMv2w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Madlena  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Madlena

ADDRESS: ivan tonchev 04

POST CODE: 5200

COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b2e82f0b42400ed0be91

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/NBe9fBPCTsKjQrDHNCFGPQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/NBe9fBPCTsKjQrDHNCFGPQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: RenÃ©e Lavoie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: RenÃ©e Lavoie  
ADDRESS: 645, av. des Lacasse, Laval, Qc, Canada  
POST CODE: H7K 2Z3  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b0df23df1c7000c15d222

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/TfJ3WvDwSu2ZLwM93yIbyA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/TfJ3WvDwSu2ZLwM93yIbyA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rickie Beck

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:54  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

OMIGOSH! Please maintain net-neutrality! We need this amazing place where anyone in the world with an internet connection can tell us what's happening in their corner of the world. This is the only place everyone is absolutely level in their access. Please keep it---the world needs this freedom.

Rickie Beck

44057

=====  
From: Chris Bergman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Chris Bergman

ADDRESS: 22422 Wetherburn Lane, Katy TX

POST CODE: 77449-2841

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b581aff30ea000e0a9e14

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/WyAApOu4QWSvRQ7HtmjbDA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/WyAApOu4QWSvRQ7HtmjbDA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:55

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dan

ADDRESS: N/A

POST CODE: 10001

COUNTRY: LB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b53ba3df1c7000eee1379

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/ld-iPaXoTE6rT69zXEVHhg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/ld-iPaXoTE6rT69zXEVHhg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ena Kajzer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ena Kajzer  
ADDRESS: Djevdjelijska â\200\23214  
POST CODE: 11000, Belgrade  
COUNTRY: RS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b43a2f0b424000db02261

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Y-vtmSv7QuamYHWq7xkoog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Y-vtmSv7QuamYHWq7xkoog/uh1>> .

=====

From: Lisa Kelso  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa Kelso

ADDRESS: 2472 robin rd Fayetteville at

POST CODE: 72703

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b4513f0b424000ed0bea2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/07-acmlXYSpuC1nIAnZ1vgA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/07-acmlXYSpuC1nIAnZ1vgA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rorie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rorie

ADDRESS: 4741 n Lowell

POST CODE: 60630

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b4fca3df1c7000d0ca847

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/3\\_\\_iFceTSduOqwXOoutgkQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/3__iFceTSduOqwXOoutgkQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/3\\_\\_iFceTSduOqwXOoutgkQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/3__iFceTSduOqwXOoutgkQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Niels Ejlersen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Niels Ejlersen

ADDRESS: Å\230resundsvej 61, 03 tv

POST CODE: 2300

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b455df0b424000ed0bea3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/umy19pFfSJi2qeyDYTWf4Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/umy19pFfSJi2qeyDYTWf4Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sara  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sara

ADDRESS: washington

POST CODE: 98105

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b5b69f0b424000c49e71f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/zFRBBA\\_gQxGG7oSwaWhK5A/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/zFRBBA_gQxGG7oSwaWhK5A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/zFRBBA\\_gQxGG7oSwaWhK5A/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/zFRBBA_gQxGG7oSwaWhK5A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Tim  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:56

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tim

ADDRESS: Flat 01

POST CODE: Sw113gj

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b3b1dff30ea000c163a43

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/pzEYPZfkRUKsUxdquFK5OQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/pzEYPZfkRUKsUxdquFK5OQ/uh1>> .

-----  
From: GENDREAU  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: GENDREAU

ADDRESS: 05 rue d'Angleterre

POST CODE: 67700 Saverne France

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b5d57ff30ea000c163a4c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/BZnE0\\_GGTG6\\_REnLmJ2ASQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/BZnE0_GGTG6_REnLmJ2ASQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/BZnE0\\_GGTG6\\_REnLmJ2ASQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/BZnE0_GGTG6_REnLmJ2ASQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Joann Mcneal  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joann Mcneal  
2645 Berkshire Ln  
Grand Prairie, Texas 75052

=====  
From: Sierra Marcum  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sierra Marcum

ADDRESS: 2164 Wagon Trail Road

POST CODE: 61884

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b68f6f0b424000ed0beb9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/f1QYlw42R0OW11Wn1kv5wA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/f1QYlw42R0OW11Wn1kv5wA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sonia Honeydew

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:57

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

It would be terribly unAmerican to let internet companies control who sees what on the Internet. We're better than that. We NEED fair and equal internet access for all, not to increase the gap between the advantaged and disadvantaged in our society.

Sonia Honeydew

98136

=====  
From: Josh Daniels

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:58

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility donâ\200\231t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years â\200\223 that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Donâ\200\231t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Josh Daniels  
203 Roe St  
Greer, South Carolina 29651

=====  
From: Lisa Mcmeins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lisa Mcmeins  
116 1st St  
Nashua, Minnesota 56565

=====  
From: rosie smitch  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: rosie smitch

ADDRESS: 3075 park ave. merced CA

POST CODE: 95348

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b7e86ff30ea000c163a5b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/nupBBgrWQHqkFXTh0F97yA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/nupBBgrWQHqkFXTh0F97yA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sergio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sergio

ADDRESS: 18 Munroe Street

POST CODE: 02143 MA

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b7504b971f0000dbe7963

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/RUSkECR9T1SydXvNzU8Pkw/o.gif>>  
If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/RUSkECR9T1SydXvNzU8Pkw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Terry Warkentine  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:58  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
Why don't you get off your dead asses & do something for the people of this country  
for a change, instead of getting bought off by big money & big corporations?

Terry Warkentine  
87110

=====  
From: Amanda Fleury  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling  
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and  
urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know  
that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it  
has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express  
creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would  
have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is  
broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and  
growing. Stop trying to govern what doesnâ\200\231t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government  
control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of  
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of  
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Amanda Fleury  
1325 Franklin Ave  
Gretna, Louisiana 70053

=====  
From: Cheryl Hornung  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cheryl Hornung

ADDRESS: Habichtstalgasse 26

POST CODE: 35037

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b780aff30ea000c163a58

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/89xuIESlQniOq2vV7DhInA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/89xuIESlQniOq2vV7DhInA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Erick Ribeiro

Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:59

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erick Ribeiro

ADDRESS: São Josã dos Campos â\200\223 Brazil

POST CODE: 12239-220

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b7079ff30ea000d2f918e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/o8K-MVkqTTGpdZ0NsWpdgQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/o8K-MVkqTTGpdZ0NsWpdgQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jody Wolfe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jody Wolfe  
1712 6th Ave  
Dodge City, Kansas 67801

=====  
From: Mary Greene  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Greene  
3360 Apache St Apt 58  
N Charleston, South Carolina 29405

=====  
From: Shawnta Hosley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Shawnta Hosley  
10026 Iverson Dr  
Orlando, Florida 32832

=====  
From: Tyler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:40:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler

ADDRESS: 110 Hemingway St.

POST CODE: 48611

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b83bd128ff5000d1be00c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/cDWjoMpBTNaOOBu9it-5lQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/cDWjoMpBTNaOOBu9it-5lQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Debra Egan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:00  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not

broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Debra Egan  
1418 Finney Ave  
El Dorado, Kansas 67042

=====  
From: Deidre  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Deidre

ADDRESS: 1616 Bite Me Dr.

POST CODE: 98513

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b8662080930000b71d687

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/3kCMEVWOSGCqGTCCaLiNhA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/3kCMEVWOSGCqGTCCaLiNhA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jacques Dufresne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacques Dufresne

ADDRESS: 27 rue des Frères Colin

POST CODE: 14000

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b8b377a2a3a000cac7e1b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/5urByE7QQti8OxgplJoVlA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/5urByE7QQti8OxgplJoVlA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Rolanda Burroughs

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:00

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech

support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rolanda Burroughs  
15 Red Oak Ct  
Covington, Georgia 30016

=====  
From: Victor Moreno Escudero  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Victor Moreno Escudero

ADDRESS: Calle de la Industria 13\200\22319

POST CODE: 07013

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b6aa8ff30ea000d2f9189

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/nnrYN6NeRIOHwz4f3pdUdA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/nnrYN6NeRIOHwz4f3pdUdA/uh1>> .

=====

From: William  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William  
ADDRESS: Hess  
POST CODE: 62025  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c6a4435d126000cd70570

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/6mH4kATpTAu\\_nJfWawGKnA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/6mH4kATpTAu_nJfWawGKnA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/6mH4kATpTAu\\_nJfWawGKnA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/6mH4kATpTAu_nJfWawGKnA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Kathy Kiernan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:01

Subject: In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America, West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn't decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a "slow lane."

But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices- like their cable bills- and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

Kathy Kiernan

=====  
From: larry keele  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

larry keele

97221

=====  
From: Tara L Maxwell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tara L Maxwell

ADDRESS: 2309 Ravenhill Dr

POST CODE: 27615

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b87e735d126000b65317b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/5pn5xi9cT\\_eItixUPmsaEg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/5pn5xi9cT_eItixUPmsaEg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/5pn5xi9cT\\_eItixUPmsaEg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/5pn5xi9cT_eItixUPmsaEg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Dan Earle

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:02

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I'm a retired IT guy with 45 yrs in the business. I've been using the net since the late 80's.

The internet should be open to all xxxx@xxxx.xxx the same speed. It's a great learning tool for kids, if you don't have full internet access , kids will fall behead.

Shouldn't we be do everything in our power to insure the next generation has to tools to compete in a global market?

Daniel W. Earle

Dan Earle

48188

=====

From: dave

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:02

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: dave

ADDRESS: 15658 lacuna drive

POST CODE: 80132

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540bb0147a2a3a000d673f02

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/wZGXA09qQHK\\_X5BIZp22gA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/wZGXA09qQHK_X5BIZp22gA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/wZGXA09qQHK\\_X5BIZp22gA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/wZGXA09qQHK_X5BIZp22gA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Gustavo GÃ³rriz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gustavo GÃ³rriz

ADDRESS: jose elosegui 231 san sebastian spain

POST CODE: 20015

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b8f55716ac4000c821724

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/G0ZlG7znSFKo5fQIqKJ-rw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/G0ZlG7znSFKo5fQIqKJ-rw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Hank RamÃ-rez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Hank RamÃ-rez  
ADDRESS: San Diego  
POST CODE: 92116  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ba8d3036707000d2dc51d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/mjRW5TTARvi3OglVf19KTA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/mjRW5TTARvi3OglVf19KTA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Angela Miller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Angela Miller

ADDRESS: 1531 n.

POST CODE: 12345

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540bc1779a717f000ef0257f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/NeuHWE1DRWu3M\\_KBfFzOEq/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/NeuHWE1DRWu3M_KBfFzOEq/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/NeuHWE1DRWu3M\\_KBfFzOEq/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/NeuHWE1DRWu3M_KBfFzOEq/uh1)> .

=====

From: JosÃ© Alejo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JosÃ© Alejo  
ADDRESS: RÃ°a de Sar, 76  
POST CODE: 15702  
COUNTRY: ES  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540baec135d126000b653181

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/6FKlREnuSgW5HlZ6lrjxtw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/6FKlREnuSgW5HlZ6lrjxtw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Kim Sheets  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:03

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kim Sheets

ADDRESS: 11930 FM 2767

POST CODE: 75708

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540bc69f128ff5000b0e5600

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/1vUIkLxKSomBC3mVNo-ZLg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/1vUIkLxKSomBC3mVNo-ZLg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:03  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Mary Gumbinger  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 14 Freehold Court  
Zip: 07421

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Albert Lawson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Albert Lawson  
1234 Midway Rd  
Hoxie, Arkansas 72433

=====  
From: Charles Tyler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public

utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Charles Tyler  
2353 S Dollison Ave  
Springfield, Missouri 65807

-----  
From: Elisabeth Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elisabeth Smith  
ADDRESS: 8819 S Rice Ave  
POST CODE: Houston, TX 77096  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ba7ad036707000ee0de95

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/b8lmBBYPSuiAkpuXtOhtLw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/b8lmBBYPSuiAkpuXtOhtLw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joe Woznitza  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe Woznitza  
ADDRESS: 310 Greenwattle St  
POST CODE: 4350  
COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540bd4fb35d126000e7367d5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/TXDnqfLXTYijTldSNtYijA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/TXDnqfLXTYijTldSNtYijA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Nicolai  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicolai

ADDRESS: MorbÃ|rhaven 18 109

POST CODE: 2620

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540bc5da036707000ee0de9f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/p07Yg5cGREyZrf7KZWnWIA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/p07Yg5cGREyZrf7KZWnWIA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Aras Yazgan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aras Yazgan

ADDRESS: Adali Fettah Sokak 03/A

POST CODE: 34345

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c07b2080930000ebdd667

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/WlHCQD2pQZ6PLV1gB5hnDA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/WlHCQD2pQZ6PLV1gB5hnDA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Elizabeth Sheppard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth Sheppard

ADDRESS: 2007 SE Bybee Blvd.

POST CODE: 97202

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540bd182716ac4000e4cc9c1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/29H4UL2wS5O9pmebmzXnNg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/29H4UL2wS5O9pmebmzXnNg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Karlheinz Skelton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Karlheinz Skelton

17 Mill St Apt 02  
Brunswick, Maine 04011

=====  
From: Nancy Geil  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nancy Geil  
128 Gary St  
Gulfport, Mississippi 39503

=====  
From: Párl Á\223 Duibhir  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Párl Á\223 Duibhir

ADDRESS: Dublin, Ireland

POST CODE: Dublin5

COUNTRY: IE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c0868128ff5000d1be09f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/eDXpbC6gQFe05x07i2cR0Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/eDXpbC6gQFe05x07i2cR0Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Susan Fontenot  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Susan Fontenot  
17015 Highway 77  
Rosedale, Louisiana 70772

-----  
From: Alexander Jasman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander Jasman  
ADDRESS: 9500 Cambridge Drive  
POST CODE: 48176  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540bdd769a717f000bee5080

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/6xTZT7lvQV-WnVU6dq8HWg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/6xTZT7lvQV-WnVU6dq8HWg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Christophe Troessaert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christophe Troessaert  
ADDRESS: chaussée Gabrielle Richet 239  
POST CODE: 7866

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c19b235d126000b65318a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/ZbTEx4jkRlu2DegsbY\\_ucg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/ZbTEx4jkRlu2DegsbY_ucg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/ZbTEx4jkRlu2DegsbY\\_ucg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/ZbTEx4jkRlu2DegsbY_ucg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Dino Gerino  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dino Gerino  
2072 Las Vegas Trl  
Navarre, Florida 32566

=====  
From: El  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: El

ADDRESS: Dontneedtoknow

POST CODE: 1187st

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c1a2135d126000cd70566

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/2Ug7gT45RDatzPuE8Tng3A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/2Ug7gT45RDatzPuE8Tng3A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Helen McKnight

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:06

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know

that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Helen McKnight  
304 Willowbend Cir  
Plain City, Ohio 43064

=====  
From: James Tipton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Tipton  
6716 Ridgerock Ln  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37909

=====

From: Kanti Patel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kanti Patel  
117 Troutman Dr  
Bartlett, Illinois 60103

=====  
From: Michael Meyling  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Meyling

ADDRESS: Stoltenstraße 38

POST CODE: 22119

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c2722e3518c000e52eb9c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/fFUxkW6FSzeBykDmnoDjdg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/fFUxkW6FSzeBykDmnoDjdg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Chandra Fussell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chandra Fussell  
PO Box 20011  
Augusta, Georgia 30916

=====  
From: Cheryl Russell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Russell  
5502 Millstream Ln  
Henrico, Virginia 23228

=====  
From: Justin Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Justin Brown  
1339 Quail Ln  
Seguin, Texas 78155

=====  
From: Nestor Fontanilla  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nestor Fontanilla  
9400 Corbin Ave Apt 2066  
Northridge, California 91324

=====  
From: Sue Teel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCCâ\200\231s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sue Teel  
224 Ruoff Dr  
Paducah, Kentucky 42003

=====  
From: Teresa Haight  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know

that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Teresa Haight  
4130 Oakbriar Dr E  
Mobile, Alabama 36619

=====  
From: Antonia Litwak  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:08  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option, because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Antonia Litwak  
16 N Maple St Apt 05  
Florence, Massachusetts 01062

=====  
From: Benet Soley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benet Soley

ADDRESS: C/ Francesc Moragas 25-27, 2<sup>o</sup> 2<sup>a</sup>

POST CODE: 08172

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c263f036707000b889219

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/EgIvMjXsSqWnv7VR2B1FAG/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/EgIvMjXsSqWnv7VR2B1FAG/uh1>> .

=====

From: Brandy Jones  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:08  
 Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brandy Jones  
 1648 Capitol Ave  
 Des Moines, Iowa 50316

=====

From: E Angevare  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:08  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: E Angevare

ADDRESS: JC Van Wijkstraat 17

POST CODE: 1761CN Netherlands

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c1c7a7a2a3a000d673f15

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/nDIoJ23rSR2I0N0IJPRPPQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/nDioJ23rSR2I0N0IJPRPPQ/uh1> .

=====  
From: Keegan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Keegan

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 48706

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540bf89a35d126000e7367dc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/d29SfY5OTCaW4eK-RW62IA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/d29SfY5OTCaW4eK-RW62IA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Patty Minger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:08  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Patty Minger  
570 Georgia Ln  
Brewton, Alabama 36426

=====

From: Sharra Belcher  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sharra Belcher

ADDRESS: 1212 Imperial Dr.

POST CODE: 78155

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540bd7fe128ff5000c9d6578

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/o3P8skNuTYWdLn0bCC1MMQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/o3P8skNuTYWdLn0bCC1MMQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Teresa Keller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:08  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Teresa Keller  
1058 Richter Rd Unit E  
Columbus, Ohio 43223

=====  
From: Bobby Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:09  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has

led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bobby Johnson  
3131 Franklin Ave  
Stockton, California 95204

=====  
From: brian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: brian

ADDRESS: 56 hillside circle

POST CODE: 54937

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540bcc40128ff5000e5df4a1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/1s1VkdHgTIuRaxDAh5rPgg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/1s1VkdHgTIuRaxDAh5rPgg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: jovetle albrethsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jovetle albrethsen

ADDRESS: Å, vrehalmhaugveg 01

POST CODE: 2120 sagstua

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c4372080930000c198edd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/fU5bn2JcQFu5RKOpBx00Cw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/fU5bn2JcQFu5RKOpBx00Cw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jovo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jovo

ADDRESS: Å, vrehalmhaugvegen 01

POST CODE: 2120

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c4307128ff5000e5df4af

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/TlBeeYlUQ4KVewwu2W-FzA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/TlBeeYlUQ4KVewwu2W-FzA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Peggy Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:09  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Peggy Brown  
192 Ray Dean Dishman Rd  
Monticello, Kentucky 42633

=====  
From: Valentina  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Valentina

ADDRESS: 11487 NW 77th St

POST CODE: 33178

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c4f737a2a3a000e3715db

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/bTy7oOUbQrGPFBSg\\_Hi-9Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/bTy7oOUbQrGPFBSg_Hi-9Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/bTy7oOUbQrGPFBSg\\_Hi-9Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/bTy7oOUbQrGPFBSg_Hi-9Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: filip.wikstr@m  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: filip.wikstr@m

ADDRESS: barksj@vgen 97

POST CODE: 94492

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ba40e036707000ee0de93

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/lyk9DvM9RT-68adK-GTMNw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/lyk9DvM9RT-68adK-GTMNw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Rob Reese  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:10  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rob Reese  
130 Lake Breeze Rd  
Corbin, Kentucky 40701

=====

From: Elias D. Molins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elías D. Molins

ADDRESS: Ample, 70

POST CODE: 08225

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ca427080930000c198ee6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/CjXcKBXAQDWI1NX6kSDXgA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/CjXcKBXAQDWI1NX6kSDXgA/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Erlend Ledaal  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erlend Ledaal

ADDRESS: vÅ,1stadveien 20B

POST CODE: 4026

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c7974080930000ebdd676

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/U3LsZaRrTaKJoMxnKLFAPQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/U3LsZaRrTaKJoMxnKLFAPQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jack  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:11  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jack  
 ADDRESS: 32, saint johns drive  
 POST CODE: 07731  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ca22a036707000b889228

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/pqp7pjq5RaaHnObNxEHmAg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/pqp7pjq5RaaHnObNxEHmAg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jessica Kealy

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:11

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jessica Kealy  
580 N Jones St  
Heppner, Oregon 97836

=====  
From: Lucas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lucas

ADDRESS: 1925 W. Dickens

POST CODE: Chicago, IL 60643

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ca0e9e3518c000b737d84

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/4WXYQvRxSuW4JdL-oksQYw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/4WXYQvRxSuW4JdL-oksQYw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tammy Woodring  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:11  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tammy Woodring  
1615 N Vine St  
Grand Island, Nebraska 68801

=====  
From: Adrienne St Claire  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adrienne St Claire

ADDRESS: 7039 Lavendale Avenue

POST CODE: Dallas TX 75230

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c9967036707000d2dc52e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/e75j\\_BJdTMiBiCtzpem-6w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/e75j_BJdTMiBiCtzpem-6w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/e75j\\_BJdTMiBiCtzpem-6w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/e75j_BJdTMiBiCtzpem-6w/uh1)> .

=====

From: anna

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:12

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: anna

ADDRESS: 604 superior st

POST CODE: 54452

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540b9f19716ac4000bd290d0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/HFt5p-S1SJWqPi\\_FnYsTOQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/HFt5p-S1SJWqPi_FnYsTOQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/HFt5p-S1SJWqPi\\_FnYsTOQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/HFt5p-S1SJWqPi_FnYsTOQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Debra Egan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:12

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Debra Egan  
1418 Finney Ave  
El Dorado, Kansas 67042

=====  
From: Linda Fleming  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:12  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Linda Fleming  
67 Fourth St  
Griffin, Georgia 30223

=====  
From: Matthew Bush  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:12  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler, Commissioner Clyburn, Commissioner Rosenworcel, Commissioner Pai, and Commissioner O'Rielly,

Words cannot express the importance of this proceeding. You MUST protect the last level playing field we have--the internet--from being sold to the highest bidder. You have received millions of comments urging you to do the same. If you fail to act accordingly, you will be flagrantly disregarding the democratic process this country

was established to protect.

The Constitution begins with "We the people ...", not "We the wealthy...", or "We the corporations...", or "We the shareholders...".

Please, listen to the voice of the people. We can't get much louder than this.

Matthew Bush

85719

=====  
From: Nicholas Fisher  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicholas Fisher

ADDRESS: 2354 Camden Lake Cir NW

POST CODE: 30101

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c93d0080930000ebdd67b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/Pcw2oWLyTEOSc3fmBSO\\_Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/Pcw2oWLyTEOSc3fmBSO_Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/Pcw2oWLyTEOSc3fmBSO\\_Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/Pcw2oWLyTEOSc3fmBSO_Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Eugene Melvin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eugene Melvin

ADDRESS: cardinal lane

POST CODE: 07860

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ca0789a717f000bee5093

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/qyLgl\\_dEQC-1TNUVtUFRdQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/qyLgl_dEQC-1TNUVtUFRdQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/qyLgl\\_dEQC-1TNUVtUFRdQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/qyLgl_dEQC-1TNUVtUFRdQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Irving Esteban PÃ©rez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Irving Esteban PÃ©rez

ADDRESS: mexico

POST CODE: 76000

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ca530036707000d2dc530

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/jz4U-JcfRIKaH8g9-eNWIw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/jz4U-JcfRIKaH8g9-eNWIw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Josiah Drain  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josiah Drain

ADDRESS: 7221 Pierce Rd.

POST CODE: 72949

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540c9c28716ac400bd290da

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/L0y9SFw7QhOQCyoMQfF6ew/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/L0y9SFw7QhOQCyoMQfF6ew/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Otto  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Otto

ADDRESS: Groningerstraat 100

POST CODE: 3812eg.

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540cc0ce716ac4000d6b3dbe

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/XRHdLJZCQl6rmSkJnPqPVg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/XRHdLJZCQl6rmSkJnPqPVg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Patricia Mills  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Patricia Mills  
PO Box 1344  
Roanoke Rapids, North Carolina 27870

=====

From: Sandra Campos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sandra Campos  
9041 Cedros Ave Apt 20  
Panorama City, California 91402

=====  
From: Berglind A'sk Bergsd'ttir  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Berglind A'sk Bergsd'ttir

ADDRESS: Reykjavik

POST CODE: 107

COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ceb105d8ccf000cc2ef7c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/vcmaw4fIRtawM\\_HE2kQ7AA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/vcmaw4fIRtawM_HE2kQ7AA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/vcmaw4fIRtawM\\_HE2kQ7AA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/vcmaw4fIRtawM_HE2kQ7AA/uh1)> .

=====

From: D Cornick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: D Cornick

ADDRESS: 345 Clinton Avenue

POST CODE: 11238

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540cc02f080930000b71d69b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/J2yVFBt4TU6mry9yGSdcxQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/J2yVFBt4TU6mry9yGSdcxQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Drabs  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Drabs

ADDRESS: Av. de Selliers de Moranville 110

POST CODE: 1082 Brussels, BE

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540cb692e3518c000b737d8a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/Mw\\_xNYhqRQqihtzjsZ95Xw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/Mw_xNYhqRQqihtzjsZ95Xw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/Mw\\_xNYhqRQqihtzjsZ95Xw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/Mw_xNYhqRQqihtzjsZ95Xw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Shawn  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:14  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shawn  
 ADDRESS: 2606 Glasgow dr  
 POST CODE: 76015  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ca823036707000c1a4d7c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/Fox1RvJLQRmnD7bPYdY7xQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Fox1RvJLQRmnD7bPYdY7xQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Bo Bergkvist  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bo Bergkvist

ADDRESS: Cuajimalpa, MÃ©xico D.F.

POST CODE: 05200

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540cd57ee3518c000e52ebb7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/MKjb4bdYROWpZ6a3910GFg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/MKjb4bdYROWpZ6a3910GFg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: JesÃ's Arpal Moya  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JesÃ's Arpal Moya

ADDRESS: St Antoni 08, 02 02 â\200\223 Barcelona

POST CODE: 08001

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540cec6bd1f869000bb959c2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/cZVuuijuQne6rehLVk53IQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/cZVuuijuQne6rehLVk53IQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Karen Bernola  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:15  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Congress:

Please consider the importance of the freedom of speech.

The internet provides a venue of expression that will be compromised with the passage of laws that enable a change to how the internet is accessed by regular people like me - your neighbor and your constituent. I see it as a personal lessening of my freedom of speech and access to the freedoms of others.

I believe it is of utmost importance to be able to research the internet for information about the various topics that are in the news, information about medications given to my family, or any other task without meeting a traffic jam on any given website because it has been decided that I have to wait until the big telecom companies are done and I can do what I need to do to.

Please consider the needs of your constituents. That's what should really matter.

The internet is one of the greatest inventions of this century. Please don't minimize or compromise its effect on our society.

Thank you for making the right decision.

Karen Bernola

20017

=====  
From: Maja Gahr  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maja Gahr

ADDRESS: DroysenstraÃ\237e 17

POST CODE: 10629 Berlin, Germany

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ce1435d8ccf000d86cc06

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/00-do3jI1TlmOcqq15uRL-Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/00-do3jI1TlmOcqq15uRL-Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nishelli Ahmed  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nishelli Ahmed

ADDRESS: 30 Ambassador Drive

POST CODE: 06042

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540cd2629a717f000dcb4638

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/qFX1iCjmSH2Vow74xXi-nA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/qFX1iCjmSH2Vow74xXi-nA/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Austin Watson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Austin Watson

ADDRESS: 6600 brooklynn ct

POST CODE: 76137

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d0f0e028ebf000c3ff54d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/3zZVPmthRA6qQLLP-j8MxA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/3zZVPmthRA6qQLLP-j8MxA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Eden Mierzejewski  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:16  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eden Mierzejewski  
 ADDRESS: 8007 FM 155  
 POST CODE: 78945  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d2fc48dc2000eade215

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/0EsVowsSR3CnrQCdVcjXw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/0EsVowsSR3CnrQCdVcjXw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Mark

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:16

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark

ADDRESS: 216 revere beach Pkwy

POST CODE: 02150

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d0e1668f1f1000b2de08d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/B-zOk27cR3OSZAJBbSkxxg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/B-zOk27cR3OSZAJBbSkxxg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: rafael

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:17

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: rafael

ADDRESS: 5224 briar forest rd

POST CODE: 76244

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d1538c858b0000ccaf47c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/DeY7C9AJRbG4lU3R9dwucw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/DeY7C9AJRbG4lU3R9dwucw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Allison  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Allison

ADDRESS: 9105 SW 50th

POST CODE: 97219

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d3c06c858b0000ccaf486

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/dqDm1heAS12nUz6s563-Nw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/dqDm1heAS12nUz6s563-Nw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Anne Freeman  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:19  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anne Freeman

ADDRESS: Bohon Rd.

POST CODE: 40330

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d417741c5e5000bf250d5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/AWavgUaBSe667fNdSBixrg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/AWavgUaBSe667fNdSBixrg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Bettina Brunner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bettina Brunner

ADDRESS: HerzogstraÃ\237e 43

POST CODE: 80803

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d5231c48dc2000ccbd2a1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/dlwWYLIYQHena1IvQpMEkA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/dlwWYLIYQHena1IvQpMEkA/uh1>> .

=====

From: david ball  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: david ball  
ADDRESS: 358 old stage road  
POST CODE: 12401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d1a8841c5e5000e50be9c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/dK2ZL\\_6IRwynJ0TR3xz-1w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/dK2ZL_6IRwynJ0TR3xz-1w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/dK2ZL\\_6IRwynJ0TR3xz-1w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/dK2ZL_6IRwynJ0TR3xz-1w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Johny Ng  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Johny Ng

ADDRESS: 172 Shelly Louise Dr

POST CODE: 84070

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d46839e78b9000e67a8f3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/ul4PGvIOQI-hhH9ohJY4Jw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/ul4PGvIOQI-hhH9ohJY4Jw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Pati Mitchell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Pati Mitchell

ADDRESS: 307 E. sutton St Fayetteville Ar.

POST CODE: 72701

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d515b9e78b9000e67a8f5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/8iplQoowSvOeEeE-QTgldw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/8iplQoowSvOeEeE-QTgldw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Robert DÃ¶pfner

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:19

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert DÃ¶pfner

ADDRESS: 20 Franklin St., #307

POST CODE: 94102-6085

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d1164028ebf000c3ff54e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/\\_JwUjzwCTViUj9D1OmCVgg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/_JwUjzwCTViUj9D1OmCVgg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/\\_JwUjzwCTViUj9D1OmCVgg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/_JwUjzwCTViUj9D1OmCVgg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Gustav KÃ¥nÃ¥hols  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gustav KÃ¥nÃ¥hols

ADDRESS: Kyrkogatan 44

POST CODE: 79230

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d6a0dd1f869000c81fda0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/AJIRGi5DTC-luFOS\\_JJZQQ/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/AJIRGi5DTC-luFOS_JJZQQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/AJIRGi5DTC-luFOS\\_JJZQQ/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/AJIRGi5DTC-luFOS_JJZQQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Marcin Grychtol

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcin Grychtol

ADDRESS: Poland, Ruda slaska

POST CODE: 41-706

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d66045d8ccf000cc2ef85

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Xps9fWm5T4e9j9F3H2WXQw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Xps9fWm5T4e9j9F3H2WXQw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Michael Pigo-Cronin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:20  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

This is an example of how low capitalism can go:their profits over the rights of the entire American people.  
Government often has it's hands tied to stop evil excesses of capitalism, but here's a place where you must stand up to these corrupt people and defend us. Don't let us down!Michael

Michael Pigo-Cronin

02601

=====  
From: Elena FernÃ;ndez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elena FernÃ;ndez

ADDRESS: Carabanchel

POST CODE: 28019

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d5acdd1f869000df5387d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/kskk7K0LTdWscWc7Ds71hQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/kskk7K0LTdWscWc7Ds71hQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tim Albers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tim Albers  
ADDRESS: Weimarer Strasse 16  
POST CODE: 26133

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d7319d1f869000df53880

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/kTm05shOTUC1DEduTKDUsQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/kTm05shOTUC1DEduTKDUsQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Adrián Garro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adrián Garro

ADDRESS: Calle el Arbolito, Ribera de Belén

POST CODE: 71201

COUNTRY: CR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540cd78b7a2a3a000e3715f0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/thbAyyqkcRZmQRo9EZV4ARA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/thbAyyqkcRZmQRo9EZV4ARA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lukasz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lukasz

ADDRESS: Sucharskiego 10/15

POST CODE: 09-200

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d608f68f1f1000b2de097

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/t92FfhsIT7WYijnHiSm2MA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/t92FfhsIT7WYijnHiSm2MA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Pawel

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:22

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pawel

ADDRESS: Krakow

POST CODE: 30-494

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d70a8028ebf000e01ac3e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/HeMMn2SRS82YF6FH1aAsDQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/HeMMn2SRS82YF6FH1aAsDQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Piotr Wlodarski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Piotr Wlodarski

ADDRESS: Zwirkowskiego

POST CODE: 42-235

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d7fbf5d8ccf000d86cc1a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/N21ObeP7RIKhLpHeeFmPuQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/N21ObeP7RIKhLpHeeFmPuQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Bartlomiej Kostrowski

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bartlomiej Kostrowski

ADDRESS: Szkolna

POST CODE: 64-115

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540da13e68f1f1000b2de09d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/MFMG2GOXQ3GXUwYmp6IYeA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/MFMG2GOXQ3GXUwYmp6IYeA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Epaule  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Epaule

ADDRESS: 190 Avenue ElisÃ©e Reclus

POST CODE: 93380

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d7c8d5d8ccf000cc2ef86

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/3ekms6TpSFCZkeSL7H8GJw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/3ekms6TpSFCZkeSL7H8GJw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Gianluca  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gianluca

ADDRESS: via Corelli 26

POST CODE: 00052 Cerveteri

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540da3f29e78b9000e67a8fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/nGAWEBTpTVCH\\_fhNHgAjtg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/nGAWEBTpTVCH_fhNHgAjtg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/nGAWEBTpTVCH\\_fhNHgAjtg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/nGAWEBTpTVCH_fhNHgAjtg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Maximilian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maximilian

ADDRESS: ReinaldstraÃ\237e 03

POST CODE: 40882

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d8fb29e78b9000dc1ddb8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/Lqwn0RF1SquM\\_jVlZ5DRBw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/Lqwn0RF1SquM_jVlZ5DRBw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/Lqwn0RF1SquM\\_jVlZ5DRBw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/Lqwn0RF1SquM_jVlZ5DRBw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Denis Van Melkebeke  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denis Van Melkebeke

ADDRESS: VinÃ©ve des StrÃ©ats 85 â\200\223 Verlaine

POST CODE: 4537

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d71ecc48dc2000bc8c1ef

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/LAizPIadQgi33amkUqSMwQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/LAizPIadQgi33amkUqSMwQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: John  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John  
ADDRESS: astreet  
POST CODE: 27554  
COUNTRY: RU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d0ea668f1f1000d447bf5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/-vE9A-nCRcuo5f5MVzoGTA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/-vE9A-nCRcuo5f5MVzoGTA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tracy Jirschele  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tracy Jirschele  
ADDRESS: 740 Mississippi River Blvd S #11A  
POST CODE: 55116  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dae20028ebf000da46e23

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/7U1OSPw1Q0y9k7NXE3Y0Vw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/7U1OSPw1Q0y9k7NXE3Y0Vw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Linda Zager

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:25

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I do not want moneyed interests to be able to control MY internet. The 99% want the internet to continue to service our needs.

Do not pass this bill and tilt the balance of power to the 01%.

We are all really angry about the fact that our rights are being taken away in many ways.

Do not pass this bill.

Linda Zager

19031

=====  
From: maurizio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: maurizio  
ADDRESS: belluno  
POST CODE: 57900  
COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---  
REF: 540db41741c5e5000e50beaf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/X16un4J-TG0w\\_3x7R6\\_\\_Qg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/X16un4J-TG0w_3x7R6__Qg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/X16un4J-TG0w\\_3x7R6\\_\\_Qg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/X16un4J-TG0w_3x7R6__Qg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Andrea

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:26

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrea

ADDRESS: via matilde serao 02

POST CODE: 20063

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dc8d841c5e5000e50beb8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/LEzLBn\\_7QlWn3NhT3HIUbA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/LEzLBn_7QlWn3NhT3HIUbA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/LEzLBn\\_7QlWn3NhT3HIUbA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/LEzLBn_7QlWn3NhT3HIUbA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: BRUNO CLAESSENS  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: BRUNO CLAESSENS

ADDRESS: Av Gerfauts 10/35

POST CODE: B â\200\223 1170 Brussels

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d6fdcf858b0000ccaf48b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/D0wO6GalSeuHktuP2Pyx-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/D0wO6GalSeuHktuP2Pyx-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Eric CÃ'tÃ©  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric CÃ'tÃ©  
ADDRESS: 1515 B Avenue BourbonniÃ"re  
POST CODE: H1W 3N3

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540db8e99e78b9000e67a901

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/lBF7uqNGRpaI0voH471tcg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/lBF7uqNGRpaI0voH471tcg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jan Bezdã-cek  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jan Bezdã-cek

ADDRESS: Jana Wericha 06/447

POST CODE: 736 01

COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dc66dc858b0000eb70091

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/6YqhlvASQVyf3ZDly0YKzg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/6YqhlvASQVyf3ZDly0YKzg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jan Demel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Jan Demel  
ADDRESS: Zaulicã- 190  
POST CODE: 76266  
COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dc5bd9e78b9000e67a903

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Tq\\_TS1SPT1y91nsoKWAh8A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Tq_TS1SPT1y91nsoKWAh8A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Tq\\_TS1SPT1y91nsoKWAh8A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Tq_TS1SPT1y91nsoKWAh8A/uh1)> .

=====

From: John

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:26

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: M16 8JZ

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d7032028ebf000c3ff553

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/PgkH4pcQPKN6w6\\_4eTEaw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/PgkH4pcQPKN6w6_4eTEaw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/PgkH4pcQPKN6w6\\_4eTEaw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/PgkH4pcQPKN6w6_4eTEaw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Matthew S Hanlon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew S Hanlon

ADDRESS: 518 west fayette st

POST CODE: 21201

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dc29141c5e5000e50beb4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/1KQeCmf0S7-7a5YcNR8gEg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/1KQeCmf0S7-7a5YcNR8gEg/uh1>> .

=====

From: michael

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: michael

ADDRESS: 31 wenlock

POST CODE: 02356

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540d801d68f1f1000e93d973

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/B-3NMQsdTe6oHcpeG\\_7pHg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/B-3NMQsdTe6oHcpeG_7pHg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/B-3NMQsdTe6oHcpeG\\_7pHg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/B-3NMQsdTe6oHcpeG_7pHg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Cor Sluiter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cor Sluiter

ADDRESS: Boeyerstraat 05

POST CODE: 11111

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dbcb368f1f1000b2de0a1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/wZz-fmnpSief70YEO2RB4Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/wZz-fmnpSief70YEO2RB4Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kevin McNulty  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin McNulty  
ADDRESS: 7441 Oceanline Dr.  
POST CODE: 46214  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540db3e341c5e5000d5bdd17

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/WSjz3OCVQGCzDTlSXrdkqg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/WSjz3OCVQGCzDTlSXrdkqg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marek Spãnyik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marek Spãnyik  
ADDRESS: Duhovã; 1471  
POST CODE: 74221  
COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dd824c48dc200eade22a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/7v8faTTgQPWwgBcapZ-U2w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/7v8faTTgQPWwgBcapZ-U2w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Maximilian RÃ¼diger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maximilian Rüdiger

ADDRESS: Finkenstraße 29

POST CODE: 44869

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dcc8e9e78b9000e67a907

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/F-vdggfucSc63wPPfPSfl-A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/F-vdggfucSc63wPPfPSfl-A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rebeca  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rebeca  
ADDRESS: C/ del molÃ- de bailÃ³n, 02 puerta 09, Valencia (Spain)  
POST CODE: 46160  
COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dc9b1d1f869000df5388e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/tu\\_84kntRwaNQFWXlMcppA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/tu_84kntRwaNQFWXlMcppA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/tu\\_84kntRwaNQFWXlMcppA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/tu_84kntRwaNQFWXlMcppA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Vojtech Pospíšal

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:27

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vojtech Pospíšal

ADDRESS: Cihákova 15

POST CODE: 19000

COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dc95841c5e5000ca03b13

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/pZhqzoiJT\\_CFsblB3gul7g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/pZhqzoiJT_CFsblB3gul7g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/pZhqzoiJT\\_CFsblB3gul7g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/pZhqzoiJT_CFsblB3gul7g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Denisa Offord  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denisa Offord

ADDRESS: 2850 Dunbar ct.

POST CODE: 95521

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540de25bd1f869000df53891

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/wN\\_FnoQ2Sl0-fh9LeE-eSg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/wN_FnoQ2Sl0-fh9LeE-eSg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/wN\\_FnoQ2Sl0-fh9LeE-eSg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/wN_FnoQ2Sl0-fh9LeE-eSg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Andrej Solovej  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrej Solovej

ADDRESS: filaretu 42 -02, Vilnius

POST CODE: 01210

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540de4ae41c5e5000ca03b19

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/ClmeDEq3Tf2nK7tS8ZADMw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/ClmeDEq3Tf2nK7tS8ZADMw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Dennis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dennis

ADDRESS: Via corriera nÂ° 56/A

POST CODE: 46019

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540df272c858b0000eb70098

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/1rOMqZdrRwuFlAkLkJyMng/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/1rOMqZdrRwuFlAkLkJyMng/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Gabriel Ramirez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gabriel Ramirez

ADDRESS: BogotÃ¡, Colombia

POST CODE: 21648

COUNTRY: CO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540de70468f1f1000e93d982

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/QTOVUqmLRPmLF0kQBXRqqA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/QTOVUqmLRPmLF0kQBXRqqA/uh1>> .

=====

From: justin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: justin  
ADDRESS: 110 rue mcgill  
POST CODE: h3a 2k3  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dedf3d1f869000c81fdbd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/txpI3ELPTaWQlLA5q770uw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/txpI3ELPTaWQlLA5q770uw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Matthew  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew

ADDRESS: 57 vicarage road

POST CODE: HP23 4LT

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dee5d41c5e5000ca03b1c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Ot2RqlgBSoGBJvsf4Y1luw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Ot2RqlgBSoGBJvsf4Y1luw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Phil A  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Phil A

ADDRESS: Nope

POST CODE: 20733

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540df12d9e78b9000e67a90e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/X6JzzvRjS92PG5Bljr15aw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/X6JzzvRjS92PG5Bljr15aw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: jessica rentad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

jessica rentad  
741 Park Ave Apt 248  
Orange Park, Florida 32073

=====  
From: Karen Shettters  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Karen Shettters  
2544 Roarks Cove Rd  
Decherd, Tennessee 37324

=====  
From: Rosanne Chasse  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rosanne Chasse  
540 Riverview St  
Madawaska, Maine 04756

=====  
From: Tiago Duarte  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tiago Duarte

ADDRESS: Praceta Josã© Rodrigues Migueis n2 4D

POST CODE: 2855-222

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540df78468f1f1000e93d98c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/H-5v8CC-TxeCqjDe-pGGiQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/H-5v8CC-TxeCqjDe-pGGiQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Bert Wright  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bert Wright  
1597 Carr St  
Deland, Florida 32720

=====  
From: Davette Chamberlain  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Davette Chamberlain  
9438 Doral Pl  
Mechanicsville, Virginia 23116

=====  
From: Joanna Furlong  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joanna Furlong

ADDRESS: 22103 Blackhawk Street

POST CODE: 91311

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540df852028ebf000da46e31

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/kZ3p1GG-R0WOTILJwhQPPA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/kZ3p1GG-R0WOTILJwhQPPA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tom Fitzpatrick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:31

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Tom Fitzpatrick

90048

=====  
From: Wolfgang Wackerbauer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wolfgang Wackerbauer

ADDRESS: Kindlmühlstraße 237e 03

POST CODE: 84137 Vilsbiburg

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540df1c5c48dc2000ccbd2ba

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/1Y006JrWTZ6YRYrZtie7XQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/1Y006JrWTZ6YRYrZtie7XQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ante Sarlija  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ante Sarlija

ADDRESS: Modric, Put Drage 13

POST CODE: 23243

COUNTRY: HR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dfe245d8ccf000ea658b8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/LjRsnfmjR6KYpYzlwFq5w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/LjRsnfmjR6KYpYzlwFq5w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dorothy McElrea  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will,

because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dorothy McElrea  
2929 SE Ocean Blvd Apt 137-07  
Stuart, Florida 34996

=====  
From: Maria Agapie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maria Agapie  
1781 E 17th St Apt A7  
Brooklyn, New York 11229

=====  
From: Sarah Zimmerling  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah Zimmerling

ADDRESS: 3627 SE Francis St.

POST CODE: Portland, OR 97202

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540df325028ebf000e01ac5c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/edZ9VxHNQha4FvbsDJzloA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/edZ9VxHNQha4FvbsDJzloA/uh1>> .

=====

From: sdfasf  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sdfasf  
ADDRESS: sdfasdf  
POST CODE: asdfasdf  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dfc9a68f1f1000b2de0ab

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/Sv7SvblhRx2lxeLh009nmQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Sv7SvblhRx2lxeLh009nmQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: VetÃ¥s

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:32

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: VetÃ¥s

ADDRESS: LindvÃ¥gen 11

POST CODE: 45534

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e01265d8ccf000d86cc2c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/cs8\\_hnVcQViGdXKTX3RYfA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/cs8_hnVcQViGdXKTX3RYfA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/cs8\\_hnVcQViGdXKTX3RYfA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/cs8_hnVcQViGdXKTX3RYfA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: BJ JOHNSON  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: BJ JOHNSON

ADDRESS: 1511 Norman crossing

POST CODE: 30349

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540dfc2a68f1f1000e93d98e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/veSVtM2ARCqZeGOWJeOEaQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/veSVtM2ARCqZeGOWJeOEaQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Bona Hong  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bona Hong

ADDRESS: 4322 w. 103rd st, Lennox CA

POST CODE: 90304

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e06ed68f1f1000e93d995

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/iGeTMYEWTWO4FzWtP\\_WwoQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/iGeTMYEWTWO4FzWtP_WwoQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/iGeTMYEWTWO4FzWtP\\_WwoQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/iGeTMYEWTWO4FzWtP_WwoQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Deanna Shaner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Deanna Shaner  
625 Harold St  
Eugene, Oregon 97402

=====  
From: Dennis Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job

â\200\223 providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service â\200\223 it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dennis Williams  
23750 Highland Valley Rd Apt 718  
Diamond Bar, California 91765

=====  
From: Gerard Gagnon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:33  
Subject: Comment re: WC Docket No. 14-28

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

=====  
From: inga  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: inga

ADDRESS: sarajevo

POST CODE: 71000

COUNTRY: BA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e06d868f1f1000e93d994

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/IV2Ux6TcRhqWE0T48ipZTA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/IV2Ux6TcRhqWE0T48ipZTA/uh1>> .

=====

From: John Christianson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's 2014 crippling

new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

John Christianson  
5441 Unity Ave N  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55429

=====  
From: Paul Yovan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Paul Yovan  
43 Preston Rd  
Brooklyn, Connecticut 06234

=====  
From: Shirley Darnauer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Shirley Darnauer  
3300 Virginia Beach Blvd Ste 100  
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23452

=====  
From: Vincent  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Vincent  
ADDRESS: Bordeaux  
POST CODE: 33300  
COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e0410c858b0000ccaf4a7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/tHRSV9o8Sgm4ZKT6FjWkUw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/tHRSV9o8Sgm4ZKT6FjWkUw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Erica  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erica

ADDRESS: 6240 Onyx Dr S

POST CODE: 76180

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e0f4f028ebf000c3ff55f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/xZ5wrddwTLq8dNjVQtH5gw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/xZ5wrdwdTLq8dNjVQtH5gw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jason Glass  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason Glass

ADDRESS: 4455 East Cherry Hills Drive Chandler, AZ

POST CODE: 85249

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e0c47028ebf000da46e39

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/GFLL4D0HTFO5-pKkLMbeiw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/GFLL4D0HTFO5-pKkLMbeiw/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Sam Atkinson

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:34

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam Atkinson

ADDRESS: 1401 Old Farm Lane

POST CODE: 17403

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e0780d1f869000df53899

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/C5K3WNwiR52Jg5xdnIrUqQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/C5K3WNwiR52Jg5xdnIrUqQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Fredrikke Otazo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fredrikke Otazo  
ADDRESS: BÃ, Bakken 18  
POST CODE: 3242  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e11879e78b9000e67a922

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/2j5vSh30RfOMr0P5nvPaCA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/2j5vSh30RfOMr0P5nvPaCA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: J E  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: J E

ADDRESS: 85251

POST CODE: 85251

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e0f3e028ebf000e01ac69

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/zpL6acRpQBqX79LVvEVYsg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/zpL6acRpQBqX79LVvEVYsg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Joy L Allen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joy L Allen

ADDRESS: 3756 Annette Drive

POST CODE: 70001

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e100141c5e5000e50bed0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/XPiqqkRKR6SoqnNZn9Pw1A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/XPiqqkRKR6SoqnNZn9Pw1A/uh1>> .

=====

From: David Van Horn Jr  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Van Horn Jr

ADDRESS: 87 N Church Rd

POST CODE: 07416

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e171cc48dc2000eade24c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/76rv2etyQVC5hrTNq4nmdg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/76rv2etyQVC5hrTNq4nmdg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jan Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jan Johnson

ADDRESS: 2928 Corte del Becerro

POST CODE: 87505

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e20869e78b9000e67a92b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/5hZXWKREQRGh9j8pF-ttRA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/5hZXWKREQRGh9j8pF-ttRA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jon  
ADDRESS: 52 Conference Center Way Springville, PA  
POST CODE: 18844

COUNTRY: DZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e1338c858b0000bce0e71

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/XSz17J3qT6aP1jCHuQlhAA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/XSz17J3qT6aP1jCHuQlhAA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Kimberley Jutze  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:37  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

As a small business owner, it is crucial to have net neutrality to ensure that my web site downloads at the same speed as my competitors so that there is an equal playing field in the competitive marketplace. It is unwarranted and unfair to transition what should be a public good into a commodity that is controlled by a monopoly if telecom providers. I'm adamantly opposed to paying an additional fee for my site to download faster given my current costs of doing business.

Kimberley Jutze

20007

=====  
From: Kristin Miller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kristin Miller

ADDRESS: 552 Race Street

POST CODE: 17104

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e1eb5d1f869000df538a3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/k5uVB15DQOWKhcyXmX9LIQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/k5uVB15DQOWKhcyXmX9LIQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kathleen Shannahan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kathleen Shannahan

ADDRESS: 06 James court

POST CODE: 12561

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e26889e78b9000e67a932

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Qfyp1VF4Q62W-wiI1UKpFA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Qfyp1VF4Q62W-wiI1UKpFA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Andr   Mion  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andr   Mion

ADDRESS: Brazil

POST CODE: 04536-000

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e298ad1f869000c81fdd8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/uvvt\\_vy8mRxOIFGrwUX8dXA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/uvvt_vy8mRxOIFGrwUX8dXA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/uvvt\\_vy8mRxOIFGrwUX8dXA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/uvvt_vy8mRxOIFGrwUX8dXA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Edith Wagner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Edith Wagner

ADDRESS: PO Box 11438, Milwaukee WI

POST CODE: 53211

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e28d35d8ccf000d86cc44

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/zdNuzMebRWi5rc85Hjjdyw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/zdNuzMebRWi5rc85Hjjdyw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jim

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:39

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jim

ADDRESS: 279 bergen blvd. woodland park, nj

POST CODE: 07424

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e2de2d1f869000c81fdd9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/hIFDe4kaRlSOq5fJMa0diQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/hIFDe4kaRlSOq5fJMa0diQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joseph

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:39

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph

ADDRESS: Navajo pl

POST CODE: 92029

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e2e83c48dc2000ccbd2d1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/HHnsdgFmTFm50Y0tO\\_tUqQ/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/HHnsdgFmTFm50Y0tO_tUqQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/HHnsdgFmTFm50Y0tO\\_tUqQ/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/HHnsdgFmTFm50Y0tO_tUqQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Lisa Prochello  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:39  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Like many Americans, the internet has become a part of my daily life and I need it free and open in order to function. Please prevent the greedy corporations from ruining a free and open internet.

Lisa Prochello

=====  
From: Shaka Campbell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shaka Campbell

ADDRESS: 930 University St

POST CODE: 38107

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e27289e78b9000e67a934

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/Qs7SCjuEQ3-m6o4w8M1s\\_A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/Qs7SCjuEQ3-m6o4w8M1s_A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/Qs7SCjuEQ3-m6o4w8M1s\\_A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/Qs7SCjuEQ3-m6o4w8M1s_A/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:40  
 Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: vincent amorosino  
 Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Address:  
 Zip:

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be "slightly pregnant."

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government

agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Jacob  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacob

ADDRESS: 720 Culpepper Terrace

POST CODE: 33325

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e2eed9e78b9000e67a93c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/04-Lwi9VuQp6q5E2J3b6Hgw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/04-Lwi9VuQp6q5E2J3b6Hgw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Pam Myers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pam Myers

ADDRESS: 716 Golf House Rd W Whitsett, NV

POST CODE: 27377

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e3b615d8ccf000d86cc5c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/nQINUZSpREGCWvvR0ttiXQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/nQINUZSpREGCWvvR0ttiXQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Andr   Gises  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andr   Gises

ADDRESS: Slotsgade 5c 1sal

POST CODE: 4200

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e37b462a0d8000d753e00

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/fgYhwAbQTcahdGcwCu5dPQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/fgYhwAbQTcahdGcwCu5dPQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:47  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: karen lee antolak  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 68201hopelane  
Zip: 43912

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Carrie Hitchcock

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carrie Hitchcock  
50 Stevens St  
East Hartford, Connecticut 06118

=====  
From: dan miller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:47  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

dan miller

46706

=====  
From: Derek Grohs  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Derek Grohs

ADDRESS: 1213 Prospect Road Marshall, MN

POST CODE: 56258

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e4422a259d9000d17c0fb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/qd3Wm2hvrCaHsrjY4-zJ2A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/qd3Wm2hvrCaHsrjY4-zJ2A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Joseph E. Gaucher  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph E. Gaucher

ADDRESS: 142 Brickyard Road

POST CODE: Woodstock CT 06255

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e3f32a259d9000c9378f5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/jlqgzc\\_5ROuNUkCdJG1DYw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/jlqgzc_5ROuNUkCdJG1DYw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/jlqgzc\\_5ROuNUkCdJG1DYw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/jlqgzc_5ROuNUkCdJG1DYw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Katrine MÃ,ller-Lassenen

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:47

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katrine MÃ,ller-Lassenen

ADDRESS: Elsdyrvej 03

POST CODE: 7160

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e504732c5b7000d1dded8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/TGfbb2z-SfW\\_ca6sIbHzBg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/TGfbb2z-SfW_ca6sIbHzBg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/TGfbb2z-SfW\\_ca6sIbHzBg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/TGfbb2z-SfW_ca6sIbHzBg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:47

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Linda Pilon

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 11756 vanport ave

Zip: 91342

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the

FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: MadgePenelopemMorgan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MadgePenelopemMorgan

ADDRESS: 15846 Appoline Apt 02

POST CODE: 48227

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e3e79904ba9000b556130

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/yG-fTr2SQtWCBRxCsTDSHQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/yG-fTr2SQtWCBRxCsTDSHQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Stephanie Schueler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:47  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I like the fact that the Internet is a place where all information is moved from website to user equally, allowing me, the user, to control my "surfing" activity, with no interference from my internet service provider. And, I want it to stay that way!

Stephanie Schueler

46304

=====

From: Trevor Barlow  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Trevor Barlow

ADDRESS: PO Box 1062

POST CODE: 94937

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e3be4a259d9000d17c0f0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/iuEQwus0ROaklFGqQS38Wg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/iuEQwus0ROaklFGqQS38Wg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Annette Wiles  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Annette Wiles

ADDRESS: 1044 Occidental Circle

POST CODE: 92374

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e682c4c9253000d34ac28

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/HXez3Vh6Q2uTaVsczvd3sQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/HXez3Vh6Q2uTaVsczvd3sQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Beverly Barrilleaux  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Beverly Barrilleaux

ADDRESS: 333 Lee Dr. 195

POST CODE: 70809

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e5e754c9253000b361f67

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/L9Gjzg-XQsye6l-oQVWlbg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/L9Gjzg-XQsye6l-oQVWlbg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Björn Eriksson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Björn Eriksson

ADDRESS: Storgatan 129, Solleftea, Sweden

POST CODE: 88140

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e5feb904ba9000b556154

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/JR-0YiN3SOieNK2WCBKwJw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/JR-0YiN3SOieNK2WCBKwJw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Charles Lee Lanehart

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charles Lee Lanehart

ADDRESS: 27324 Balson Forest Lane Spring TX

POST CODE: 77386

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e3f9aa259d9000c9378f6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/qfFDToaOTXyloWfqK8n2aQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/qfFDToaOTXyloWfqK8n2aQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Danielle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Danielle

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 98040

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e6fbc04540f000dfecd95

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/W9ChsxQ6QHOG\\_t8saTjTxw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/W9ChsxQ6QHOG_t8saTjTxw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/W9ChsxQ6QHOG\\_t8saTjTxw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/W9ChsxQ6QHOG_t8saTjTxw/uh1)> .

=====

From: David Bushey Jr  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Bushey Jr  
ADDRESS: 16 Bradford dr, Greenfield ma  
POST CODE: 01301  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e5cd44c9253000d34ac14

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/H9gkclbcTwGYjBJyDW2aTQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/H9gkclbcTwGYjBJyDW2aTQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joshua Lissak

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:48

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joshua Lissak

ADDRESS: 2508 bangert lane

POST CODE: 60564

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e4c2d4c9253000efb1838

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/fvhA9ZCERIQbJQbMNsDgWg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/fvhA9ZCERIQbJQbMNsDgWg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lori Zimmerman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lori Zimmerman

ADDRESS: 1902 Finley

POST CODE: 75062

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e5cfc904ba9000b55614f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/Zw0chWXISpunLfEe1jlAAg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/Zw0chWXISpunLfEe1jlAAg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Luis VÃ¡zquez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luis VÃ¡zquez  
ADDRESS: Yabucoa, Puerto Rico  
POST CODE: 00767  
COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e603c04cc60000e93b673

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/LUhxQfL-Q6KoUq3GCM12GA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/LUhxQfL-Q6KoUq3GCM12GA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Roger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Roger  
ADDRESS: Holiday Fl  
POST CODE: 34691  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e45f54c9253000d34ac07

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/q1A-aGk8TVORL2Jvaz0YQg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/q1A-aGk8TVORL2Jvaz0YQg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Alexa

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:49

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexa

ADDRESS: 13800 Round Top Place

POST CODE: 40299

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e75bf4c9253000b361f8e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/ulfjRAvZQJe89yN7l00Y0w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/ulfjRAvZQJe89yN7l00Y0w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Brandon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brandon

ADDRESS: 482 S 2500 W #01

POST CODE: 84663

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e58ab4c9253000b361f62

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/MZjqRukDQme-YxgNnWEQuw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/MZjqRukDQme-YxgNnWEQuw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Brianna  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brianna  
ADDRESS: 925 Anderson ave  
POST CODE: 97420  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e63ca0f7816000dc80948

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/VTmHKOn0Q5G4nTW7IFvpPQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/VTmHKOn0Q5G4nTW7IFvpPQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Derrick

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:49

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Derrick

ADDRESS: 163 E 2nd S Apt 01

POST CODE: 83440-2259

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e631232c5b7000e9e2ebc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/sD1AvaWNTJCvdkdBLA\\_t5g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/sD1AvaWNTJCvdkdBLA_t5g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/sD1AvaWNTJCvdkdBLA\\_t5g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/sD1AvaWNTJCvdkdBLA_t5g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Josh Fothergill  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josh Fothergill  
ADDRESS: 14 S. Holman way  
POST CODE: 80401  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e6fda62a0d8000c1e5cfb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/CwjaCGDWSHmZewi3tCP-Ew/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/CwjaCGDWSHmZewi3tCP-Ew/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Joshua  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joshua

ADDRESS: 01 Tamarack Lane, Woodbury

POST CODE: 06798

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e73f20f7816000e6e9d8f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/hIKY9y3mSu23E4lxSkpruA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/hIKY9y3mSu23E4lxSkpruA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Marc Brooks  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marc Brooks  
6564 Katherine Rd  
W Palm Bch, Florida 33413

=====  
From: Marcee Hammang  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcee Hammang

ADDRESS: PO BOX 1358

POST CODE: 97045

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e78fe0f7816000e6e9d96

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/ir0nVWs9Qja1vB9Jxh45yw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/ir0nVWs9Qja1vB9Jxh45yw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Maria linzie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maria linzie

ADDRESS: 58 no name lane

POST CODE: 80420

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e710562a0d8000cle5cfe

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/u\\_hF3dcmT\\_6dhqmcUpdXPA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/u_hF3dcmT_6dhqmcUpdXPA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/u\\_hF3dcmT\\_6dhqmcUpdXPA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/u_hF3dcmT_6dhqmcUpdXPA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Tommy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tommy

ADDRESS: 1207 n orange dr

POST CODE: 90038 Los Angeles

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e63c704540f000c570402

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/tEJLfffHRGizVYNYBVwCaQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/tEJLfffHRGizVYNYBVwCaQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Adelaide

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:50

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adelaide

ADDRESS: 3464 Hutchison Rd Apt. 609

POST CODE: H2X2G6

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e7f1062a0d8000c1e5d0d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/YbOZbJXRQWqDk5G\\_qjQkjw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/YbOZbJXRQWqDk5G_qjQkjw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/YbOZbJXRQWqDk5G\\_qjQkjw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/YbOZbJXRQWqDk5G_qjQkjw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Cal  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cal  
ADDRESS: 99 Bennetts Farm Road  
POST CODE: 06877  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e6619a259d9000d17c11e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/7az9KB15QN65HS-2HDUOdQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/7az9KB15QN65HS-2HDUOdQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Laura Rushton

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:50

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC Chairman Wheeler,

As a frequent user of the internet, I am concerned about telecommunications giants forcing smaller web sites to pay for internet speed. I believe net neutrality is important for maintaining open, free and unbiased access to content on the internet. Too much of our media is already dominated by media moguls with increasing power to control what we see and hear. If we value freedom of expression in the U.S., which is our Constitutional right as Americans, we should do whatever we can to maintain an environment where all voices can be heard equally and not on the basis of who can afford to buy more bandwidth.

Please use your leverage in the FCC to protect net neutrality. It is worth saving.

Sincerely,

Laura Rushton  
Retired teacher  
Mansfield, OH

Laura Rushton

44907

=====  
From: Sean  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean

ADDRESS: 02/08 Farne TCE Marion

POST CODE: 5043

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e5afa62a0d8000c1e5cd9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/ZznkKbxfS4-nPH1KP09ztg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/ZznkKbxfS4-nPH1KP09ztg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jen Riter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jen Riter

ADDRESS: 974 westmont court

POST CODE: 95117

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e81d304540f000dfecd98

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/PlfusTugTDii99v4Nnaw3A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/PlfusTugTDii99v4Nnaw3A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jacky AlcinÃ©  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacky AlcinÃ©

ADDRESS: Brooklyn, New York

POST CODE: 11216

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e85d5904ba9000e2fa3a6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/DbOGqJY4SPuTDjhc2ITCBA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/DbOGqJY4SPuTDjhc2ITCBA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jan-Bernd Meyer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jan-Bernd Meyer

ADDRESS: Aachener StraÃ\237e 01

POST CODE: 80804 MÃ¼nchen

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e868d0f7816000e6e9daa

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/esmRVD-1QfO\\_6aySFZf\\_Ew/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/esmRVD-1QfO_6aySFZf_Ew/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/esmRVD-1QfO\\_6aySFZf\\_Ew/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/esmRVD-1QfO_6aySFZf_Ew/uh1)> .

=====

From: justin pierce

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:52

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: justin pierce

ADDRESS: 5321 brody dr

POST CODE: 53705

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e8290a259d9000d17c13c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/kkt\\_niltSfCrsZWWI44lKg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/kkt_niltSfCrsZWWI44lKg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/kkt\\_niltSfCrsZWWI44lKg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/kkt_niltSfCrsZWWI44lKg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Richard Brown

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:52

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard Brown

ADDRESS: 10515 Midvale Ave N

POST CODE: 98133

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e83e732c5b7000e9e2eda

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/1HvMBCLqSmOClJGECTsb3g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/1HvMBCLqSmOClJGECTsb3g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Esko Woudenberg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Esko Woudenberg

ADDRESS: 13553 Nomwaket Rd

POST CODE: 92308

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e871e62a0d8000d753e3c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/PUuktPYkS8izzXz9eX\\_M8g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/PUuktPYkS8izzXz9eX_M8g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/PUuktPYkS8izzXz9eX\\_M8g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/PUuktPYkS8izzXz9eX_M8g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Fabrice DesrÃ©  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fabrice DesrÃ©

ADDRESS: 680 santa rita ave

POST CODE: 94301

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e8547a259d9000c937952

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/3Nw96vEFQ8Sq8qkgokLlrg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/3Nw96vEFQ8Sq8qkgokLlrg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:54

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Judy Mcnamara

147 Washington St Apt 1102

Lynn, MA 01902

=====

From: Mitch

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:54

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mitch

ADDRESS: QLD

POST CODE: 4228

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e872e32c5b7000e9e2ede

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/mSFL6gdER76s5tXoI3kEKA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/mSFL6gdER76s5tXoI3kEKA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Susan McDonough  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Susan McDonough

ADDRESS: 240 Knoll Top Drive

POST CODE: Alexander NC 28701

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e8721bb38df000c609b7d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/l9ztwPlySZihn1FGa\\_9UbA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/l9ztwPlySZihn1FGa_9UbA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/l9ztwPlySZihn1FGa\\_9UbA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/l9ztwPlySZihn1FGa_9UbA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Cayce Black  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cayce Black

ADDRESS: Greenville sc

POST CODE: 29607

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e8dc94c9253000b361fa3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/xYFMhGVDQwabXpYFkjpRrQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/xYFMhGVDQwabXpYFkjpRrQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dmitriy Yukhnyuk

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:55

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dmitriy Yukhnyuk

ADDRESS: 79 Lees Creek Rd

POST CODE: 28806

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e8bd632c5b7000c628c70

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/n13teRUvSl2vCfUUdxLjOA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/n13teRUvSl2vCfUUdxLjOA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jesse Toland  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesse Toland  
ADDRESS: 22 Stableford Place Mechanicville? NY?  
POST CODE: 12118  
COUNTRY: TH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e90a904540f000c570436

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/BLKLCTskQfWmOxuSgPUqqA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/BLKLCTskQfWmOxuSgPUqqA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jorge Galindo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jorge Galindo

ADDRESS: 10331 Brockton Dr

POST CODE: 75217

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e8aec904ba9000d75fafb

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/8hSaUeMJSiODIqYB-xT96g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/8hSaUeMJSiODIqYB-xT96g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Luis Alfaro  
1621 W Spring St  
Long Beach, CA 90810

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:55  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Michele Adams  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 23455 Lynn St  
Zip: 94541

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please donâ\200\231t break the Internet. Donâ\200\231t abandon the â\200\234Hands off the â\200\230Netâ\200\235 approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built todayâ\200\231s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million

people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Toni Shields  
8633 Coralberry Ln  
Indianapolis, IN 46239

=====  
From: Chad Truelove  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chad Truelove  
2249 Knox Highway 15  
Victoria, Illinois 61485

=====  
From: Georgina Iannotta  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Georgina Iannotta  
580 15th St NW  
Naples, Florida 34120

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Harold Owens  
4645 N 75th St  
Milwaukee, WI 53218

=====  
From: Michele James  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michele James  
1523 Gulf Beach Hwy Lot 07  
Pensacola, Florida 32507

=====  
From: Susan K. Harrison  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Susan K. Harrison

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 262, Pine, AZ

POST CODE: 85544

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e979704540f000eefacf6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/8k1A-jMtSMOUjnCL\\_lEDTg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/8k1A-jMtSMOUjnCL_lEDTg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/8k1A-jMtSMOUjnCL\\_lEDTg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/8k1A-jMtSMOUjnCL_lEDTg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: CherieAnn LiCausi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

CherieAnn LiCausi  
PO Box 172  
Tullos, Louisiana 71479

=====  
From: chiquita stanton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

chiquita stanton  
PO Box 324  
Crowder, Mississippi 38622

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:57  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Christopher Hall  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 4420 Jay Bird Circle  
Zip: 28412

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$0.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gerald Smiht  
33822 Longview Rd  
Pearblossom, CA 93553

=====  
From: jeremy polgar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jeremy polgar

ADDRESS: 571 n. el molino ave

POST CODE: 91101

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e9939bb38df000ed147d7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/wPoyR\\_m6Rx66ydyoZqYmAg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/wPoyR_m6Rx66ydyoZqYmAg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/wPoyR\\_m6Rx66ydyoZqYmAg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/wPoyR_m6Rx66ydyoZqYmAg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:57

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Margaret Sivess  
9910 San Lea Dr  
Dallas, TX 75228

=====  
From: Nancy Bace  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nancy Bace  
58 Roanoke Pkwy  
Buffalo, New York 14210

=====  
From: Nicole Cartwright  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cartwright  
7147 Tudor Ln  
Port Richey, Florida 34668

=====  
From: Vançson Thibault  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Vançson Thibault  
ADDRESS: Strasbourg  
POST CODE: 67000  
COUNTRY: FR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e999304540f000eefacf8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/Mx0UThjPSSSVK-n4-8tl2w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Mx0UThjPSSSVK-n4-8tl2w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Birsen KÃ¼Ã§Ã¼ktoy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Birsen KÃ¼Ã§Ã¼ktoy

ADDRESS: istanbul

POST CODE: 341500

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ea1e2bb38df000ed147db

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/HA5-Vaa5QViHY3yf4yb5Dg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/HA5-Vaa5QViHY3yf4yb5Dg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: David Kurt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Kurt

ADDRESS: Kienestrasse 1a

POST CODE: 80933 Muenchen, Germany

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e97d232c5b7000b973071

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/0r2da02-T2-Olr8weyeN6Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/0r2da02-T2-Olr8weyeN6Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Don Latimer  
372 E Girard Rd  
Coldwater, MI 49036

=====

From: JAVIER ALICEA  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

It doesnâ\200\231t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesnâ\200\231t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

JAVIER ALICEA  
400 Banyon Tree Cir Apt 200  
Maitland, Florida 32751

=====  
From: Jennifer Eagan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committeeâ\200\231s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. Thatâ\200\231s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Eagan  
52 Henderson Ave  
Buffalo, New York 14217

=====  
From: Kaci Birchfield  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kaci Birchfield  
190 County Road 286  
Gustine, Texas 76455

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to

do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lori Skinner  
3326 Sunnyside Dr  
Dayton, OH 45432

=====  
From: Mary Ramirez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Ramirez  
3400 Carter Creek Pkwy  
Bryan, Texas 77802

=====  
From: Terry York  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Terry York

ADDRESS: 2810 Seaview Ave

POST CODE: 92625

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ea98cbb38df000d40c7a6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/qQCW8iRLRi6BxBNjE-X8xw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/qQCW8iRLRi6BxBNjE-X8xw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Thomas Magnusson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Magnusson

ADDRESS: Ordrup Jagtvej 48 A

POST CODE: 2920 Charlottenlund Denmark

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ea52f4c9253000efb1859

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/un4cDo0bSqeV06X\\_ppxq7w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/un4cDo0bSqeV06X_ppxq7w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/un4cDo0bSqeV06X\\_ppxq7w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/un4cDo0bSqeV06X_ppxq7w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Tony

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tony

ADDRESS: 29 church drive, Nottingham. England. UK

POST CODE: NG5 6JD

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ea43962a0d8000c1e5d20

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/\\_Iq8rc88R7WodWl\\_RP1nkw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/_Iq8rc88R7WodWl_RP1nkw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/\\_Iq8rc88R7WodWl\\_RP1nkw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/_Iq8rc88R7WodWl_RP1nkw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ziva Schwartz  
11174 W Sunset Blvd  
Los Angeles, CA 90049

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Allen Clark  
6008 Apache Wls Apt 408  
Indianapolis, IN 46224

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and

devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Brudecki  
161 Klas Ave  
Buffalo, NY 14224

=====  
From: Darren Olivier  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Darren Olivier

ADDRESS: 16 Bateleur Street, Rooihuiskraal North ext 01, Centurion

POST CODE: 0157

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ea90ba259d9000c937966

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/1IlfbbXDR7OSDyV-ZTVM6A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/1IlfbbXDR7OSDyV-ZTVM6A/uh1>> .

=====

From: greh fox

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:59

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: greh fox

ADDRESS: 1665 norman st

POST CODE: 11385

COUNTRY: HK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ec0b94c9253000d34ac6a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/sGDrXnCrQWy0BuQyIhkLDA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/sGDrXnCrQWy0BuQyIhkLDA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: heather humphreys  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option, because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we

possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

heather humphreys  
1315 40th St  
Parkersburg, West Virginia 26104

=====  
From: Johnny Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Johnny Brown  
15725 Turner Rd  
Lansing, Michigan 48906

=====  
From: Matthieu Dehaussy

Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthieu Dehaussy

ADDRESS: 51 rue du gÃ©nÃ©ral brunet

POST CODE: 75019, Paris, France

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ebdc9bb38df000b015ce6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/upI-6XnORV6UdeEqUTNQZA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/upI-6XnORV6UdeEqUTNQZA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Nick Augenstein  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nick Augenstein

, 87020

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Anibal Rodriguez  
8972 Sw 27th St  
Miami, FL 33165

=====  
From: RÃ\234YA  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:41:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: RÃ\234YA  
ADDRESS: istanbul  
POST CODE: 34768  
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ea6b432c5b7000c628c79

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/KVREJu4RTnmX-rB9L2lDjg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/KVREJu4RTnmX-rB9L2lDjg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dalia Azizollahoff  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dalia Azizollahoff

ADDRESS: 1528 Valencia Ave Tustin

POST CODE: 92782

COUNTRY: IL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ec2280f7816000dc80980

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/QLZtSvDZTYmiXswIQok5gw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/QLZtSvDZTYmiXswIQok5gw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Dominique Lazard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:00  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dominique Lazard  
9603 Homestead Rd Apt 51A  
Houston, Texas 77016

=====  
From: Elaine Donovan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:00  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please don't cave to corporate pressure and keep the internet free and speedy for all. We have given up enough in the way of freedom from corporate influence.

Elaine Donovan

14466

=====  
From: Jacek Maciejewski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacek Maciejewski

ADDRESS: Zielona G3ra Budziszynska 02/48

POST CODE: 65-945

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ec05ebb38df000d40c7ac

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/12wohVYVQneb3HVQVfjVLg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/12wohVYVQneb3HVQVfjVLg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Lila Yoder  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:00  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lila Yoder  
826 E Thompson St  
Collegeville, Indiana 47978

=====  
From: Natasha Christian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:00  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Natasha Christian  
217 E Fredricks St Apt 04  
Barstow, California 92311

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Theresa Piccinini  
5563 Fire Weed Ct  
Sun Valley, NV 89433

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying

for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Callahan  
632 01/02 Pennsylvania Ave  
Medford, OR 97501

=====  
From: asdsd  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: asdsd

ADDRESS: asd

POST CODE: 33

COUNTRY: NZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ec11b32c5b7000e9e2eed

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/jLf\\_AK6eRaSfNGUYGv3Bew/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/jLf_AK6eRaSfNGUYGv3Bew/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/jLf\\_AK6eRaSfNGUYGv3Bew/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/jLf_AK6eRaSfNGUYGv3Bew/uh1)> .

=====  
From: ashlen rodriguez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:01  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

ashlen rodriguez  
2750 Meadow Brk  
Brownsville, Texas 78526

=====  
From: CornÃ©  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: CornÃ©

ADDRESS: Schubertlaan 41

POST CODE: 4384 LM

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ec57104540f000c57044f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/c0Tczn0DRa21i2-9dB-8oA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/c0Tczn0DRa21i2-9dB-8oA/uh1>> .

=====

From: E  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: E  
ADDRESS: 47 crofts street  
POST CODE: E1 8LU  
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ec3f6904ba9000b5561ac

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/F0sEQh0-RaOpZt7LYvznag/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/F0sEQh0-RaOpZt7LYvznag/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers & just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kyle Everede  
14026 Duval Rd  
Jacksonville, FL 32218

=====  
From: Julie Minton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:01  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Julie Minton  
2552 S Keystone Ave  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46203

=====  
From: Mitka  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mitka

ADDRESS: Calle Sant Francesc, n°4

POST CODE: 08029

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ed43362a0d8000ef0b95c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/rjwhsbMISV-KuXZ9l0X30A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/rjwhsbMISV-KuXZ9l0X30A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: sarah sidor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:01  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

sarah sidor  
711 Livingston Ave NE  
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Soledad Nieto  
905 Adams St  
Clovis, NM 88101

=====  
From: Cameron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cameron  
ADDRESS: 800 Richardson Run Apt D  
POST CODE: 23188  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ed649904ba9000b5561b1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/YbKfIV9VRDWrSYuLHoJFcg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/YbKfIV9VRDWrSYuLHoJFcg/uh1>> .

=====

From: james smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: james smith  
ADDRESS: lincoln  
POST CODE: ln5 7te  
COUNTRY: GB  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ed5a204540f000c570452

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/y0YGVVoWGSZyVaJEWVpOldw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/y0YGVVoWGSZyVaJEWVpOldw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Julie-Ann Whittaker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julie-Ann Whittaker

ADDRESS: 17 mattes place. Meadowbrook

POST CODE: 4131

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ed6ae04540f000eefad0e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/JNnhUjFzQuuaCgLPNTdeXg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/JNnhUjFzQuuaCgLPNTdeXg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sean Tucker

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:02

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

this is such an important tool to research and education that we can not allow large corporations to control it. we need the government to stand with the people and not big business.  
Sean Tucker

Sean Tucker

08530

=====  
From: Stephen Hamilton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephen Hamilton

ADDRESS: 827 Clinch Valley Rd, powder Springs TN

POST CODE: 37848

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ecab20f7816000dc80984

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/shRxNYdFSjGeaHtO7aCkXw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/shRxNYdFSjGeaHtO7aCkXw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Travis Garrison  
7105 Daugherty St  
Austin, TX 78757

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:03  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: juanita dalgo  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 2420s25th st  
Zip: 39564

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:03

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Peterson  
210 Still Rd  
Shaw, MS 38773

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tammie Salke  
140 W Palo Verde Ave  
Coolidge, AZ 85228

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jose Vazquez  
2601 Vaughn Ave  
Deltona, FL 32725

=====  
From: todd dickens  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:03  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Dear Chairman Wheeler;

As one of millions of daily internet users, I think it is important that the FCC continue its important role of regulating the internet as a public utility to help ensure that net neutrality, and the benefits it provides to a democratic society of enabling a level playing field for equal and fair information access to all constituents, can be preserved. Thank you for standing up for open and fair information access via a net neutral internet.

Sincerely,  
Todd Dickens

.  
todd dickens

98117

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
J Duffy Schreiber  
225 Carriage Ln Apt 104  
Canfield, OH 44406

=====  
From: Joe Benson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe Benson

ADDRESS: 1648 Sword Dancer Dr

POST CODE: 23454

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540edde70f7816000dc8098d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/qwLLhNOPSFuJix-GqYGARQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/qwLLhNOPSFuJix-GqYGARQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: justin robertson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: justin robertson

ADDRESS: 555 Law Street

POST CODE: 58493

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540e90294c9253000b361fa6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/FrEAMSIESxKmb-ol71aqxg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/FrEAMSIESxKMb-ol71aqxg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Raül Lage  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Raül Lage

ADDRESS: spain

POST CODE: 36860

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540edea64c9253000b361fbd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/tGCl9yNMTz--SnkHJWSKQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/tGCl9yNMTz--SnkHJWSKQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:04

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Oliver Tawatao  
2613 Tumble Brook Dr  
Las Vegas, NV 89134

=====  
From: benjamin

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:05

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: benjamin

ADDRESS: nygÃ¥rdsvej

POST CODE: 2100

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ede8b0f7816000dc8098e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/ELX5WboDSYOeTrYy1fF-aQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/ELX5WboDSYOeTrYy1fF-aQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
William McMullin  
240 18th Ave S  
Wisc Rapids, WI 54495

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on

Internet providers ã\202-\200\234 just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Hillary Posvar  
523 S Hidalgo Ave  
Alhambra, CA 91801

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ken Johnson  
100 Arch Dr  
Sedona, AZ 86351

=====

From: Luke Donohue  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luke Donohue

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 30033

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540edb8762a0d8000c1e5d30

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/HI1MOPkTSGKQPCmRCdpKeA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/HI1MOPkTSGKQPCmRCdpKeA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Nicolai Kandelsdorff  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicolai Kandelsdorff

ADDRESS: skt anna gade 02.01

POST CODE: 8000 Å\205rhus C

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540edebc04540f000eefad11

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/2V5SDk6rRgmGGVL7kI5fRg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/2V5SDk6rRgmGGVL7kI5fRg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Reid freeman

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:05

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Reid freeman

ADDRESS: 3611 Belcher Dr tampa fl

POST CODE: 33629

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ed97d04540f000bf671b0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/EhP04OfvS3i0ftprcbpQXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/EhP04OfvS3i0ftprcbpQXA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Allen Weaver  
1123 Morgan Cir E  
Orange Park, FL 32073

=====  
From: Carmen Vera  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:06  
Subject: Comment re: WC Docket No. 14-28

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

=====  
From: Jonas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonas

ADDRESS: Guantanamo bay cell 02

POST CODE: They wouldn't tell

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540edf2d32c5b7000e9e2ef7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/wx8dEusRR5WC7Uc7j2iAqQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/wx8dEusRR5WC7Uc7j2iAqQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Magnus Brink  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Magnus Brink

ADDRESS: LandbotorpsallÃ©n 56

POST CODE: 70226

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ee4a40f7816000dc80990

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/I9EF7Wv\\_RvWmC7c7apzZEw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/I9EF7Wv_RvWmC7c7apzZEw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/I9EF7Wv\\_RvWmC7c7apzZEw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/I9EF7Wv_RvWmC7c7apzZEw/uh1)> .

=====

From: sdf  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sdf

ADDRESS: fsfsfsd

POST CODE: fsdfsdf

COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ee4a332c5b7000d1ddf3d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/06suHy1yS10h4nPZCmzs8w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/06suHy1yS10h4nPZCmzs8w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: SteamPixel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: SteamPixel

ADDRESS: WÃ¼rzburg

POST CODE: 97074

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ee26dbb38df000ed147ea

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/Yo0RtSN1TCi0VikEW23azA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/Yo0RtSN1TCi0VikEW23azA/uh1>> .

=====

From: stephanie baselice  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: stephanie baselice

ADDRESS: 915 dairyland road

POST CODE: 27516

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ee4a0a259d9000c93797b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/FUJ0Rcc\\_RbW\\_7nrjKSqWKg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/FUJ0Rcc_RbW_7nrjKSqWKg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/FUJ0Rcc\\_RbW\\_7nrjKSqWKg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/FUJ0Rcc_RbW_7nrjKSqWKg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:07

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Brian Fauser

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 8803 Grafton Rd

Zip: 48166

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the

FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dolly Katona  
1984 Cedaridge Cir  
Superior, CO 80027

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joe Riley  
8575 N Kraft Rd Trlr 03  
Pocatello, ID 83204

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sandy Pemberton  
7295 N Bridle Path

Prescott, AZ 86305

=====  
From: Brenton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brenton  
ADDRESS: 447 West St James Pl  
POST CODE: 60641  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ee2b904540f000eefad14

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/S9Jo8\\_CsSjKfhWzYtZdVHg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/S9Jo8_CsSjKfhWzYtZdVHg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/S9Jo8\\_CsSjKfhWzYtZdVHg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/S9Jo8_CsSjKfhWzYtZdVHg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jerry Miller  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:08  
 Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Big Brothers are not necessarily evil. Protection of the innocent, I can understand. However I cannot understand the concept of corporations being persons or Big Brothers. Corporations are devices created for profits, not for communication and information per se.. Corporations should not have rights that trump those of individuals..

Jerry Miller

90005

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:08  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress

reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jacob Benz  
3705 N Srawan Dr  
La Porte, IN 46350

=====  
From: Michal Trela  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michal Trela

ADDRESS: Kraków

POST CODE: 30-060

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540eda384c9253000d34ac74

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/FwdWWP30R2CQb6wmPdOUVQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/FwdWWP30R2CQb6wmPdOUVQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mikkel Rolsted Kahle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mikkel Rolsted Kahle

ADDRESS: Hedegr<sup>Å</sup>nsen 75

POST CODE: 2605

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540eeaadbb38df000c609b89

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/-cBNyv-LSlusU1ETlg5G2A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/-cBNyv-LSlusU1ETlg5G2A/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:09

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not

need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Narayan Bhatia  
12831 San Fernando Rd Apt 108  
Sylmar, CA 91342

=====  
From: Alexander Mikkelsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander Mikkelsen

ADDRESS: Å\205bjergvej 20

POST CODE: 5464

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ee9ab04540f000eefad17

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/1oqAeVpPSRi7\\_79sb4boEw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/1oqAeVpPSRi7_79sb4boEw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/1oqAeVpPSRi7\\_79sb4boEw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/1oqAeVpPSRi7_79sb4boEw/uh1)> .

=====

From: John Cadogan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:09  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

President Obama promised an unrestricted internet. Rich companies should not be allowed to provide superior access over us plain folks.

We need to preserve the internet to foster free speech and unbiased news. Look what Robert Murdoch did with Fox and the WSJ.

Please make the internet a communications utility and regulated it to provide unfettered access for the little guy. Pay to play will kill the little guy.

John B. Cadogan  
7518 Murillo St  
Springfield VA 22151

xxxx@xxxx.xxx

John Cadogan  
22151

=====

From: Lawrence Berardi

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lawrence Berardi

ADDRESS: 239 N. Marshall Street

POST CODE: 17602

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540eeae4904ba9000b5561bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/odyMLK2eRBakHd8lyLYcoQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/odyMLK2eRBakHd8lyLYcoQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Ivie  
721 01/02 15th St  
Franklin, PA 16323

=====  
From: Alezard Pierre  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alezard Pierre  
ADDRESS: 17 rue charles d'Orléans, France  
POST CODE: 78370  
COUNTRY: FR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ee966904ba9000e2fa3c4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/mVmn1csFQXGmFx\\_r-Ez97g/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/mVmn1csFQXGmFx_r-Ez97g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/mVmn1csFQXGmFx\\_r-Ez97g/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/mVmn1csFQXGmFx_r-Ez97g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Charles  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:10

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charles

ADDRESS: 14 main street

POST CODE: 04032

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ef1584c9253000d34ac7f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/7SoMt1WYQXGU\\_kfp9Y6r\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/7SoMt1WYQXGU_kfp9Y6r_g/o.gif)>  
If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/7SoMt1WYQXGU\\_kfp9Y6r\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/7SoMt1WYQXGU_kfp9Y6r_g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Don Jagielski  
1528 E Westchester Dr  
Chandler, AZ 85249

=====  
From: Marten Peereboom  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marten Peereboom

ADDRESS: BÃ¼rgermeister-Ocker-StraÃe 237e 02

POST CODE: 31655

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ef095bb38df000d40c7c3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/cOfI-TrLQxqsep6VxbTIag/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/cOfI-TrLQxqsep6VxbTIag/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:10

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Neta Robinson  
2117 Martin Luther King Ave E  
Bradenton, FL 34208

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:11  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Renee Locks  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: Chairman/CEO, Berkshire Hathaway,  
3555 Farnham St.,  
Suite 1440,  
Omaha, NE 68131  
Zip: 94941-4051

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be

â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ\200\231t intend.

Yet, as youâ\200\231ve noted, Title II wouldnâ\200\231t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\200\234fast lanes.â\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â\200\234vigilant restraint.â\200\235 But remember Kennardâ\200\231s digital mantra, too: â\200\234First, do no harm.â\200\235 If you conclude that existing laws arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Cheryl Chambers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:11  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

It is important for everyone to be able to have access to information that is just not from big corporations. We were not founded on corporations but for the people and by the people. I am sick of the big money telling me what I can hear and how fast I can hear it. Just because they have the money does not give them the right to buy that and for you to let them. Give the people their chance. Thank you so much. Cheryl Chambers

Cheryl Chambers

84790

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Debbie Camara  
321 New York Ave  
Providence, RI 02905

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Kaiser  
2261 Guffey Rd  
Irwin, PA 15642

=====

From: Kaja Helen Bjerkan Mathiesen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kaja Helen Bjerkan Mathiesen

ADDRESS: TjÅ, llingveien 707

POST CODE: 3280

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ef658904ba9000b5561c8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/CAIgFMCVSF-FA0ZJIOW5eA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/CAIgFMCVSF-FA0ZJIOW5eA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lucas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lucas

ADDRESS: 285 south 100 east

POST CODE: 47394

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ef55604540f000c57045a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/PXVc6pJOSD2gA\\_6BarlIUA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/PXVc6pJOSD2gA_6BarlIUA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/PXVc6pJOSD2gA\\_6BarlIUA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/PXVc6pJOSD2gA_6BarlIUA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Peter Trei

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:11

Subject: Keep the Internet Open!

Dear FCC:

Net neutrality, the principle that all data is treated the same, is the thing which has made the Internet the glory that it is - it has let 'a million flowers bloom', and allowed a burst of creativity and wealth creation unprecedented since the invention of the printing press.

All this is now threatened, by the proposal to end net neutrality.

The term 'Information Superhighway' is overused, but in this area is applicable. A

pay-to-play internet would be like turning every road into a privately owned toll road, with every road-owner determining who could travel, what they could transport, and at what speed (depending on how they play).

Please don't fall for the disingenuous claims of the ISPs and transport services that they won't neglect the small user - no US business today freely gives what they can

charge for.

I'm a software engineer, and have used the Internet almost daily since the ARPANET days in the late 70s. It's a big part of my life, and ending neutrality would make it difficult for me to start a new business, and prejudice my access to information.

Please keep the Internet a level playing field, for sake of American prosperity (and my own!)

(And while you're at it, make ISPs and data transport services common carriers)

sincerely,

Peter G. Trei  
103 Turnpike Road  
Westminster  
MA 01473

xxxx@xxxx.xxx

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cody Edney  
1405 Wilson St  
Fairfield, CA 94533

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Race  
Rr 05 Box 58  
Montrose, PA 18801

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alejandro Neron  
4900 Edgerton Dr Apt 314  
Raleigh, NC 27612

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Troy Hunsinger  
443 Indian Crest Dr  
Harleysville, PA 19438

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its

funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Karen Branch  
1432 W 91st Pl  
Los Angeles, CA 90047

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:14  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Perricone  
2750 Trail Walk  
Prescott, AZ 86301

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:14  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lloyd Morgan  
49 Jaime Ct  
Morris Plains, NJ 07950

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:14  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Paul Deng  
6819 Blenheim Rd Apt D  
Baltimore, MD 21212

---

From: Robert Perron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:14  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Big telecom has too much power today as it is . Net neutrality is the only venue where all users are on an equal footing. I urge the FCC to keep this status with respect to internet access. I support net neutrality.

Robert Perron

01915

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Yerbury  
9416 167th Street Ct E  
Puyallup, WA 98375

From: renee kasinsky  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: renee kasinsky  
ADDRESS: 60 eustis st. cambridge ma 02140  
POST CODE: 02140

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f013da259d9000c937995

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/8hQ1qmQDQdS7AxMIo-3nIw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/8hQ1qmQDQdS7AxMIo-3nIw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Austin James Crawford  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Austin James Crawford

ADDRESS: 1325 W Early Ave

POST CODE: 60660

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f079c62a0d8000c1e5d5c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/uIOn31xcROefgcn-X9Ej\\_A/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/uIOn31xcROefgcn-X9Ej_A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/uIOn31xcROefgcn-X9Ej\\_A/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/uIOn31xcROefgcn-X9Ej_A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Bã;lint Tã³th  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bã;lint Tã³th

ADDRESS: Budapest Dob utca 106

POST CODE: 1073

COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f05f562a0d8000c1e5d59

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/\\_IbkrB\\_jTM24hZ8CZ2j3FA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/_IbkrB_jTM24hZ8CZ2j3FA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/\\_IbkrB\\_jTM24hZ8CZ2j3FA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/_IbkrB_jTM24hZ8CZ2j3FA/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:16

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Justin Cramer

7429 Mcauliffe Ct Apt A  
Fort Campbell, KY 42223

=====  
From: Virginia Bisek  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:16  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

I already pay Comcast for its services -- over \$200 a month for Internet connectivity, TV and landline. That's roughly \$12,000 over the past five years since I started my service.

I don't mind if companies make a buck, but my service is getting slower and slower and I only shudder to think what will happen if Net Neutrality isn't achieved.

Again, sir, I've paid out \$12,000 dollars over the past 05 years so that I can conduct my business. I have no choice. I am a business woman who is self-employed. And self-employed during the recession. This is an obscene amount of money for me. But again, I have no choice.

Shouldn't the Internet work for me, too?

Please help us pass REAL net neutrality. Thank you for your time.

Virginia Bisek

94577

=====  
From: Caitlin Shea  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Caitlin Shea

ADDRESS: Cleveland Heights

POST CODE: 44118

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f0d6aa259d9000c9379a1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/crv7GnV4QuOJ1XEbFJz1ag/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/crv7GnV4QuOJ1XEbFJz1ag/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Deon Cummings  
15911 Kenbrook Dr  
Missouri City, TX 77489

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kimberly Swiss  
1 E Wacker Dr Ste 700  
Chicago, IL 60601

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Larry Taylor  
14807 Colter Way  
Magalia, CA 95954

=====  
From: Daniel Jakobsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Jakobsen

ADDRESS: Falstersvej 12

POST CODE: 2000 Frederiksberg

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f109104540f000bf671c1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/vwFHnpGlQ4amEfT3dwBOxg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/vwFHnpGlQ4amEfT3dwBOxg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: David  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David

ADDRESS: 1360 white oaks blvd

POST CODE: L6H2R7

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f11160f7816000dc809bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/1PoorxyVSsWWlpaujBnj1A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/1PoorxyVSsWWlpaujBnj1A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Gergely NÃ©meth  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gergely NÃ©meth

ADDRESS: Budapest

POST CODE: 1088

COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540efa7d904ba9000d75fb0a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/89PhOLOPQ7aHc0A9dSZa0w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/89PhOLOPQ7aHc0A9dSZa0w/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:18

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Daniel Haug

270 County Road 09

Victor, NY 14564

=====

From: Julian Londoño

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:18

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julian Londoño

ADDRESS: Colombia

POST CODE: 0000057

COUNTRY: CO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540efc9c32c5b7000e9e2f0b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/7d2h77avQIi\\_z-TlKkwbNw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/7d2h77avQIi_z-TlKkwbNw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/7d2h77avQIi\\_z-TlKkwbNw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/7d2h77avQIi_z-TlKkwbNw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Marty Johnson  
5704 E Aire Libre Ave Unit 1100  
Scottsdale, AZ 85254

=====  
From: Oscar BjÃ,ness  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Oscar BjÃ,ness

ADDRESS: Tangenodden 07

POST CODE: 3234

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540efa5e62a0d8000c1e5d49

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/0W8s4c4hTv2GuXR9MLCcVQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/0W8s4c4hTv2GuXR9MLCcVQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Patrick Baumann  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patrick Baumann

ADDRESS: KÃ¼ppersbuschstr. 49

POST CODE: 45883 Gelsenkirchen

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ee6ac62a0d8000d753e58

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/p4uD0RXjTsGV6RGMTktFIw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/p4uD0RXjTsGV6RGMTktFIw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Juan Rodriguez  
661 E 32nd St Lot 83  
Yuma, AZ 85365

=====

From: Barbara  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Barbara  
ADDRESS: Dorfstr. 24  
POST CODE: 24354  
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540efba00f7816000e6e9dd4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/xXdIGXSUQHypSWHzLxrpog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/xXdIGXSUQHypSWHzLxrpog/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Crawford  
9 Hatzic Ct  
Larkspur, CA 94939

=====  
From: James  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James

ADDRESS: 15 row lane

POST CODE: pl5 2ee

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ef7dc0f7816000dc80998

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/SCLtk9x0TH2dpZGny8GqeA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/SCLtk9x0TH2dpZGny8GqeA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jonathan Creighton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathan Creighton  
ADDRESS: 02 Wessex Drive  
POST CODE: HA5 4PY  
COUNTRY: GB  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ef4014c9253000d34ac80

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/czYMu4ZpSkGYIEMyK\\_IRNA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/czYMu4ZpSkGYIEMyK_IRNA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/czYMu4ZpSkGYIEMyK\\_IRNA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/czYMu4ZpSkGYIEMyK_IRNA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Louis Gutierrez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Louis Gutierrez

ADDRESS: 110 Benitez st., BF Homes, Parañaque, Philippines

POST CODE: 1718

COUNTRY: PH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1699bb38df000b015cf0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/8LCZC3z2RpaL\\_PA7mJyDbQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/8LCZC3z2RpaL_PA7mJyDbQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/8LCZC3z2RpaL\\_PA7mJyDbQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/8LCZC3z2RpaL_PA7mJyDbQ/uh1)> .

-----  
From: Luis Felipe Ovando  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luis Felipe Ovando

ADDRESS: ayuntamiento #14 secci3n s3ptima Chilpancingo Guerrero M3xico

POST CODE: 39038

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f04e362a0d8000c1e5d57

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/tevPTyIBTimTHmbHQZmGcw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/tevPTyIBTimTHmbHQZmGcw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nicklas K  lberg Ravn Andersen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicklas K  lberg Ravn Andersen  
ADDRESS: Hovedgaden 36, Skals  
POST CODE: 8832  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f0e5904540f000eefad33

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/y0LAq564TO-RNDzK9KtQ\\_Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/y0LAq564TO-RNDzK9KtQ_Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/y0LAq564TO-RNDzK9KtQ\\_Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/y0LAq564TO-RNDzK9KtQ_Q/uh1)> .

=====

From: Ralph Raimi

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ralph Raimi

ADDRESS: 1570 East Ave, Apt 412

POST CODE: Rochester. NY 14610

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ef67c32c5b7000e9e2f04

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/FFaQrosGRYO9xLtUw1I\\_Mw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/FFaQrosGRYO9xLtUw1I_Mw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/FFaQrosGRYO9xLtUw1I\\_Mw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/FFaQrosGRYO9xLtUw1I_Mw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Robert Warner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Mr. Chairman,

As a person who works from my home, a speedy internet connection is vital to my productivity. Slowing down internet speeds for folks like me reduces productivity and efficiency. Ultimately these productivity losses are going to cost everyone more money. For this reason alone I urge you to protect net neutrality.

I am rightfully suspicious of any plan proposed by telecom and cable providers which would prioritize internet content for those who pay more. These companies need to be reigned in. They do not operate in the public interest and have only greed and shareholder profits to motivate them in this area. All this does is allow compaies like this to extract an extra pound of flesh from already overburdened tax payers for service that is already poor compared to other countries. I urge you not to give in to them.

Sincerely,  
Robert Warner

Robert Warner

01852

=====  
From: Stephen Miller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephen Miller

ADDRESS: 3031 Ewing ave S #150

POST CODE: 55416

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f09d862a0d8000d753e70

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/xTAvxKElQtKpYgATNMFs0g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/xTAvxKElQtKpYgATNMFs0g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Thomas Saaby Nielsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Saaby Nielsen

ADDRESS: HerlufsvÃ|nge 14B

POST CODE: 4700

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f029c4c9253000d34ac8a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/3oq3pIDtQJadyGQ6yhAM0w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/3oq3pIDtQJadyGQ6yhAM0w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Christian Artola De Almeida  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christian Artola De Almeida

ADDRESS: C/ Sangreesa 39 4° Derecha

POST CODE: 31005

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f03e8a259d9000d17c177

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/bsHwwFCJSxarq2JVLREUEQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/bsHwwFCJSxarq2JVLRUEUQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Daniel Vanko  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Vanko  
ADDRESS: Tatabánya  
POST CODE: 2800  
COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540efd1da259d9000d17c16f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/5g2DihVMSZONTHhmAgfemQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/5g2DihVMSZONTHhmAgfemQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Denise Stearman

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denise Stearman

ADDRESS: Alexander, Arkansas

POST CODE: 72002

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ef6fe32c5b7000d1ddf48

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/OYPz\\_osiQuOdf\\_tqLlmhng/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/OYPz_osiQuOdf_tqLlmhng/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/OYPz\\_osiQuOdf\\_tqLlmhng/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/OYPz_osiQuOdf_tqLlmhng/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Deon Horrocks

1997 W Summerfield Ct  
Oakley, CA 94561

=====  
From: Gonçalo Feiteira  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gonçalo Feiteira

ADDRESS: calçada conde de tomar n 48

POST CODE: 1495-202

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540efc2232c5b7000c628c88

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/B2o3SfngROGFZNBpfeXCw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/B2o3SfngROGFZNBpfeXCw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jimmy Sandner

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jimmy Sandner

ADDRESS: GrÃ¸nlykkeparken 22B

POST CODE: 2670

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540efbe162a0d8000cle5d4a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/0tTUP-FcQpisf6YkD37EIQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/0tTUP-FcQpisf6YkD37EIQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Monica  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Monica  
ADDRESS: 100 W 93rd St N  
POST CODE: Valley Center, Ks 67147  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f0a850f7816000e6e9de1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/MZeQllbETtigIOfVVnbwsg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/MZeQllbETtigIOfVVnbwsg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: rasmus thisted jÃ,rgensen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: rasmus thisted jÃ,rgensen

ADDRESS: irisvej 16

POST CODE: 6600

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f13ecbb38df000d40c7cf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/3e2majyHRCuOtbyTGT8P0w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/3e2majyHRCuOtbyTGT8P0w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: steve koski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: steve koski

ADDRESS: 509 park blvd #125

POST CODE: 92101

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540eeb240f7816000dc80993

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/761E\\_DPsSo6WNPbCWKjriA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/761E_DPsSo6WNPbCWKjriA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/761E\\_DPsSo6WNPbCWKjriA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/761E_DPsSo6WNPbCWKjriA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Susan Allen

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

You need to uphold net neutrality. Confidence that the government is for all the people is at an all time low, it is obvious that big business has control of our country. You MUST act

to protect the internet. A fair and open internet is the foundation of our country. It boggles the mind that this could even be a conversaton. For once you must do what is right for this country and not worry about big money and your next lucrative pay check if you

do the bidding of big business. Do you want your grandchildren and great-grandchildren to be ashamed that your sold out and didn't protect the citizens of th United States?

This is a defining moment in the history of our country. Do what's right and make your coming generations proud when they read about you in the history books.

Susan Allen

62025

=====

From: xxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:20

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political

controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tom Oboyle  
24 Meadowview Dr  
Northfield, IL 60093

=====  
From: Jordy Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jordy Williams

ADDRESS: 1619 W. Highland Ave, Chicago

POST CODE: 60660

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1a6c62a0d8000d753e7f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/N3ppB-iwSymYyalYiBtlog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/N3ppB-iwSymYyalYiBtlog/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Leigh Behrman  
5619 45th St Se  
Delano, MN 55328

=====  
From: Leah Matzke  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leah Matzke

ADDRESS: 500 Main Street

POST CODE: Nicollet, MN 56074

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1869904ba9000d75fb13

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/cP9CGsvaQuqqYEl362UsEw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/cP9CGsvaQuqqYEl362UsEw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Maha Adnan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maha Adnan  
4945 Argyle St  
Dearborn, Michigan 48126

=====

From: Marsha Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marsha Williams  
529 S Ironwood Dr  
South Bend, Indiana 46615

=====  
From: maxine falyar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:21  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The only mass form of Free Speech is through the Internet. How much money you can spend should not determine if you can get on the internet. Large Corporations are taking over our Country. What has Happened to WE The People ?  
Please help us (The People) to save Net Neutrality. Respectfully, Maxine Falyar

maxine falyar

22466

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Taraboletti  
231 Ashford Dr  
Douglassville, PA 19518

=====  
From: Tom  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tom

ADDRESS: Rice

POST CODE: 94550

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1a5abb38df000ed1480a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/t8iK1sxbRFagiS4roXsiYw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/t8iK1sxbRFagiS4roXsiYw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Zak  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zak  
ADDRESS: 5207 Midland Dr  
POST CODE: 84067  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f159a04540f000c57046c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/7dImIcFMRdG9b1d7DrSU7Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/7dImIcFMRdG9b1d7DrSU7Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lester Gregory  
2947 Cherokee Ave Sw  
Supply, NC 28462

=====  
From: Darren  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Darren

ADDRESS: India

POST CODE: 201014

COUNTRY: IN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1c76a259d9000d17c192

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/brbQe\\_ArSTuA0NdP1SMZ9w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/brbQe_ArSTuA0NdP1SMZ9w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/brbQe\\_ArSTuA0NdP1SMZ9w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/brbQe_ArSTuA0NdP1SMZ9w/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Dawna  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dawna

ADDRESS: 6659 Maxwellton Road

POST CODE: 98236

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1bda904ba9000e2fa3e3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/yyAP9LV2RlCWzajvwn7PsQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/yyAP9LV2RlCWzajvwn7PsQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: I  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:22

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: I

ADDRESS: Gih

POST CODE: Huh

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1b3c904ba9000b5561f9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Fp0LoTIDQY2Ugy53gwMAXg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Fp0LoTIDQY2Ugy53gwMAXg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Matt Meyer  
955 Valentine Dr  
Dubuque, IA 52003

=====  
From: spencer holgate  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: spencer holgate  
ADDRESS: 9700 42nd st. NE st. michael mn  
POST CODE: 55376  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1c450f7816000dc809d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/yK5QPNnrRymbE3o2n\\_EDjg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/yK5QPNnrRymbE3o2n_EDjg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/yK5QPNnrRymbE3o2n\\_EDjg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/yK5QPNnrRymbE3o2n_EDjg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Blanca Garrido  
206 Hill Rise  
Calverton, NY 11933

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Liam Anderson  
12143 Point Reyes Dr  
Peyton, CO 80831

=====  
From: cheryl herring  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

cheryl herring  
3800 Legend Creek Dr  
Pace, Florida 32571

=====  
From: Dan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dan

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: PO22 6QQ

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1f49a259d9000d17c194

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/Fa6xBN50SE689GKLEIb2Ag/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/Fa6xBN50SE689GKLEIb2Ag/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dayle Radke  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's 2014 crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and

urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dayle Radke  
PO Box 781  
Roscoe, Illinois 61073

=====  
From: Halimah Hakimah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Halimah Hakimah  
ADDRESS: No 01, Lorong Ibu Kota 02,  
POST CODE: 53100, Gombak Kuala Lumpur.  
COUNTRY: MY

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1f0432c5b7000d1ddf78

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/mxaLLIrKTUeo7-uuT8I8LQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/mxaLLIrKTUeo7-uuT8I8LQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: jeffrey Hicks  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jeffrey Hicks

ADDRESS: po box 506

POST CODE: 47357

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1d2762a0d8000ef0b974

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Dspn0t-FR\\_an6VyxaWR39w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Dspn0t-FR_an6VyxaWR39w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Dspn0t-FR\\_an6VyxaWR39w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Dspn0t-FR_an6VyxaWR39w/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Judy Mapp  
501 E 32nd St Apt 1002  
Chicago, IL 60616

=====  
From: Michelle Bacon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michelle Bacon  
6632 US Highway 280  
Claxton, Georgia 30417

=====  
From: Ceal Tyler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ceal Tyler  
7 Silverwood Cir Apt 05  
Annapolis, Maryland 21403

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it.

Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Derek Greil  
2103 W Morning Jewel Pl  
Tucson, AZ 85742

=====  
From: michael griffin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

michael griffin  
8914 Marlayana Ct  
Elk Grove, California 95758

=====  
From: Nurul Asyiqin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nurul Asyiqin

ADDRESS: Taman Melawati

POST CODE: 53100 Kuala Lumpur

COUNTRY: MY

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f1f5e0f7816000dc809d8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/aH3xSCKYQ8GUYd08znZ0mw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/aH3xSCKYQ8GUYd08znZ0mw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tasha Baker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tasha Baker  
9201 Kanis Rd Apt 80  
Little Rock, Arkansas 72205

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use

the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Hearne  
1759 Beloit Ave Apt 17  
Los Angeles, CA 90025

=====  
From: Ana DeElejalde  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:25  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ana DeElejalde  
9500 Lucerne Ave  
Culver City, California 90232

=====  
From: Chris Mccready  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris Mccready

ADDRESS: 11017 park Ave s apt a201

POST CODE: 98444

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f206904540f000c57047f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/rP-tyHQ1QOyUr9vcO8fwkw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/rP-tyHQ1QOyUr9vcO8fwkw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Daniel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel

ADDRESS: 838 w 83rd st

POST CODE: 90044

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f2063bb38df000ed14814

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/EAmH4A50Shi5WHLrGx77mw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/EAmH4A50Shi5WHLrGx77mw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Fidel Torres  
1853 Newton Ave  
San Diego, CA 92113

=====

From: Gary Ross  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:25  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gary Ross  
PO Box 117  
Crawford, Georgia 30630

=====  
From: Håvard Jacobsen Reigstad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Håvard Jacobsen Reigstad

ADDRESS: Damsgårdsveien 26

POST CODE: 5058

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f2325bb38df000ed1481b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/nZ2mO\\_-uTXK8X3pNmngOpEg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/nZ2mO_-uTXK8X3pNmngOpEg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/nZ2mO\\_-uTXK8X3pNmngOpEg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/nZ2mO_-uTXK8X3pNmngOpEg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:25

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of

any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gil Gloria  
403 W 20th Ave  
Kennewick, WA 99337

=====  
From: asdf  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: asdf

ADDRESS: asdf

POST CODE: 95051

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f251da259d9000d17c19c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/9QTXWuOwSl6Rqm7PLq\\_K4A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/9QTXWuOwSl6Rqm7PLq_K4A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/9QTXWuOwSl6Rqm7PLq\\_K4A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/9QTXWuOwSl6Rqm7PLq_K4A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Chris Mccready

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:26

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris Mccready

ADDRESS: 11017 park Ave s apt a201

POST CODE: 98444

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f214362a0d8000b04291c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/OdoIjHaGRgeC9c\\_xphizOg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/OdoIjHaGRgeC9c_xphizOg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/OdoIjHaGRgeC9c\\_xphizOg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/OdoIjHaGRgeC9c_xphizOg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:26

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Watkins  
Rr 01 Box 117  
Fort Gay, WV 25514

=====  
From: louise seawright  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

louise seawright  
358 Ellen St  
Hartwell, Georgia 30643

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:26

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Aaron Banks  
6009 64th Ave N  
Brooklyn Park, MN 55429

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jeryl Arline

2410 Nw 80th St  
Miami, FL 33147

=====  
From: Terry Denike  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Terry Denike  
2308 Victoria Ave  
Bellevue, Nebraska 68005

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use

the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Travis Travis  
3493 Scelzi Ct  
Triangle, VA 22172

=====  
From: Axel Stafford  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Axel Stafford  
27125 Meridian St  
Hemet, California 92544

=====  
From: Christopher Terrell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Christopher Terrell  
40412 W Sanders Way  
Maricopa, Arizona 85138

=====  
From: Debbie Hankins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Debbie Hankins  
1311 Paso De Vaca Dr  
Norman, Oklahoma 73026

=====  
From: Jennifer L Rogers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer L Rogers

ADDRESS: 1401 Red Crest Lane Unit 102

POST CODE: Las Vegas, NV 89144

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f201904540f000c57047e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/VbAPYtz\\_STGw0YyXljQk6g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/VbAPYtz_STGw0YyXljQk6g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/VbAPYtz\\_STGw0YyXljQk6g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/VbAPYtz_STGw0YyXljQk6g/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:27

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Zepeda

901 S Ardmore Ave Apt 403

Los Angeles, CA 90006

=====

From: Matthew Stone

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:27

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew Stone

ADDRESS: 21 Flint Locke rd

POST CODE: 02368

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f29114c9253000b361ff8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/VbPeHWJdR86tBvplSI6HuA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/VbPeHWJdR86tBvplSI6HuA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mike  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Mike

ADDRESS: 777 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way

POST CODE: 92262

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f280ba259d9000eccd222

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/UGl3qNfnTTi3a2PlfpNF6g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/UGl3qNfnTTi3a2PlfpNF6g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: wade keearse  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

wade keearse  
5159 Windy Hill Dr Apt 201  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609

=====  
From: wegr  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: wegr

ADDRESS: werg

POST CODE: wegr

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f25a3a259d9000c9379c5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/eHCIDCVvRoGd7CCctPZhmA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/eHCIDCVvRoGd7CCctPZhmA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Guenther  
3725 Lowell Blvd  
Denver, CO 80211

=====

From: Merav Hoffman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Merav Hoffman  
ADDRESS: 317 1st St.  
POST CODE: 07302  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f2aad4c9253000b361ffc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/BlJYpAMPTGeQAWoXSvLvjpg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/BlJYpAMPTGeQAWoXSvLvjpg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ray  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ray

ADDRESS: 1601 Jarvis Rd

POST CODE: 95065

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f2536a259d9000c9379c4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/mZbv5BbAQdmLj8Dugr6HAQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/mZbv5BbAQdmLj8Dugr6HAQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Roberto Velasco Segura  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Roberto Velasco Segura  
ADDRESS: C pulas #40, Xochimilco, M xico, DF  
POST CODE: 16050  
COUNTRY: MX  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f2834bb38df000c609bb2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/FWy8hbLJS1aqqIc4qlDXqA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/FWy8hbLJS1aqqIc4qlDXqA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sharon Tuffo

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:28

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sharon Tuffo

8722 Ledbury Ct

Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

=====  
From: e  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: e

ADDRESS: e

POST CODE: 04

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f28ab04540f000bf671d1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/wxYDNhDPSAak3DvVthuAbg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/wxYDNhDPSAak3DvVthuAbg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:29

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jose Sanchez

1411 Bentwood Dr

Missouri City, TX 77489

=====  
From: Mario

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:29

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mario

ADDRESS: 1490 N San Marcos Rd

POST CODE: Santa Barbara, CA

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f2c8832c5b7000c628c9f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/yi0dqCLeQ32At7absoEaAg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/yi0dqCLeQ32At7absoEaAg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Finnerty  
1747 Jackpine Canyon Rd  
Billings, MT 59101

=====

From: Stefan Olenfalk  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stefan Olenfalk  
ADDRESS: hÅlgbyvÅgen 13  
POST CODE: 17554  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f2eb50f7816000b58846d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/SJ2Zmt63Qxex4z6Yvsv4Ew/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/SJ2Zmt63Qxex4z6Yvsv4Ew/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:30

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Curt Mossbarger  
1850 E Granada Ct  
Ontario, CA 91764

=====  
From: Erik Eliasson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erik Eliasson

ADDRESS: KÃrrehÃksgatan 98

POST CODE: 61073

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f31054c9253000efb187e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/jMvjHSyXQDOccwPIgVlGxQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/jMvjHSyXQDOccwPIgVlGxQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act

responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Maribel Guzman  
1305 Oakwood Ave  
N Las Vegas, NV 89030

=====  
From: Lucienne Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lucienne Brown  
ADDRESS: 7601 West Mercer Way  
POST CODE: 98040  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f2f810f7816000e6e9e0e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/oz008cfgS7GoiSHp51kEzg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/oz008cfgS7GoiSHp51kEzg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:30

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Steven Jennings

9388 Hubbard Creek Rd

Umpqua, OR 97486

=====  
From: StÃ¶va Dreher  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: StÃ¶va Dreher

ADDRESS: 1108 N. Logan St

POST CODE: 46545

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f2b09bb38df000ed14829

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/scs3JXU7Txm8Pljn6ro\\_bQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/scs3JXU7Txm8Pljn6ro_bQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/scs3JXU7Txm8Pljn6ro\\_bQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/scs3JXU7Txm8Pljn6ro_bQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:31  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Danny Barnett  
 5583 Ellsworth Rd  
 Effingham, KS 66023

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:31  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Barry Jenkins  
7279 Nashville Rd  
Bowling Green, KY 42101

=====  
From: J  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: J  
ADDRESS: somethign something Marie Crest  
POST CODE: 14487  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f30aca259d9000c9379da

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/yAKcx6yqTSmn9ML231IS2g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/yAKcx6yqTSmn9ML231IS2g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Steve Eickhoff  
Po Box 2300  
Aberdeen, WA 98520

=====  
From: Galim Kaudinov  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:32  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Internet must remain open for everyone. Everyone online is equal! No person or a corporation should ever be allowed to pay to deliver their services faster than their competitors.

Please keep the dirty corporate hands off! Don't touch our internet! Internet is for people!

Galim Kaudinov

80130

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Louis Cothran  
259 Forest Trail Dr  
Bandera, TX 78003

=====  
From: Michael Crisci  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Crisci

ADDRESS: 8945 N. Wisner St.

POST CODE: 60714

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f2fb8bb38df000d40c7f1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/-Uh9vIR-SIKrzM01tAqavg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/-Uh9vIR-SIKrzM01tAqavg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rod

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:32

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rod

ADDRESS: Mojacar

POST CODE: 04638

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3233904ba9000b556247

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/xXqjr7SeQb-wQ5JdCRmuJw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/xXqjr7SeQb-wQ5JdCRmuJw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Scott Taylor

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:32

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott Taylor

ADDRESS: 12405 Weddington, Valley Village,

POST CODE: 91607

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3121a259d9000d17c1ba

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/iR3jeQPJTSPZu2GHySo9lQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/iR3jeQPJTSPZu2GHySo9lQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Shana Kohler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shana Kohler  
ADDRESS: 09 Sanchez rd. ribera NM  
POST CODE: 87560  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f312fa259d9000d17c1bb

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/aV7xa5JQRvKl0kKDsOwuSA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/aV7xa5JQRvKl0kKDsOwuSA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jane Hauser  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jane Hauser

ADDRESS: piedmont, Ca.

POST CODE: 94611

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f32820f7816000e6e9e25

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/ycTA88uvRwSsnZ3agfl2Og/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/ycTA88uvRwSsnZ3agfl2Og/uh1>> .

=====

From: jj- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear Ãçâ\202-â\200\234 investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Juan Navarro  
1617 Se 8th Pl  
Cape Coral, FL 33990

=====

From: Kevin Sanchez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin Sanchez

ADDRESS: MÃ©xico City

POST CODE: 55070

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f332804540f000c5704b2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/PYI80EPbSCCOsAX06h4v\\_A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/PYI80EPbSCCOsAX06h4v_A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/PYI80EPbSCCOsAX06h4v\\_A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/PYI80EPbSCCOsAX06h4v_A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Lisa m  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa m

ADDRESS: 430 s. Heathwood dr.

POST CODE: 341465

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f32874c9253000b362019

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/ga0L4Kl9TAumH2hWM56Big/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/ga0L4Kl9TAumH2hWM56Big/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:33

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jose Munoz

10654 96th St

Ozone Park, NY 11417

=====  
From: Paula Edler

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:33

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paula Edler

ADDRESS: 4122 North Braeswood

POST CODE: Houston, TX 77025

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f323a904ba9000d75fb22

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/mtZfPt3PR3iGsc4Ar9M2zQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/mtZfPt3PR3iGsc4Ar9M2zQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Del Pozzo  
36800 Petra Dr  
Palmdale, CA 93550

=====  
From: Anne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Anne  
ADDRESS: Damstraat 01  
POST CODE: 1012 JC

COUNTRY: ID

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f337ca259d9000d17c1db

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/c\\_y8yZ7wSkWdDwrgNQsuaQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/c_y8yZ7wSkWdDwrgNQsuaQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/c\\_y8yZ7wSkWdDwrgNQsuaQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/c_y8yZ7wSkWdDwrgNQsuaQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ramona Bautista  
11620 Leland Ave  
Whittier, CA 90605

=====

From: Jason bukovan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason bukovan

ADDRESS: 36189 w147th eveland

POST CODE: 44111

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f333d904ba9000d75fb27

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/rXhxM3UhQCaZGSzv5jC2Uw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/rXhxM3UhQCaZGSzv5jC2Uw/uh1>> .

=====

From: jayne pitchford  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jayne pitchford

ADDRESS: 1144 12 205

POST CODE: santa monica, ca 90403

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f32a962a0d8000b042929

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/mYH\\_mjSrTS2z3kmaIiWD3g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/mYH_mjSrTS2z3kmaIiWD3g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/mYH\\_mjSrTS2z3kmaIiWD3g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/mYH_mjSrTS2z3kmaIiWD3g/uh1)> .

-----  
From: Lars GiÃ|ver  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lars GiÃ|ver

ADDRESS: Fossveien 14

POST CODE: 2609 Lillehammer

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f338c4c9253000d34acfd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/8DOaf2knQ9iSI3Jrk3Wjkg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/8DOaf2knQ9iSI3Jrk3Wjkg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:34  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 David Griffin  
 1985 Webster Ave Apt 327  
 Bronx, NY 10457

=====

From: VÃ-ctor Moreno Alhambra  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:34

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: VÃ-ctor Moreno Alhambra

ADDRESS: 30 Dawson House

POST CODE: 08

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f33430f7816000c6aba67

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/WYLl5XLmR\\_KluLPDlyVbZA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/WYLl5XLmR_KluLPDlyVbZA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/WYLl5XLmR\\_KluLPDlyVbZA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/WYLl5XLmR_KluLPDlyVbZA/uh1)> .

-----  
From: Adrian Marcelo Morales  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adrian Marcelo Morales

ADDRESS: MisiÃ³n de santo tomas #34

POST CODE: 66240

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3391904ba9000b556253

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/p8mYSUCpQDaVxLhK-hcnhg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/p8mYSUCpQDaVxLhK-hcnhg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Angela Fech  
3735 E Plankinton Ave  
Cudahy, WI 53110

=====

From: Kit  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kit

ADDRESS: 218 Liverpool Road, Longton

POST CODE: PR4 5YB

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f33be32c5b7000e9e2f6c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/77t3H23fQDiqciLoZIIbjQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/77t3H23fQDiqciLoZIIbjQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Paul J Lori  
57 Moran St  
N Attleboro, MA 02760

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to

any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Al Martin  
25 Haypress Rd  
Cranbury, NJ 08512

=====  
From: Deborah Stone  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Deborah Stone

ADDRESS: PO box 16525

POST CODE: San Diego ca 92176

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f33cb32c5b7000c628cb2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/j2fztHnkR86P41jZLnM03Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/j2fztHnkR86P41jZLnM03Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:36

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Iommarini  
209 King St  
Staten Island, NY 10308

=====  
From: Greg Hellings  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Greg Hellings

ADDRESS: 35 Vista Circle Dr.

POST CODE: 91024

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f34cf04540f000eefad89

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/pUt7UUdWQ1WWkbVRLAVRVw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/pUt7UUdWQ1WWkbVRLAVRVw/uh1>> .

=====

From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john  
ADDRESS: 47 Grant St  
POST CODE: 02145  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f35b0a259d9000c937a05

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/iapnd06hTxy4eBvh4ZBZEg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/iapnd06hTxy4eBvh4ZBZEg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Katie Henry  
4665 Corinth Rd  
Moncure, NC 27559

=====  
From: Margaret Hull  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As a disabled person, I have come to rely on the internet for up-to-date information on my condition, to find support groups, to research medications, to find an informed physician and much more. Please don't further marginalize the disabled further by creating "slow and fast lanes" for internet access. We simply won't be able to pay for the "high speed lanes."

The internet has come to be a utility in the U.S. As an individual I use the internet to do banking, research big and small products, pay bills, retrieve data to help me do taxes, look up "how to" instructional videos, and make purchases. I am not involved in social media; for me, the Internet is about business: my personal business, and business I conduct as a consumer in this society.

The FCC has the authority to regulate the internet as a utility. To me, this would simply be a recognition of the reality we live in.

Please save net neutrality.

Margaret Hull

97213

=====  
From: Yvind Osdal Runde  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Yvind Osdal Runde

ADDRESS: Nonnegata 06

POST CODE: 7014

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f34310f7816000dc80a18

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/N39cGp77R0G2z6ReFCbiXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/N39cGp77R0G2z6ReFCbiXA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:37

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Carol B. Owens

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address:  
Zip:

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Doug Schaper  
12215 Chapman Ave  
Garden Grove, CA 92840

=====  
From: Matthew Huecker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Matthew Huecker  
ADDRESS: 17221 Greenleaf Lane  
POST CODE: Huntington Beach, 92649  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f35de0f7816000dc80a2b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/tQJEHh6fQSCISIFN\\_I\\_CVA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/tQJEHh6fQSCISIFN_I_CVA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/tQJEHh6fQSCISIFN\\_I\\_CVA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/tQJEHh6fQSCISIFN_I_CVA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Ciro

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:38

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ciro

ADDRESS: Oluff nilssons vÃg 16

POST CODE: 43336

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3581bb38df000ed14875

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/EKgZoItJTyuGmo6ChPUFmA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/EKgZoItJTyuGmo6ChPUFmA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Derek Beavers  
126 Clyborn St  
Dowagiac, MI 49047

=====  
From: Eversley Richard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:38  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Eversley Richard

80214

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic

and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ade Tella  
56 Princess Dr  
New Brunswick, NJ 08902

=====  
From: Sean Haezebrouck  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean Haezebrouck

ADDRESS: 8634 Piney Creek Bend

POST CODE: 78745

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f35e004540f000c5704d1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/6o7GTpy5QDeTv8ynEWSuPQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/6o7GTpy5QDeTv8ynEWSuPQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:38

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

David Starks

8866 Goose Landing Cir

Columbia, MD 21045

=====  
From: Ben Webster  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Ben Webster

ADDRESS: 16 East New Lenox Rd. Lenox Ma

POST CODE: 01240

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f33e332c5b7000e9e2f70

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/51cQl6UyS2msMEzni8MzaQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/51cQl6UyS2msMEzni8MzaQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Huh  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:39  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Huh  
 ADDRESS: JJH  
 POST CODE: 789  
 COUNTRY: SG

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f36844c9253000efb1895

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/C\\_Ly4pmJRNWcmdLebK-ngA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/C_Ly4pmJRNWcmdLebK-ngA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/C\\_Ly4pmJRNWcmdLebK-ngA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/C_Ly4pmJRNWcmdLebK-ngA/uh1)> .

=====

From: ina bailey

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:39

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ina bailey

ADDRESS: bakkevn 16

POST CODE: 3121 nÃ,tterÃ,y

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f35f34c9253000d34ad1a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/CMpyAJuJTOGJDsJiy7pdFQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/CMpyAJuJTOGJDsJiy7pdFQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jason McAlister  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason McAlister

ADDRESS: 2364 Gibsonville Ossipee rd

POST CODE: 27244

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3664a259d9000d17c1fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/IqxLRtGjQ\\_imhqVsRhCzTg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/IqxLRtGjQ_imhqVsRhCzTg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/IqxLRtGjQ\\_imhqVsRhCzTg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/IqxLRtGjQ_imhqVsRhCzTg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: ken  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ken

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 29205

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f36d132c5b7000e9e2f8f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/3ux-7CSJQzq5Emy607RnuQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/3ux-7CSJQzq5Emy607RnuQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:39  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Theodore Sears  
 1804 Ne Kathryn St  
 Hillsboro, OR 97124

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:39  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Yolanda Turner  
2047 W Adams St Apt 104  
Chicago, IL 60612

=====  
From: zenia latoff  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: zenia latoff  
ADDRESS: houston, tx  
POST CODE: 77059  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f36c3904ba9000b556272

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/EGOSjVeFSfigaKZj\\_HYcog/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/EGOSjVeFSfigaKZj_HYcog/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/EGOSjVeFSfigaKZj\\_HYcog/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/EGOSjVeFSfigaKZj_HYcog/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Don Eichhorn  
5012 Westside Dr  
San Ramon, CA 94583

=====  
From: Glenn & Margaret Eklund  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:40  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Glenn & Margaret Eklund

98277

=====  
From: James Forsythe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James Forsythe

ADDRESS: 2416 Sarah Street #106

POST CODE: 15203

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f382c904ba9000b556283

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/XkY\\_\\_-uJSmikIr7HNJR\\_Yw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/XkY__-uJSmikIr7HNJR_Yw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/XkY\\_\\_-uJSmikIr7HNJR\\_Yw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/XkY__-uJSmikIr7HNJR_Yw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Joakim HÅlgberg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joakim HÅlgberg

ADDRESS: Vallgatan 03

POST CODE: 68620

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f36f5bb38df000ed14885

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/HmXfwZQ1QK6fxYCpW6OaEQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/HmXfwZQ1QK6fxYCpW6OaEQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: John Elliott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Elliott

ADDRESS: 217 blue rock rd

POST CODE: 94423

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f37c3bb38df000ed1488c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/gxuPY\\_68RWGe5Sta9pXXiA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/gxuPY_68RWGe5Sta9pXXiA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/gxuPY\\_68RWGe5Sta9pXXiA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/gxuPY_68RWGe5Sta9pXXiA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Miller  
306 S 13th St  
Chesterton, IN 46304

=====  
From: Alberto  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alberto  
ADDRESS: 2727 N. Monticello Ave.  
POST CODE: Chicago, IL 60647  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f386462a0d8000c1e5dd5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/p\\_aH0lyzTWuST\\_x7en8Rbw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/p_aH0lyzTWuST_x7en8Rbw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/p\\_aH0lyzTWuST\\_x7en8Rbw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/p_aH0lyzTWuST_x7en8Rbw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Holmes  
3637 Ribbonwalk Trl  
Charlotte, NC 28269

-----  
From: matthew newman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: matthew newman

ADDRESS: 2150 sans souco

POST CODE: 33181

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f381e04540f000bf67201

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/yPYxhSWGTdeIcJbw9A0Nbg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/yPYxhSWGTdeIcJbw9A0Nbg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Roy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Roy  
ADDRESS: Chitwood  
POST CODE: 94536

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f39254c9253000d34ad37

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/3wQ5MWfDTdq9E40Ax6hLTA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/3wQ5MWfDTdq9E40Ax6hLTA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Amy Landsman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amy Landsman

ADDRESS: 05 Leslie Way

POST CODE: 12498

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f384c04540f000eefadad

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/nR7iTUdQfKVf6UPW5Jgow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/nR7iTUdQfKVf6UPW5Jgow/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Cheryl Hagmeier

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:42

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Hagmeier  
2732 Wild Horse  
Quincy, Illinois 62305

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:42

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Montoya  
2321 Jamaica St  
Aurora, CO 80010

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gail Andrulis  
382 Hoyer Ct  
Naperville, IL 60565

=====  
From: Nlalani Lalani  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nlalani Lalani  
4366 Pearl St Lot 01  
Marianna, Florida 32448

=====  
From: Scott Robertson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Scott Robertson  
1690 E 6485 S  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84121

=====  
From: Dwight Billings  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dwight Billings

ADDRESS: 225 east bell county

POST CODE: 40508

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f396204540f000c5704f3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/PwvNftBPQx2yrInkr1pPsA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/PwvNftBPQx2yrInkr1pPsA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Gwendolyn Milan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:43

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gwendolyn Milan

107 Alhambra Cir

Nashville, Tennessee 37207

=====  
From: Ian Etchingham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ian Etchingham  
3703 N Kenmore Ave # 01  
Chicago, Illinois 60613

=====  
From: Lisa Sullivan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa Sullivan  
ADDRESS: 7521 EDINGER  
POST CODE: 92648  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3a9d62a0d8000b042941

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/XRz3MQi8R4KxF9HpvIPNSQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/XRz3MQi8R4KxF9HpvIPNSQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Robert Dietrich  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:43

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Dietrich

ADDRESS: 9650 SW Iowa Dr

POST CODE: 97062

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f39444c9253000d34ad39

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/SbZL\\_5tsRjK1z6xvl\\_QiPg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/SbZL_5tsRjK1z6xvl_QiPg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/SbZL\\_5tsRjK1z6xvl\\_QiPg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/SbZL_5tsRjK1z6xvl_QiPg/uh1)> .

-----  
From: Thomas Zingarelli  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Zingarelli  
ADDRESS: 260 Fort Hale Rd.  
POST CODE: 06512  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3a0b04540f000eefadc3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/0gp\\_C7XmR3ay-Z9Oqg2hhA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/0gp_C7XmR3ay-Z9Oqg2hhA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/0gp\\_C7XmR3ay-Z9Oqg2hhA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/0gp_C7XmR3ay-Z9Oqg2hhA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Bari Barrios  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bari Barrios  
231 W 400 N

Salt Lake City, Utah 84103

=====  
From: david divilbiss  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

david divilbiss  
935 hemlock  
salina, Kansas 67401

=====  
From: Elaine Navarro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off

its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Elaine Navarro  
9735 Mountain Rd  
Cascade, Colorado 80809

=====  
From: Janet  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Janet

ADDRESS: California

POST CODE: 94978

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f39930f7816000dc80a46

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/LMiWUPcVRJuk9FexC9zLKw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/LMiWUPcVRJuk9FexC9zLKw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jennifer Morales  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Morales  
506 Center St  
Richmond, Texas 77469

=====  
From: Alexander Reth  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander Reth

ADDRESS: Sweden stockholm hÃ¶glandstorget 07

POST CODE: 16771

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3b49904ba9000c99060e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/hY8nqlgyQNiQ0y\\_zrT9oHw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/hY8nqlgyQNiQ0y_zrT9oHw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/hY8nqlgyQNiQ0y\\_zrT9oHw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/hY8nqlgyQNiQ0y_zrT9oHw/uh1)> .

=====

From: andrey dorodnov  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: andrey dorodnov  
ADDRESS: Riga, Latvia Bullu iela 31a  
POST CODE: LV-1055  
COUNTRY: LV

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3b4c32c5b7000d1de012

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/ddfuDr07S2SHHJlK\\_3PxQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/ddfuDr07S2SHHJlK_3PxQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/ddfuDr07S2SHHJlK\\_3PxQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/ddfuDr07S2SHHJlK_3PxQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Gilberto Adame  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gilberto Adame  
ADDRESS: Playa del Carmen, MÃ©xico  
POST CODE: 77710  
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3b3a62a0d8000d753f0d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/BQ0HqR77QQGs4bKuSnmB7Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/BQ0HqR77QQGs4bKuSnmB7Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Joshua Wood  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joshua Wood

ADDRESS: 524 Van Horn Road, Holts Summit MO s

POST CODE: 65043

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3a024c9253000efb18a1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/mZc6Jij0RAa11bwGYMFL1g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/mZc6Jij0RAa11bwGYMFL1g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Linda Coon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:45  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Linda Coon  
1017 Pries St  
Danville, Illinois 61832

=====

From: Martin Achterberg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin Achterberg  
ADDRESS: StrindbergstraÙe 24  
POST CODE: 18106  
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3b524c9253000c6e3f2f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/3Mpl49RUS901397vAfEeRw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/3Mpl49RUS901397vAfEeRw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sandra Vance  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:45  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sandra Vance  
523 Westgate Dr  
Eureka, California 95503

=====  
From: Sean  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean

ADDRESS: 2920 N Albany Ave

POST CODE: 60618

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3addbb38df000d40c843

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/DjNC5rh-RcqCRmJsdNr3BA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/DjNC5rh-RcqCRmJsdNr3BA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Veena Kamath  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:45  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear â\200\223 investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Veena Kamath  
108 Mill St Apt 104  
Woonsocket, Rhode Island 02895

=====  
From: Gabreella Vazquez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:46  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didnâ\200\231t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â\200\223 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gabreella Vazquez  
10 Stevens Ave  
Merrimack, New Hampshire 03054

=====  
From: Jeanpierre Bishop  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:46  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option" because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jeanpierre Bishop  
17543 Sultana St  
Hesperia, California 92345

=====  
From: Marcy Humphrey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:46  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting

to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marcy Humphrey  
150 Armel Rd  
Latrobe, Pennsylvania 15650

=====  
From: Sean Harrington  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean Harrington

ADDRESS: Ireland

POST CODE: 0000

COUNTRY: IE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3b9c904ba9000e2fa46c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/IiD4MZ6NQX27hLEfY0h-ew/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/IiD4MZ6NQX27hLEfY0h-ew/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tania  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tania

ADDRESS: Jimenez

POST CODE: 92126

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3b7204540f000c570505

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/6GGDP9\\_DQMCipjHV7aXsGg/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/6GGDP9_DQMCipjHV7aXsGg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/6GGDP9\\_DQMCipjHV7aXsGg/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/6GGDP9_DQMCipjHV7aXsGg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Becky  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Becky  
ADDRESS: 1623 E Mallon  
POST CODE: 99202

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3b72bb38df000ed148b4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/9ZzaQkfdTYWJTI3oTMKgoQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/9ZzaQkfdTYWJTI3oTMKgoQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: CRYSTAL WIVODA  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

CRYSTAL WIVODA  
14420 County Road 577  
Goodland, Minnesota 55742

=====  
From: Hung Lã Vu  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hung Lã Vu

ADDRESS: 32/31 nguyễn thành street, ba dãn district, Hanoi, Vietnam

POST CODE: 10000

COUNTRY: VN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3c15a259d9000d17c22d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/VMhw9BGxToKFrFvuu3Al9Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/VMhw9BGxToKFrFvuu3Al9Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jeanne Weber

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:47

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I depend upon the internet for - everything! I cannot imagine not having the internet remain neutral to who I am, who anybody is, and not having a level playing field. I thought that's what made it what it is--equality for all!

Jeanne Weber

19460

=====  
From: Kris Richards  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kris Richards

ADDRESS: 28 Scott Av.

POST CODE: 11237

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3ba862a0d8000c1e5dfa

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/knFQl1wpQ22DTM\\_1ofR1dg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/knFQl1wpQ22DTM_1ofR1dg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/knFQl1wpQ22DTM\\_1ofR1dg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/knFQl1wpQ22DTM_1ofR1dg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: M Harrison

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:47

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: M Harrison

ADDRESS: PO Box 874698, Wasilla AK

POST CODE: 99867-4698

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3c690f7816000c6aba95

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/AaH6Ek6ySW-kvoc1LDI22A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/AaH6Ek6ySW-kvoc1LDI22A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Patricia Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Patricia Johnson  
1331 Lock Rd  
Lawrenceburg, Kentucky 40342

=====  
From: Xalli MH  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Xalli MH

ADDRESS: 2401 W 6th Street Los Angeles CA

POST CODE: 90057

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3b86a259d9000b239928

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/bio130IGTMS54KYEOWFSuw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/bio130IGTMS54KYEOWFSuw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Zahieh Kamel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Zahieh Kamel  
1820 Montilla Ct  
Modesto, California 95358

=====  
From: Cicely Wilson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cicely Wilson

ADDRESS: 8292 Annandale Ave

POST CODE: 92240

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3c1f32c5b7000e9e2fcf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/j82ZsmKkQnae8XDENjjD4g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/j82ZsmKkQnae8XDENjjD4g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Geir Magne LÃ, seth  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Geir Magne LÃ, seth

ADDRESS: Brands Vei 09

POST CODE: 07132

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3c57bb38df000ed148be

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/OcBXSPnRSHuzvQwk2t7fwA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/OcBXSPnRSHuzvQwk2t7fwA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Lutrissia Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lutrissia Williams  
4243 W Bethany Dr  
Columbia, Missouri 65203

=====  
From: Annie Tremmel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Annie Tremmel  
7375 Rancho Ventana Trl  
San Diego, California 92127

=====  
From: Destinee Wood  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Destinee Wood

ADDRESS: 6102 West Grant Line Road

POST CODE: 95304

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3ca74c9253000b362078

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/3fj4Vl0oS\\_ieV0zW3VimcQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/3fj4Vl0oS_ieV0zW3VimcQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/3fj4Vl0oS\\_ieV0zW3VimcQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/3fj4Vl0oS_ieV0zW3VimcQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Xavier AlluÃ©  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Xavier AlluÃ©

ADDRESS: Tarragona, Spain

POST CODE: 34-47007

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3cb404540f000bf67221

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/MhftLa31RbSXpxTR4aU5ew/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/MhftLa31RbSXpxTR4aU5ew/uh1>> .

=====

From: Aaron Kapner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aaron Kapner

ADDRESS: 3441 32nd Street

POST CODE: 11106

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3daf4c9253000b362081

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/5BACPSYhRHOakvlHTsv38Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/5BACPSYhRHOakvlHTsv38Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Anna Fulbright

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:50

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anna Fulbright

ADDRESS: 1122 E. 12th Ave. Spokane, WA

POST CODE: 99202

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3d964c9253000efb18b7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/plJYYzl8TOW4OgwaY2NjIg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/plJYYzl8TOW4OgwaY2NjIg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Christine Heitmeyer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Christine Heitmeyer  
3620 Valley View Rd  
Rescue, California 95672

=====  
From: Iwana Frizzell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Iwana Frizzell  
, Oklahoma 74805

=====  
From: Kathryn Burdette  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kathryn Burdette  
ADDRESS: 2312 Derby Dr, Birmingham, AL  
POST CODE: 35216  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3c6e32c5b7000c628ce5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/3Ee1i3UBSWK6SCSzT0jb6g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/3Ee1i3UBSWK6SCSzT0jb6g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: LukÃ¡Å; MojÃ½Ã½-Ã½  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lukáš Mojžíš

ADDRESS: Praha 09

POST CODE: 19012

COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3dff04540f000bf67228

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/kfH\\_ZMG1TyGNqdKQcICMgg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/kfH_ZMG1TyGNqdKQcICMgg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/kfH\\_ZMG1TyGNqdKQcICMgg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/kfH_ZMG1TyGNqdKQcICMgg/uh1)> .

=====

From: R.W. Ahlstrom  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: R.W. Ahlstrom  
ADDRESS: 163 merrydale rd apt 15  
POST CODE: 94903  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3d84904ba9000e2fa480

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/UQWDLUtqSeumJFIeTxmmEA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/UQWDLUtqSeumJFIeTxmmEA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ashley Curran  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ashley Curran  
310 W 1st St  
Pittsburg, Kansas 66762

=====  
From: Jean-Marie Galliot  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jean-Marie Galliot

ADDRESS: 3375 Beauséjour

POST CODE: H4K1W3

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3e45904ba9000c990614

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/yYnnIX04RE--nhsuEDnCBw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/yYnnIX04RE--nhsuEDnCBw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jonathon Pershing

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:51

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathon Pershing

ADDRESS: 4112 American River Drive

POST CODE: 95864

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3e5062a0d8000c1e5e11

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/q-uZBobgRFuQBQVTPmY7Mw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/q-uZBobgRFuQBQVTPmY7Mw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Susan McAdams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:51  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please preserve net neutrality! The corporate over take of American democracy has gone far enough.

Susan McAdams

98103

=====  
From: Andi Bustamatne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andi Bustamatne

ADDRESS: Tequisquiapan 5505 Col. Del Paseo, Monterrey, NL, MÃ©xico

POST CODE: 64920

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3e65904ba9000b5562ce

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/wiEcb9DKTq6pRaGT3ztTmA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/wiEcb9DKTq6pRaGT3ztTmA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Brent Wilcox  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brent Wilcox

ADDRESS: 1458 Blanchard St SW

POST CODE: 49509

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3ed104540f000eefae02

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/RFgjFX8kTIm9LBNxw1JrVw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/RFgjFX8kTIm9LBNxw1JrVw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Fabie Duhamel

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:52

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fabie Duhamel

ADDRESS: 3375 BeausÃ©jour

POST CODE: H4K1W3

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3e7b904ba9000b5562d0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/ggPAWbzDSROza9QE\\_NGGiw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/ggPAWbzDSROza9QE_NGGiw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/ggPAWbzDSROza9QE\\_NGGiw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/ggPAWbzDSROza9QE_NGGiw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Joshua Mandel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:52  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I own a game design company in Albany, New York.

I depend on the 'net being a NEUTRAL and EGALITARIAN internet because my company serves and interacts with a huge number of people: artists, programmers, testers, composers, producers, publishers...AND customers.

Often, these interactions take the form of huge file transfers. Anything that cripples our business or threatens to make us less competitive is an opportunity to lose contracts and customers.

Keep the 'net neutral. Too many of us small business owners depend on it.

Joshua Mandel

12205

=====

From: Vic  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vic

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: K1b5g3

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3eab4c9253000d34ad7d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/XJwkDiv-T\\_i00IwrbyoL3Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/XJwkDiv-T_i00IwrbyoL3Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/XJwkDiv-T\\_i00IwrbyoL3Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/XJwkDiv-T_i00IwrbyoL3Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: William Swaving  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William Swaving

ADDRESS: Lars HÅrds Våg 23

POST CODE: 18641

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3ede32c5b7000d1de039

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/rhDywgactviCT2ecRAE12Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/rhDywgactviCT2ecRAE12Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Elizabeth Barlowe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth Barlowe  
ADDRESS: 3602 river dr  
POST CODE: 34994  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3fac62a0d8000d753f41

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/jzDNiB8nRHalUcmZviS70A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/jzDNiB8nRHalUcmZviS70A/uh1>> .

=====

From: MDN  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MDN

ADDRESS: 12345

POST CODE: 84621

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3f0562a0d8000c1e5e1d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/mBZ290-bTLqj44LYS6CJig/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/mBZ290-bTLqj44LYS6CJig/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Natasha Breckenridge

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:53

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please consider the damage that would be done by such an action. The internet needs to be kept neutral to preserve its integrity. Please, please consider the massive amount of damage that would be done if that neutrality is stripped away.

Natasha Breckenridge

37814

-----  
From: Athen Clifton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Athen Clifton

ADDRESS: 4507 N Kildare ave

POST CODE: 60630

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f3eed62a0d8000d753f33

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/z8xuHSpUSPqk9T5AG86\\_fg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/z8xuHSpUSPqk9T5AG86_fg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/z8xuHSpUSPqk9T5AG86\\_fg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/z8xuHSpUSPqk9T5AG86_fg/uh1)> .

=====

From: john smartt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john smartt  
ADDRESS: 358 greencrest athens, ga  
POST CODE: 30605  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4063bb38df000ed148f4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/scxRwhnvQjOI-6uFdktQSA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/scxRwhnvQjOI-6uFdktQSA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Joseph  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph

ADDRESS: 66 Rockwell place, Brooklyn, NY

POST CODE: 11217

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f40d75d400d000cfd8879

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/Wd72qb2oT0eVerVobZ-QJw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/Wd72qb2oT0eVerVobZ-QJw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Keiry Gantus  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Keiry Gantus

ADDRESS: 530 Neck O Land Rd

POST CODE: 23185

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f400262a0d8000ef0b9db

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/xWyqSTY1QsOoopEWXFa8qg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/xWyqSTY1QsOoopEWXFa8qg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Kathy Trent  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kathy Trent

ADDRESS: 6713 NW Brink St.

POST CODE: 64152

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f40ef5b7028000d715a1a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/tmqbh2ifR1qcAZlMH9bYMg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/tmqbh2ifR1qcAZlMH9bYMg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nils Schneider

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:56

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nils Schneider

ADDRESS: Martallsvägen 16

POST CODE: 75646

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f40bb4c9253000d34ad8c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/jIxawTeDThe1KeCVSmSpUw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/jIxawTeDThe1KeCVSmSpUw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Shavant  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shavant  
ADDRESS: 1625 Woolsey Street Apt #07 Berkeley CA  
POST CODE: 94703  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f420d65debd000be03117

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/1s351EA2Rh6knV90mcwGRQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/1s351EA2Rh6knV90mcwGRQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:57  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: robert burgo  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 534 princeton  
Zip: 60016

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Ruben  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ruben

ADDRESS: Renzstra 237e 03

POST CODE: Mannheim

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f417e5b7028000c7a8f93

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/aAueR5QnTqaGwNdDzR9Rgw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/aAueR5QnTqaGwNdDzR9Rgw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tarcisio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tarcisio

ADDRESS: Carlos de campos NÂ°1055

POST CODE: 14051080

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f43d8ae6933000ebfe0c9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/BhKPDooETLq01BqGqsns2Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/BhKPDooETLq01BqGqsns2Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jared

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:58

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jared

ADDRESS: Lanway

POST CODE: 98021

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f423265debd000cfd9837

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/PhNugGhISMSB0IzWlV16Eg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/PhNugGhISMSB0IzWlV16Eg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john

ADDRESS: Lyons

POST CODE: 33573

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f42675c6908000ca96332

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/04-DULbFDQM-miRkjBvierw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/04-DULbFDQM-miRkjBvierw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Kevin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin  
ADDRESS: 1250 e Turin ave  
POST CODE: 92805

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f431a578919000efa2b15

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/NeubLQzjRPeFtEXi7EOK-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/NeubLQzjRPeFtEXi7EOK-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Richard Perling  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:58  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Make the internet a public utility, please! I work for MIT and was around when "ARPANET" was developed so scientists could talk through linked computers. Now it's up for grabs by the wolves. First you insist we all go digital; now the captive audience will be led down the chute like the stockyards and slaughterhouses.

This is all about money - a far cry from the original scientists looking to share info freely and quickly.

"You don't know what you've got 'til it's gone - take all the trees and put in a parking lot; take all the trees and put them in a tree museum and charge people a dollar and a half just to see them."

Really?

Richard Perling

02176

=====  
From: Denise  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denise

ADDRESS: 18 Oak Hill Pl

POST CODE: 78229

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4510ae6933000cf539e7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/ILwk6bEtTvCTLsGUWdkO8w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/ILwk6bEtTvCTLsGUWdkO8w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Janice Sauer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:59  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please consider the ordinary citizen when making this decision. I am a retired librarian and my entire reason for being in the info business was to bring personal and civic information to the ordinary citizen for little or no money. Giving an economic advantage to highly profitable companies to the detriment of the citizens is unreasonable in a democracy--if that is what we still call the government-industrial complex that seems to be making all the decisions in our lives.

Janice A. Sauer

Janice Sauer

=====  
From: juan hernandez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: juan hernandez

ADDRESS: 1440 ewing dr

POST CODE: chula vista/91911

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f457f5c6908000ca96343

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/kCy50IN\\_TbCpPEz-jh5NpQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/kCy50IN_TbCpPEz-jh5NpQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/kCy50IN\\_TbCpPEz-jh5NpQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/kCy50IN_TbCpPEz-jh5NpQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Sanford Leuba

Date: 09/15/2014 14:42:59

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Please allow the Internet to be free to allow all users an equal chance to use it.

Please do not allow companies such as Fox News to buy out major portions of the Internet.

Sanford Leuba

15238

=====

From: Kirsten Caufield

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:00

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kirsten Caufield

ADDRESS: 845 Montgomery St SF

POST CODE: 94133

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4475578919000c9be64f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/dOPUjZ2pRQ-BE\\_IgyPU84A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/dOPUjZ2pRQ-BE_IgyPU84A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/dOPUjZ2pRQ-BE\\_IgyPU84A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/dOPUjZ2pRQ-BE_IgyPU84A/uh1)> .

=====

From: tamma sorgi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: tamma sorgi

ADDRESS: 426 meadow view dr

POST CODE: 78101

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f44665c6908000dcd1762

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/cwxJ2kJJTBS\\_eCkMisMaXA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/cwxJ2kJJTBS_eCkMisMaXA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/cwxJ2kJTBS\_eCkMisMaXA/uh1> .

=====  
From: Tom Rooney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:00  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Can something please exist in a country where all are equal that is actually equal to all? If not, can we please allow competition so that we are not all held hostage to monopolies? Preserving net neutrality is something that will promote the greater good in more ways than we can even imagine.

Tom Rooney

92603

=====  
From: Andreas Gr nvold  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andreas Gr nvold

ADDRESS: Rossvoll

POST CODE: 9322

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f45e75d400d000cfd889a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/HZ0enuDlSuOsIvC5RbL8tA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/HZ0enuDlSuOsIvC5RbL8tA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Deborah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Deborah

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 44112

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f46d65b7028000c7a8fa0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/SP0z5iUiS\\_OUrT1A3qfeNQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/SP0z5iUiS_OUrT1A3qfeNQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/SP0z5iUiS\\_OUrT1A3qfeNQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/SP0z5iUiS_OUrT1A3qfeNQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: alfred e. neuman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: alfred e. neuman

ADDRESS: 1600 pennsylvania ave

POST CODE: 78232

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f478b72f7a7000d0e3092

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/iPrk5dbiT72TwdVXjJqnbgo.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/iPrk5dbiT72TwdVXjJqnbG/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Andr   Lu  s Bordignon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andr   Lu  s Bordignon

ADDRESS: rua Hermantino Coelho, 501 Campinas Brasil

POST CODE: 13070193

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f45f95c6908000e08080d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/CJtm2x5cQxmvDY7iov9ebA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/CJtm2x5cQxmvDY7iov9ebA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Boris Price

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:02

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Boris Price

ADDRESS: 516 Oak St.

POST CODE: 94102

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f46fe72f7a7000d0e308c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/Yj2N7xbBQOSTxYfNQYeJbg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/Yj2N7xbBQOSTxYfNQYeJbg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jim Harmon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jim Harmon

ADDRESS: Ozark

POST CODE: 65721

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f46e0ae6933000cf539f8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/iENotQ26S5CG1KvLOLZZ3A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/iENotQ26S5CG1KvLOLZZ3A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joseph  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph

ADDRESS: 14016 #0th Ave NE Seattle WA

POST CODE: 98125

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f47a1829df0000ce42b33

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/eX01I3JvTvC\\_wHDr12T3eg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/eX01I3JvTvC_wHDr12T3eg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/eX01I3JvTvC\\_wHDr12T3eg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/eX01I3JvTvC_wHDr12T3eg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Lauren  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lauren

ADDRESS: 1514 17th St NW

POST CODE: 20036

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4689ae6933000cf539f3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/SDyiQY7sRqmydDcF6ABbgw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/SDyiQY7sRqmydDcF6ABbgw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jaime Yañez

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:03

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jaime Yañez

ADDRESS: 616 n. Azalea st.

POST CODE: 91762

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f475665debd000eb71417

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/r-KqVGXFS4Cu7v7nm8c8Ng/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/r-KqVGXFS4Cu7v7nm8c8Ng/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tobiara MacIntyre  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tobiara MacIntyre

ADDRESS: 01 Willis Ct Lynn

POST CODE: 01905

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f47a25d400d000e5432fe

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/D97H-sCwRci\\_pD2rwGsalA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/D97H-sCwRci_pD2rwGsalA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/D97H-sCwRci\\_pD2rwGsalA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/D97H-sCwRci_pD2rwGsalA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Aditya  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aditya

ADDRESS: Hyderabad

POST CODE: 500081

COUNTRY: IN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f490072f7a7000b25566b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/djyA6cf0TA6qSZOTVGE0sg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/djyA6cf0TA6qSZOTVGE0sg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Claire  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Claire

ADDRESS: 11A Nariah Crescent

POST CODE: 2452

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4cb75c6908000e08083d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/1KVER-EmRf2WO\\_f4ueS4ww/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/1KVER-EmRf2WO_f4ueS4ww/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/1KVER-EmRf2WO\\_f4ueS4ww/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/1KVER-EmRf2WO_f4ueS4ww/uh1)> .

=====

From: David GÃ³mez

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:09

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David GÃ³mez

ADDRESS: 107 Hedgewick Dr

POST CODE: 19702

COUNTRY: CO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4c075b7028000c7a8fb7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/-YuPPlx\\_Shq23HeOd-Wziw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/-YuPPlx_Shq23HeOd-Wziw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/-YuPPlx\\_Shq23HeOd-Wziw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/-YuPPlx_Shq23HeOd-Wziw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Deborah Rubin

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:09

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Deborah Rubin

ADDRESS: 243 West 98 Street 6A

POST CODE: 10025-5566

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4978578919000efa2b39

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/qVDPZzXwSmCgwWO3EZuu6w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/qVDPZzXwSmCgwWO3EZuu6w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jozef Kocman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jozef Kocman  
ADDRESS: Slovakia Zvolen Tulskã; 2238/01  
POST CODE: 96001  
COUNTRY: SK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4a2d5d400d000e543314

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/uyM4eQdUQhqVnk-yGrRR\\_w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/uyM4eQdUQhqVnk-yGrRR_w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/uyM4eQdUQhqVnk-yGrRR\\_w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/uyM4eQdUQhqVnk-yGrRR_w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Michael Weaver  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:09  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Michael Weaver

53711

=====  
From: Dave  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Dave

ADDRESS: 1297 Colony Way

POST CODE: 92262

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4859829df0000daa064d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/O3hpF-2aQ1aplf5OrKDOuQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/O3hpF-2aQ1aplf5OrKDOuQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: James Krikau

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:10

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James Krikau

ADDRESS: 54630 Ashford Court

POST CODE: 48316

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4911578919000efa2b35

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/N7JMKEgTRjWA6bHFn8Fzhw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/N7JMKEgTRjWA6bHFn8Fzhw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Pattye McKinney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pattye McKinney  
ADDRESS: 3126 Two Creeks Rd  
POST CODE: 73044  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4bb65c6908000ca9635c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/501bMWzWTK6Z-Yutov21Bg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/501bMWzWTK6Z-Yutov21Bg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Rolf Selås  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rolf Selås

ADDRESS: Furuheia 15

POST CODE: 4878

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f496f5c6908000b4d3689

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Dt7UUF31QMSgwQqSAiqnuQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Dt7UUF31QMSgwQqSAiqnuQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Velma Weems

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:11

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Velma Weems

801 White Tail Trl

Yukon, Oklahoma 73099

=====  
From: Kenneth Bunce

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:12

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Bunce  
362 N Winston Dr  
Palatine, Illinois 60074

=====  
From: Caitlin Hollander  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Caitlin Hollander  
810 Whatcoat St  
Oxford, Georgia 30054

=====  
From: Diana Dietz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Diana Dietz

ADDRESS: 160 River Forest Drive

POST CODE: 30214

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4cecae6933000cf53a17

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/vNpTLecVQviVhWJGZ3Ls1A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/vNpTLecVQviVhWJGZ3Ls1A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Keith Morgan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Keith Morgan  
2954 Galaxy Way  
Grants Pass, Oregon 97527

=====

From: ??????  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ??????

ADDRESS: ??????????

POST CODE: 0700000

COUNTRY: KZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4f0b5b7028000e0ecf7f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/rQkf7-khR8mvjonCcDyr7Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/rQkf7-khR8mvjonCcDyr7Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Abdulatif Hakami  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:14  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Abdulatif Hakami  
15410 Addicks Stone Dr Unit B  
Houston, Texas 77082

=====  
From: Bruce  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bruce

ADDRESS: Desrosiers

POST CODE: 71417

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4d155d400d000b7d57ea

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/b5iY4hHvQieSGwt38iB8wA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/b5iY4hHvQieSGwt38iB8wA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Clayton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Clayton  
ADDRESS: 4395 W. 400 S.  
POST CODE: 47394  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4fc365debd000eb7146a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/4zJCet1DSfq5km3T5U6jKA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/4zJCet1DSfq5km3T5U6jKA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dru  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dru  
ADDRESS: 45372 Danbury Ct, Canton, Michigan  
POST CODE: 48188  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4c96829df0000daa0664

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/k8XZtUqPQwOCX\\_d28LGKDw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/k8XZtUqPQwOCX_d28LGKDw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/k8XZtUqPQwOCX\\_d28LGKDw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/k8XZtUqPQwOCX_d28LGKDw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jennifer Dale  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:14  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Dale  
1603 Nicholasville Rd Apt 06  
Lexington, Kentucky 40503

=====  
From: Jim Dale  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Jim Dale

ADDRESS: 450 Bowline Bend Naples FL 34103

POST CODE: 34103

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4d66578919000df5ccfc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/uweU2pCLSWyDzdPG06639A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/uweU2pCLSWyDzdPG06639A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mihir Wanchoo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:14  
Subject: Net Neutrality is Vital to Protect Women's & Girls' Voices Online

Already, major media's structural inequality continually leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our words on our own terms, uncensored and controlled by no one. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named "open Internet" would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech -- my speech -- online.

And the threat is twofold: we use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it. The internet allows us to spread messages farther than our feet could take us, make ideas louder than our voices can shout, and stand together on the same ground though we are miles apart. But our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. In the fight for media justice, we are at risk of the very people we fight against having authority over the tools we use to fight them. Silencing dissenting voices will be easier than ever -- and legal -- under these proposed rules.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women's voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

Mihir Wanchoo

Rochester, MN 55906

=====  
From: Tom Gargano  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:14  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tom Gargano  
703 Dresher Woods Dr  
Dresher, Pennsylvania 19025

=====  
From: Austin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Austin

ADDRESS: PO Box 13135

POST CODE: 77841

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4a4e578919000df5cceb

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/KR-RwC2zSMitOSOi08XHjw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/KR-RwC2zSMitOSOi08XHjw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Bengt Johansson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:15

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bengt Johansson

ADDRESS: Buskvägen 08

POST CODE: 69291

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4c545b7028000d715a7b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/epmtsQAFstWwLlqBOKTq6A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/epmtsQAFstWwLlqBOKTq6A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Frank Gutierrez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Frank Gutierrez

ADDRESS: 1025 Walnut st Saint Charles

POST CODE: 60174

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4d4f5d400d000de559db

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/cNjcCPdeQN-eauVRPbeF7A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/cNjcCPdeQN-eauVRPbeF7A/uh1>> .

=====

From: JoÃ£o Fortunato  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JoÃ£o Fortunato  
ADDRESS: Travessa da Estrada Nacional NÃ°3  
POST CODE: 2430-076  
COUNTRY: PT  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f48a3ae6933000cf53a01

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/aXvjPjRyQ9GxKCemDrGT-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/aXvjPjRyQ9GxKCemDrGT-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jose A. Perez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jose A. Perez

ADDRESS: 220 highland blvd. apt 5n

POST CODE: 11207

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f49b15c6908000ca96356

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/8R3GOjsQShOpzst62IGVIg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/8R3GOjsQShOpzst62IGVIg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Randolph  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Randolph

ADDRESS: 8555 Emerson Ave, Los Angeles CA

POST CODE: 90045

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f505dae6933000d70c241

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/dz0h86z6TyWZdB2B4cP0Hg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/dz0h86z6TyWZdB2B4cP0Hg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Soban  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Soban  
ADDRESS: 42 Loxwood Close  
POST CODE: TW14 8SQ  
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f498f829df0000ed38b8c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/4vbpOhg0TymZv0nFWdBfHg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/4vbpOhg0TymZv0nFWdBfHg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Thomas Childress

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:15

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Please do not let the 'big' companies sway your decision regarding Network Neutrality. The Internet facilities should not be discriminating. They should be nonrestrictive and remain as a common infrastructure to the benefit of all of our citizens, not to a select corporate few.

Thank you for your attention.

Tom Childress

Thomas Childress

33912

=====

From: Brian Melo

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:16

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian Melo

ADDRESS: 106 Oakland mills rd, Manalapan NJ

POST CODE: 07726

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4f415c6908000e08084b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/7KJFHeseSwWJdm-OI7\\_aTw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/7KJFHeseSwWJdm-OI7_aTw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/7KJFHeseSwWJdm-OI7\\_aTw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/7KJFHeseSwWJdm-OI7_aTw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Harry Rusk

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Harry Rusk

ADDRESS: westdeptford nj

POST CODE: 08086

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4d925d400d000e543334

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/lWuI1p05TLeJtLE5K\\_nfxQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/lWuI1p05TLeJtLE5K_nfxQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/lWuI1p05TLeJtLE5K\\_nfxQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/lWuI1p05TLeJtLE5K_nfxQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Julio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julio

ADDRESS: 1626 72nd ave Oakland ca

POST CODE: 94621

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f50c45b7028000d715a93

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/HlriOZ2CQTW-WdRFq8L\\_oA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/HlriOZ2CQTW-WdRFq8L_oA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/HlriOZ2CQTW-WdRFq8L\\_oA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/HlriOZ2CQTW-WdRFq8L_oA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Rosemary Phillips  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rosemary Phillips  
ADDRESS: 324 Manbrin Dr N  
POST CODE: 97303  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4e94829df0000ed38b9d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/rffJNxBHROGUXiisXwMpTQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/rffJNxBHROGUXiisXwMpTQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Scott

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:16

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott

ADDRESS: Johnson

POST CODE: 28613

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4f245c6908000e080848

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/\\_r4d2KZdTGYK5Xf9FZNMdA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/_r4d2KZdTGYK5Xf9FZNMdA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/\\_r4d2KZdTGYK5Xf9FZNMdA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/_r4d2KZdTGYK5Xf9FZNMdA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Sharon Roark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sharon Roark

ADDRESS: 4584 S--th Blvd

POST CODE: 44718

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f511d5d400d000de559e9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/F0AEe8\\_3SvGyB4Lt03lgSw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/F0AEe8_3SvGyB4Lt03lgSw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/F0AEe8\\_3SvGyB4Lt03lgSw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/F0AEe8_3SvGyB4Lt03lgSw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: timothy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: timothy  
ADDRESS: 1515 alice st  
POST CODE: 94612  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4fa45c6908000e08084f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/IxR7NcZuQRKOa4mr5MK3AQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/IxR7NcZuQRKOa4mr5MK3AQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tom Zapletal  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tom Zapletal  
ADDRESS: Ve Vilkařich 05  
POST CODE: 64400  
COUNTRY: CZ  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4b5165debd000eb71440

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/tTFa-USQSnW08m15A1UaQA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/tTFa-USQSnW08m15A1UaQA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Zach

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:16

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zach

ADDRESS: 389 Wax RD SE

POST CODE: 30173

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4a7a72f7a7000d0e30ad

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/4JGkLtmpSjmBmVZ9pyyTwg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/4JGkLtmpSjmBmVZ9pyyTwg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ben miller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ben miller

ADDRESS: 251 e

POST CODE: 2560

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f512d578919000b747eb4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/fv81\\_bVeQ6Gmpq98IfyzMA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/fv81_bVeQ6Gmpq98IfyzMA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/fv81\\_bVeQ6Gmpq98IfyzMA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/fv81_bVeQ6Gmpq98IfyzMA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Ed  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ed

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 07874

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4fd265debd000be0312f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/F45XLlNUR66KVOdhsGjyiQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/F45XLlNUR66KVOdhsGjyiQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Eric Groovenhoff  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Groovenhoff

ADDRESS: 94 Harrison ave Yonkers, NY

POST CODE: 10705

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f519b5b7028000d715a99

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/vgmm7B7ZS1qolLjpIfoM5g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/vgmm7B7ZS1qolLjpIfoM5g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Fidel Saldivar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Fidel Saldivar  
4327 Bright Sun St  
San Antonio, Texas 78217

=====  
From: judith brooks  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: judith brooks

ADDRESS: 2920 Woodside Rd Ste A

POST CODE: Woodside, CA 94062

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f52b965debd000cfd9886

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Ehm1XsSaRWqiLZl7VFLpTA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Ehm1XsSaRWqiLZl7VFLpTA/uh1>> .

=====

From: lindis norlund  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: lindis norlund  
ADDRESS: ladegårdsveien 01  
POST CODE: 0493  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f502172f7a7000d0e30d8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/aZ\\_z06eVTd2SFjeIpmVF7Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/aZ_z06eVTd2SFjeIpmVF7Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/aZ\\_z06eVTd2SFjeIpmVF7Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/aZ_z06eVTd2SFjeIpmVF7Q/uh1)> .

=====

From: Ray Lawson

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:17

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ray Lawson

ADDRESS: 9120 s state st

POST CODE: 84092

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5174578919000efa2b62

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/9AKz-6Q9RLiS75qUbTLCFw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/9AKz-6Q9RLiS75qUbTLCFw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: A  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: A

ADDRESS: 2857 Valentine ave

POST CODE: 10458

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f51c75d400d000e543355

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/atj1W26nQ32fGBhPNSSDfg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/atj1W26nQ32fGBhPNSSDfg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Stephen Scroggins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephen Scroggins  
ADDRESS: 108 South Street  
POST CODE: 36606-1403  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f53245c6908000dcd178d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/7Z-Zhi6tQZ--PF8rw-QTrw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/7Z-Zhi6tQZ--PF8rw-QTrw/uh1>> .

=====

From: InÃ's

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:20

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: InÃ's

ADDRESS: ihgfc

POST CODE: 23453

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f533e5b7028000d715aa4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/mUhDKXnIQj-BkYplRWJduQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/mUhDKXnIQj-BkYplRWJduQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: John Buckley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Buckley

ADDRESS: 1687 Gamay Ln

POST CODE: Brentwood, CA 94513

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f52d765debd000eb71486

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/40M5V\\_qYTZSBxtw6TLGSMw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/40M5V_qYTZSBxtw6TLGSMw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/40M5V\\_qYTZSBxtw6TLGSMw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/40M5V_qYTZSBxtw6TLGSMw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Joshua Deases  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joshua Deases

ADDRESS: 1088 cinder hill way

POST CODE: 85635

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f52cf578919000df5cd2b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/EqcZ6ntNS4aww-XnGG8Jhw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/EqcZ6ntNS4aww-XnGG8Jhw/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Judy Schriener  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Judy Schriener

ADDRESS: 9202 E Emerald Dr

POST CODE: Chandler, AZ 85248

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f53e3578919000b747eb9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/KFiR4GujReC9BJ6z2wP2ng/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/KFiR4GujReC9BJ6z2wP2ng/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nicolle  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:20  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicolle  
 ADDRESS: 16 Lucia CT  
 POST CODE: 07747  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f538072f7a7000d0e30f1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/c3psabshSMGKa-jiF0WRPg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/c3psabshSMGKa-jiF0WRPg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Pablo C. Picayo

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:20

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pablo C. Picayo

ADDRESS: 19 west 85th street apt B

POST CODE: 10024

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5068578919000df5cd18

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/8aQ1LqAFSFGx-Mo8vSdkAw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/8aQ1LqAFSFGx-Mo8vSdkAw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Robert Heikkilä

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:20

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Heikkilä

ADDRESS: Skolgatan 12A

POST CODE: 98131

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f55c05b7028000e0ecf9c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/WaL3LsgBTy2VnXkUAAN2cQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/WaL3LsgBTy2VnXkUAAN2cQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Steve Jones  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steve Jones

ADDRESS: 4731 meadowview circle

POST CODE: 34233

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f53005b7028000e0ecf8f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/IKjXyr8FSTCYzRkEBSuvqg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/IKjXyr8FSTCYzRkEBSuvqg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tom Gagner  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:20  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tom Gagner

ADDRESS: 3055 N Red Mountain

POST CODE: 85207

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f51de829df0000ce42b73

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/TymE9vnGQ2e2afJ-DPLF3Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/TymE9vnGQ2e2afJ-DPLF3Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Charles Watts  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charles Watts

ADDRESS: 20121 Harbor Isle Lane, Huntington Beach,

POST CODE: 92646

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f57055c6908000e080892

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/mFrmFBmftDqzT5bHDGutmg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/mFrmFBmftDqzT5bHDGutmg/uh1>> .

=====

From: M Regelson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: M Regelson  
ADDRESS: 3019 Noble Ave  
POST CODE: 23222

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f54635c6908000e08087c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/D34LxwZUQ4OVmZwfZDdHJA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/D34LxwZUQ4OVmZwfZDdHJA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ryan Skirvin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan Skirvin

ADDRESS: 2057 Bob O Link Ct.

POST CODE: 47250

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5607ae6933000d70c249

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/crNFB9Y\\_SfGsfkQzRplOBg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/crNFB9Y_SfGsfkQzRplOBg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/crNFB9Y\\_SfGsfkQzRplOBg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/crNFB9Y_SfGsfkQzRplOBg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Tamela Walker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tamela Walker

ADDRESS: 200 Featherbed Lane

POST CODE: 25427

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f55935c6908000e080886

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/BlYavKINQNKKTIr\\_fsBDeg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/BlYavKINQNKKTIr_fsBDeg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/BlYavKINQNKKTIr\\_fsBDeg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/BlYavKINQNKKTIr_fsBDeg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Anders Sjöberg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anders Sjöberg

ADDRESS: Gäddede, Sweden

POST CODE: 81832

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f57505c6908000e080896

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/BVaW4hOpSVS\\_CjHPUS9aDQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/BVaW4hOpSVS_CjHPUS9aDQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/BVaW4hOpSVS\\_CjHPUS9aDQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/BVaW4hOpSVS_CjHPUS9aDQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Barb Christlieb  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is

broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Barb Christlieb

, 85711

=====  
From: blooddragon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: blooddragon

ADDRESS: 09975627188

POST CODE: 12356

COUNTRY: MM

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f57375c6908000b4d36a1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/sasV97sBSomkRwmFdshWpw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/sasV97sBSomkRwmFdshWpw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Scott Braunius  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott Braunius

ADDRESS: 238 pulaski hwy.

POST CODE: 10969

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f57cf72f7a7000e6fbcf1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/qhUHsXC2RRCgBYQEYqeNWA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/qhUHsXC2RRCgBYQEYqeNWA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sean Gonzlez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean Gonzlez  
ADDRESS: 43 Riverside Avenue #229  
POST CODE: 02155

COUNTRY: AE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f57655b7028000c7a8fde

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/YqFX1cEMT0aj5qyA3\\_29VQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/YqFX1cEMT0aj5qyA3_29VQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/YqFX1cEMT0aj5qyA3\\_29VQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/YqFX1cEMT0aj5qyA3_29VQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Cccc  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cccc

ADDRESS: FCC

POST CODE: FCC

COUNTRY: NZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f58d8ae6933000ebfe15d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/LzcyfyIiQIKofLH8Crb8Bg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/LzcyfyIiQIKofLH8Crb8Bg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Monty Coleman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Monty Coleman

ADDRESS: 280 E. high st

POST CODE: 40507

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f58c95b7028000e0ecfa6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/ftz4U0h-TK-JMit6IXDmvQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/ftz4U0h-TK-JMit6IXDmvQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Scott

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:24

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott

ADDRESS: Morrison

POST CODE: 07666

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5928829df0000ed38bea

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/2JXdNyEiSdyZjyLVvgl9YA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/2JXdNyEiSdyZjyLVvgl9YA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Heather  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Heather  
ADDRESS: 30637 Olson St. #315  
POST CODE: 56472  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f592b5c6908000e0808ae

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/FHDeizKnTp-g\\_DTUV35EKQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/FHDeizKnTp-g_DTUV35EKQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/FHDeizKnTp-g\\_DTUV35EKQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/FHDeizKnTp-g_DTUV35EKQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Nancy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nancy

ADDRESS: 2940 SW 22nd Ave #711

POST CODE: 33445

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5a8c5c6908000ca9639f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/3oddgzQLRoKIt8T9xovtkQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/3oddgzQLRoKIt8T9xovtkQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sunny  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sunny

ADDRESS: 41548 Pescara St

POST CODE: 92203

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5b905d400d000de559fb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/M-2r2cSEQv2F7\\_ImFYZWUA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/M-2r2cSEQv2F7_ImFYZWUA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/M-2r2cSEQv2F7\\_ImFYZWUA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/M-2r2cSEQv2F7_ImFYZWUA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Eric Gutierrez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Gutierrez

ADDRESS: MÃ¶biusstraÃ¶\237e 10c

POST CODE: 04838

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5c1465debd000eb714d5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/hBqMnssYRFqCp7coHX5b2g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/hBqMnssYRFqCp7coHX5b2g/uh1>> .

=====

From: henrik holstein kruse  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: henrik holstein kruse  
ADDRESS: Skejby VÃ|nge 40 st. B  
POST CODE: 8200  
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5c4665debd000be03147

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/Jw6YZKPDsgkZzmFo6jaiag/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/Jw6YZKPDsgkZzmFo6jaiag/uh1>> .

=====

From: John Harrington  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:27  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I am a writer and environmental consultant in the rural fringe of the Minneapolis-St. Paul metro area. I depend on the Internet for business and personal use. Broadband is not expected to be available in my location for some time. If I have further reduction in service compared to more affluent and urban competitors, it will destroy any semblance of a level playing field and will further reduce Minnesota's rural economic development.

Please keep net neutrality and don't let the cable companies further limit my economic independence and reduce my ability to provide for my family.

John Harrington

55079

=====

From: K  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: K

ADDRESS: Melbourne

POST CODE: 3127

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5af65d400d000de559f9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/h5QNPEjnTTixPtDX-taV8Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/h5QNPEjnTTixPtDX-taV8Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sallie Bailey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:27  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

What? The public isn't being milked enough by the big guys? Time Warner charges us about \$189 a month for a phone/computer/TV package. We had HBO but dropped it to save money. As it happens, there is no competition here in the Central NY area - Verizon laid out some lines but then halted the project - so very few people have access to it as an alternate choice.

Do the right thing - the internet pioneers never intended it to be 'First Class' and 'steerage'. Do the right thing...

Sallie Bailey

13066

=====

From: Alfonso MartÃ-nez Hidalgo

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alfonso MartÃ-nez Hidalgo

ADDRESS: Badalona

POST CODE: 08911

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5cb2578919000efa2ba2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/nt9gbWRzRa2XbNfMdQsrDg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/nt9gbWRzRa2XbNfMdQsrDg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Matthew Robitaille  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew Robitaille

ADDRESS: 1509 rue des C teaux

POST CODE: G2E 2E3

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5c9265debd000eb714d8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/L6fJ7Wz3QeSexY3MkwIbew/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/L6fJ7Wz3QeSexY3MkwIbew/uh1>> .

=====

From: Pia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pia  
ADDRESS: Philipinne  
POST CODE: 8000  
COUNTRY: PH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5b96578919000b747ed4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/G\\_nTC3bsQHGRjbnBP0IhAA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/G_nTC3bsQHGRjbnBP0IhAA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/G\\_nTC3bsQHGRjbnBP0IhAA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/G_nTC3bsQHGRjbnBP0IhAA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Valtteri Saukkola  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Valtteri Saukkola  
ADDRESS: Rummunlyänjätökatu 19 C4  
POST CODE: 24100  
COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5c6b65debd000eb714d6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/fG5nlH6CQ8OLEHaTgenMOW/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/fG5nlH6CQ8OLEHaTgenMOW/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:30  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Mary Ringwood  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address:  
Zip: 91301

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Vera Voegele  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vera Voegele

ADDRESS: Ludwig-Marum-StraÃe 47

POST CODE: 76185

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5ee65c6908000e0808de

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/oQrelfgYRDmynicMd7JbTA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/oQre1fgYRDmynicMd7JbTA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:31  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: debra daghi-goodman  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 112 Adams Ext  
Zip: 38375

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Gayle Rogalski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gayle Rogalski

ADDRESS: 14660 Hideaway Lake Lane

POST CODE: 33484

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5df9829df0000ce42bb4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/uTpOxxASQUaTo3nBwNaCpw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/uTpOxxASQUaTo3nBwNaCpw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Hannes

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:31

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hannes

ADDRESS: 1813 Dawson Rd

POST CODE: P7G2E7

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5a5d65debd000cfd98a9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/gxhdcMKJS4WZA1hK1liqHg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/gxhdcMKJS4WZA1hK1liqHg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:31  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: J M Besrkheimer  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address:  
Zip: 64701

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new

entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Mikk  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mikk  
ADDRESS: Lõuhe 05  
POST CODE: 80023  
COUNTRY: EE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5eae578919000efa2bad

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/rSdhN4\\_uTQK5HjLhxIhcog/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/rSdhN4_uTQK5HjLhxIhcog/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/rSdhN4\\_uTQK5HjLhxIhcog/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/rSdhN4_uTQK5HjLhxIhcog/uh1)> .

=====

From: Regina RodrÃ-guez-Martin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Regina RodrÃ-guez-Martin

ADDRESS: 1224 W. Farwell Ave.

POST CODE: 60626

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5ec55d400d000e5433b7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/RohfF9ORSC6qeSmmKeYpzA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/RohfF9ORSC6qeSmmKeYpzA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Anne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anne

ADDRESS: BÃ, gata 10

POST CODE: 0655

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f620d5c6908000e0808f4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/td\\_4Wv48QH2\\_dYI\\_-auGEA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/td_4Wv48QH2_dYI_-auGEA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/td\\_4Wv48QH2\\_dYI\\_-auGEA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/td_4Wv48QH2_dYI_-auGEA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Austin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Austin  
ADDRESS: 12708 167th pl ne  
POST CODE: 98052  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f60fe829df0000daa06b5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/cTb3eUvtTgekRhuJnn6F3A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/cTb3eUvtTgekRhuJnn6F3A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Charlotte Owens  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:32  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please do not let internet carriers charge a faster rate for faster internet delivery, as the power to do that is the power to destroy. It is the power to destroy a powerful international INTERACTIVE communication tool and instead create another one-way tool like cable tv, or else interactive for the purpose of commerce. To do this would be the victory of greed over what is good for the public. We need grassroots spreading of ideas, where the primary purpose is thought and discussion, not huge profits.

Charlotte Owens

43136

=====  
From: Eric Burger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Burger

ADDRESS: 12433 Admiralty Way Apt c106 Everett wa

POST CODE: 98204

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6298ae6933000ebfe197

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/\\_ZQMYcC2QLSoum0OzPZgow/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/_ZQMYcC2QLSoum0OzPZgow/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/\\_ZQMYcC2QLSoum0OzPZgow/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/_ZQMYcC2QLSoum0OzPZgow/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Ethan Scott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ethan Scott

ADDRESS: 953 beacon lane

POST CODE: 55124

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5d1a5d400d000e5433ac

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/12y5cdb0Swiaw-QWKPamhw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/12y5cdb0Swiaw-QWKPamhw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Hazel Dunn

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:32

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hazel Dunn

ADDRESS: 42 Heatherpark, Seafield, West Lothian,

POST CODE: EH47 7BY, Scotland

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f602d65debd000be0314e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/FlXR6SppQLy6k3vKg6Itnw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/FlXR6SppQLy6k3vKg6Itnw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Henry  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Henry

ADDRESS: Valencia, ESPAÑA\221A

POST CODE: 46020

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f5e94ae6933000cf53a87

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/aGjSmPjMSlKfPDn9YhTrEQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/aGjSmPjMSlKfPDn9YhTrEQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Inga Dã³ra  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Inga Dã³ra  
ADDRESS: Reykjavik  
POST CODE: 105

COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6073829df0000ed38c09

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/A3RbiTZETaGjwNIPkDM3sA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/A3RbiTZETaGjwNIPkDM3sA/uh1>> .

=====

From: JosÃ© AndrÃ©s Noguera  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JosÃ© AndrÃ©s Noguera

ADDRESS: Avda. AmÃ©rica 47 Pral. Primera

POST CODE: 08304

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f608f5d400d000e5433c0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/J84rBXJORjaRR32V4fAPVg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/J84rBXJORjaRR32V4fAPVg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Paul  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paul

ADDRESS: 49 N. Parkwood

POST CODE: 91107

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f60cd5b7028000e0ecfbc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/ArW71gorQIWa5SBcetWADQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/ArW71gorQIWa5SBcetWADQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Andrew  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew

ADDRESS: 7510 S. Cronin Ave

POST CODE: 60458

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f664a65debd000eb7151d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/1Kb2xA0tQzGWAGttg7b4aQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/1Kb2xA0tQzGWAGttg7b4aQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Martin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin

ADDRESS: 1414 Jo Ann, Sugar Land, Tx

POST CODE: 77498-2402

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f635eae6933000cf53a9b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/LiKjFdxaTBWI5MNPjZilGA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/LiKjFdxaTBWI5MNPjZilGA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sarah

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah

ADDRESS: 425 7th avenue

POST CODE: 10012

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f643372f7a7000d0e315f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/rtn2mD9cRfOHCQsZS2\\_4Uw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/rtn2mD9cRfOHCQsZS2_4Uw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/rtn2mD9cRfOHCQsZS2\\_4Uw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/rtn2mD9cRfOHCQsZS2_4Uw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Thomas Horsch  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Horsch

ADDRESS: 6738 Willow Hill Rd.

POST CODE: 2388

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6464ae6933000ebfe1a2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/nE0tm6RSSrWTtDuVRH3BBw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/nE0tm6RSSrWTtDuVRH3BBw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tom  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tom  
ADDRESS: 15710 CR 7190  
POST CODE: 65401  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f615a5b7028000c7a8fff

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/egzmy81ASPCYhOZF0yGJUg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/egzmy81ASPCYhOZF0yGJUg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Eileen Horany

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:34

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eileen Horany

ADDRESS: 1913 Shadow Trail

POST CODE: 75075

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f66c572f7a7000e6fbd2c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/LbgQS3o1Qs-MLf\\_M5-34cQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/LbgQS3o1Qs-MLf_M5-34cQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/LbgQS3o1Qs-MLf\\_M5-34cQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/LbgQS3o1Qs-MLf_M5-34cQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Phil  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Phil

ADDRESS: 18 Mountain Ave

POST CODE: 28766

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f665a5c6908000dcd17bd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/M8V32UwGRJCFrCW2a1j\\_fw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/M8V32UwGRJCFrCW2a1j_fw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/M8V32UwGRJCFrCW2a1j\\_fw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/M8V32UwGRJCFrCW2a1j_fw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Thomas Horsch  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Horsch  
ADDRESS: 6738 Willow Hill Rd.  
POST CODE: 23881  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f654a5c6908000dcd17bb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/VptwPsYgQf2TgwLDGj5qzQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/VptwPsYgQf2TgwLDGj5qzQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Amy

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:35

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amy

ADDRESS: Auckland, NZ

POST CODE: 0620

COUNTRY: NZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f66d9ae6933000ebfe1b4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/8Oreme-YSraVsy82WxH1CQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/8Oreme-YSraVsy82WxH1CQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: s

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:35

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: s

ADDRESS: sdf

POST CODE: asdfasd

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f675b5d400d000cfd892d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/cryber\\_nTLCGh1MNBLxYhQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/cryber_nTLCGh1MNBLxYhQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/cryber\\_nTLCGh1MNBLxYhQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/cryber_nTLCGh1MNBLxYhQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: a  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: a

ADDRESS: a

POST CODE: a

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f67ee5b7028000d715b20

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/-mNL7zvZTCufTOIdogm6XA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/-mNL7zvZTCufTOIdogm6XA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Adislada Macias  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:36  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Adislada Macias  
24252 Radwell Dr  
Moreno Valley, California 92553

=====  
From: carol griffith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality is very important to me and my family. We pay a substantial amount now for online services. I hope that the FCC will assert its authority it has under "Title 01 of the Communications Act of 1934" to regulate the internet as a public utility

Thank you!

carol griffith

14564

=====  
From: Demetric Benbow  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:36  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Demetric Benbow  
207 Millstone Cir  
Clarksville, Tennessee 37042

=====  
From: a  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: a

ADDRESS: a

POST CODE: a

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f68b45b7028000d715b28

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/u8Cii8zlQfuznfbqrlTAnQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/u8Cii8zlQfuznfbqrlTAnQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: a  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: a

ADDRESS: a

POST CODE: a

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6a62ae6933000ebf1cd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/dV\\_8Q43wQYKCiVaUetD9fQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/dV_8Q43wQYKCiVaUetD9fQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/dV\_8Q43wQYKCiVaUetD9fQ/uh1> .

=====  
From: Amy Davis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:37  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Amy Davis  
808 Glasgow St  
Cambridge, Maryland 21613

=====  
From: Jody Keeler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:37  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jody Keeler  
1229 4th Ave NW  
Watertown, South Dakota 57201

=====  
From: Lorraine Nick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:37  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Nick  
7882 SE 171st Victoria Ln  
The Villages, Florida 32162

=====  
From: asdfasdf  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: asdfasdf

ADDRESS: asdfsadf

POST CODE: asdfsdf

COUNTRY: IN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f68b65b7028000d715b29

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/Nk0gEkyYSDG\\_NUzzstlVTw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/Nk0gEkyYSDG_NUzzstlVTw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Nk0gEkyYSDG\\_NUzzstlVTw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Nk0gEkyYSDG_NUzzstlVTw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Hanzel Rodriguez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Hanzel Rodriguez  
ADDRESS: 44 Belmont ave  
POST CODE: Jersey city, nj 07304  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f69515c6908000e080922

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/f419eOyURAi5tqbkvAQmA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/f419eOyURAi5tqbkvAQmA/uh1>> .

=====

From: LAURA BRAZEE  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:38  
 Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

LAURA BRAZEE  
 3113 Stratford Ct  
 High Point, North Carolina 27265

=====

From: Lizzy Weaver  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:38  
 Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lizzy Weaver  
835 Moon Rd  
Horton, Alabama 35980

=====  
From: mats Å\205.  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mats Å\205.

ADDRESS: leirv.21

POST CODE: 2150

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f69d9ae6933000ebfelc7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/3HLYCKoYR0ytkLQiASrkFg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/3HLYCKoYR0ytkLQiASrkFg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Michael Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Smith  
ADDRESS: 12755 sw Tremont St.  
POST CODE: portland OR 97225  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f67a8578919000efa2bdd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/81BGVQdyShKWhg\\_OpC8Grw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/81BGVQdyShKWhg_OpC8Grw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/81BGVQdyShKWhg\\_OpC8Grw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/81BGVQdyShKWhg_OpC8Grw/uh1)> .

=====

From: a  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: a

ADDRESS: a

POST CODE: a

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6c0272f7a7000c382144

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/bw0zvVh6RCq3ZQ\\_bHEwdZA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/bw0zvVh6RCq3ZQ_bHEwdZA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/bw0zvVh6RCq3ZQ\\_bHEwdZA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/bw0zvVh6RCq3ZQ_bHEwdZA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Andrea Walker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:39  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Andrea Walker  
13061 Lazy Ln  
Willis, Texas 77318

=====

From: cihanmix  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: cihanmix

ADDRESS: mithatpasa mah 58064 sokak no 19

POST CODE: 01110

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6cba5d400d000de55a20

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/axMAtat3Ty6o8QUpL94ZLA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/axMAtat3Ty6o8QUpL94ZLA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ellen butler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ellen butler

ADDRESS: 25 port rush rd Marion

POST CODE: 5045

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6ae2829df0000daa06d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/J3bsmkriT86lztLE2x8JfA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/J3bsmkriT86lztLE2x8JfA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Fernando Saenz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:39  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Fernando Saenz  
7889 Delta Oak Ct  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89147

=====  
From: ibrahim  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:39

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ibrahim

ADDRESS: kemerÅşesme mahallesi

POST CODE: 16240

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6d30578919000b747efd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/gA5RMMIWR82R0yA54jebhg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/gA5RMMIWR82R0yA54jebhg/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Jeff Lyon???  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Jeff Lyon???

ADDRESS: 141 Saratoga Ave 1217

POST CODE: 95051

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6cac829df0000daa06da

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/5PtAATPlTHSevZ99QQ7WOg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/5PtAATPlTHSevZ99QQ7WOg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Amanda keh  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amanda keh  
ADDRESS: 841 Roanoke ct  
POST CODE: 68510  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6d5eae6933000ebfeldd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/QeLQ6rlERkOwmApqYQZa9Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/QeLQ6rlERkOwmApqYQZa9Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: MarÃ-a Eugenia Ortiz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MarÃ-a Eugenia Ortiz

ADDRESS: Vista Real 02 N.125

POST CODE: 00725

COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f63445c6908000b4d36af

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/Pm1cdPGsQjWpdxH1efXxwg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/Pm1cdPGsQjWpdxH1efXxwg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: maria O'Connor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:41  
Subject: Net Neutrality is Vital to Protect Women's & Girls' Voices Online

Already, major media's structural inequality continually leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our words on our own terms, uncensored and controlled by no one. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named "open Internet" would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech -- my speech -- online.

And the threat is twofold: we use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it. The internet allows us to spread messages farther than our feet could take us, make ideas louder than our voices can shout, and stand together on the same ground though we are miles apart. But our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. In the fight for media justice, we are at risk of the very people we fight against having authority over the tools we use to fight them. Silencing dissenting voices will be easier than ever -- and legal -- under these proposed rules.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women's voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

maria O'Connor

Mukwonago, WI 53149

=====  
From: Martin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Martin

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 92203

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6d3165debd000d16de71

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/NhvISyapSfORfpLEg9pOxg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/NhvISyapSfORfpLEg9pOxg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sam

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:41

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam

ADDRESS: 04 Devon street

POST CODE: 3192

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6dfcae6933000ebfe1df

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/RGKCxtI\\_TCG3AciU9GfbCA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/RGKCxtI_TCG3AciU9GfbCA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/RGKCxtI\\_TCG3AciU9GfbCA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/RGKCxtI_TCG3AciU9GfbCA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Dee Lane  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dee Lane

ADDRESS: 5427 Steven CT N Keizer, OR

POST CODE: 97303-5347

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6f725b7028000d715b41

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/5xl3pDopRNY7hjo7Zzsz-A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/5xl3pDopRNY7hjo7Zzsz-A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Keren Ibebunjo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Keren Ibebunjo  
922 Smith Grove Rd  
Easley, South Carolina 29640

=====  
From: Michael Osakwe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michael Osakwe  
215 S Barbara Ln  
Austell, Georgia 30168

=====  
From: Pieter Pastoor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pieter Pastoor

ADDRESS: 3040 Woos Circle, Davis, CA

POST CODE: 95616

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6e855b7028000c7a9019

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/1bjQOghySY-tND-hvPW7gw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/1bjQOghySY-tND-hvPW7gw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rasmus

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:42

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rasmus

ADDRESS: mÃ, lleparkvej

POST CODE: 6715

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6feb72f7a7000e6fbd4b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/M3tPFIdST\\_69wXC5FphHhg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/M3tPFIdST_69wXC5FphHhg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/M3tPFIdST\\_69wXC5FphHhg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/M3tPFIdST_69wXC5FphHhg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Jacob  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacob

ADDRESS: 20834 few deny trunk road

POST CODE: v2x3e8

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f71805d400d000b7d5817

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/abVy4JqwTFWip3RJksaZdA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/abVy4JqwTFWip3RJksaZdA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:43  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Michelle Milligan  
 608 N Park Ave  
 Indianapolis, IN 46204

=====

From: Sergio Tiguet C.  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:43  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sergio Tiguet C.

ADDRESS: Cerrada de Constituci3n 101

POST CODE: 38040

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6fb55b7028000e0ecfee

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/O7-511WWTM-Mko2xU7qPow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/O7-511WWTM-Mko2xU7qPow/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Frank CEFALU  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Frank CEFALU

ADDRESS: 1807-27th Ave. San Francisco Ca

POST CODE: 94122

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f71eb5d400d000cfd8961

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/\\_lXxNRpgTZ2HOd0fkdjLyg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/_lXxNRpgTZ2HOd0fkdjLyg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/\\_lXxNRpgTZ2HOd0fkdjLyg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/_lXxNRpgTZ2HOd0fkdjLyg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Sarah Adler

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:44

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah Adler

ADDRESS: BismarckstraÃ\237e 20

POST CODE: 79331

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f72bf5d400d000e543413

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/XuHGZeVuQFOdti\\_XFO\\_t1A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/XuHGZeVuQFOdti_XFO_t1A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/XuHGZeVuQFOdti\\_XFO\\_t1A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/XuHGZeVuQFOdti_XFO_t1A/uh1)> .

=====

From: J lia Gonzalo Tarrag 

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:45

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: J lia Gonzalo Tarrag 

ADDRESS: Campo Florido

POST CODE: 08027

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f74d75d400d000de55a2a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/TuIy39\\_VS1C6XqJ3MpCYqg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/TuIy39_VS1C6XqJ3MpCYqg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/TuIy39\\_VS1C6XqJ3MpCYqg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/TuIy39_VS1C6XqJ3MpCYqg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Rõni Meister

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:45

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rõni Meister

ADDRESS: Vilde 121b-11, Tallinn, Estonia

POST CODE: 12613

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f76375c6908000ca9640a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/PoNGBmfbTJ6cQwr5n\\_ebmw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/PoNGBmfbTJ6cQwr5n_ebmw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/PoNGBmfbTJ6cQwr5n\\_ebmw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/PoNGBmfbTJ6cQwr5n_ebmw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Sara Baker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:45  
Subject: Net Neutrality is Vital to Protect Women's & Girls' Voices Online

Already, major media's structural inequality continually leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our words on our own terms, uncensored and controlled by no one. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named "open Internet" would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech -- my speech -- online.

And the threat is twofold: we use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it. The internet allows us to spread messages farther than our feet could take us, make ideas louder than our voices can shout, and stand together on the same ground though we are miles apart. But our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. In the fight for media justice, we are at risk of the very people we fight against having authority over the tools we use to fight them. Silencing dissenting voices will be easier than ever -- and legal -- under these proposed rules.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women's voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

Sara Baker  
3619 Alpine Drive  
Knoxville, TN 37920

=====  
From: Selene  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Selene

ADDRESS: 2309 Berkeley Ave #2127

POST CODE: 78745

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f76e972f7a7000e6fbd5d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/M7\\_2kVdlSrW3ltOpVO60Bw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/M7_2kVdlSrW3ltOpVO60Bw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/M7\\_2kVdlSrW3ltOpVO60Bw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/M7_2kVdlSrW3ltOpVO60Bw/uh1)> .

=====

From: becky wissmann

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:46

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: becky wissmann

ADDRESS: 4497 pershing ave, #507

POST CODE: saint louis, mo 63108

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f74eb5c6908000e080958

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/-npHG5QATzK7rc\\_Iw3MvEg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/-npHG5QATzK7rc_Iw3MvEg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/-npHG5QATzK7rc\\_Iw3MvEg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/-npHG5QATzK7rc_Iw3MvEg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Thomas Duncan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Duncan

ADDRESS: 3550 Paul Sweet Rd. Santa Cruz Ca

POST CODE: 95065

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f72e75b7028000d715b57

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/TTceygzvTO6FDyNRqDVgXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/TTceygzvTO6FDyNRqDVgXA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Luciano Holanda Gomes

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:47

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luciano Holanda Gomes

ADDRESS: RÃ¼tscher StraÃ¼ 237e 155, Aachen

POST CODE: 52072

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f78ca829df0000ed38c6a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Y7sUq4NAQZ21aVP2qMk30A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Y7sUq4NAQZ21aVP2qMk30A/uh1>> .

=====

From: MAX

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:47

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MAX

ADDRESS: KonÃ©

POST CODE: 98860

COUNTRY: NC

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f761b65debd000cfd98f5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/jvP0ytlMS7iz-600iSeLGg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/jvP0ytlMS7iz-600iSeLGg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sean  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean

ADDRESS: 06 Eva Cir. Asheville, NC

POST CODE: 28806

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6b305b7028000d715b32

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/YSV0ygQcTfOlGRoQfld6zg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/YSV0ygQcTfOlGRoQfld6zg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: steve  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: steve

ADDRESS: 3716 Gov. Harris, Ada, Ok

POST CODE: 74820

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f788d5b7028000b9fe05e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/UK8UoO\\_\\_RXuXkqd8SBRHJg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/UK8UoO__RXuXkqd8SBRHJg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/UK8UoO\\_\\_RXuXkqd8SBRHJg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/UK8UoO__RXuXkqd8SBRHJg/uh1)> .

=====

From: yuval

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:47

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: yuval

ADDRESS: ??? 05\02

POST CODE: 4441

COUNTRY: IL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f6e8c578919000c9be672

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/skYFnKdRRbakSks0pKk4yg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/skYFnKdRRbakSks0pKk4yg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Alessio AlverÃ  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alessio AlverÃ

ADDRESS: via dragojesolo, 17/a

POST CODE: 30016

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f786665debd000be03166

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/sge8hBFuQ36sh9Bb3ucwAw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/sge8hBFuQ36sh9Bb3ucwAw/uh1>> .

=====

From: f

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:48

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: f

ADDRESS: ff

POST CODE: f

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f77ac5d400d000cfd897e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/5KyMGIVQTnSkA8K9bahB1g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/5KyMGIVQTnSkA8K9bahB1g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joshua Russell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joshua Russell

ADDRESS: 3324 Hidden Brook circle

POST CODE: 35173

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f788f5d400d000de55a30

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/64zd2\\_--Ri-4pLfVQ2cHaA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/64zd2_--Ri-4pLfVQ2cHaA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/64zd2\\_--Ri-4pLfVQ2cHaA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/64zd2_--Ri-4pLfVQ2cHaA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Sarah Kortekamp  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah Kortekamp  
ADDRESS: WestendstraÃ\237e  
POST CODE: 80686  
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f78f95c6908000e080971

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/bGUWXoz5Qbmupk9qYZ-ngA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/bGUWXoz5Qbmupk9qYZ-ngA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Bent Hestad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bent Hestad

ADDRESS: Å\230stre Hallsetvangen 03 C

POST CODE: 7027

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7ad85d400d000e54343b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/b6Min4\\_2R3CA7\\_shCe-nmA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/b6Min4_2R3CA7_shCe-nmA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/b6Min4\\_2R3CA7\\_shCe-nmA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/b6Min4_2R3CA7_shCe-nmA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Helen Fosbery  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Helen Fosbery

ADDRESS: 305 E 24th St. #9A

POST CODE: 10010-4025

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7b2465debd000d16de85

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/4iJuSsidQsOrp5\\_0n7Jjqw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/4iJuSsidQsOrp5_0n7Jjqw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/4iJuSsidQsOrp5\\_0n7Jjqw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/4iJuSsidQsOrp5_0n7Jjqw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: JosÃ© Juan GonzÃ¡lez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:49

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JosÃ© Juan GonzÃ¡lez

ADDRESS: Spain

POST CODE: 03550

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7abaae6933000b878fb8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/uEkj\\_glCSluFR34PAqvCuA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/uEkj_glCSluFR34PAqvCuA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/uEkj\\_glCSluFR34PAqvCuA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/uEkj_glCSluFR34PAqvCuA/uh1)> .

-----  
From: Norene McKay  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Norene McKay

ADDRESS: 273A Paseo Tierra

POST CODE: 85614

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f79de5c6908000e080975

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/qCusZRgaTmidchY6-0OZ1A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/qCusZRgaTmidchY6-0OZ1A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sigurd Kristiansen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sigurd Kristiansen

ADDRESS: Oslo, Norway

POST CODE: 0554

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7ae75b7028000e0ed015

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/FsI1-oh0RYC5z7cuav319A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/FsI1-oh0RYC5z7cuav319A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tomasz

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:49

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tomasz

ADDRESS: Starzyno/Poland

POST CODE: 84-107

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7b335d400d000e54343e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/QzI69A3NSDqyfw9Nequfow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/QzI69A3NSDqyfw9Nequfow/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Alex

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:50

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex

ADDRESS: Belgrade

POST CODE: 11000

COUNTRY: RS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7c5c829df0000ed38c75

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/OoCU7sIEQMy1xy68XI\\_ytg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/OoCU7sIEQMy1xy68XI_ytg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/OoCU7sIEQMy1xy68XI\\_ytg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/OoCU7sIEQMy1xy68XI_ytg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Hans TÃ¸fte  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hans TÃ¸fte

ADDRESS: Gryvjene 21

POST CODE: 4332

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7c6c5d400d000cfd8992

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/xtZGx6bgQsSMD1WCQJ2YOA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/xtZGx6bgQsSMD1WCQJ2YOA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Raymond Hoyht  
2106 Jackson Dr  
Perryton, TX 79070

=====

From: Richard Cochran  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Richard Cochran  
244sw rimrock wy  
Redmond, Oregon 97856

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Roger Raymond  
3025 Cortina Dr  
Colorado Spgs, CO 80918

=====  
From: Shawn J Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shawn J Smith

ADDRESS: 3617 Buena Vista Ave

POST CODE: 21211

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7ce365debd000eb715b4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/g67moxwkR5S0G1487eQ0uA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/g67moxwkR5S0G1487eQ0uA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gabriel Leon  
2125 E Nantuckett Dr  
Gilbert, AZ 85234

=====

From: Uwe Walther  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:50

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Uwe Walther

ADDRESS: Arthur-Hoffmann-StraÃe 101, Leipzig

POST CODE: 04275

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7cb35c6908000ca9641a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/hMjJ9LE3TkCwT4-R58TbQw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/hMjJ9LE3TkCwT4-R58TbQw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Bart Sorrell  
955 Rehoboth Church Rd  
Newbern, TN 38059

=====  
From: cdcvdf  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: cdcvdf  
ADDRESS: vdfvdfvdfvdf  
POST CODE: vfdvdfvdfvdfvdf

COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7d1a5b7028000d715b7e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/q1YXfx0ARlKdwB7MB4-emw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/q1YXfx0ARlKdwB7MB4-emw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Justin Thompson  
725 Ottawa St  
Leavenworth, KS 66048

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Marshall Fields  
19426 Chicot Rd  
Mabelvale, AR 72103

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shawn Arnold  
2567 Primrose Ave  
Middleburg, FL 32068

=====  
From: Justus Bogner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justus Bogner

ADDRESS: ReinsburgstraÙe 136

POST CODE: 70197

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7b3872f7a7000e6fbd6c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/nQQKvHx\\_RWwsqJVeNBBlcw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/nQQKvHx_RWwsqJVeNBBlcw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/nQQKvHx\\_RWwsqJVeNBBlcw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/nQQKvHx_RWwsqJVeNBBlcw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tony Lamb  
1307 Robinhood Ln  
Front Royal, VA 22630

=====  
From: Geneviève Therrien  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Geneviève Therrien  
ADDRESS: 8139 80th Avenue  
POST CODE: T6C 0S7  
COUNTRY: GT  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7d7765debd000eb715b9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Q3vqrB5nRZaTsShPxScDWw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Q3vqrB5nRZaTsShPxScDWw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:54

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the

dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation - just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mike Casey  
4675 Nadine Park Dr  
Hilliard, OH 43026

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:54  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change - and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation - just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Guy Meixel  
514 E 13th St Apt 3d  
Mishawaka, IN 46544

=====  
From: Vickie Voit  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:54  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As a free thinking citizen of the world, it is clear to me that each person has the right to enjoy life to the fullest and to use all things available on an equal level to all others. When it comes to the internet remaining in the hands of all, I support that. No business, no person, no country, no nothing "owns" the internet nor should have greater access to it or more say over how and when and why it can be used than another. There is no reason, justifiable or otherwise, that this should occur as it is not in everyone's best interests. I am no more or no less important than any other or any business, despite what some may choose to believe.

It does not matter what my business is or if I even am involved in any business, though I am well aware of how businesses use and profit from the use of the internet. What is important is that the internet be available to all equally, with no varying charges or various businesses being able to profit more from its use or charge various amounts for its use simply because that business somehow has somehow gained more control over it.

This type of thinking that some are more entitled than others or should call the shots and pick and choose who gets what and for how much, often involving levels of corruption, is what has been the downfall of many things that needed to remain in the hands of all rather than just a few. It doesn't matter if we're talking of the railroad industry, oil industry, air travel, food industry or what. In the end, it is the people worldwide who together provide all that we have and ever have had, and always have provided that, and what they deserve is to be able to keep what they have created and to profit from it in their own way, whether it is of a personal nature, for enjoyment only, or as a part of whatever business they may have created. No large group or even small groups or individuals should have the power to hold others "hostage" when it comes to the internet.

Our world is great partly due to the variety of things we get to choose from, to have, to buy, to play with, to use in whatever ways we choose, as long as they're legal, of course. And it is the people who ultimately must decide what is legal and fair or not, not the business world, not the justice system, not the government, not other countries. We do not need yet another example of groups gaining power or control, to have any hold over us whatsoever in such negative ways.

Maintaining net neutrality puts us all on the same level, which is what we deserve as free thinking people.

Vickie Voit

95037

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:54  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Justin Broadway  
2524 Rainbow Cactus Ct  
Las Vegas, NV 89106

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brittany Beauregard  
440 Live Oak Ave  
Orange City, FL 32763

=====  
From: Gerardo Osorio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gerardo Osorio  
ADDRESS: 33095 adelfa street  
POST CODE: 92530

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7e2365debd000eb715bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/g806SHXFSiqq0ZnJt\\_to1A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/g806SHXFSiqq0ZnJt_to1A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/g806SHXFSiqq0ZnJt\\_to1A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/g806SHXFSiqq0ZnJt_to1A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Leslie Valpey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leslie Valpey

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 161538

POST CODE: Sacramento, CA 95816

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7cfe65debd000eb715b6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/qeugs7CvRwaGe5lJucUWwA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/qeugs7CvRwaGe5lJucUWwA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Martha Jaquith

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:55

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

The FCC has tried protecting net neutrality twice before, and been defeated by Big Telecom in court both times. In order to legally prevent Big Telecom from destroying net neutrality once again, the FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites. Please help us protect net neutrality!

Thank you!

-Martha Jaquith

16 Reinfuss Lane

Kingston, NH 03848

Martha Jaquith

03848

=====  
From: Michael

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:55

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael

ADDRESS: 1333 Oakes Rd #06

POST CODE: 53406

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7f22578919000df5cdf2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/10vQdP9xTIilpM\\_hkWXkWw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/10vQdP9xTIilpM_hkWXkWw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/10vQdP9xTIilpM\\_hkWXkWw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/10vQdP9xTIilpM_hkWXkWw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Barb fox  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Barb fox

ADDRESS: 16 rockcity rd Woodstock ny

POST CODE: 12498

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7f2165debd000d16de8e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/hk1w9T-UQ-uj-DvugWD-7A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/hk1w9T-UQ-uj-DvugWD-7A/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Woodrow Davis  
137 Bennett Loop  
Natchitoches, LA 71457

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Donald Garrett  
Po Box 567  
Ketchum, OK 74349

=====  
From: Ingvild  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ingvild

ADDRESS: Lillehammer

POST CODE: 2615

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f804f72f7a7000b2556dc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/PZaD229BT40iHKgjFIWv8Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/PZaD229BT40iHKgjFIWv8Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: James  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James  
ADDRESS: 123 Main St  
POST CODE: 10017  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7f5b65debd000cfd9914

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/SyAG0tfASDip05Fqxut4-w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/SyAG0tfASDip05Fqxut4-w/uh1>> .

=====

From: jfasdljf;kJKJAKL;SJDFKL; J  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jfasdljf;kJKJAKL;SJDFKL; J

ADDRESS: J F;LQJ W

POST CODE: J ;LGSJD

COUNTRY: RS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7f8c5b7028000e0ed023

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/Z8flipRRS52Cv5c2avn7Cw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/Z8flipRRS52Cv5c2avn7Cw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ora Anderson  
1917 Faith Pl Apt F  
Gretna, LA 70056

=====  
From: roger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: roger

ADDRESS: russia, moscow

POST CODE: 45635125

COUNTRY: RU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f800565debd000cfd9915

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/FrCBL33SuedkDvKAE9iHQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/FrCBL33SuedkDvKAE9iHQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Anna Murrie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anna Murrie  
ADDRESS: 9551 Floyd Hwy N Copper Hill, VA  
POST CODE: 24079  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7ed05c6908000e080991

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/MNdnSJE1QhC\\_Lv1OQYKzvw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/MNdnSJE1QhC_Lv1OQYKzvw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/MNdnSJE1QhC\\_Lv1OQYKzvw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/MNdnSJE1QhC_Lv1OQYKzvw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Colleen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Colleen

ADDRESS: 219 n beach

POST CODE: 38576

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f81e772f7a7000d0e31f2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/xq0Ua43mRy-55SOaIDlslxQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/xq0Ua43mRy-55SOaIDlslxQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: David  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David  
ADDRESS: 1509w3rd  
POST CODE: 69101  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7d8a829df0000ed38c79

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/20vIVs91QCS\\_5ZxTrOjSSg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/20vIVs91QCS_5ZxTrOjSSg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/20vIVs91QCS\\_5ZxTrOjSSg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/20vIVs91QCS_5ZxTrOjSSg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Dragan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dragan  
ADDRESS: FFM Germany  
POST CODE: 60311  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8168578919000df5cdfd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/csuKX5KqRF-WrvSHtvHZkQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/csuKX5KqRF-WrvSHtvHZkQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:57

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of

Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Oana Wilbur  
Po Box 430  
Eatonville, WA 98328

=====  
From: Roger Alien  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Roger Alien

ADDRESS: ?? ???????? 33-59

POST CODE: 634034

COUNTRY: RU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f81075b7028000e0ed029

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/qdht4uxGSaCahOltKv8G7g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/qdht4uxGSaCahOltKv8G7g/uh1>> .

=====

From: rowan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:57

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: rowan

ADDRESS: salinas, Ca, USA

POST CODE: 93907

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8163ae6933000cf53b0d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/vQGb4RVOREOuwZvjudD6lA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/vQGb4RVOREOuwZvjudD6lA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Shawn J Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shawn J Smith

ADDRESS: 3617 Buena Vista Ave

POST CODE: 21211

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7d6f578919000b747f22

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/OKu6LL07RUOd5meAFDHatw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/OKu6LL07RUOd5meAFDHatw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Harvey  
1806 N 7th Pl  
Port Hueneme, CA 93041

=====  
From: Aleta Bruno  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aleta Bruno  
ADDRESS: 17395 Barbara dr #A  
POST CODE: 3396  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f82545d400d000e543456

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/uHY3ntQtRRq3GeiCwbCvKA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/uHY3ntQtRRq3GeiCwbCvKA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:58

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Noe Montes  
1145 Monserate Ave  
Chula Vista, CA 91911

=====  
From: Sasho  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sasho

ADDRESS: Samoilova 101

POST CODE: 7500

COUNTRY: MK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f817d578919000efa2c4b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/FAhkuqHqRGKoamwZDZLpPw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/FAhkuqHqRGKoamwZDZLpPw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:58

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Victor Mullino  
2929 Branch Hollow Cir  
Mesquite, TX 75150

=====  
From: alex  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: alex

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 100256

COUNTRY: RO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f848972f7a7000d0e31fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/hw74qiYVTGOvhfmMybJMKw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/hw74qiYVTGOvhfmMybJMKw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:59

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Frank Shreiber  
219 Bishop Rd  
Mullica Hill, NJ 08062

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mario Curiel  
1218 Floribunda Ave Apt 03  
Burlingame, CA 94010

-----  
From: paola patino  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: paola patino

ADDRESS: colombia

POST CODE: 075

COUNTRY: CO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f83db5c6908000ca96431

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/rRzRlAqTQiadUCuiWDhtzw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/rRzRlAqTQiadUCuiWDhtzw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ryan Whitmore  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan Whitmore  
ADDRESS: 10719 kipling way  
POST CODE: 80021

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f833365debd000eb715d7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/MKB3gNKsSNqOpvycSD2ntg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/MKB3gNKsSNqOpvycSD2ntg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Stavros  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:43:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stavros

ADDRESS: Dikastirion 02

POST CODE: 68100

COUNTRY: GR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f84145b7028000b9fe064

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/RfAvtysiTOmpuJA--LK2MQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/RfAvtysiTOmpuJA--LK2MQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:00

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mitch Findley  
1801 Oak St  
Napa, CA 94559

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:00

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mike Anderson  
807 Driftwood Dr  
Papillion, NE 68046

=====  
From: John  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John

ADDRESS: 123 Hunt Lane

POST CODE: 06512

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f83e8829df0000ce42c33

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/fCwVl0AqSVKgcZvK3Du66w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/fCwVl0AqSVKgcZvK3Du66w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:00  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Karen Konrath  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: PO Box 103  
Zip: 33849

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II's any more than you can be slightly pregnant's.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes's.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Melissa Reed  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Melissa Reed

ADDRESS: 113 S. Market St. #05

POST CODE: 17870

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f84cd5d400d000e543460

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/cU42J9wJR0OBZdYxABZR3Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/cU42J9wJR0OBZdYxABZR3Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mike stanton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike stanton

ADDRESS: PO BOX 2067

POST CODE: 99645

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f84ab5b7028000d715ba2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/jRUX00BzQ8Kn8QCUxLRPng/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/jRUX00BzQ8Kn8QCUxLRPng/uh1>> .

=====

From: Pertain  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pertain  
ADDRESS: Lewis Center OH  
POST CODE: 43035  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f84c972f7a7000d0e31ff

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/-DbNEO\\_4RZO0UCCv9kGNaw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/-DbNEO_4RZO0UCCv9kGNaw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/-DbNEO\\_4RZO0UCCv9kGNaw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/-DbNEO_4RZO0UCCv9kGNaw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Tracey Perry  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tracey Perry  
ADDRESS: 620 N. 34th St. #321, Seattle, WA  
POST CODE: 98103  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f82a9ae6933000ebfe237

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/qib\\_oA5sS4uj6mf8KnCKTw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/qib_oA5sS4uj6mf8KnCKTw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/qib\\_oA5sS4uj6mf8KnCKTw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/qib_oA5sS4uj6mf8KnCKTw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Albert Stiver  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I STRONGLY object to the proposed fast lane internet proposals due to the advantages this gives to large and well funded corporations. I want to see the internet stick to fair play routing rules with equal access to all, rather than pay to play rules currently being considered.

Albert Stiver

19114

=====  
From: Cameron Buice  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:01

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cameron Buice  
4514 Reva Way NE  
Marietta, Georgia 30066

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:01  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: George Klander  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 7800 Myers Drive  
Zip: 21061

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling

todayâ\200\231s bipartisan â\200\234Hands off the Netâ\200\235 approach. You canâ\200\231t have â\200\234just enough Title IIâ\200\235 any more than you can be â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ\200\231t intend.

Yet, as youâ\200\231ve noted, Title II wouldnâ\200\231t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\200\234fast lanes.â\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â\200\234vigilant restraint.â\200\235 But remember Kennardâ\200\231s digital mantra, too: â\200\234First, do no harm.â\200\235 If you conclude that existing laws arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Harmon  
7 S Jefferson St

Batavia, IL 60510

=====  
From: Jakob RÃ¶ger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Jakob RÃ¶ger

ADDRESS: Rudolf-Renner-Str. 45

POST CODE: 01159

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8536ae6933000ebfe240

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/JlP1ZG4LTVuNXCMr\\_c497g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/JlP1ZG4LTVuNXCMr_c497g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/JlP1ZG4LTVuNXCMr\\_c497g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/JlP1ZG4LTVuNXCMr_c497g/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:01  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Jimmie Tapia  
 1349 2nd St  
 Livingston, CA 95334

=====

From: Mike  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:01  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike

ADDRESS: Western desert. NT

POST CODE: 0872

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f85405d400d000cfd89b3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/qYSXAULIQUiGQ4-4eTj7Ow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/qYSXAULIQUiGQ4-4eTj7Ow/uh1>> .

=====

From: Scott Vance  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:01  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Scott Vance  
425 W Wayside Dr  
Dinuba, California 93618

=====

From: Brennan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brennan

ADDRESS: 2872 laloma dr

POST CODE: 95670

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f853965debd000be03171

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/oJbYtf9VTTaRNRq4SjB-8Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/oJbYtf9VTTaRNRq4SjB-8Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Chresten Damgaard TÃ,nder  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chresten Damgaard TÃ,nder

ADDRESS: BjÃ|lderbÃ|k 11

POST CODE: 6000

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f855a65debd000d16de90

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/Qo8MuQcxThS9X7vKaQ\\_fDg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/Qo8MuQcxThS9X7vKaQ_fDg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/Qo8MuQcxThS9X7vKaQ\\_fDg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/Qo8MuQcxThS9X7vKaQ_fDg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:02

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Oliff  
141 Wilmington Rd  
Palmyra, VA 22963

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:02

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Josh Deese  
374 Baker Rd  
Jefferson, SC 29718

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Murphy  
2210 Pinehurst Ave  
Everett, WA 98203

=====  
From: Wayne Ruthke  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wayne Ruthke

ADDRESS: 214 S. Ann st.

POST CODE: 21231

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8528578919000efa2c57

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/VB9\\_1kwBSWGtbcDBRW-sQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/VB9_1kwBSWGtbcDBRW-sQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/VB9\\_1kwBSWGtbcDBRW-sQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/VB9_1kwBSWGtbcDBRW-sQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:03  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Crandall Akins  
 2090 Tanglewood Dr  
 Orange City, FL 32763

=====

From: Joyce Piontak  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:03  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joyce Piontak

ADDRESS: 22 Eucalyptus Irvine CA

POST CODE: 92612

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f866bae6933000b878fca

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/OY7Z8VrrQKmL2iDXNnsOcQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/OY7Z8VrrQKmL2iDXNnsOcQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jamika McClain  
13945 Hazelridge St  
Detroit, MI 48205

=====

From: Nicolás Pich preuss  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicolás Pich preuss  
ADDRESS: Winterhuder Weg 81  
POST CODE: 22085  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f865e5b7028000c7a9048

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/BjPvb5ryR7WQjrAfhmUqVg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/BjPvb5ryR7WQjrAfhmUqVg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:04

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Kilpatrick  
142 Woodlawn Plantation Dr  
Brighton, TN 38011

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:04  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Sheryl Irving  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 6089 S. Lime Lake Rd  
Zip: 49621

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Julian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julian  
ADDRESS: 84 stanmore ave  
POST CODE: N2B3W2  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f866365debd000eb715e8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/-T1VB2yfRMiFtdoJeL890w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/-T1VB2yfRMiFtdoJeL890w/uh1>> .

=====

From: CÃ©lio

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:05

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: CÃ©lio

ADDRESS: rua coronel vieira

POST CODE: 89227497

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f86a4578919000efa2c5e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/f2iKaV-nSPS8Oqfr6kAEaA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/f2iKaV-nSPS8Oqfr6kAEaA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Izzat  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Izzat

ADDRESS: Sycamore

POST CODE: 60178

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f868472f7a7000e6fbd95

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/rIsIKRzGRQGcL-sa9EVliQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/rIsIKRzGRQGcL-sa9EVliQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:05  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Linda Young  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 409 Orange Ave  
Zip: 32112

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tyson Taylor  
1724 Sierra Hills Way  
Las Vegas, NV 89128

=====  
From: Arlene Schutz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Arlene Schutz

ADDRESS: 145 East 16th St

POST CODE: 10003

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f89195d400d000cfd89c6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/nTieLx2RSamhdW2GaDWjIg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/nTieLx2RSamhdW2GaDWjIg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tom Cahill  
440 Alabama St  
San Francisco, CA 94110

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Eric Brehe  
6009 E 149th Ter  
Grandview, MO 64030

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Adrian Brown  
7912 Frontenac St  
Detroit, MI 48211

=====  
From: Rob Vessie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rob Vessie

ADDRESS: 20 Lexington Road, Annandsle, NJ

POST CODE: 08801

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f879dae6933000ebfe24c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/m3BiNBtoSmWf2-I9B5CFOA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/m3BiNBtoSmWf2-I9B5CFOA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tim van de Kerkhof  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tim van de Kerkhof

ADDRESS: Mondriaanstraat 24

POST CODE: 6374PH The Netherlands

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f88ea829df0000ed38c9d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/zzPZWiq\\_SGKgGizY2MulQQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/zzPZWiq_SGKgGizY2MulQQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/zzPZWiq\\_SGKgGizY2MulQQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/zzPZWiq_SGKgGizY2MulQQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Winston Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Winston Smith

ADDRESS: 01, PÃ-o XII Avenue, T2,3Ã°B. Pamplona, Foral Community of Navarra, Spain

POST CODE: 31002

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f7fa45d400d000e54344d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Qgg3vq19Qp07jzrQck94sQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Qgg3vq19Qp07jzrQck94sQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Å½ilvinas

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:06

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Å½ilvinas

ADDRESS: Dainu g.

POST CODE: 78284

COUNTRY: LT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f874b578919000df5ce11

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/8NTEvkoRTDeRL-qnaEf9Rg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/8NTEvkoRTDeRL-qnaEf9Rg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Endia Macon  
725 Simmons St  
Tallahassee, FL 32303

=====  
From: Cesar Ulibarri  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cesar Ulibarri

ADDRESS: canc<sup>~</sup>ñ, Mexico

POST CODE: 77516

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8a0065debd000cfd9927

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/yJTEg16DSey5PhHv-DhOTQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/yJTEg16DSey5PhHv-DhOTQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:07

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jerald Smith  
72 Coaling Rd  
Cedartown, GA 30125

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:07

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Britt  
41750 Colonial Ct  
Temecula, CA 92591

=====  
From: Robert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert

ADDRESS: 242 beach 130 st, rockaway park ny

POST CODE: 11694

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8637578919000b747f38

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/lNJf2aJsSc2q3-GkTXhWZw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/lNJf2aJsSc2q3-GkTXhWZw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Robert McManus  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert McManus  
ADDRESS: 320 Wessinger Dr Lexington  
POST CODE: 29072  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8ce072f7a7000c38216c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/n\\_-BSfGqQwmVfvi31tqhsg/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/n_-BSfGqQwmVfvi31tqhsg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/n\\_-BSfGqQwmVfvi31tqhsg/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/n_-BSfGqQwmVfvi31tqhsg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: allphaze- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:08

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joann Catanzaro  
443 Random Rd  
Baltimore, MD 21229

=====  
From: Jose Tomas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Jose Tomas  
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 809  
COUNTRY: DO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8a4c5c6908000e0809c9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/7klnfUCpS22BD6oEfsTs4g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/7klnfUCpS22BD6oEfsTs4g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:08  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
William Burgos Jr  
20 Hope Ave  
Worcester, MA 01603

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Williams  
106 Oakton Ln  
Green Bay, WI 54311

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cathy Juarez  
521 Roberts Cut Off Rd  
River Oaks, TX 76114

=====  
From: Dahan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dahan

ADDRESS: Ribera

POST CODE: 28030

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8ed4578919000b747f52

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/uID-KoGSTRCOGbsS9aw10vw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/uID-KoGSTRCOGbsS9aw10vw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ginger

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:09

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ginger

ADDRESS: 25455 Borough Park Dr. Apt 337 spring tx

POST CODE: 77380

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8dc35c6908000ca9644a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/Db9pK3T7Sy2LB\\_8Rvfj63Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/Db9pK3T7Sy2LB_8Rvfj63Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Db9pK3T7Sy2LB\\_8Rvfj63Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Db9pK3T7Sy2LB_8Rvfj63Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Kon Gouriotis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kon Gouriotis

ADDRESS: 10 Kylie Way Casula NSW Australia

POST CODE: 2170

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8f0672f7a7000d0e3224

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/tdAx5KdDSxSHyc7AhSMvZA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/tdAx5KdDSxSHyc7AhSMvZA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lyn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lyn

ADDRESS: 74 w 68 St.

POST CODE: 10023

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8efb578919000df5ce23

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/Cc-OSZ8\\_SuiIJn\\_HuynWow/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/Cc-OSZ8_SuiIJn_HuynWow/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Cc-OSZ8\\_SuiIJn\\_HuynWow/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Cc-OSZ8_SuiIJn_HuynWow/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:10

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alfonso Perry  
4307 W Lewis Ave  
Phoenix, AZ 85035

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:10

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brandon Elias  
6212 Yorkshire Ct  
Chino, CA 91710

=====  
From: Enrique Guzman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Enrique Guzman

ADDRESS: 113 How St.

POST CODE: 01830

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8ff3578919000b747f54

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/me3ba2k3ReWlLb-KH8cA-w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/me3ba2k3ReWlLb-KH8cA-w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jesica  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesica

ADDRESS: 2017 64th St

POST CODE: 50324

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f929c5b7028000c7a9059

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/G6FQADrXQhC2hKMVnhf6Hg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/G6FQADrXQhC2hKMVnhf6Hg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Jacobsen  
604 E Maple Ave  
La Porte, IN 46350

=====  
From: Josef Kienle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josef Kienle

ADDRESS: 2682 Ansley Way

POST CODE: 30044

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8ff1578919000efa2c87

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/7R72hZZaSACr10nN-8kvQw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/7R72hZZaSACr10nN-8kvQw/uh1>> .

=====

From: T O  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:10  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Really? You really think the American Public will stand by and allow BIG BROTHER to take away a most basic and essential tool of LIFE- internet service? Well think the hell again... as a parent..., my child uses & relies on the internet at a decent speed to complete essential school-related services. As a business owner I rely on the internet with a decent speed to communicate! F--k big companies!!!! They can well afford to pay for whatever speed they choose! Please finally do something decent in the best interest of middle America instead of raping us at every opportunity! If you do not respect the needs of middle & poor Americans..... GOD will unleash a wrath yet to be seen in this country!

T O

30214

=====  
From: yann sanche  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: yann sanche

ADDRESS: 817 HÃ©lÃ©ne boullÃ©

POST CODE: j4b6c8

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f9033ae6933000b878fd7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/MRjNrTVSRYKYVcx11vQNnw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/MRjNrTVSRYKYVcx11vQNnw/uh1>> .

=====

From: adrienne ursula

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:11

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: adrienne ursula

ADDRESS: 94 tibrogargan drive

POST CODE: 4504

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8b525d400d000cfd89d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/2PM4t-VATtW3Y4rcDRO-Jg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/2PM4t-VATtW3Y4rcDRO-Jg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Andrew  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew  
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 4552  
COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8f425b7028000c7a9056

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/wq32AeW2SnesFzetD4A70g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/wq32AeW2SnesFzetD4A70g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:11  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Ed O'Donnell  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 511 Hamrick Ave Romeoville,il.  
Zip: 60446

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment

and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act

responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jocelyn Billard  
40177 N West Shore Dr  
Antioch, IL 60002

=====  
From: JoAnn Jackson-Holt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JoAnn Jackson-Holt

ADDRESS: 2681 Cameron Park Drive, #134, Cameron Park, CA.

POST CODE: 95682-8842

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f87f9ae6933000ebfe24e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/lxf4JOTCRaeWJ3vWHMxHlg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/lxf4JOTCRaeWJ3vWHMxHlg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Lawrence Schmitz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:11  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I use the internet to access a variety of content, some from very large commercial sites and some from smaller sites. I believe it is important for all people to have an equal chance of getting their idea out. Please preserve net neutrality and do not create fast lanes for those site with more money.

Lawrence Schmitz

25705

=====

From: Louis Torres  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:11  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Louis Torres

80219

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Roderick Crosby  
956 Front St  
Eudora, AR 71640

=====  
From: Rüdiger Biernat  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rüdiger Biernat

ADDRESS: Bauernstraße 237e 16

POST CODE: 29556

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f94bf829df0000ed38cc2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/07-h3yNdeRiuA108u-Qnelg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/07-h3yNdeRiuA108u-Qnelg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: ?????????? ????? ???????

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ????????? ???? ??????

ADDRESS: b,hat

POST CODE: 4212

COUNTRY: BD

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f92965b7028000b9fe06c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/V-3muwzWR6Sv5i7CnEp36Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/V-3muwzWR6Sv5i7CnEp36Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: clara  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: clara

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 22151

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8d835b7028000d715bc0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/abXQH6o7RGaf\\_2Fis4AtRA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/abXQH6o7RGaf_2Fis4AtRA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/abXQH6o7RGaf\\_2Fis4AtRA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/abXQH6o7RGaf_2Fis4AtRA/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dawn Davis  
6646 Ashley Ct  
Mason, OH 45040

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jr Vega  
3035 San Luis Dr  
Lancaster, CA 93535

=====  
From: Mabel CÃ¡ceres  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mabel CÃ¡ceres  
ADDRESS: FortvÃ¡gen 41  
POST CODE: 18764  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f93325c6908000e0809ef

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/OmTGUxYMSaeucaYMqXBJTA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/OmTGUxYMSaeucaYMqXBJTA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Robb

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:12

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robb

ADDRESS: 644 Maplewood

POST CODE: 15003

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f8ecc5d400d000cfd89de

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/H0ROEkAMTI2CRnUmrZTJKw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/H0ROEkAMTI2CRnUmrZTJKw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shannon Duggan  
6023 Crystal Dr Lot 48  
Columbus, GA 31907

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:14  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jeremy Tracy  
14520 E 4th Ave

Spokane Vly, WA 99216

=====  
From: Karen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karen

ADDRESS: Clover

POST CODE: 49008

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f9b0372f7a7000e6fbdcb

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/WQcRwjsuR4aAVhQ9caMzXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/WQcRwjsuR4aAVhQ9caMzXA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:14  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Leilani Tomas  
 1927 Polaris Dr  
 Glendale, CA 91208

=====

From: Marie Chapman  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:14  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marie Chapman

ADDRESS: Saith Cottage Rear Of 03 Gogarth Road

POST CODE: LL30 2AP

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f94a165debd000eb71637

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/b9yhkIlhReyrFtX03R4o\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/b9yhkIlhReyrFtX03R4o_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/b9yhkIlhReyrFtX03R4o\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/b9yhkIlhReyrFtX03R4o_g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Travis Hallgren  
824 N Bay Overlook  
Villa Rica, GA 30180

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cooper Gedney  
10 Pequot Dr  
Norwalk, CT 06855

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Julie Debord  
15 County Road 78  
Clanton, AL 35045

=====  
From: Ashley R  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ashley R

ADDRESS: 62 Rutgers Cres

POST CODE: T1K 4S9

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f95e45d400d000e5434a4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/31E-ciqqRfeXHSRrTWEyNw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/31E-ciqqRfeXHSRrTWEyNw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Carey  
3027 Wagner Heights Rd  
Stockton, CA 95209

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jeffery Fontenot  
2231 Little John Ln  
Groves, TX 77619

=====  
From: Gabriel Luneau  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gabriel Luneau

ADDRESS: 3840 Fabrique JonquiÃ"re

POST CODE: G7X 3P1

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f98aaae6933000cf53b4d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/AIQi\\_vjMRhyQh5ORbtXF\\_Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/AIQi_vjMRhyQh5ORbtXF_Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/AIQi\\_vjMRhyQh5ORbtXF\\_Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/AIQi_vjMRhyQh5ORbtXF_Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Gregory Vincent

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:16

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Gregory Vincent

94949

=====  
From: Jan Schick

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:16

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jan Schick

ADDRESS: Bgm-GrÃ¼nzweig-Str.

POST CODE: 67063

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f99dbae6933000d70c288

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/6NZCoYylSueON44pM0f0yA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/6NZCoYylSueON44pM0f0yA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Lucas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lucas

ADDRESS: 5044 South Washington Street, Oakland City, IN

POST CODE: 47660

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f9768578919000efa2ca2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/zdH2xZL5Ty-5gPsYpWRktw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/zdH2xZL5Ty-5gPsYpWRktw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rachel Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rachel Williams  
ADDRESS: 2665 G Street #10  
POST CODE: 92102  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f9e2b1f3894000bcb575b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/5LMnysc8T9ajwxKP3ZbQWQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/5LMnysc8T9ajwxKP3ZbQWQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Veronica Abernathy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Veronica Abernathy

ADDRESS: 11995 laurel canyon dr

POST CODE: 91604

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f992d72f7a7000b255705

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/zfSyDBG7Qk28AOxzUvddPw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/zfSyDBG7Qk28AOxzUvddPw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Brian Guerra  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian Guerra  
ADDRESS: 2575 S Syracuse Way G-102  
POST CODE: 80231  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f9fb0e397f4000ee390c7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/Br4gUZqxR6KY4dc-PD99mQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/Br4gUZqxR6KY4dc-PD99mQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Stanley Satchell  
2669 Tamalpais Dr  
Pinole, CA 94564

=====  
From: Cynthia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cynthia

ADDRESS: Davis

POST CODE: 65336

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa1383d4589000cdbeb93

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/snXpgxBRROGNM\\_m6zR6vcA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/snXpgxBRROGNM_m6zR6vcA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/snXpgxBRROGNM\\_m6zR6vcA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/snXpgxBRROGNM_m6zR6vcA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Deborah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Deborah

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 93430

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f992565debd000cfd9955

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/EdhdlMjBSOyrUKqWYTzmow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/EdhdlMjBSOyrUKqWYTzmow/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Andrea Carlson  
2875 Lawrenceburg Ferry Rd  
Petersburg, KY 41080

=====  
From: forrest  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: forrest  
ADDRESS: 814 forest acres dr  
POST CODE: 37220  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f9e3c48b9bc000d30f5d6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/Ey6Eo5d4QqSuNp4A14WFXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/Ey6Eo5d4QqSuNp4A14WFXA/uh1>> .

=====

From: HÅ¥kon Emil Olsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: HÅ¥kon Emil Olsen

ADDRESS: Bogavegen 2b

POST CODE: Steinkjer Norway

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f99d75c6908000e080a0d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/UYJJApDFQ-6ffZJPD9OM\\_A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/UYJJApDFQ-6ffZJPD9OM_A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/UYJJApDFQ-6ffZJPD9OM\\_A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/UYJJApDFQ-6ffZJPD9OM_A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jan Wilken  
74370 Road 435  
Smithfield, NE 68976

=====  
From: Jeanne wieland  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Jeanne wieland  
ADDRESS: 4362 lily creek rd., Freeport , IL  
POST CODE: 61032  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f9b0c5c6908000ca9646e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/qzMlMAqCSb6LerlW\\_iJiPA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/qzMlMAqCSb6LerlW_iJiPA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/qzMlMAqCSb6LerlW\\_iJiPA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/qzMlMAqCSb6LerlW_iJiPA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Jessie Osborne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17  
Subject: Comment re: WC Docket No. 14-28

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

=====  
From: Leslie Peluso

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leslie Peluso

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 36034

POST CODE: San Jose, CA 95118

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f9c3472f7a7000d0e3252

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/tbQKc1IrSeuqaYOQcFgmdw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/tbQKc1IrSeuqaYOQcFgmdw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: RenÃ©e Lauzon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: RenÃ©e Lauzon

ADDRESS: 118B â\200\223 7th Avenue

POST CODE: J7V 7Z1

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f9cfdae6933000cf53b5a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/W3BIzubQTCafcqIya7ylMg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/W3BIzubQTCafcqIya7ylMg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sarah Lyon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah Lyon

ADDRESS: PO Box 211155

POST CODE: Auke Bay, AK 99821

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f9fe8ac98d3000eae0925

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/TUw5jFG9S0Wbui5C2ldoKg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/TUw5jFG9S0Wbui5C2ldoKg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dean  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dean  
ADDRESS: 3223 Bass Road  
POST CODE: 46808  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa0e335d292000de9c683

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/7bz7oQriSMqXZ0lH2hR0FA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/7bz7oQriSMqXZ0lH2hR0FA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: KJ Linarez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: KJ Linarez

ADDRESS: 5249 Manzanita

POST CODE: Carmichael, CA 95608

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa37f42acd4000ef83d24

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/ZX7J43coSPG8ePmMVGd6lQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/ZX7J43coSPG8ePmMVGd6lQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sonya Brasher  
105 Northside Sq  
Huntsville, AL 35801

=====

From: Bryan Klaves  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bryan Klaves  
ADDRESS: 916 alderman st  
POST CODE: 54660  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa1890ee434000e45c27f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/zutEX3tuTXSx4lwZjlIOBQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/zutEX3tuTXSx4lwZjlIOBQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Daniel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through [battleforthenet.com](http://battleforthenet.com).

---

NAME: Daniel

ADDRESS: P.O BOX 64

POST CODE: 57025

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa07a29092e000d1c1f49

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/BW8OOPwmSl6nyxnGsnWJqw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/BW8OOPwmSl6nyxnGsnWJqw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jason  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason

ADDRESS: 902 4th St SW

POST CODE: 50009

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa550fbecab000c28896f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/dE3TN48STY25FzRMYb308A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/dE3TN48STY25FzRMYb308A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Javiera  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Javiera  
ADDRESS: Lord Cochrane 209 805N, Santiago, Chile  
POST CODE: 8330414  
COUNTRY: CL  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa40b62b49c000e6c54d6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/A7ywZJSORmGX5ZQI\\_vnxYw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/A7ywZJSORmGX5ZQI_vnxYw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/A7ywZJSORmGX5ZQI\\_vnxYw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/A7ywZJSORmGX5ZQI_vnxYw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lena Loyd-cooley  
Po Box 2389  
Arlington, TX 76004

=====  
From: Mark Ayers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark Ayers

ADDRESS: 712 ALDER ST EDMONDS WA 98020 USA

POST CODE: 98020

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa14035d292000e760319

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/I6J7Iau6S4adnw8-Dce90A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/I6J7Iau6S4adnw8-Dce90A/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Wayne Sanders  
1121 W Davidson Ave  
Gastonia, NC 28052

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kenny Hardy  
5040 Hilda Rd  
San Diego, CA 92110

=====  
From: sss  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sss  
ADDRESS: sss  
POST CODE: sss  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa549c60b21000df158a0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/RJ7fUD7aQpa9i9jmRASzyQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/RJ7fUD7aQpa9i9jmRASzyQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jenna  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jenna  
ADDRESS: 1612 north 94th at  
POST CODE: 68114  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa626c60b21000c7f0809

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/hnHLf00TQnGAeUMt9R0ZLg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/hnHLf00TQnGAeUMt9R0ZLg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Noelle Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Noelle Smith

ADDRESS: 845 Summit Grove AVE

POST CODE: 19010

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa733f1110a000cc4e976

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/6C8wF7MwRfKPK0YzgSKpWA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/6C8wF7MwRfKPK0YzgSKpWA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tony Cervantes  
6716 91st Ln  
Lubbock, TX 79424

=====  
From: ??farrari  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: ??farrari  
ADDRESS: naver

POST CODE: 321das

COUNTRY: KR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa7e9f1110a000e42def8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/HwFgeKTgT4G-2h1DLxwZDA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/HwFgeKTgT4G-2h1DLxwZDA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Honor Anastasia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Honor Anastasia

ADDRESS: 162 south fox rd Sterling VA

POST CODE: 20164

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa73862b49c000cd1e5fa

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/HGIQvtzcQq-52oGw1VpK7w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/HGIQvtzcQq-52oGw1VpK7w/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:21  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Matthew Mcleod  
 2985 Bruce Cir  
 Sumter, SC 29154

=====

From: Sara  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:21  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sara

ADDRESS: 5777 Ridgeview Dr SW

POST CODE: 52404

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa7bea419f5000cf4edba

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/n\\_YMksZFRfGjmwrXG8uNog/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/n_YMksZFRfGjmwrXG8uNog/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/n\\_YMksZFRfGjmwrXG8uNog/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/n_YMksZFRfGjmwrXG8uNog/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Frazee  
4012 Les Dr  
Joshua, TX 76058

=====  
From: Katie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katie

ADDRESS: 5857 Yorkland Ct. Columbus, OH

POST CODE: 43232

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa7daf1110a000e42def7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/2jUu4xyCRaeyTpFNnc-PTg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/2jUu4xyCRaeyTpFNnc-PTg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:22

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Leland Black  
2410 W 350 N  
Hurricane, UT 84737

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Milagro D San Roman  
305 Olivewood Ter  
San Diego, CA 92113

=====  
From: samual  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: samual

ADDRESS: 34 mulburry st. shamokin, pa

POST CODE: 17872

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa93362b49c000d163845

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/WG1b0q0oQOCfUum9Oqtrhw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/WG1b0q0oQOCfUum9Oqtrhw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:22  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Zachary Key  
 1 Turner Ct  
 Cedartown, GA 30125

=====

From: Brenda Barry  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:23  
 Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brenda Barry  
4649 189th Pl SE  
Issaquah, Washington 98027

-----  
From: Bryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bryan

ADDRESS: 162 w 1800 N Sunset, UT

POST CODE: 84015

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa8c315ab86000e5e9ba8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/kzvFm6BWTMa05aqi4SvXxA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/kzvFm6BWTMa05aqi4SvXxA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Christian Marquez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christian Marquez  
ADDRESS: 321 Stanford dr se  
POST CODE: 87107  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa8a4f1110a000d0e6480

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/m\\_ky4tWGQI24FsGEYHWe0w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/m_ky4tWGQI24FsGEYHWe0w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/m\\_ky4tWGQI24FsGEYHWe0w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/m_ky4tWGQI24FsGEYHWe0w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Guadalupe Valenzuela  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's 2013 crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Guadalupe Valenzuela  
209 Chestnut St  
Cary, North Carolina 27511

=====  
From: Jon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jon

ADDRESS: 7440 Sir Francis Drake Blvd

POST CODE: 94938

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fa94c99efdf000d804e81

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/FjhmTImRT1GDxLDr7JIRvA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/FjhmTImRT1GDxLDr7JIRvA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Judith Wachs  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable

will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Judith Wachs  
6800 Little Ranch Rd  
North Richland Hills, Texas 76182

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rachel Hirengo  
109 Arabian Way  
Scotts Valley, CA 95066

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dion Hines  
109 Charles Ave  
Toms River, NJ 08757

=====  
From: Ashley Taylor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ashley Taylor

5 Fairgrounds Rd  
Vienna, Illinois 62995

=====  
From: bernadette  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: bernadette

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 6030

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fab9df35671000eb3b8fe

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/lBkKBaK6S3ibytO4Ph4VXw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/lBkKBaK6S3ibytO4Ph4VXw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Gerardo

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:24

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gerardo

ADDRESS: 14846 arrow blvd apt 10

POST CODE: 92335

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540faa79f1110a000e42df02

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/RZq1CrIATceL4YFhbKnL-A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/RZq1CrIATceL4YFhbKnL-A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jody Poplin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jody Poplin  
8229 Morningside Dr  
Manassas, Virginia 20112

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jon Alin  
43 Michelle Way  
Pine Brook, NJ 07058

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Dixom  
12101 Crestwood Ave S  
Brandywine, MD 20613

-----  
From: Stephanie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Stephanie  
ADDRESS: Rufa  
POST CODE: 06776  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fac0599efdf000c344895

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/R5tJnxHoSserFV0\\_CEx5DA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/R5tJnxHoSserFV0_CEx5DA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/R5tJnxHoSserFV0\\_CEx5DA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/R5tJnxHoSserFV0_CEx5DA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Anna Kristin Halldorsdottir  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anna Kristin Halldorsdottir

ADDRESS: ICELAND

POST CODE: 601

COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fadce15ab86000cb1ac81

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/eD83AZ72QMypGJE69824og/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/eD83AZ72QMypGJE69824og/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:25

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Greggs  
3434 Magnolia St # B  
Emeryville, CA 94608

=====  
From: Henry Wolfinger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Henry Wolfinger

ADDRESS: 313 W. Clements Bridge Rd

POST CODE: Runnemede NJ 08078

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fab0962b49c000d16384b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/0CBxIaP-Rr23fd0RcrU78Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/0CBxIaP-Rr23fd0RcrU78Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jennifer Greenidge  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:25  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Greenidge  
2114 Hillcrest Ave  
Olean, New York 14760

=====  
From: Luis Palomares  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:25  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Luis Palomares  
52 Arborhill Rd Apt H  
Henrico, Virginia 23238

=====  
From: PHEDRA REED  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:25  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am not an activist of any sort, nor am I the kind of person who writes letters of complaint or, for that matter, letters of any sort unless I feel absolutely compelled to do so, which is the case now. It is absolutely imperative that net neutrality remains as it is today for millions of people just like me who are so dependent on the Internet as a source of information and a means of communication. I implore you not to let big business be further empowered. I can only hope that there are a lot of other people urging you not to fix what is not broken.

PHEDRA REED

11004

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Quasim Ruiz  
2366 Arthur Kill Rd  
Staten Island, NY 10309

=====  
From: Scott Teckle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:25  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Scott Teckle  
9060 S Bently Cir  
Sandy, Utah 84093

=====  
From: Z Thomas Zabski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Z Thomas Zabski

ADDRESS: 03 Cathedral Square

POST CODE: 05401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540faed899efdf000d804e93

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/2syXdficSIyozJHEMuNVFA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/2syXdficSIyozJHEMuNVFA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Donald Gideon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Donald Gideon

ADDRESS: 3220 Duval Rd

POST CODE: 78759

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540faefaf35671000eb3b911

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/yTlxprY\\_R-KkhT8ajluBvQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/yTlxprY_R-KkhT8ajluBvQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/yTlxprY\\_R-KkhT8ajluBvQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/yTlxprY_R-KkhT8ajluBvQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Edward Kanewske  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be

justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Edward Kanewske  
5101 Covington Ln  
Temple, Texas 76502

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shequita Ross  
110 Wickley Dr  
Lawndale, NC 28090

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lakesha Thompson  
3509 Westcliffe Ave  
Richmond, VA 23222

=====  
From: Kurt Erath  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:26  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Chairman Wheeler,  
The Internet should serve all American people equally, the grade school students trying to study, adults seeking entertainment, etc. The speed by which those who use the internet should not be determined by big business funds.

Respectfully submitted,  
Kurt Erath

Kurt Erath

24085

=====  
From: Scott Frey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling

new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Scott Frey  
10638 Thomas Ave S  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55431

=====  
From: yulianyu  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: yulianyu

ADDRESS: ??????

POST CODE: 100119

COUNTRY: CN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fae93fbecab000b57361e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/c4FBwf7mTo2WxUSzatgCyQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/c4FBwf7mTo2WxUSzatgCyQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:27

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the

other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Frick  
802 Joanie St  
Longview, TX 75605

=====  
From: Juan Salinas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Juan Salinas  
1907 Columbus Ave  
Fort Worth, Texas 76164

=====  
From: Justice Dodson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Justice Dodson  
2726 W Augusta Ave Apt 202  
Phoenix, Arizona 85051

-----  
From: Nancy Ribakove  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nancy Ribakove

ADDRESS: 100 Doctor Davies Road

POST CODE: 10920

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540faf8ec60b21000b54207e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/gULcgvRDTdC-PMg\\_hdXNoA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/gULcgvRDTdC-PMg_hdXNoA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/gULcgvRDTdC-PMg\\_hdXNoA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/gULcgvRDTdC-PMg_hdXNoA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Dave Guzy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dave Guzy  
ADDRESS: 100 Leslie Lane, Hohenwald, TN  
POST CODE: 38462

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb10299efdf000e0816ed

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/20PydskkTUudw6ujkkD\\_sQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/20PydskkTUudw6ujkkD_sQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/20PydskkTUudw6ujkkD\\_sQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/20PydskkTUudw6ujkkD_sQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Bartus  
1639 Glen Moor Dr  
Lakewood, CO 80215

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Rodick  
651 Colbert St  
Mandeville, LA 70448

=====  
From: Adelle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adelle

ADDRESS: Orlando, FL

POST CODE: 32825

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb1b6f1110a000b4b4da5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/Ri25tFIoQ-ypuIL5-sZnJA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Ri25tFIoQ-ypuIL5-sZnJA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Cheryl Wankmueller

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:29

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cheryl Wankmueller

ADDRESS: 30 Cherry Blossom Drive

POST CODE: 08831

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb15b15ab86000e5e9bc8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/c9pEQMbHSj2xVPC700SA2w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/c9pEQMbHSj2xVPC700SA2w/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:29

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers & just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

William Fox

13875 Leatherwood Dr

Carmel, IN 46033

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Julian Mitchell  
1474 Via Coralla  
San Lorenzo, CA 94580

=====  
From: Ryan LHeureux  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan LHeureux

ADDRESS: 2348A Pettis Ct

POST CODE: 32507

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb13799efdf000c3448af

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/F9QE5tvQQ7GeGAMSndP7RQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/F9QE5tvQQ7GeGAMSndP7RQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: ???  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ???

ADDRESS: das

POST CODE: dsa

COUNTRY: KR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb50162b49c000cd1e621

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/BhHI7zLORoeFtvkrRMX9dA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/BhHI7zLORoeFtvkrRMX9dA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Barbis  
1034 Cathy Dr  
Leesport, PA 19533

=====  
From: Jason hayes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason hayes

ADDRESS: 1181 ash grove loop

POST CODE: 97426

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb843c60b21000e117bda

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/V7hO\\_OzAQn6ZM-G1irBh6w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/V7hO_OzAQn6ZM-G1irBh6w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/V7hO\\_OzAQn6ZM-G1irBh6w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/V7hO_OzAQn6ZM-G1irBh6w/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Katherine  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katherine

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 18017

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb10e62b49c000cd1e611

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/UYEC3T2YRYCSk\\_M2aO2myg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/UYEC3T2YRYCSk_M2aO2myg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/UYEC3T2YRYCSk\\_M2aO2myg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/UYEC3T2YRYCSk_M2aO2myg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Matt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matt

ADDRESS: 1763a Lancaster rd

POST CODE: 17545

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb3c299efdf000c3448bc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/WrxszPA-TMGsn95WqIo71g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/WrxszPA-TMGsn95WqIo71g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:30  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Regina Lanning  
 2436 Queets Ave  
 Hoquiam, WA 98550

=====

From: adz  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:31  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: adz

ADDRESS: 50 raihara street, kaikohe new zealand.

POST CODE: 0405

COUNTRY: NZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb891c60b21000c7f084a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/hZwHsguFRbiyItj5xnlmMQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/hZwHsguFRbiyItj5xnlmMQ/uh1> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alma Onofre  
2650 Duomo St  
Palmdale, CA 93550

=====  
From: Bob Hodgeman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bob Hodgeman

ADDRESS: 3030 Granny Smith Lane

POST CODE: 45044

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb36299efdf000c3448b8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/xHTWi\\_R7QNCzhyeGQZ4JFw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/xHTWi_R7QNCzhyeGQZ4JFw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/xHTWi\\_R7QNCzhyeGQZ4JFw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/xHTWi_R7QNCzhyeGQZ4JFw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear — investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Colleen Christianson  
2613 W Chanticleer Rd  
Anaheim, CA 92804

=====  
From: dan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: dan

ADDRESS: 29 henry road Morrissey park

POST CODE: 2264

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb850c60b21000b542090

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/kHA1YATlQoadrodB1YtdcQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/kHA1YATlQoadrodB1YtdcQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:31  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Hayden Soloway  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 102 Northshore Ct., Cherryville, NC  
Zip: 28021

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's

government-created monopoly. They are a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Simon Maybury  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:31  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a user of the internet. For the first time in history humanity has a (largely) unimpeded mechanism for communication. The United States created the internet with public funds. I am a member of the public. Ethically the Big Telecom companies should have no say other than for practical implementation purposes in what will happen to the internet. They will, probably more often than not, lie to make more profit. Unfortunately, despite the presence of the internet, most of the public are still idiots and actually believe several of the lies paid for by Big Money.

Mr Chairman, you are at the nexus and decisions you and your colleagues make in the next several years will facilitate or impede the development and continued freedom of the internet. I urge you to consider the interests of people with great weight, to punish any person or entity who lies in this arena by at least ignoring them, and to regard increasing corporate profits and monopoly as an outcome to be avoided.

Yours sincerely,

Simon Maybury

Simon Maybury

80401

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jeff Deleon  
1834 Phelan Pl Apt 2j  
Bronx, NY 10453

=====  
From: JÃ©rÃ©me DÃ©silets  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JÃ©rÃ©me DÃ©silets

ADDRESS: 844 beauchesne

POST CODE: J5Y1Y2

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb91afbecab000e3ea384

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/Q16zBbmfTqS3MKy0oBRyrg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/Q16zBbmfTqS3MKy0oBRyrg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lisa McAteer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa McAteer

ADDRESS: 2752 gaston ave #235 dallas tx

POST CODE: 75226

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb970a419f5000e2fb054

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/s8JdjmKyQzOqiTbV82JAug/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/s8JdjmKyQzOqiTbV82JAug/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lisa Woodard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa Woodard  
ADDRESS: 641 S. Burnside Ave.  
POST CODE: 90036  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb874f35671000c180ffd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/MX3si9NEQuSNUMDR\\_Hipnw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/MX3si9NEQuSNUMDR_Hipnw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/MX3si9NEQuSNUMDR\\_Hipnw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/MX3si9NEQuSNUMDR_Hipnw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ngu Nguyen  
11328 Morrison St  
N Hollywood, CA 91601

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Loomis  
72 W Danner Ave  
Porterville, CA 93257

=====  
From: Shannon Dixon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:33  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality has been the default state of the internet since its invention. Internet service providers load all websites at the same speed. The system works well for all as-is. We do not need greed to interfere with a tool that has become vital to many - job searches, school research, medical research. Do not change the way the internet operates and allows an equal experience for all.

Our time in this busy age is limited and the speed of internet content needs to remain the same for all.

Shannon Dixon  
93030

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sebastian Porowski  
3025 Stone Bridge Rd  
Antioch, TN 37013

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Bill Mccollough  
1290 Juniper St  
Delta, CO 81416

=====  
From: Hans  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hans

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 97701

COUNTRY: KR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbaa799efdf000e08170c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/BszU7gTIQ8abqh8pMdLQzA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/BszU7gTIQ8abqh8pMdLQzA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Joe Conrad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe Conrad

ADDRESS: 300 stratford pl, apt D

POST CODE: 46516

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbab4a419f5000e2fb05a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/K6FZ6v\\_WTCmxuwc2ovst6Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/K6FZ6v_WTCmxuwc2ovst6Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/K6FZ6v\\_WTCmxuwc2ovst6Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/K6FZ6v_WTCmxuwc2ovst6Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:34

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kevin Raney

35615 Riverside Ln

Scappoose, OR 97056  
=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Wylie  
430 Bent Creek Dr  
Smiths Grove, KY 42171

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Chavous Hatchell  
44 Pleasant Way  
Montclair, NJ 07042

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Morgan  
Rr 03 Box 532  
Hollidaysburg, PA 16648

=====  
From: Javan thompson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Javan thompson

ADDRESS: 6501 garden rd

POST CODE: 43537

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbb2df35671000c181001

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/OsUS1D60S1WRKUaJi\\_JyEQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/OsUS1D60S1WRKUaJi_JyEQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/OsUS1D60S1WRKUaJi\\_JyEQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/OsUS1D60S1WRKUaJi_JyEQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the

dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation - just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Burns  
365 Saint Ives Dr  
Madison, MS 39110

=====  
From: Julian Sebastian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julian Sebastian  
ADDRESS: 111 Worth St.  
POST CODE: New York, NY 10013  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbc6ef1110a000e42df3e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/z5-NOVZgTAK66\\_1mI4HQ6g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/z5-NOVZgTAK66_1mI4HQ6g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/z5-NOVZgTAK66\\_1mI4HQ6g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/z5-NOVZgTAK66_1mI4HQ6g/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Corey Marchegiani  
76 1st St  
Hoosick Falls, NY 12090

=====  
From: Chrys Bonnay-Lewis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chrys Bonnay-Lewis

ADDRESS: 1146 Hawthorne Rd.

POST CODE: Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbealfbecab000b573661

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/KN7NvfRjQjCGxVjUzNSaMg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/KN7NvfRjQjCGxVjUzNSaMg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jack Alexander  
412 Downing St  
Lawrenceville, GA 30045

=====

From: ???  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ???

ADDRESS: ??

POST CODE: 102209

COUNTRY: CN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbd30c60b21000e117be0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/2WVGu-D8T\\_mCCHYPB68nCw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/2WVGu-D8T_mCCHYPB68nCw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/2WVGu-D8T\\_mCCHYPB68nCw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/2WVGu-D8T_mCCHYPB68nCw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Benj  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benj  
ADDRESS: 494 West King St.  
POST CODE: 28607  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbec299efdf000c3448ee

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/3VkxVNfXQo643z0cbMgQ6Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/3VkxVNfXQo643z0cbMgQ6Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Denise Tamenne

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:37

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denise Tamenne

ADDRESS: Gjøvik, Norway

POST CODE: 2827

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbff0fbecab000e3ea392

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/K4x4FNNLQhGRsiNCRKIWLg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/K4x4FNNLQhGRsiNCRKIWLg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:37

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Dylan Siviglia

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 27006 sea turtle lane magnolia tx

Zip: 77355

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling

todayâ\200\231s bipartisan â\200\234Hands off the Netâ\200\235 approach. You canâ\200\231t have â\200\234just enough Title IIâ\200\235 any more than you can be â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ\200\231t intend.

Yet, as youâ\200\231ve noted, Title II wouldnâ\200\231t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\200\234fast lanes.â\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â\200\234vigilant restraint.â\200\235 But remember Kennardâ\200\231s digital mantra, too: â\200\234First, do no harm.â\200\235 If you conclude that existing laws arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Robert De Capo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:37  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal governmentâ\200\231s â\200\234help,â\200\235 and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert De Capo  
1020 Harrow Gate Dr  
Woodstock, Illinois 60098

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tony Thorson  
2345 N 83rd St  
Omaha, NE 68134

=====  
From: Ashley Donnelly  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ashley Donnelly  
ADDRESS: 1853 1/2 e 3990 s  
POST CODE: 84124

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbalef35671000eb3b945

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/DUZBT5ZLTRWjwiIz3zS3bg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/DUZBT5ZLTRWjwiIz3zS3bg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Nathan B  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nathan B

ADDRESS: 2420 Airport Rd â\200\224 10302 B

POST CODE: 98926

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbfea99efdf000c3448f3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/IR7sBAcmShW10hqAEu0-Tg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/IR7sBAcmShW10hqAEu0-Tg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Selena

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:38

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Selena

ADDRESS: Maryborough, Queensland

POST CODE: 4650

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fb5d4f1110a000e42df2d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/0vuooj8XQ62D19yLzEgBSg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/0vuooj8XQ62D19yLzEgBSg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Vic Sevilla

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:38

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vic Sevilla

ADDRESS: 2702 Tramo St., Pasay City, Philippines

POST CODE: 1303

COUNTRY: PH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fba0f62b49c000cd1e62f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Dr9X0XzITfCj5QHZubV58Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Dr9X0XzITfCj5QHZubV58Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Chris Calabrese  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris Calabrese  
ADDRESS: 88 Kurt lane  
POST CODE: 11788  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc05d62b49c000bd61a8a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/NgSLdxR5SLWPtfxXe4uvqQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/NgSLdxR5SLWPtfxXe4uvqQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Elena Baum  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:39  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

In an age when the Supreme Court has said that corporations are people, I suppose it should not be surprising to see that large corporate interests are about to "have their way" with the internet, effectively quashing the content of smaller individual providers due to their size financial strength and sheer volume.

But while this may be the case, it SHOULD NOT BE! The United States was founded as a nation where each person's voice counted equally, and it behooved people to stand up for what they believed in. Now, no matter how many individuals speak out, one LARGE RICH voice can drown them out.

PLEASE keep the internet "neutral." The wonders of modern technology should be equally available to all, and not be skewed to favor those with big pocketbooks, powerful lawyers (funded by those big pocketbooks) and their own personal (increase those big pocketbooks) agendas to push forward.

Elena Baum

23509

=====  
From: Faye Parsons  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Faye Parsons

ADDRESS: PO Box 360354

POST CODE: Melbourne, Fl. 33936

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbd9a99efdf000c3448ea

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/p7aSH83vTw6Nbl2JsRCpiQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/p7aSH83vTw6Nbl2JsRCpiQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Gwyneth Llewelyn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gwyneth Llewelyn

ADDRESS: Pr. de Alvalade, 07 â\200\223 3o. Dto.

POST CODE: 1700-036 Lisboa, Portugal

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbc2cfbecab000e3ea38b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/R5dkGaQARTGpV0PzBgJG1A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/R5dkGaQARTGpV0PzBgJG1A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Simon K. Andersson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simon K. Andersson

ADDRESS: Å\205lesund

POST CODE: N-6003

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbc8aa419f5000d46bdb4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/e5tQYMTaSzK3bHcroua5\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/e5tQYMTaSzK3bHcroua5_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/e5tQYMTaSzK3bHcroua5\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/e5tQYMTaSzK3bHcroua5_g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Tiernee Stamper  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tiernee Stamper

ADDRESS: 4100 Avenue A #104 Austin, TX

POST CODE: 78751

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fbf63f35671000bbeb281

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/cN54aGPFRNy0vZf6SeDcng/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/cN54aGPFRNy0vZf6SeDcng/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tiernee Stamper

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:39

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tiernee Stamper

ADDRESS: 4100 Avenue A #104

POST CODE: 78751

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc079f1110a000d0e64b6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/PBrhkyS1TZOZ5DNwPtHQgw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/PBrhkyS1TZOZ5DNwPtHQgw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:40  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Cindy Lou Stirewalt  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: P O Box 64  
Zip: 27311

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You

can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Elizabeth Reichardt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth Reichardt  
ADDRESS: 5268 Ville Rosa Ln  
POST CODE: 63042  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc153f1110a000e42df4e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/kw1erM4dTw2ffJ40a2UBeg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/kw1erM4dTw2ffJ40a2UBeg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Eric pimienta

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:40

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric pimienta

ADDRESS: 06 ne 106 st miami shores, fl

POST CODE: 33138

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc226fbecab000e3ea397

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/S8XEzsBAS0qxIWyfHPG5qA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/S8XEzsBAS0qxIWyfHPG5qA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: qicong  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: qicong

ADDRESS: ????????146??03??201

POST CODE: 101121

COUNTRY: CN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc14462b49c000d1638a5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/FZvb6GDUTEWwc9Ef3CT6\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/FZvb6GDUTEWwc9Ef3CT6_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/FZvb6GDUTEWwc9Ef3CT6\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/FZvb6GDUTEWwc9Ef3CT6_g/uh1)> .

=====

From: R. M. Cowham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: R. M. Cowham

ADDRESS: private

POST CODE: 95203

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fba49a419f5000d46bdad

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/BcEn8roeQjSguFBoreyLNw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/BcEn8roeQjSguFBoreyLNw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rose Kasmai  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:40  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Corporations are gutting America! Please protect net neutrality for everyone!

Rose Kasmai

94595

=====  
From: Daniela L. Santana DÃ-az  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniela L. Santana DÃ-az

ADDRESS: URB Los Caciquez, Guarionex, 160

POST CODE: 00987

COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc183c60b21000df158f0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/drAlaNQZRv6XIbblI9txTA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/drAlaNQZRv6XIbblI9txTA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lynn Epstein  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lynn Epstein  
ADDRESS: 444 St. James  
POST CODE: 60614  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc40f99efdf000c34490b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/XUJNO4QRSTGE4F9eT2r6uw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/XUJNO4QRSTGE4F9eT2r6uw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Phil

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:41

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Phil

ADDRESS: Gordy

POST CODE: 1v36 2qg

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc2e6a419f5000e2fb07b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/hSFk\\_5M\\_Tu2N86EqXu333Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/hSFk_5M_Tu2N86EqXu333Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/hSFk\\_5M\\_Tu2N86EqXu333Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/hSFk_5M_Tu2N86EqXu333Q/uh1)> .

=====

From: Devindra sooknanan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Devindra sooknanan

ADDRESS: P.O. box 150164

POST CODE: 94915

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc43399efdf000d804ec5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/c0wE1UoWTSaUtCtH-U98Zg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/c0wE1UoWTSaUtCtH-U98Zg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Don  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Don  
ADDRESS: 515 Main St  
POST CODE: 46107  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc59762b49c000d1638bd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/2d2SdpvSSqS0UR3q1d7ZbA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/2d2SdpvSSqS0UR3q1d7ZbA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jane Potts  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jane Potts

ADDRESS: 2241 S. Piñon Ct.

POST CODE: 80210

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc46a99efdf000e081722

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/PasHucAzSbiHxaTbk9YX-A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/PasHucAzSbiHxaTbk9YX-A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Karen Nelson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:42  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please maintain net neutrality. No one group or corporation should have the right to decide who gets access to the internet and at what speed. I, and many other people depend on the internet as a resource for work and to stay informed about issues. I use the internet to connect with people for work reasons on a daily basis. No corporation should have the right to decide what information I can receive or send in a timely manner. Do not put into place "gatekeepers" that control the speed of internet access based on how much money you are able to pay. Keep the internet equally accessible to all!

Karen Nelson

97303

=====  
From: William Muñoz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William Muñoz  
ADDRESS: 5273 34th st  
POST CODE: 92509  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc672a419f5000cf4edea

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/eNHTXqQtS6a10Im-uEH0yw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/eNHTXqQtS6a10Im-uEH0yw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Blancaj Jimenez

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:43

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Blancaj Jimenez

92806

=====  
From: Haley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Haley

ADDRESS: 4465 Beechwood Dt

POST CODE: 48348

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc727fbecab000e3ea3a6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/\\_bKRK2K\\_TMktIsYDTyLwQw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/_bKRK2K_TMktIsYDTyLwQw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/\\_bKRK2K\\_TMktIsYDTyLwQw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/_bKRK2K_TMktIsYDTyLwQw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Scott Swenka

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:43

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott Swenka

ADDRESS: 13381 west banff ln

POST CODE: 85379

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc769f1110a000d0e64c9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/zBqaGatSSN-Z89Ufznwa-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/zBqaGatSSN-Z89Ufznwa-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tom Marantette  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tom Marantette  
ADDRESS: 13654 Ronnie Way  
POST CODE: Saratoga, CA 95070  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc6c6c60b21000b5420bb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/jKvO9ho-QkCS28TaET1dIg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/jKvO9ho-QkCS28TaET1dIg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Chris

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:44

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris

ADDRESS: PO box 1042

POST CODE: 97420

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc8fbc60b21000df15910

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/IYCFVJu2Q40oQzVS1qfqKQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/IYCFVJu2Q40oQzVS1qfqKQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: João Gabriel Corrêa da Conceição  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: João Gabriel Corrêa da Conceição

ADDRESS: Brazil

POST CODE: 20080070

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc8e499efdf000c344938

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Mpx7m-BORTKfLAHjIQSlwQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Mpx7m-BORTKfLAHjIQSlwQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kay Scheppe

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:44

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kay Scheppe

ADDRESS: POB 1180 Taylor, AZ

POST CODE: 85939

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc91f15ab86000d44f6b2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/mlKMJg\\_6TgS9XlOi0x5JfA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/mlKMJg_6TgS9XlOi0x5JfA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/mlKMJg\\_6TgS9XlOi0x5JfA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/mlKMJg_6TgS9XlOi0x5JfA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Casey Goranson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:45  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Two tiered internet? For shame.

What more needs be said?

Casey Goranson

M4R1Z5

=====  
From: Sebastian Edwards  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sebastian Edwards

ADDRESS: 2915 Calhoun St.

POST CODE: 70118

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc9f9f1110a000b4b4dc6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/8bWayKRwRmCtZf4Kbx3WVw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/8bWayKRwRmCtZf4Kbx3WVw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ula Armashi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ula Armashi

ADDRESS: 7487 Terrace River Dr.

POST CODE: 33637-7924

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc97ff1110a000b4b4dc2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/3ImU5-TWQWOF9YKYqZm1hA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/3ImU5-TWQWOF9YKYqZm1hA/uh1>> .

=====

From: 245  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:46  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: 245  
 ADDRESS: 453  
 POST CODE: 45453  
 COUNTRY: CN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fca4ba419f5000d46bdf1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/QaTm4Z1sTVmpvrexniRsVw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/QaTm4Z1sTVmpvrexniRsVw/uh1>> .

=====

From: David Fiddler

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:46

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Fiddler

ADDRESS: U31 / 108-118 Trinity Beach Rd

POST CODE: 4879

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fca92fbecab000dab12f1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/e73F-QLYQWuzuMhdlKA2Cw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/e73F-QLYQWuzuMhdlKA2Cw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:46  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Pedro L. Roldan Jr.  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: Greensbor NC  
Zip: 27406

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President

Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Jamie Janish  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jamie Janish  
ADDRESS: 553 Sandpiper Lane  
POST CODE: 44281  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcd1315ab86000cb1acca

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/GqjqUtWaQFO63Eb6sUKGnA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/GqjqUtWaQFO63Eb6sUKGnA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kevin McAlpin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin McAlpin

ADDRESS: 28 Roscoe road

POST CODE: 30263

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcafc99efdf000c34494a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/J0sTyMxCTgWijFXy0MCBaw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/J0sTyMxCTgWijFXy0MCBaw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Charlie Fredrick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charlie Fredrick  
ADDRESS: 2622 Bryant St  
POST CODE: 94110  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcd88f35671000eb3b9c9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/fUsNSlKwSJyI-8R06EA7cA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/fUsNSlKwSJyI-8R06EA7cA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kamil Odremã;n  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kamil Odremã;n

ADDRESS: Venezuela

POST CODE: 1204

COUNTRY: VE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fc92af1110a000d0e64d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/N91VLY9RS-esrplcOEOJXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/N91VLY9RS-esrplcOEOJXA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ronan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ronan  
ADDRESS: 1122 N. 115th St.  
POST CODE: 98133  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcd8ff35671000eb3b9cb

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/KvM0VP7iStiwZ7lVpo22Dw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/KvM0VP7iStiwZ7lVpo22Dw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Shirrill Cofrin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:47

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shirrill Cofrin

ADDRESS: 03 Groton Drive

POST CODE:

<https://fightforthefuture.github.io/battleforthenet-widget/iframe/modal.html#<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/c/hQA/ZgwNAA/FRJenKDnRLS3gqG0ximVOQ/h0/DuXHzwfOZRiV-2ByNxJctyiDvc2qkBQKnUns8HD4-2Fn2SQvsmBDw1lc2pMlMOQ9eNTArlylW7Pw2WaYaOF-2BMnODDCnWQIHwajU4roekNamijJs-3D>>

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcd61f1110a000cc4e9dc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/FRJenKDnRLS3gqG0ximVOQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/FRJenKDnRLS3gqG0ximVOQ/uh2>> .

=====  
From: Amy Lewis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amy Lewis

ADDRESS: 79 Mount pleasant rd Christiana Pa

POST CODE: 17509

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcd65f1110a000cc4e9dd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/emsUEK-dSk2XobPvmEm02A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/emsUEK-dSk2XobPvmEm02A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marcelo Gusmao

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:48

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcelo Gusmao

ADDRESS: 20 Joy St

POST CODE: 01056

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcdcbfbecab000e3ea3d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/yJ7cySXjTrixAKISvsKHbA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/yJ7cySXjTrixAKISvsKHbA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Claros Felecita  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open

and free is what has kept it open and free all along â\200\223 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Claros Felecita  
1725 N 21st Ave Apt 41  
Pasco, Washington 99301

=====  
From: Deborah Hamm  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didnâ\200\231t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â\200\223 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Deborah Hamm  
218 Emery Dr  
Nashville, Tennessee 37214

=====  
From: Hazel Grosman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hazel Grosman

ADDRESS: 256 Great Western Highway

POST CODE: Warrimoo 2774 Australia

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcdalc60b21000df1592b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/sAqH2kj6R764oO\\_fJLiOtg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/sAqH2kj6R764oO_fJLiOtg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/sAqH2kj6R764oO\\_fJLiOtg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/sAqH2kj6R764oO_fJLiOtg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Thorn Munoz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Thorn Munoz  
4237 Chetwood Ave  
Fremont, California 94538

=====  
From: Wes Shirk  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:49  
Subject: Protect Net Neutrality

I was deeply disappointed to hear that the Federal Communications Commission is planning on implementing rule changes that would allow Internet service providers to pay for special, faster lanes to deliver their content. In an environment where large corporations can pay for faster service, start-ups and every day Internet users won't be able to compete and we will lose the open, free-flowing exchange of information that has made the Internet so dynamic and integral to our lives.

The FCC can ensure it has the tools to act by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service. I urge you to reject the new rules and to take this vital

and common sense step toward protecting net neutrality.

Wes Shirk

Gwynedd, PA 19436

=====  
From: Chad Jensen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chad Jensen  
204 Blaine St  
Wayne, Nebraska 68787

=====  
From: Dwwa Kelley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dwva Kelley  
128 Meadow Run Loop  
Foley, Alabama 36535

=====  
From: evan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: evan

ADDRESS: 606 Westwood Terrace

POST CODE: 78746

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcde2fbecab000dab1314

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Wq-AG-09-ShmYv9f6bxqmLw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Wq-AG-09-ShmYv9f6bxqmLw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jannick Nielsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jannick Nielsen  
ADDRESS: KlÃ,vervej 19  
POST CODE: 6000 Kolding  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fce08fbecab000e3ea3db

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/blUtrgnIQKe3T8rjb740bQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/blUtrgnIQKe3T8rjb740bQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Z Maines  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:50

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Z Maines

ADDRESS: 1000 Waterford place kingston, tn

POST CODE: 37763

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcdd9f1110a000cc4e9e8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/nMOOGkQhSuC7lKbx7KbZcA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/nMOOGkQhSuC7lKbx7KbZcA/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Aaron Juengermann  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aaron Juengermann  
ADDRESS: 715 Cornwall Drive Oxnard, CA  
POST CODE: 93035  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fce49c60b21000b5420f5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/eP8rlkEtTFGxy3Q6huUHLQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/eP8rlkEtTFGxy3Q6huUHLQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: bailey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: bailey  
ADDRESS: 2659 Barbera Way  
POST CODE: 95670  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fce55c60b21000b5420f6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/QExsM8uEREu1-YhuPy8k-A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/QExsM8uEREu1-YhuPy8k-A/uh1>> .

=====

From: ROBERT ARIAS

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:51

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ROBERT ARIAS

ADDRESS: 966 01/02 N. Wilton Place

POST CODE: 90038

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcdb6fbecab000dab130f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/5X1q3xcUQf-yInu8GVQ-SA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/5X1q3xcUQf-yInu8GVQ-SA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Steven May  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steven May

ADDRESS: 4425 McMillan Rd, Las Vegas NV

POST CODE: 89121

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fce25fbecab000b5736dc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/9uXzPneARKqTqfT5A97jpw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/9uXzPneARKqTqfT5A97jpw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Fauna E. Simon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fauna E. Simon  
ADDRESS: 1342 N. Laurel Ave. apt 103  
POST CODE: 90046  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fce5562b49c000d16391c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/rpbWV8uIRKG8cnEbHC\\_SYQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/rpbWV8uIRKG8cnEbHC_SYQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/rpbWV8uIRKG8cnEbHC\\_SYQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/rpbWV8uIRKG8cnEbHC_SYQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: ron

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:52

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ron

ADDRESS: 437 maple pl

POST CODE: 08857

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fce71f1110a000d0e650a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/89iE67WwTu6tXUsqB\\_8xPg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/89iE67WwTu6tXUsqB_8xPg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/89iE67WwTu6tXUsqB\\_8xPg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/89iE67WwTu6tXUsqB_8xPg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Sean  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean

ADDRESS: 2443 S Gaylord St

POST CODE: 80310

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fce3cc60b21000c7f08cd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/ypliNqsdS3q4C7IBQ2i7Dg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/ypliNqsdS3q4C7IBQ2i7Dg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: a

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:53

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: a

ADDRESS: d

POST CODE: f

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcf08a419f5000d46be45

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/A6RlEyEGRkiFdvCcoeC08Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/A6RlEyEGRkiFdvCcoeC08Q/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Johnathan Raynor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Johnathan Raynor

ADDRESS: 20 Rainflower path unit 103 sparks md

POST CODE: 21152

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fce8315ab86000d44f717

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/MH7r3RVCQceKbNRi7u56Rg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/MH7r3RVCQceKbNRi7u56Rg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Olivia  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:53  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Olivia  
 ADDRESS: 70 Fairfield Manor, Morgantown  
 POST CODE: 26505  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcea1c60b21000e117bff

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/ZQRwoLkpSXGhf\\_pBrF9H7w/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/ZQRwoLkpSXGhf_pBrF9H7w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/ZQRwoLkpSXGhf\\_pBrF9H7w/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/ZQRwoLkpSXGhf_pBrF9H7w/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:54  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Jeremiah  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: st marys blvd jefferson city mo  
Zip: 65109

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Katie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katie

ADDRESS: 1103 Madison Ave. Troy, Ny

POST CODE: 12180

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcf3b99efdf000e081799

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/VjdinTgLT2K6RNTzb2r\\_6A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/VjdinTgLT2K6RNTzb2r_6A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/VjdinTgLT2K6RNTzb2r\\_6A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/VjdinTgLT2K6RNTzb2r_6A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Ian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ian  
ADDRESS: 9621 Sierra Dr  
POST CODE: 80005

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fce6962b49c000d16391f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/uSLbAJbOR5GwPnChiTR-\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/uSLbAJbOR5GwPnChiTR-_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/uSLbAJbOR5GwPnChiTR-\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/uSLbAJbOR5GwPnChiTR-_g/uh1)> .

=====

From: SatÃf  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: SatÃf

ADDRESS: 34k miles inside earth

POST CODE: 69666

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fceec15ab86000e5e9c45

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/o3UUdTghT2-aAg0QE9YUMg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/o3UUdTghT2-aAg0QE9YUMg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: ALOMAR  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ALOMAR

ADDRESS: 1302 Franklin Street Apt. B

POST CODE: 47201

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcf8415ab86000e5e9c51

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/ljPW-xlvR92gk\\_FYJ00j5g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/ljPW-xlvR92gk_FYJ00j5g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/ljPW-xlvR92gk\\_FYJ00j5g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/ljPW-xlvR92gk_FYJ00j5g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Henry

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:56

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Henry

ADDRESS: 2905 Huckelberry Hill drive

POST CODE: 29715

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcf69a419f5000b4d4d7e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/T7zAFnYtQ\\_6br-qIKwVHpg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/T7zAFnYtQ_6br-qIKwVHpg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/T7zAFnYtQ\\_6br-qIKwVHpg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/T7zAFnYtQ_6br-qIKwVHpg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Jack Granat  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jack Granat

ADDRESS: 59 Crane Dr

POST CODE: 34695

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcf6e15ab86000e5e9c4e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/4qSMheIFRuG9psk2j3fY8w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/4qSMheIFRuG9psk2j3fY8w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Max

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Max

ADDRESS: 232 big sur dr

POST CODE: 93117

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcf68f1110a000e42e004

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/C\\_8SEddLSTyMcbD9GjhwJA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/C_8SEddLSTyMcbD9GjhwJA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/C\\_8SEddLSTyMcbD9GjhwJA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/C_8SEddLSTyMcbD9GjhwJA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Aia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aia

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 6108

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd04bf1110a000cc4ea1d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/gex0NfoeTNeleOH2222kKg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/gex0NfoeTNeleOH2222kKg/uh1>> .

=====

From: JOHN KELLY  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JOHN KELLY  
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 20176  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcfbcc60b21000c7f0908

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/soIyQ\\_4RRWqwQN2kQMue9g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/soIyQ_4RRWqwQN2kQMue9g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/soIyQ\\_4RRWqwQN2kQMue9g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/soIyQ_4RRWqwQN2kQMue9g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Tommy Sund  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tommy Sund  
ADDRESS: Svartlångsgränd 246  
POST CODE: 12552  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcf78c60b21000df15961

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/V4wuxdy7SrGu-QC0RHLxGQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/V4wuxdy7SrGu-QC0RHLxGQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Iman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Iman

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 6009

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd07462b49c000d16396c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/T3NYo9aOQF6cgzeLPsIPPg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/T3NYo9aOQF6cgzeLPsIPPg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Rhett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rhett  
ADDRESS: 191 East Spencer Peak Way  
POST CODE: 84  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd03bf1110a000e42e02c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/-8wEfrV0Tf2kwlATFhbnw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/-8wEfrV0Tf2kwlATFhbnw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sally Parcels  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sally Parcels  
ADDRESS: 14 Fairway Drive  
POST CODE: 03814-6202  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd082c60b21000df15986

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/k6eIclVWRk664w9an7D8bQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/k6eIclVWRk664w9an7D8bQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Carol Spence  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carol Spence

ADDRESS: 489 SE Glenwood Avenue

POST CODE: Port Saint Lucie 34984

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fcfd4c60b21000df1596d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Yeox5xZoQfKxFTxdNwtUbA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Yeox5xZoQfKxFTxdNwtUbA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Howard Hewson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:59  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Howard Hewson

33023

=====  
From: J  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: J

ADDRESS: 3415 Washington

POST CODE: 75401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd0d0c60b21000df1598f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/DQ6oaMaRT7e7H7qKUmglw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/DQ6oaMaRT7e7H7qKUmglw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Owen Marks

Date: 09/15/2014 14:44:59

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Owen Marks

ADDRESS: 10 Beckwith Ave

POST CODE: 14546

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd09615ab86000e5e9c68

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/9Rv2bLHVTVuZGJCL4uuB\\_A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/9Rv2bLHVTVuZGJCL4uuB_A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/9Rv2bLHVTVuZGJCL4uuB\\_A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/9Rv2bLHVTVuZGJCL4uuB_A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Alex  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex

ADDRESS: 21 Harper st

POST CODE: 08904

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd0e815ab86000b62cd7e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/EYc\\_n8EgQEWBzD5pjXm66w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/EYc_n8EgQEWBzD5pjXm66w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/EYc\\_n8EgQEWBzD5pjXm66w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/EYc_n8EgQEWBzD5pjXm66w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Diane Wilson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:00  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Please do not destroy net neutrality! Humans lives across the globe are controlled by

the money and power of large Corporations. The internet serves to broadcast the rights and ideas of everyone, but if "fast lanes" are available only to those who can afford to pay the cost, the voices of average folks and small institutions and enterprises will be suppressed.

Diane Wilson

28753

-----  
From: mary  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mary

ADDRESS: 6140church road vancleave

POST CODE: 39565

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd0e5f1110a000d0e6544

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/wRwFZWFTTZmOOoNlYEdylw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/wRwFZWFTTZmOOoNlYEdylw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Charles  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charles  
ADDRESS: Lakewood, Wa  
POST CODE: 98498  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd15199efdf000d804f48

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/qqZqQ7EfSMYD17GxdiCV4A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/qqZqQ7EfSMYD17GxdiCV4A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Craciun Cosmin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Craciun Cosmin  
ADDRESS: 06 Venus Street

POST CODE: 135300

COUNTRY: RO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd10b62b49c000bd61ac7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/jXkctcWH9RyulFywtMFsXLQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/jXkctcWH9RyulFywtMFsXLQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Trina Lone  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through [battleforthenet.com](http://battleforthenet.com).

---

NAME: Trina Lone

ADDRESS: 591 S. faix st

POST CODE: glendale, co 80246

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd10cf35671000d552edc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/MFLfMDipQUuuIiIII36o6xg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/MFLfMDipQUuuIiIII36o6xg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Enter your full name here  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Enter your full name here  
ADDRESS: Enter your address line 01 here  
POST CODE: Enter your zip code here  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd116a419f5000d46be9d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/BT5I\\_vP9Sny8SXXpjY0zGg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/BT5I_vP9Sny8SXXpjY0zGg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/BT5I\\_vP9Sny8SXXpjY0zGg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/BT5I_vP9Sny8SXXpjY0zGg/uh1)> .

=====

From: gladstone hunter

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:02

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: gladstone hunter

ADDRESS: 3512 2nd Ave S

POST CODE: 33711

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd198f35671000eb3ba52

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/pCDes0NaTG2tH6nqRW4ZDg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/pCDes0NaTG2tH6nqRW4ZDg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joonas Nurmi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joonas Nurmi  
ADDRESS: Juomikantie 03  
POST CODE: 25500 Perniä, Finland  
COUNTRY: FI  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd18599efdf000c344a06

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/zFovW1kXQACm9bqc15TqLg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/zFovW1kXQACm9bqc15TqLg/uh1>> .

=====

From: kay  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: kay

ADDRESS: 210 Devine st

POST CODE: 72112

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd15d15ab86000b62cd86

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/IKmFICWURRGiT7W4ik8hXQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/IKmFICWURRGiT7W4ik8hXQ/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Seth  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Seth

ADDRESS: 10717 Luce st

POST CODE: 49464

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd0ff62b49c000cd1e6ff

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/RAAcSH3PT4SR040snuh2oA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/RAAcSH3PT4SR040snuh2oA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Danny  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:03  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Danny  
 ADDRESS: 2621 Airpark Dr  
 POST CODE: 37206  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd17e99efdf000bbab828

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/TQ0PNVN-TJSGz7bb\\_i4YbA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/TQ0PNVN-TJSGz7bb_i4YbA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/TQ0PNVN-TJSGz7bb\\_i4YbA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/TQ0PNVN-TJSGz7bb_i4YbA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Tara Prince  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:03  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

FCC,

Net neutrality is one of the few things left that is not run by big corporations. One of the last places where the publics voice, creativity, and equality (internet speed) has not been placed in the dark due to piles of money.

Honestly, trading money so that big corporations can continue to generate MORE PROFIT takes away from what really matters. The Public. Doing this would probably cause a lot of draw back when it comes to slower internet. For independent websites this means that they will be unable to keep up with rising cost to keep their internet speed the same as the big guys. It also means a loss of audience because no one wants to wait for web pages to load. It's like going back into the Dial-up era.It wouldn't be fair to the independent websites and it wouldn't be fair to the public who accesses the sites.

In this day and age the thing we should hold onto is pleasing the public not the corporations. It will be the people who big corporations need in the end anyways. That is why I say, FCC, in the name of all that is internet - do what's right and fight for equal netspeed for all and say no to big corporations continued greed to have the best

of everything without thinking of the consequences it imposes on others. You do have the authority to do so under Title II of the telecommunications Act of 1934.

Thank you.

Tara Prince

R3G1M1

=====  
From: Timur  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Timur

ADDRESS: 2282 East 07 street Apt A2

POST CODE: 11223

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd1c6fbecab000e3ea440

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/C0tjOmnHR6GZAJQt6hvcQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/C0tjOmnHR6GZAJQt6hvcQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: GÃ©nesis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: GÃ©nesis  
ADDRESS: Florida  
POST CODE: 33034  
COUNTRY: VE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd1dac60b21000df159a0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/XuZUD7qoRPetlB8elQ5rjw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/XuZUD7qoRPetlB8elQ5rjw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Cindy

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:05

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cindy

ADDRESS: POBox 1722

POST CODE: 93924

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540f4db65b7028000d715a81

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/Nwso5CP6RACyKckMTRdhow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Nwso5CP6RACyKckMTRdhow/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Della Gleason  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Della Gleason

ADDRESS: 3358 East Ave

POST CODE: 94541

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd1dca419f5000e2fb155

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Y9yDEiHmRD6IdC7qs3EyVg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Y9yDEiHmRD6IdC7qs3EyVg/uh1>> .

=====

From: delvin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: delvin

ADDRESS: 426 bascom court

POST CODE: 33823

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd21afbecab000e3ea449

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/B7-JH3WjT6Cl\\_qOIU75B1A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/B7-JH3WjT6Cl_qOIU75B1A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/B7-JH3WjT6Cl\\_qOIU75B1A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/B7-JH3WjT6Cl_qOIU75B1A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Derp  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Derp  
ADDRESS: v2tb  
POST CODE: 24345  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd225c60b21000df159a9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/85d-3pTSRG2YK0sT4xXICA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/85d-3pTSRG2YK0sT4xXICA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Carol Carter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:06  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Let's this time, care what happens to the little guy. Let's do the best we can for the majority and be very proud, knowing it's the right thing to do, in the end. When a public servant can help the public and does not, that is of great concern and it should be to all of us. This is your time to make the decision to allow the public the best access to the internet. Net Neutrality is so very important and should not change for any other business concern. Net Neutrality was put into place to protect the public, let's keep that goal, let's not allow Big Telecom to "own" our internet access. Please help, you can and we are asking that you do. Thank you.

Carol Carter

49769

=====

From: Eric  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric

ADDRESS: 22 Barnett St. Bloomfield, nj

POST CODE: 07003

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd248f35671000bbeb2d0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/b8cSL199TLODSHcHyBRKaw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/b8cSL199TLODSHcHyBRKaw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lizeth  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lizeth  
ADDRESS: 1117 s plymouth blvd los angeles, ca 90019  
POST CODE: 90019  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd23aa419f5000cf4ee6c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/ewQsUnG6QKStBObJLiExAw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/ewQsUnG6QKStBObJLiExAw/uh1>> .

=====

From: matthew  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: matthew

ADDRESS: 14420 pond place dr

POST CODE: 32223

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd267a419f5000e2fb16c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/3EP4NroaRouX2sP24SNB8w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/3EP4NroaRouX2sP24SNB8w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tess  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tess

ADDRESS: 610 Riverside Drive #33

POST CODE: 10031

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd270f35671000eb3ba70

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/4KpF7JGaQ-KgWUnx9v8meA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/4KpF7JGaQ-KgWUnx9v8meA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Xan Joi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:07

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please do ur job, protect we the people from yet another corporate takeover that gives advantages 02 those who have wealth at the disadvantage 02 those who don't.

We r so very tired of big corporations taking over our lives.

YOU can step 4ward, protect web neutrality, block Big Telecom from getting richer & more powerful.

This hi-tech time that we're suddenly living in has such potential 04 good & bringing equality 02 our country & world. But NOT if u destroy net neutrality.

we're counting on u Chairperson Wheeler - please do not let us down.

Xan Joi

94705

=====  
From: Robert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert

ADDRESS: 11008 Jollyville RD #129

POST CODE: 78759

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd2adc60b21000e117c37

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/dCaoBxTgSceJ7qGymi4SWA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/dCaoBxTgSceJ7qGymi4SWA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ryan Mooney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan Mooney  
ADDRESS: 680 166 AVE NE  
POST CODE: 58223  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd26af35671000bbeb2d1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/kxAO8rfWTBuIetuPPsTlXg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/kxAO8rfWTBuIetuPPsTlXg/uh1>> .

=====

From: tamika  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: tamika

ADDRESS: 2722 skyview cove ct. Houston, TX

POST CODE: 77047

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd2c5fbecab000b573793

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/SArjpkJQTEuwaNpFbDALVw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/SArjkgpJQTEuwaNpFbDALVw/uh1>> .

-----  
From: William  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William

ADDRESS: 31705 florence

POST CODE: 48135

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd27762b49c000d1639ae

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/cnrosY-aTNKAUppwL\\_dyUQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/cnrosY-aTNKAUppwL_dyUQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/cnrosY-aTNKAUppwL\\_dyUQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/cnrosY-aTNKAUppwL_dyUQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: connie.ciampanelli  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:09  
 Subject: In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn't decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a slow lane.

But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices- like their cable bills- and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a

telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

?Constance Ciampanelli  
North Providence RI?

=====  
From: emanuel puente  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: emanuel puente

ADDRESS: 1512 W. Know Street Tucson Arizona

POST CODE: 85705

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd280f11110a000d0e6567

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/zLAaKf-\\_QReHuYjW5KvA-g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/zLAaKf-_QReHuYjW5KvA-g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/zLAaKf-\\_QReHuYjW5KvA-g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/zLAaKf-_QReHuYjW5KvA-g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Marcus

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:09

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcus

ADDRESS: 1744 79 street

POST CODE: 11214

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd2e6f35671000d552f0f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/QypRSBvxTzONIC0CwYZJGA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/QypRSBvxTzONIC0CwYZJGA/uh1>> .

=====

From: chauncey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: chauncey

ADDRESS:

POST CODE: frederick md 21701

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd2ce62b49c000e6c55a4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/\\_icMUTaKST2hzQtvAno-7g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/_icMUTaKST2hzQtvAno-7g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/\\_icMUTaKST2hzQtvAno-7g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/_icMUTaKST2hzQtvAno-7g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Evans Burik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Evans Burik

ADDRESS: 903 w alameda #114

POST CODE: 87501

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd2eff1110a000e42e0b1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/57\\_DTG3uQSi\\_JmwDrXiVPA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/57_DTG3uQSi_JmwDrXiVPA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/57\\_DTG3uQSi\\_JmwDrXiVPA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/57_DTG3uQSi_JmwDrXiVPA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Nico Gerrard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nico Gerrard

ADDRESS: Å\230vre slottsgate 07

POST CODE: 0157

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd33099efdf000c344a3b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/hLQfWRJsQT-AltIZGg5how/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/hLQfWRJsQT-AltIZGg5how/uh1>> .

=====

From: Yusuf Yildiz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Yusuf Yildiz

ADDRESS: 367 main st.

POST CODE: 01301

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd2fef35671000d552f12

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/z5yMms9gRV6\\_d1m3tP6bNQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/z5yMms9gRV6_d1m3tP6bNQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/z5yMms9gRV6\\_d1m3tP6bNQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/z5yMms9gRV6_d1m3tP6bNQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Dan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dan

ADDRESS: parts unknown

POST CODE: 11550

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd2b2fbecab000e3ea460

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/Zzy-y4hhQNYAhHEXlgdi\\_A/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/Zzy-y4hhQNYAhHEXlgdi_A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/Zzy-y4hhQNYAhHEXlgdi\\_A/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/Zzy-y4hhQNYAhHEXlgdi_A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Helen Henry

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:11  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

The internet has given access to market information allowing farmers to get fair prices for their crops, it has allowed sophisticated medical analysis to reach isolated places, it has given students access to invaluable information. These uses do not generate big profits and would not generate revenue for fast lane access. And in my mind, they illustrate how the internet is a public utility, integrated into many aspects of our society.

I use search functions to research medical issues for my patients all the time. But if my sources were limited to those that could pay for fast lane access, that information would be dangerously biased. I know that because in the past I had 02 sources of information on drugs available in written formats, one produced by industry and one produced independently. The information contained in these 02 sources was vastly different.

Those who are in control of large quantities of money tend to be good at making large quantities of money and are not driven by concern for the public good. If internet access is determined by who has money, it will not serve the public good.

Please protect the interests of those who don't have the big bucks. Please don't let internet fast lanes interfere with the public utility of the internet.

Thanks you, Dr. Helen Henry

Helen Henry

97212

=====  
From: Kelsey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelsey

ADDRESS: 2770 East Uintah St Apt 306

POST CODE: 80909

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd328f1110a000e42e0bd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/wlfPzSm9Rcm0BKXMYsQLPA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/wlfPzSm9Rcm0BKXMYsQLPA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Shaun

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:11

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shaun

ADDRESS: 20 Smooth Rock Trail

POST CODE: 28803

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd36462b49c000e6c55af

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/luNY67kUQkup3baEhyeadA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/luNY67kUQkup3baEhyeadA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Samantha Walantus  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:12  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Samantha Walantus  
6 Gary Way  
Plattsburgh, New York 12901

=====  
From: Zahir Safi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:12  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Zahir Safi  
16199 Tumbleweed Ln  
Lathrop, California 95330

=====  
From: angel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: angel

ADDRESS: 7425 rood st

POST CODE: 90723

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd3ca62b49c000cd1e74a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/uGrziWfoR5e-4sudTyR7Bw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/uGrziWfoR5e-4sudTyR7Bw/uh1>> .

=====

From: ballz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ballz  
ADDRESS: 128 figuerora st  
POST CODE: 90234  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd3b915ab86000e5e9cb0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/k8fKQeLUQ\\_eLGE6h1LdiKA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/k8fKQeLUQ_eLGE6h1LdiKA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/k8fKQeLUQ\\_eLGE6h1LdiKA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/k8fKQeLUQ_eLGE6h1LdiKA/uh1)> .

=====

From: David Warner

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:13

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Warner

ADDRESS: 180 Jackson St, #1311

POST CODE: 30312

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd3cb15ab86000e5e9cb3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/9NVC6OWwRyOwY5-E04d6ow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/9NVC6OWwRyOwY5-E04d6ow/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jim Dickinson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:13  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler-

Allowing the takeover of the internet by corporate monopolies can only be a detriment to the freedom that Americans strive to retain.

I have personally experienced a Comcast customer service rep telling me that just because they made multiple promises to service my equipment in a 09-hour period didn't mean that I should have expected them to do so. She said they had other things to do.

Absolute power corrupts absolutely.

Jim Dickinson  
Houston, Texas

Jim Dickinson

77096

=====  
From: Judy McGowan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Judy McGowan  
1811 Wingfield Xing  
Auburn, Alabama 36830

=====

From: Menachem Grossbaum  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Menachem Grossbaum  
2845 Hedberg Dr  
Hopkins, Minnesota 55305

=====

From: Silvia Ochoa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Silvia Ochoa  
1455 Arthur St  
Hanford, California 93230

=====  
From: Spencer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Spencer

ADDRESS: 105 4th ave apt 105

POST CODE: 37130

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd39fa419f5000cf4ee8c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/2LMDmL7QsqKXfx\\_DJaNCnA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/2LMDmL7QsqKXfx_DJaNCnA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/2LMDmL7QsqKXfx\\_DJaNCnA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/2LMDmL7QsqKXfx_DJaNCnA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Ann Conway  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:14  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ann Conway  
2120 Volunteer Rd  
Miltonvale, Kansas 67466

=====  
From: brendan milroy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: brendan milroy

ADDRESS: 145 rue de l'Ã©tiage

POST CODE: j6w6b1

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd3d9c60b21000b542165

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/ExX2DS2RzS1NW1338-upA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/ExX2DS2RzS1NW1338-upA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: David

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:14

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David

ADDRESS: 1838 Westholme Ave. #305 Los Angeles CA

POST CODE: 90025

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd45b62b49c000d1639ea

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/tlnbswGaTiyMCKeITueGKA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/tlnbswGaTiyMCKeITueGKA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Donna Eslinger

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:14

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Donna Eslinger  
5524 Starcrest Dr  
San Jose, California 95123

=====  
From: APRIL HAYNES  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:15  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

APRIL HAYNES  
2122 Wildbrook Canyon Ln  
Katy, Texas 77449

=====  
From: Frederick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Frederick

ADDRESS: 1707 New Bern Ave

POST CODE: 28562

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd477f35671000eb3bab4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/dy0ijgz6RsKqGOuq-tgoMg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/dy0ijgz6RsKqGOuq-tgoMg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Luis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luis

ADDRESS: 1740 Taft Ave

POST CODE: 90028

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd464f35671000d552f47

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/HMslvFtdT-SYPas-M5MgWA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/HMslvFtdT-SYPas-M5MgWA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rufus Schell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rufus Schell

ADDRESS: 814 8th St Bremerton WA

POST CODE: 98337

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd461f35671000c1810bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/lwMRZ7ybTCGeAX1FnxFppQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/lwMRZ7ybTCGeAX1FnxFppQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Terry Fields

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:15

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Terry Fields

2321 Lapeyrouse St Apt A

New Orleans, Louisiana 70119

=====  
From: Toby  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Toby

ADDRESS: Knoxville

POST CODE: 37918

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd41962b49c000cd1e754

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/ZD0Jvw--TPKTwRD816f1Nw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/ZD0Jvw--TPKTwRD816f1Nw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Anthony Mcclarren  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Anthony Mcclarren  
2160 Corbett Rd  
Orlando, Florida 32826

=====

From: David Kilby  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

David Kilby  
1530 Tamarack St Unit 79  
Sweet Home, Oregon 97386

=====  
From: Freeman Morrow  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Freeman Morrow

12318 Turchin Dr  
Houston, Texas 77014

=====  
From: quinn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: quinn

ADDRESS: 420 E 300 S

POST CODE: 84606

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd47015ab86000e5e9cc0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/1-jVO6ggQeCLl04NU\\_lF7g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/1-jVO6ggQeCLl04NU_lF7g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/1-jVO6ggQeCLl04NU\\_lF7g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/1-jVO6ggQeCLl04NU_lF7g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Trish Satterfield  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Trish Satterfield  
1100 N Sherman St  
Newton, Kansas 67114

=====

From: Fernando Quiroz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fernando Quiroz

ADDRESS: 7505 Magarity Rd

POST CODE: 22043

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd554fbecab000b5737e3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/A6aWxzxoRFuAeUH\\_\\_rA-ow/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/A6aWxzxoRFuAeUH__rA-ow/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/A6aWxzxoRFuAeUH\\_\\_rA-ow/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/A6aWxzxoRFuAeUH__rA-ow/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Georgina Quiñones  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Georgina Quiñones

ADDRESS: 2471

POST CODE: 10468

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd4d7f35671000d552f56

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/St-idN\\_YTXWOFK16xMViiw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/St-idN_YTXWOFK16xMViiw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/St-idN\\_YTXWOFK16xMViiw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/St-idN_YTXWOFK16xMViiw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jon Goertz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jon Goertz

ADDRESS: 1000 Harlyn Ave

POST CODE: Rothschild, WI 54474

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd520f1110a000cc4ea6d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/eLCERhj5T5uYz5alHMhoXw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/eLCERhj5T5uYz5alHMhoXw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Marsha Erickson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be

justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marsha Erickson  
921 W Orchard St  
Wellington, Kansas 67152

-----  
From: dave  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: dave

ADDRESS: wer

POST CODE: wer

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd53ef35671000eb3bad4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/AeZpM6ppSGKuMr5kGywDog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/AeZpM6ppSGKuMr5kGywDog/uh1>> .

=====

From: Vernon Sabala  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vernon Sabala  
ADDRESS: 377 FireWillow  
POST CODE: 81505  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd582f1110a000e42e120

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/7LOPQ2jNSVS1HC\\_u7uAqkg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/7LOPQ2jNSVS1HC_u7uAqkg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/7LOPQ2jNSVS1HC\\_u7uAqkg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/7LOPQ2jNSVS1HC_u7uAqkg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Bill

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:19

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bill

ADDRESS: 760 Monticello Dr

POST CODE: 60563

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd561a419f5000cf4eeb5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/hkaL0IKkTD-8Wfy05UU3GQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/hkaL0IKkTD-8Wfy05UU3GQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jesse Rose  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesse Rose

ADDRESS: 1014 N Scheuber Rd #39

POST CODE: Centralia WA, 98531

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd596f35671000d552f6f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/W\\_PP1lnERq2kBkI3ZpG\\_Mg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/W_PP1lnERq2kBkI3ZpG_Mg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/W\\_PP1lnERq2kBkI3ZpG\\_Mg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/W_PP1lnERq2kBkI3ZpG_Mg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Jonetta Kurtz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:19  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I would like to be treated like a corporation. I deserve fast internet. Why do corporations get to be favored? Because they have the big bucks? This is discrimination pure and simple. Please be fair to the little guy. You know? The ones who really pay your salaries.

Jonetta Kurtz

83857

=====  
From: Tamara m  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tamara m  
ADDRESS: 120 cortland  
POST CODE: 94110  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd4a862b49c000bd61ae7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/sUThjJbDQcuEC165TYWQRg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/sUThjJbDQcuEC165TYWQRg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Israel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Israel

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 1754

POST CODE: 00769

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd5d0c60b21000c7f09d5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/msliD3xaSf2YpAz96ipcjQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/msliD3xaSf2YpAz96ipcjQ/uh1>> .

-----  
From: janine veverka  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: janine veverka

ADDRESS: Grant rd williamstown

POST CODE: 13493

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd5cef1110a000b4b4e2d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/EGOwjEkcRRCfW0nQMXYL-A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/EGOwjEkcRRCfW0nQMXYL-A/uh1>> .

=====

From: zz  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:20  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: zz  
 ADDRESS: zzsssssss  
 POST CODE: 110092  
 COUNTRY: IN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd5b9fbecab000b5737f3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/npVea3UIRy2o4N\\_oddHTEw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/npVea3UIRy2o4N_oddHTEw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/npVea3UIRy2o4N\\_oddHTEw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/npVea3UIRy2o4N_oddHTEw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Dan Kaiser

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:21

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dan Kaiser

ADDRESS: 101 Sweet St. NE

POST CODE: 49505

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd5c4a419f5000d46bf53

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/PO-Ym-S1QsCnQp3rEp373g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/PO-Ym-S1QsCnQp3rEp373g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jen

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:21

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jen

ADDRESS: 2108 Turtle Run

POST CODE: 23233

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd5e4f1110a000cc4ea7a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/IkFr9KUCSH6eCzx3LJ5WSA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/IkFr9KUCSH6eCzx3LJ5WSA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Shannon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shannon

ADDRESS: aldershot street

POST CODE: a1c 4s1

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd5ac99efdf000c344a91

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/iyIDT3T7Rr2sdf828yU4Xg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/iyIDT3T7Rr2sdf828yU4Xg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Camden Fox  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:22  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Camden Fox

ADDRESS: 1065 Pleasant Point

POST CODE: 46784

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd62dfbecab000b573804

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/T0az2T7WQB6hMGCdToB77Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/T0az2T7WQB6hMGCdToB77Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: giuseppe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: giuseppe

ADDRESS: temecula

POST CODE: 92591

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd5ac99efdf000bbab85a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/r9Vsh01iRyG1kJkQeoKdKw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/r9Vsh01iRyG1kJkQeoKdKw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Heather  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Heather  
ADDRESS: 2424 lee rd 148 Opelika, AL  
POST CODE: 36804

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd66ca419f5000d46bf64

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/kWshRShETe64o1u8HPbLxg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/kWshRShETe64o1u8HPbLxg/uh1>> .

=====

From: James Therrien  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James Therrien

ADDRESS: 789 E Broadway B1

POST CODE: 02127

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd5e8f11110a000cc4ea7b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/EGFQfs7cSKyk4KFwkJcrpw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/EGFQfs7cSKyk4KFwkJcrpw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rajan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rajan  
ADDRESS: 81 Perrine Pike  
POST CODE: 08844  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd683f35671000eb3bafd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/ub57hT7jR8-6yfgYMgElZQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/ub57hT7jR8-6yfgYMgElZQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: robert tentschert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: robert tentschert

ADDRESS: 1300 wilson ave.

POST CODE: 55106

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd66a62b49c000d163a2d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/QDWvWZlHQ22eegSvouhnlQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/QDWvWZlHQ22eegSvouhnlQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Andrew  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew

ADDRESS: 145 W Pine Kansas City

POST CODE: 64086

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd6b099efdf000c344ab6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/kRd3TFOrR0GUKeOy0AiNog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/kRd3TFOrR0GUKeOy0AiNog/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Francisco de Assis Longobardi Arena

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Francisco de Assis Longobardi Arena

ADDRESS: SÃo Paulo

POST CODE: 05458-001

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd6a0f1110a000e42e146

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/zkRtFKetRGSfml9tkcd33Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/zkRtFKetRGSfml9tkcd33Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jason Butler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason Butler

ADDRESS: 2135 F Street NW, South Hall 204 Â•

POST CODE: 20052

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd6a9a419f5000d46bf68

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/jGKWp4ihRVih-ivepVbXVQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/jGKWp4ihRVih-ivepVbXVQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: John Glover  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:24  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please side with the people - not corporations. A free internet will support innovation which is critical to our nation.

Do the right thing!

John Glover

98133

=====

From: Kasper Sieburg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through [battleforthenet.com](http://battleforthenet.com).

---

NAME: Kasper Sieburg  
ADDRESS: Allhemsvägen 07 E  
POST CODE: 45832 Sweden  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd677f1110a000e42e140

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/V\\_XIKEOxQUuoX9CN0ZhWxQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/V_XIKEOxQUuoX9CN0ZhWxQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/V\\_XIKEOxQUuoX9CN0ZhWxQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/V_XIKEOxQUuoX9CN0ZhWxQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Steven Ferrando  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steven Ferrando

ADDRESS: 699 Ponce De Leon Ave Apt 216

POST CODE: 30308

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd687f35671000eb3bafe

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/ktbbf0F6SHaFiSihKeL-4g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/ktbbf0F6SHaFiSihKeL-4g/uh1>> .

=====  
 From: Bill Fisher  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:25  
 Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I believe in the equalizing power of the Internet. It is an amazing gift and a tool that provides everyone a fair chance to learn, communicate, and do business.

Providing for so-called "fast lanes" harms the inherent equality of the Internet, and gravely puts most people at risk for falling even further behind.

Protect our ability to have a fair shot and keep the Internet bandwidth neutral.

Thank you for listening!

Cheers,

Bill Fisher

80403

=====  
 From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:25  
 Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: judy ostrowski  
 Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Address: 1405 ne madison st  
 Zip: 55413

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they

wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have "just enough Title II" any more than you can be "slightly pregnant."

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Kelly Isenberg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelly Isenberg

ADDRESS: 3701 cambridge st

POST CODE: 34652

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd6b099efdf000e081889

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/XowKRZDdQ-SHNE9wahtj8g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/XowKRZDdQ-SHNE9wahtj8g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:26  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Christine Boland  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 948 Baer Ave.

Zip: 17331

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Karina  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karina

ADDRESS: 2939 Orchard Dr.

POST CODE: 34684

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd6d4a419f5000d46bf6f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/tnslXeCeSPGg\\_Bwke9AcwA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/tnslXeCeSPGg_Bwke9AcwA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/tnslXeCeSPGg\\_Bwke9AcwA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/tnslXeCeSPGg_Bwke9AcwA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Kate  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kate

ADDRESS: 16158 Drexel AVE

POST CODE: 60473

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd6f3c60b21000b54219e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/fq\\_7-zjwTT6LVZG9rX9h7Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/fq_7-zjwTT6LVZG9rX9h7Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/fq\\_7-zjwTT6LVZG9rX9h7Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/fq_7-zjwTT6LVZG9rX9h7Q/uh1)> .

=====

From: Rodney Tatro

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:26

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rodney Tatro

ADDRESS: 3920 Las Vegas BLVD

POST CODE: 89115

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd702c60b21000df15a24

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/mptAbmwAQymbDBhRF5BjVQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/mptAbmwAQymbDBhRF5BjVQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Kelley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelley

ADDRESS: 12538 7th ave NW Seattle WA

POST CODE: 98177

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd704f11110a000cc4ea8a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/5su6fkU9Ti-oQRqHcr6oWw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/5su6fkU9Ti-oQRqHcr6oWw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nathaniel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nathaniel  
ADDRESS: 2328 Storm St Ames Iowa  
POST CODE: 50014

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd739a419f5000d46bf7a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/10upxZOHT6S6ZGfn56WzXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/10upxZOHT6S6ZGfn56WzXA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Balraj Dhindsa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Balraj Dhindsa

ADDRESS: 28 harvest moon drive

POST CODE: M1X1H9

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd7e1c60b21000c7f0a22

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/bGSdM0GNQeCS1IgF-4ABjQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/bGSdM0GNQeCS1IgF-4ABjQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: kevin

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:28

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: kevin

ADDRESS: 1119 e 59th st tacoma,wa.

POST CODE: 98404

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd6ecf35671000d552f9a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/UWwEZZktRDO8hTitZ66p2w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/UWwEZZktRDO8hTitZ66p2w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Miles

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:28

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Miles

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 97477

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd73efbecab000b573824

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/6T09eLfNRROCRcjGoSPksw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/6T09eLfNRROCRcjGoSPksw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nathanaël Langloid  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nathanaël Langloid  
ADDRESS: noisy le roi  
POST CODE: 78590  
COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd750c60b21000e117c72

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/RxARru0PT0-jhX\\_KbavRhQ/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/RxARru0PT0-jhX_KbavRhQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/RxARru0PT0-jhX\\_KbavRhQ/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/RxARru0PT0-jhX_KbavRhQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Raye Anne Absher  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Raye Anne Absher

ADDRESS: 629 S Market St Winamac IN

POST CODE: 46996

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd817c60b21000c7f0a2f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/98bqYpnbQYCK6TfqCIisHA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/98bqYpnbQYCK6TfqCIisHA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Adam  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam

ADDRESS: 3169 Hillwood dr.

POST CODE: 48423

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd7f9fbecab000b573846

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/6jteq-M8TSqkrJasHeiefQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/6jteq-M8TSqkrJasHeiefQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jennifer Quintenz

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:30

Subject: In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America, West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn't decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a "slow lane."

But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices- like their cable bills- and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the

traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Quintenz

=====  
From: Gennady  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Gennady  
ADDRESS: 1341 5th Street  
POST CODE: 07410  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd8a099efdf000c344afd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/O9DiIdeKRAeO2Yj4kmdBWg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/O9DiIdeKRAeO2Yj4kmdBWg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Mary Baross  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:31  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
no discrimination of customers or internet speed please. Net neutrality is freedom of speech!

Mary Baross

14454

=====

From: Zach M  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zach M

ADDRESS: Va

POST CODE: 24609

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd7c6f35671000d552fae

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/oyoxrxEMSbu2TbmZ5k1BeQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/oyoxrxEMSbu2TbmZ5k1BeQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:32  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Elizabeth Ryan  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 35010 NE 154th Street  
Zip: 98019

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be

your legacy.

=====  
From: isaac  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: isaac

ADDRESS: 120 Condgon St. Providence

POST CODE: 02906

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fda0c15ab86000cblade3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/WnbM1hN8R12zpBcCq3v2qA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/WnbM1hN8R12zpBcCq3v2qA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Prairie McFarland  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:33  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

It seems these days that everything is based on how much money one has to spend. Up until now, I thought that, at least, the internet was equal for all of us. Does it really cost more to give one person internet access than another? Do we really need to be so greedy that we can't even allow this one service to be fair for all. Exactly how much money is enough? Give the world a break. Try to think about the average working person here and not some greedy corporation. Be fair!

Prairie McFarland  
Reseda, CA

Prairie McFarland

91335

=====  
From: Alex  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex

ADDRESS: Kingston

POST CODE: Disbelief

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fda7bc60b21000c7f0a86

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/d7-167\\_WT0GgRbMwhcy-4Q/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/d7-167_WT0GgRbMwhcy-4Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/d7-167\\_WT0GgRbMwhcy-4Q/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/d7-167_WT0GgRbMwhcy-4Q/uh1)> .

=====

From: cleah williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: cleah williams

ADDRESS: 901 E 14th the dalles or.

POST CODE: 97058

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd806f1110a000e42e17a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/jia06BmXTtCLoMr1C4yFLA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/jia06BmXTtCLoMr1C4yFLA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Daniel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel

ADDRESS: 5819 S Biglake Road, Big Lake AK

POST CODE: 99652

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fda2462b49c000bd61b1b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/SpdbI6awShquHgji2xEQQA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/SpdbI6awShquHgji2xEQQA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Kimberly Yaman

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:34

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I'm a retired grandmother in North Carolina, and I'm writing you -- BEGGING you -- to maintain the Internet as a public utility that cannot be bought and monopolized by private interests. I live on a pension, and a few miles from me, my daughter (a six-year US Navy veteran who served in the "War on Terror") and her children are living close to the bone, too.

I already pay a goodly amount monthly for access to the Internet. I'm concerned that if a few deep-pocketed companies have control over the Internet, my rates will go up. Because I live on a fixed income, there's nothing I can do to combat that. I'm also concerned that having a low income will further prevent me from having access to the top tier of the pay-to-play scheme of companies, and my family and I will be relegated to slower-speed vendors.

I have no way to earn more money than I receive right now. I was on payrolls for 40 years of my life, and now I'm just barely scraping by as goods and services cost more and more. My 40 years of contributing my fair share of taxes should count just as much as 40 years of anyone else's, and I should have equal access to a public utility such as the Internet.

My grandchildren use the Internet for keeping up with their homework (via Blackboard) and for educational sites. My grandson has autism, and being able to play educational games on the Internet keeps him engaged in learning in a style that works for him.

Please don't make the Internet or the information, entertainment, education, and financial services available via the Internet too expensive for families like ours.

Maintain net neutrality. Don't allow corporations to fight over the right to price ordinary Americans out of the content we need from a public utility.

Kimberly Yaman

27511

=====  
From: nicholas running  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: nicholas running

ADDRESS: 13 horeshoe road

POST CODE: L0K 1E0

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd9bb99efdf000c344b20

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/YdjvnkkEQpippzlwv2u2CQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/YdjvnkkEQpippzlwv2u2CQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tasnim Sarker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tasnim Sarker  
ADDRESS: north montpelier ave, ac, nj  
POST CODE: 08401  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fda7062b49c000d163ab4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/6UZdpSvbTjuS32MhyaKtWw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/6UZdpSvbTjuS32MhyaKtWw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Trinity  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Trinity

ADDRESS: eee

POST CODE: e

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd90ac60b21000df15a56

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/4EPueInjQzGwcxZg9bPzJw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/4EPueInjQzGwcxZg9bPzJw/uh1>> .

=====

From: WD Zickrick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: WD Zickrick

ADDRESS: Portland,

POST CODE: 97212

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fda0bfbecab000e3ea50b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/hxCCmoFhQbOb1Djstskula/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/hxCCmoFhQbOb1Djstskula/uh1>> .

=====  
From: William Rouse  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William Rouse

ADDRESS: 871 NE 62nd AVE

POST CODE: 97124

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd922fbecab000e3ea4fb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/WXfmFZBpRUmHomrUSMT8EA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/WXfmFZBpRUmHomrUSMT8EA/uh1>> .

=====

From: alveryo lim

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:35

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: alveryo lim

ADDRESS: 8900 stardust ln anaheim 92804 ca

POST CODE: 92804

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd8aa62b49c000d163a7f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/nBUPTewJS7us5lJ7cgboLw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/nBUPTewJS7us5lJ7cgboLw/uh1>> .

=====

From: christian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: christian  
ADDRESS: 706 north mountain rd  
POST CODE: 12525  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd82d99efdf000bbab876

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/zxjT6wtSpSt07b-cAFdJA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/zxjT6wtSpSt07b-cAFdJA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: David Weinraub  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Weinraub

ADDRESS: 180 East Boston Post Road, Apt 01, Mamaroneck, NY

POST CODE: 10543

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdaa499efdf000c344b3c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/o6ZGP7coRBu7LWoMoqMe6g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/o6ZGP7coRBu7LWoMoqMe6g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Monica Hugo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Monica Hugo

ADDRESS: 808 Elizabeth st apt 01 corpus christi, tx

POST CODE: 78404

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fda6ca419f5000cf4ef15

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/jnWjNAVCQciKJeS2kN-zig/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/jnWjNAVCQciKJeS2kN-zig/uh1>> .

=====

From: Richard Valdes

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:35

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard Valdes

ADDRESS: 7114 old kings rd s

POST CODE: 32217

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdaec62b49c000cd1e808

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/\\_U8ITn\\_XQAG-2TQ9pwaeUQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/_U8ITn_XQAG-2TQ9pwaeUQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/\\_U8ITn\\_XQAG-2TQ9pwaeUQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/_U8ITn_XQAG-2TQ9pwaeUQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: sue WESTERMAN

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:35

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I depend on the internet for many things. I am a voter - and while I feel really disenfranchised by my government (this is a point in case) I still hope that someone that i voted for might be able to Stop the FCC and Chair Wheeler.

Big corporations have no more rights to information than I do. Unless that is if you are for sale to the highest bidder. So if this unfair change gets passed it will PROVE that MY country is, has ,is for sale and that once again the people do not count. ARE YOU NOT LISTENING?

I do not apologize for shouting because as a country we put China and other countries that limit information and access in a negative light- SO WHERE DOES THAT PUT MY COUNTRY(?) that I happen to love and am trying to believe in.

Thank You,  
a retired citizen and patriot,  
Sue Westerman

sue WESTERMAN

32351

=====  
From: Melissa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Melissa

ADDRESS: 3187 Green Farm Tr

POST CODE: 30019

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fd87ea419f5000d46bf9f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/GasSX8RZS--WiXWaf11ITQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/GasSX8RZS--WiXWaf11ITQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sebastian Salerno  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sebastian Salerno

ADDRESS: 913 Paseo camarillo Apt 775

POST CODE: 93010

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdacc99efdf000c344b41

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/xEI9kwJoRvizu6vcRTqN3Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/xEI9kwJoRvizu6vcRTqN3Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Anirban  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anirban  
ADDRESS: 718 E.Lewis Street. Apt A  
POST CODE: 82072  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdb1df1110a000e42e1e0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/FwpJuTArSdiQrPmexc8rPQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/FwpJuTArSdiQrPmexc8rPQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Danny Porter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Danny Porter  
ADDRESS: HC 30 Box129B Pelsor AR  
POST CODE: 72856  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdb8962b49c000e6c564d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/pZ29Xr78Q3ebcppqg27E4g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/pZ29Xr78Q3ebcppqg27E4g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Hayley Gillette  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hayley Gillette

ADDRESS: 17069 Gulf Pine Circle

POST CODE: 33414

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdac915ab86000cbladf8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/JkbF6waXQyyCWb9sZmwE3g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/JkbF6waXQyyCWb9sZmwE3g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: john gebauer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: john gebauer  
ADDRESS: 124 lair rd  
POST CODE: 06057  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdb06c60b21000e117ca2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/FWKdsOsgRzCKH5Sm4aTEJQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/FWKdsOsgRzCKH5Sm4aTEJQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Joseph O'Brien  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph O'Brien

ADDRESS: 1084 Sawmill Gulch

POST CODE: 93953

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdaa615ab86000d44f911

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/-\\_eD3NtDT72bC48VfW3U4g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/-_eD3NtDT72bC48VfW3U4g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/-\\_eD3NtDT72bC48VfW3U4g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/-_eD3NtDT72bC48VfW3U4g/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dustin Sosebee  
21 Northfield Dr  
Chatsworth, GA 30705

=====  
From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john  
ADDRESS: 4530 west mclellan road #25  
POST CODE: glendale arizona 85301  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdb0699efdf000c344b4e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/hXFtgXosQ1msOzMA8cnGWA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/hXFtgXosQ1msOzMA8cnGWA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Arlene Dalton

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:39

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Just wanted to say something very briefly, since you probably have to read a lot of these comments.

Please keep things fair. The ordinary person or I imagine, the small business, already feels like the deck is stacked against them. Every where you find examples of money and power just steam rolling over the small guy.

How can we ordinary people balance out the big guys?

I hope, really hope, that you will step in and help keep the internet equal for all. After all, isn't that equality for all what America is supposed to stand for.

Please.

Thank you, Arlene.

Arlene Dalton

92110

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:39

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Antoine  
42 Merrell Ave Apt A13  
Stamford, CT 06902

=====  
From: Manuel Garza  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:39  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Manuel Garza  
12626 Cisco Blvd  
San Antonio, Texas 78217

=====  
From: Presiliana Aburto  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:39  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Presiliana Aburto  
6001 S Spaulding Ave  
Chicago, Illinois 60629

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually

done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers -- just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rosemary Loso  
17 E Florida Ave  
Villas, NJ 08251

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:39  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Ruth Brust  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 196 Ave de la D'Emerald  
Zip: 89434

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government

agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Timothy Christian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:39  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I'm a marketer. I have worked in the digital space with some of the biggest companies on the planet. I started before Netscape went public. For every success I've enjoyed, I've had ten failures. For every big client, I've worked with ten small ones. For every multimillion dollar budget trying to make next quarter's number, there's a no-budget startup trying to make the world better. And every once in a while, one of them gets through and does just that.

It happens because an idea "gets out there" and the idea has equal billing with every other idea and rises to the top on its own merits. How can that happen when the playing field isn't level? How can the next great thing happen when it never sees the light of day?

The right future doesn't happen without the right decision right now.

Make the right decision. For everyone.

You are not in the private sector. The highest bidder doesn't get to take all. You are beholden to the 10-year old girl whose only internet access is the library a mile from her home. You are beholden to this nation, not the wealthiest of this nation. You are beholden to the immigrant learning English to chase the American Dream, and the veteran tracking down her brothers in arms, the people finding help for stray animals, the young boy following his favorite ball team, the teacher selflessly seeking solutions for challenged students.

We built this thing you're thinking about selling off. You don't have the right to do it but what's more important is that it's just not right to do it.

Make the right decision. For everyone.

Timothy Christian

63116  
=====

From: ADOLFO RIVEROLL  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ADOLFO RIVEROLL

ADDRESS: Houston

POST CODE: 77046

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdbf0a419f5000b4d4e0a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/r95c8TBnT5mnnTK-Dj61fQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/r95c8TBnT5mnnTK-Dj61fQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: alyssa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: alyssa

ADDRESS: laurel ave, st. paul

POST CODE: 55104

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdbe6fbecab000c288a4b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/kC15fBLaS9C3C5okAJJOYog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/kC15fBLaS9C3C5okAJJOYog/uh1>> .

=====

From: Arman Khosrowdad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Arman Khosrowdad

ADDRESS: 13871 Laura Ratcliff court

POST CODE: 20121

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdb8ca419f5000cf4ef29

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/gxYpnKg7RWqM9Ofmrpp8Rw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/gxYpnKg7RWqM9Ofmrpp8Rw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by

government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Hofmann  
714 Viking Cir  
Valley City, ND 58072

=====  
From: Edmund  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Edmund

ADDRESS: 1666 3rd ave

POST CODE: 10128

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdc7cf11110a000e42e211

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/UQzhuNQBQY2\\_lqPD0Rkq\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/UQzhuNQBQY2_lqPD0Rkq_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/UQzhuNQBQY2\\_lqPD0Rkq\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/UQzhuNQBQY2_lqPD0Rkq_g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Enter your full name here  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Enter your full name here  
ADDRESS: Enter your address line 01 here  
POST CODE: Enter your zip code here  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdclaf1110a000cc4eaf5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/oJj5jU8lTviwNY52oIIIdVA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/oJj5jU8lTviwNY52oIIIdVA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Heather Kamarinopoulos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech

support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Heather Kamarinopoulos  
3 S 12th Ave  
Manville, New Jersey 08835

=====  
From: Joshua  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joshua

ADDRESS: 4100 Blackrock Road

POST CODE: 21155

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdc4115ab86000d44f95a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/41PBMVJkTQ-r04VuvthPog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/41PBMVJkTQ-r04VuvthPog/uh1>> .

=====

From: shawnee marin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: shawnee marin  
ADDRESS: 8494 pallux way  
POST CODE: 92126  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdb3f1110a000e42e1f7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/JYEM45\\_rTYSi\\_1-OsCVv8w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/JYEM45_rTYSi_1-OsCVv8w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/JYEM45\\_rTYSi\\_1-OsCVv8w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/JYEM45_rTYSi_1-OsCVv8w/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Tynisha Watson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tynisha Watson  
5141 Locust Ave  
Kansas City, Kansas 66106

=====  
From: Amanda  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amanda  
ADDRESS: New York, NY  
POST CODE: 10009  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdcl1efbecab000dab145d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Zzj-MqdiRxGqXC\\_e\\_oYMQVQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Zzj-MqdiRxGqXC_e_oYMQVQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Zzj-MqdiRxGqXC\\_e\\_oYMQVQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Zzj-MqdiRxGqXC_e_oYMQVQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:41

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and

in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
George Williams  
57 Ponderosa Rd  
Bloomingburg, NY 12721

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dale Heye  
6659 Shannock Ave  
Castle Rock, CO 80104

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have

been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jerome Daye  
7633 Clement Cir  
Sacramento, CA 95828

=====  
From: john stiff  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:41  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Chairman Wheeler,  
Net Neutrality is important to me and everyone I know that has a PC/laptop or smart phone. I do not believe these corporations who want to take over our ability to access the internet should be allowed to restrict access. Our grandkids must be allowed to use the "net" as it was originally intended. Cable and other internet companies cannot be allowed to change to a pay to play system.  
Please don't allow them to do it.

john stiff

92234

=====  
From: Josh  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josh

ADDRESS: 13904 Taft St #02 Garden Grove, CA

POST CODE: 92843

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdc1fc60b21000c7f0abb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/TmmV0dr8TByyqzx8vNm6cQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/TmmV0dr8TByyqzx8vNm6cQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rob  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through [battleforthenet.com](http://battleforthenet.com).

---

NAME: Rob

ADDRESS: 511 lighthouse

POST CODE: 95060

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdb92f35671000bbeb341

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/5mnuEO4fR4CtgAF9QT3MFA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/5mnuEO4fR4CtgAF9QT3MFA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gregory Johnson  
77 Cambridge St  
Springfield, MA 01109

=====

From: Kash Cummings  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kash Cummings  
ADDRESS: 2700 Waterview Parkway 4418  
POST CODE: 75080  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdcc899efdf000e081951

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/suFGaRUxTkWeyELDHFA8XA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/suFGaRUxTkWeyELDHFA8XA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Larry Campbell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Larry Campbell

ADDRESS: 131 Old N. Church Rd.

POST CODE: 29621

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdcc9f1110a000e42e21a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/7AGpfHqRTL-Pd-QVdkE\\_2Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/7AGpfHqRTL-Pd-QVdkE_2Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/7AGpfHqRTL-Pd-QVdkE\\_2Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/7AGpfHqRTL-Pd-QVdkE_2Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Sourina  
11280 Pebble Hills Blvd Apt 136  
El Paso, TX 79936

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its

funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Philip Marinelli  
1 Valley Ct  
Huntington, NY 11743

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Chris Vantassel  
2217 Whispering Wind St  
Fort Worth, TX 76108

=====  
From: Ismail CAGDAS  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ismail CAGDAS

ADDRESS: Istanbul/Turkey

POST CODE: 94300

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdc8462b49c000cd1e838

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/NSU8EX1TQSixxTXOXL TUYg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/NSU8EX1TQSixxTXOXL TUYg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Shawn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shawn  
ADDRESS: 5239 Dundas Street West Toronto  
POST CODE: M9B 1A5  
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdc8999efdf000d80502c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/XyFgo05\\_S3GxIMjulnY5EQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/XyFgo05_S3GxIMjulnY5EQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/XyFgo05\\_S3GxIMjulnY5EQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/XyFgo05_S3GxIMjulnY5EQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Katie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katie  
ADDRESS: Colonial Rd  
POST CODE: 07675  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdcfbf35671000eb3bbc6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/icqnxXcgQ8irSrWG-aLu4w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/icqnxXcgQ8irSrWG-aLu4w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:44

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ivy Moreno  
1701 Beck St  
Bryan, TX 77803

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:44  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dick Nesbit  
8691 Eagle View Dr  
Cordova, TN 38018

=====  
From: sam  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sam  
ADDRESS: 7003 denton dr dallas  
POST CODE: 75235  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdcd6fbecab000c288a55

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/Br9k0sSdSqaFHitVp8TTAg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/Br9k0sSdSqaFHitVp8TTAg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:44  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: tony  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 467 6th st.  
Zip: 30125

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II's any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Beth Puleo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:45  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,  
Please keep the internet as it is-allowing ALL of us the same access and speed we have come to expect. Caving in t the big telcom companies and turning away from the American people would be catastrophic for the average person. It is no secret that the telecom companies are looking to extract even more profit for their coffers while the average American will suffer.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,  
Beth L. Puleo

Beth Puleo

43214

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:45  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Barbary Quirico  
706 W Taylor Rd  
Las Cruces, NM 88007

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:45

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Rhoads  
28602 Heather Ln  
Castaic, CA 91384

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:45  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: a r gibbons  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: Tuscaloosa AL  
Zip: 35401

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman

forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim, whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: E. Cecale  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: E. Cecale

ADDRESS: 12530 Culver Blvd.

POST CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90024

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdc9af35671000eb3bbba

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/WN6IdNVISBS\\_IPIQJvn6Cw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/WN6IdNVISBS_IPIQJvn6Cw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/WN6IdNVISBS\\_IPIQJvn6Cw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/WN6IdNVISBS_IPIQJvn6Cw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Elena Suñe

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:46

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elena Suñe

ADDRESS: Psant feliu de llobregat

POST CODE: 08980

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdd7815ab86000b62ce18

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/OKYTBry3TJClSDjG4esJxQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/OKYTBry3TJClSDjG4esJxQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Manuel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Manuel

ADDRESS: Las Cumbres PanamÃ;

POST CODE: 00507

COUNTRY: PA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdcd7f35671000bbeb350

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/JzxU3VsXQxu2h\\_uJyP08rA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/JzxU3VsXQxu2h_uJyP08rA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/JzxU3VsXQxu2h\\_uJyP08rA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/JzxU3VsXQxu2h_uJyP08rA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Michael  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael  
ADDRESS: 3526 SURREY DR NE  
POST CODE: 98506  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdc8215ab86000d44f962

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/KOY-lV\\_YRSaovmFDsgokSg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/KOY-lV_YRSaovmFDsgokSg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/KOY-lV\\_YRSaovmFDsgokSg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/KOY-lV_YRSaovmFDsgokSg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Cavallaro  
128 Daybreak Ct  
Brick, NJ 08724

=====

From: satya  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: satya

ADDRESS: 3563 division street

POST CODE: los Angeles, ca 90065

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdd7062b49c000cd1e853

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/TzfeAEeZTyCfJQiHbd6MeA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/TzfeAEeZTyCfJQiHbd6MeA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ed Pruett  
1711 E Cole Ave  
Fresno, CA 93720

=====

From: Allen Hutcheson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Allen Hutcheson  
ADDRESS: 12112s12th west  
POST CODE: 95404  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdd35c60b21000df15aa5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/mZEUPhPsR0aaLuMvjH7aNA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/mZEUPhPsR0aaLuMvjH7aNA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Carlos Poni  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carlos Poni

ADDRESS: 41927 pacific grove way

POST CODE: 92591, temecula ca

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdccf62b49c000bd61b38

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/R36-y7U5QC2bcH-4GLf21g/o.gif>>  
If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/R36-y7U5QC2bcH-4GLf21g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jose Barriga  
918 S Alderwood Dr  
Moses Lake, WA 98837

=====  
From: James  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James

ADDRESS: 3613 Felmore Ct

POST CODE: 22193

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdca215ab86000cb1ae21

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/DxeK6rBcQjCa7uq4CLlsMg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/DxeK6rBcQjCa7uq4CLlsMg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Katarina Rickman

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katarina Rickman

ADDRESS: TORSÅ\205KER â\200\223 SMEDJAN

POST CODE: 19492

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdd2ff1110a000cc4eb07

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/BFYj3YelRPGzCTDx\\_ebrgQ/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/BFYj3YelRPGzCTDx_ebrgQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/BFYj3YelRPGzCTDx\\_ebrgQ/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/BFYj3YelRPGzCTDx_ebrgQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Fred Jones  
2445 Glenarm Pl  
Denver, CO 80205

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: BARRY MANSON  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: PO BOX 249  
Zip: 04087

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II's any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Max  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Max

ADDRESS: 7573 Slater Ave Unit P

POST CODE: 92647

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdcde99efdf000e081954

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/16VjLk5CRxSH1IQYZSzl1mA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/16VjLk5CRxSH1IQYZSzl1mA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: robert mayfield  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 601 main street rd  
Zip: 60081

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Stephanie Carter  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 5150 Broadway #492  
Zip: 78209

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Tom-Lennart SÃ|tran  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tom-Lennart SÃ|tran

ADDRESS: P.O BOX 115, Hell, Norway

POST CODE: 7517

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fddb4c60b21000e117cc2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/wkpvQEDLSD-Gp\\_GffdeVEA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/wkpvQEDLSD-Gp_GffdeVEA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/wkpvQEDLSD-Gp\\_GffdeVEA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/wkpvQEDLSD-Gp_GffdeVEA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Alejandra Castillo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alejandra Castillo

ADDRESS: 5722 westminster village dr

POST CODE: 77084

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdd7515ab86000e5e9d8f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/HFJs0FcxQGeM5GMCd3BwdQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/HFJs0FcxQGeM5GMCd3BwdQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:48

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Matthew Lynch

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 603 Crestwood Dr

Zip: 37388

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim

whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rob Harper  
2289 Bull Lake Dr  
Henderson, NV 89052

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jose Martinez  
7531 Gulfbriar Pl  
Missouri City, TX 77489

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:48  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Jennifer Perry  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 5645 Chase Mills Dr  
Zip: 43081

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital

mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: richard m huggett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: richard m huggett  
ADDRESS: 3433 race street  
POST CODE: 80205  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fddb415ab86000cb1ae3a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/-HueMFZ8QZyE-LEzr0KvbQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/-HueMFZ8QZyE-LEzr0KvbQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sarah

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:48

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah

ADDRESS: 429 Regents Ave

POST CODE: 42101

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdd9b99efdf000e081961

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/\\_PV0Kff7TwmM2Y\\_XRvXNdA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/_PV0Kff7TwmM2Y_XRvXNdA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/\\_PV0Kff7TwmM2Y\\_XRvXNdA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/_PV0Kff7TwmM2Y_XRvXNdA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: a

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:49

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: a

ADDRESS: a

POST CODE: 44444

COUNTRY: IL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde14f1110a000d0e668a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/S09K1L38Q8ad9KaAuiFFLg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/S09K1L38Q8ad9KaAuiFFLg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Chiara  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chiara

ADDRESS: 5430 s. Kimbark Ave,#3N

POST CODE: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fddef15ab86000cb1ae3f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/2ANkBa3IQ7KshOqx1iYJrg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/2ANkBa3IQ7KshOqx1iYJrg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Elizabeth Foster

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:49

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth Foster

ADDRESS: 1806 Stewart Place

POST CODE: Nashville, Tn 37203

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fddce15ab86000cb1ae3d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/z1VYOKk4RQigZucxtjzSNA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/z1VYOKk4RQigZucxtjzSNA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:49

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Virginia Cooper

562 Joey Ln  
Oneonta, AL 35121

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shane Siegelin  
390 Shamrock Ct  
Evansville, IN 47715

=====  
From: Xavier  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Xavier

ADDRESS: 800 N. 3RD

POST CODE: 77520

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde2cf1110a000e42e23b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/6w1j7kFTQv6M4PeOoF5C3w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/6w1j7kFTQv6M4PeOoF5C3w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Charles Cooper  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through [battleforthenet.com](http://battleforthenet.com).

---

NAME: Charles Cooper

ADDRESS: 3351 E. 120th Ave

POST CODE: Thornton, CO 80233

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fddb3c60b21000b54223a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/hLBM6hSGT0G0QPbYO-SqJg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/hLBM6hSGT0G0QPbYO-SqJg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
George Cook  
18939 Strathmoor St  
Detroit, MI 48235

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on

the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Greg Oswald  
637 S 500 W Apt 11  
Provo, UT 84601

=====  
From: Rory  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Rory

ADDRESS: 316 w. Ojai

POST CODE: 93023

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde4fa419f5000cf4ef5e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/08-XaTFDeRRiU8kuxmY2Ybg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/08-XaTFDeRRiU8kuxmY2Ybg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Zina Mceldowney  
518 Se Neptune Ave  
Lincoln City, OR 97367

=====  
From: Tonya Phillips  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:50  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please do not punish the general public by creating two different types of internet access. One for big business and one for everyone else. It is the everyone else who keeps big business the business that they are. Everyone should be able to have the fastest speed they are capable of obtaining graded that has to do with what type of equipment you have but the fastest should be available to everyone. No restrictions on who or what can have access to it.

Thank you,

Tonya Phillips

95841

=====  
From: Austin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Austin

ADDRESS: 2853 white oak Dr

POST CODE: 75052

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde65c60b21000c7f0b00

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/5CBgiETqR8qh2YENQLq03A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/5CBgiETqR8qh2YENQLq03A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Christian Sohm  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christian Sohm

ADDRESS: UllmannstraÃ\237e 10

POST CODE: 1150

COUNTRY: AT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde5515ab86000b62ce1f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/6k6gwzI7R\\_qBK0G8KEgikw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/6k6gwzI7R_qBK0G8KEgikw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/6k6gwzI7R\\_qBK0G8KEgikw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/6k6gwzI7R_qBK0G8KEgikw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jacob Steffensen  
36611 Mudge Ranch Rd  
Coarsegold, CA 93614

=====  
From: David  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David

ADDRESS: 692 westgate ave apt c

POST CODE: 59718

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde10f1110a000d0e6688

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/8HnRZM4PTCSDsAZgylxcKw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/8HnRZM4PTCSDsAZgylxcKw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Piergrossi  
702 Walnut Ridge Est  
Pottstown, PA 19464

=====  
From: Evan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Evan

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 02130

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde77a419f5000e2fb2ec

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/zwvGuWPsRRWI5L2vUru7wg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/zwvGuWPsRRWI5L2vUru7wg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: John Nimitz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Nimitz

ADDRESS: 541 W. Remington Dr.

POST CODE: 94087

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde53f1110a000e42e23c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/NqLsDnd9Tz2yn6pzOuFeEA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/NqLsDnd9Tz2yn6pzOuFeEA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Justin Norton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin Norton

ADDRESS: 4539 Terrace Downs Way

POST CODE: Sacramento, CA 95842

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde4c62b49c000e6c5686

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/oBPGbqTlS52VzMX\\_RpPuuw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/oBPGbqTlS52VzMX_RpPuuw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/oBPGbqTlS52VzMX\\_RpPuuw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/oBPGbqTlS52VzMX_RpPuuw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: William Finch  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:51  
Subject: FCC - Don't try to fix what ain't broke...

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Where the internet is concerned leave well enough alone.

William Finch

81212

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Zierden  
6540 Montrose Trl  
Tallahassee, FL 32309

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Ysmael  
4330 Rosina Ct  
Concord, CA 94518

-----  
From: Makay Mityis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Makay Mityis

ADDRESS: Baross street 39

POST CODE: 1192

COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde39f35671000eb3bbec

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/MBPAj1mbQ9Cx3N-bXwEy1g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/MBPAj1mbQ9Cx3N-bXwEy1g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dulce Balderas  
12520 S Trumbull Ave

Alsip, IL 60803

=====  
From: Naseem  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Naseem

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 11203

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdedef35671000d553089

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/xJ7pQhYxTt2Ytldn0HlyPg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/xJ7pQhYxTt2Ytldn0HlyPg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:53  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Earl Pruitt  
 1124 S Oro Ave  
 Stockton, CA 95215

=====

From: Terrence Lundberg  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:53  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Terrence Lundberg

ADDRESS: 790 lakewood

POST CODE: 83401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdeb9f1110a000e42e244

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/dRtjZrtNRUGHSiafaDDheA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/dRtjZrtNRUGHSiafaDDheA/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Tim McClure  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Tim McClure

ADDRESS: 6013 White Church Road

POST CODE: Shippensburg, Pa 17257

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde0da419f5000e2fb2d7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/fa8lyM8MS-GyxY6vv3JATw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/fa8lyM8MS-GyxY6vv3JATw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Adam Naldal  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:54  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam Naldal  
 ADDRESS: Graven 8c  
 POST CODE: 8000  
 COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdee762b49c000e6c5693

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/rEYOlCdGTM24eCtGZlQmYA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/rEYOlCdGTM24eCtGZlQmYA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:54  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Terry Aman  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: dont do it!  
Zip: 85379

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Andr   Perrot  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andr   Perrot

ADDRESS: Kreuzstr. 16

POST CODE: 63165

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fde6115ab86000e5e9dab

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/xk0ILFz0R6Oi\\_D7GLXQCBg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/xk0ILFz0R6Oi_D7GLXQCBg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/xk0ILFz0R6Oi\\_D7GLXQCBg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/xk0ILFz0R6Oi_D7GLXQCBg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Bendik Egenes Dyrli  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bendik Egenes Dyrli

ADDRESS: Tj, nnevoldsgate 26, H0209A

POST CODE: 4877

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdf05c60b21000c7f0b15

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/k3KP7QKvRcuNDIqB4BBjxw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/k3KP7QKvRcuNDIqB4BBjxw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Claudia Rodriguez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Claudia Rodriguez

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4951

POST CODE: 90749

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdf60f1110a000d0e66b3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/rwQrNAbAQpyI2iF-gZMZcg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/rwQrNAbAQpyI2iF-gZMZcg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Josh

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:54

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josh

ADDRESS: 1815 Oak Avenue

POST CODE: 94025

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdefd99efdf000e081988

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/7qZDXaClQ3ukLdM8O0rAjw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/7qZDXaClQ3ukLdM8O0rAjw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:54

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Orgun Guralp

3609 Burbank Dr

Ann Arbor, MI 48105

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Demaina  
11 Alexandra Rd  
Lynnfield, MA 01940

=====  
From: ch- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brian Smith

25565 El Vado Dr  
Madera, CA 93638

=====  
From: Richard Panuschka  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard Panuschka

ADDRESS: 309 S. 7th Ave

POST CODE: 59715/Bozeman, MT

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdf1d62b49c000cd1e886

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/F0NGrjH-QAe50-cznB5YIQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/F0NGrjH-QAe50-cznB5YIQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ryan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:55

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan

ADDRESS: 5142 Blue Jay Circle

POST CODE: 80109

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdf9dfbecab000b573926

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/TkOLipNgTh2APJQTqP0h8A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/TkOLipNgTh2APJQTqP0h8A/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:55  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Chris  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1460 Hialeah Dr.  
Zip: 89119

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Ewald Gruber  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ewald Gruber  
ADDRESS: PO Box 235840 Encinitas CA  
POST CODE: 92023  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdfaefbecab000b573927

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/A6UdRM4wSHWRNoLVPiUPdw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/A6UdRM4wSHWRNoLVPiUPdw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit.

Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Paul Opalinski  
3624 N Newcastle Ave  
Chicago, IL 60634

=====  
From: Orlando  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Orlando  
ADDRESS: Panama  
POST CODE: 507  
COUNTRY: PA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdfc162b49c000bd61b51

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/mtQ3PLuaT6GYo6kAE1WI0A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/mtQ3PLuaT6GYo6kAE1WI0A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Russell Guerrero  
150 Via La Paz  
San Marcos, CA 92069

=====  
From: Ryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan

ADDRESS: 5970 W Venus Way

POST CODE: 85226

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fdfae62b49c000e6c56a0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/TvxS-VLuRsuVTZ6zgWhivw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/TvxS-VLuRsuVTZ6zgWhivw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Diana Pop  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:57  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Diana Pop  
 ADDRESS: 440 Veteran Ave. #108  
 POST CODE: 90024  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe058f35671000eb3bc30

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/ndh3i7CVQAqVzELBxKhCJg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/ndh3i7CVQAqVzELBxKhCJg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: loren dawn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:57  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Having a few companies effect what everyone does on the internet is totally unacceptable!! This is one place where the news isnt totally controlled and manipulated, and there is some freedom left for people. Dont destroy it....it is too important!!

loren dawn

85712

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rob Kaponyas  
31 Farrell Dr  
Brewster, NY 10509

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Barry K. Herman  
6709 Cuesta Trl  
Austin, TX 78730

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Leo Witoski  
53 Shennen St  
Quincy, MA 02169

=====  
From: ?  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ?

ADDRESS: 1646

POST CODE: 2516

COUNTRY: KR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe0a8c60b21000b542273

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/2YbqG2nwS76I1FWgZjXwTA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/2YbqG2nwS76I1FWgZjXwTA/uh1>> .

=====

From: bob

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:58

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: bob

ADDRESS: 4641 selkirk drive

POST CODE: 76109

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe0b5f1110a000b4b4e95

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/3lg0xaNwTBS5p8EtRdPi3A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/3lg0xaNwTBS5p8EtRdPi3A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: James Nuxoll  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James Nuxoll

ADDRESS: 3744 Bonfield Dr

POST CODE: 45220

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe12499efdf000c344c1b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Fi6L9\\_q7QAq3SwTK45ex7A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Fi6L9_q7QAq3SwTK45ex7A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Fi6L9\\_q7QAq3SwTK45ex7A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Fi6L9_q7QAq3SwTK45ex7A/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Lousen  
1218 Partrick Rd  
Napa, CA 94558

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering

users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Rosenkranz  
20802 S 1100 W  
La Crosse, IN 46348

=====  
From: Ryan Lee  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan Lee

ADDRESS: 13020 53rd St N

POST CODE: Stillwater MN, 55082

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe06a15ab86000d44f9e1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/M-ov3dMbRXuaV\\_VP9EvHnA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/M-ov3dMbRXuaV_VP9EvHnA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/M-ov3dMbRXuaV\\_VP9EvHnA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/M-ov3dMbRXuaV_VP9EvHnA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Vincent R. DeLay  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vincent R. DeLay

ADDRESS: 5645 Topanga Canyon Boulevard #319a

POST CODE: 91367, Woodland Hills

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe02115ab86000cb1ae66

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/hm\\_Qpm4CTCG1ytUfVBnsnA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/hm_Qpm4CTCG1ytUfVBnsnA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/hm\\_Qpm4CTCG1ytUfVBnsnA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/hm_Qpm4CTCG1ytUfVBnsnA/uh1)> .

=====

From: decastro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: decastro  
ADDRESS: 1514 burrel ct  
POST CODE: 95126

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe0b8a419f5000cf4ef8b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/AcbGdRKNRwSJmADMuNh9Sw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/AcbGdRKNRwSJmADMuNh9Sw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Josh Muniz  
2001 Elmcroft Cir  
La Habra, CA 90631

=====  
From: johnna  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: johnna

ADDRESS: 1827 n 77 court

POST CODE: 60707

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe17ac60b21000c7f0b61

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Uw203xJ-RVKlKyKMPKe\\_7A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Uw203xJ-RVKlKyKMPKe_7A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Uw203xJ-RVKlKyKMPKe\\_7A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Uw203xJ-RVKlKyKMPKe_7A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Mason

Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:59

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mason

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 93117

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe14ef1110a000b4b4e9a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/rFlj0jTOQS64doeUo\\_DMDw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/rFlj0jTOQS64doeUo_DMDw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/rFlj0jTOQS64doeUo\\_DMDw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/rFlj0jTOQS64doeUo_DMDw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Renee Rowe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:59  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I depend on the internet for my education and to keep in touch with family far away. Please do not make it slower for "lowly" folks like me while favoring the big corporations who can pay more. Freedom of the internet is vitally important to me.

Renee Rowe

81004

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:45:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Sizemore  
Po Box 122  
Bear Branch, KY 41714

From: Adriana  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adriana

ADDRESS: 616 North LBJ Dr.

POST CODE: 78666

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe16c99efdf000c344c25

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/811Iaw5JT9iDoYEr6iktIw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/811Iaw5JT9iDoYEr6iktIw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Candice Hare  
3119 E Range Rd  
Wichita, KS 67216

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on

Internet providers ã\202-\200\234 just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Curreri  
21 Hubbard Way  
Coto De Caza, CA 92679

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Ward  
445 Ridgewood Ln  
Montgomery, AL 36109

=====  
From: Ryan jones  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan jones

ADDRESS: 163 Edgewood drive

POST CODE: 43551

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe18499efdf000d80508a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/y6-2UGs6TpGk0FofcUP7pQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/y6-2UGs6TpGk0FofcUP7pQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sven MagnÃ,  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sven MagnÃ,

ADDRESS: Billingstad

POST CODE: Norway

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe25962b49c000d163b7b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/qcHMUELqS7yFhYxqNHd5IQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/qcHMUELqS7yFhYxqNHd5IQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sverre Stolt-Nielsen

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:00

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sverre Stolt-Nielsen

ADDRESS: Å\230vre Bergvei 06

POST CODE: 5152

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe1befbecab000e3ea587

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/aAYYl0mcT1WO3boDQKg-Dg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/aAYYl0mcT1WO3boDQKg-Dg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alonzo Rizo  
130 Leisure Park Cir  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

=====  
From: Betty Redditt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:01  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Betty Redditt  
545 Willow Ash Dr  
Atlanta, Georgia 30349

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and

there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
William Galloway  
110 N Poplar St  
Wilmington, DE 19801

=====  
From: Mike Stangel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Mike Stangel

ADDRESS: na

POST CODE: 55902

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe28899efdf000c344c4d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/si3PhZZUSQeMTm7eh6qq-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/si3PhZZUSQeMTm7eh6qq-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ted DiDonato  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ted DiDonato

ADDRESS: 04 Addison St. 3rd floor

POST CODE: 06401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe2d2a419f5000e2fb371

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/a\\_b-pqxYS5GUEy1A0IdvjA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/a_b-pqxYS5GUEy1A0IdvjA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/a\\_b-pqxYS5GUEy1A0IdvjA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/a_b-pqxYS5GUEy1A0IdvjA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Victor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Victor  
ADDRESS: India  
POST CODE: 100092  
COUNTRY: IN  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe26efbecab000e3ea590

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/ogTROIm9Qz-j9fhN-RrYJQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/ogTROIm9Qz-j9fhN-RrYJQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Deidre Pennell

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:02  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Deidre Pennell  
704 Vz County Road 2509  
Canton, Texas 75103

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rick Kohl  
18452 W 161st Ter

Olathe, KS 66062

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Britney Sullivan  
154 Tonya St  
Hamilton, AL 35570

=====  
From: Tisha Brady  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:02  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a

justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tisha Brady  
1566 Ferris Rd  
Columbus, Ohio 43224

=====  
From: Torez Bryant  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:02  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option, because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Torez Bryant  
2668 N Erie Ave  
Wichita, Kansas 67219

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
William Davis  
1311 Patton Dr  
Pensacola, FL 32507

=====  
From: Carole Fisher  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carole Fisher  
707 Old Mill Pond Rd  
Palm Harbor, Florida 34683

=====  
From: corraine canny  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: corraine canny

ADDRESS: 96 Hawksley AV

POST CODE: S6 2Be66 62BE

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe2f799efdf000e0819ce

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/UNVmD4KLS4SEWo8jkHPDUA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/UNVmD4KLS4SEWo8jkHPDUA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Elizabeth Knowles  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Knowles  
16 Barr St  
Salem, Massachusetts 01970

=====

From: ina schult  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ina schult

ADDRESS: schmilauer str.04 mÃ¶lln

POST CODE: 23879

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe277a419f5000cf4efa5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/bfNkDWNxQNmRJKwBrHkKSQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/bfNkDWNxQNmRJKwBrHkKSQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: m

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:03

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: m

ADDRESS: m m

POST CODE: n

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe2ef99efdf000d8050ae

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/hQzh9x5vSmaIdtpY8\\_d5Vg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/hQzh9x5vSmaIdtpY8_d5Vg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/hQzh9x5vSmaIdtpY8\\_d5Vg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/hQzh9x5vSmaIdtpY8_d5Vg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Natalie

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:03

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Natalie

ADDRESS: 3252 Northview Drive

POST CODE: 74421

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe300c60b21000b5422a5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/QsU109jrSyu\\_jMwW8g\\_GGA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/QsU109jrSyu_jMwW8g_GGA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/QsU109jrSyu\\_jMwW8g\\_GGA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/QsU109jrSyu_jMwW8g_GGA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:03

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very

clear Ã¢â\202-â\200\234 investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Stefan Florea  
172 Eagle St  
N Arlington, NJ 07031

=====  
From: Grant  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Grant

ADDRESS: 223 171st pl ne

POST CODE: 98008

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe1e0f1110a000e42e29a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/I6K\\_dCx8TmqMXPaz21\\_SNg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/I6K_dCx8TmqMXPaz21_SNg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/I6K\\_dCx8TmqMXPaz21\\_SNg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/I6K_dCx8TmqMXPaz21_SNg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ralph Fields

2015 Thunder Storm Ave  
N Las Vegas, NV 89032

=====  
From: Anthony Lefley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Anthony Lefley  
956 Hammon Ave  
Ephrata, Pennsylvania 17522

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once

again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Al Sikes  
2825 4th St  
Bakersfield, CA 93304

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Reescano  
14219 Rock Dove Ln  
Houston, TX 77044

=====  
From: Laurie Lippiatt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Laurie Lippiatt  
920 S Nutwood St Apt 55  
Anaheim, California 92804

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Frank Rudolph  
18851 E 22nd Dr  
Aurora, CO 80011

=====

From: Alyse  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alyse

ADDRESS: 5117 N. 9th street

POST CODE: 93710

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe38999efdf000e0819d8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/9dl9sYXdSUKaahTfKrOY-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/9dl9sYXdSUKaahTfKrOY-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Butler  
14813 Hillside Dr  
Ocean Springs, MS 39565

=====

From: Crucita Cruz Cruz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Crucita Cruz Cruz  
989 W Marshall Blvd 54380977  
San Bernardino, California 92405

=====  
From: Curt Schnoor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Curt Schnoor  
1054 W 4550 S  
Ogden, Utah 84405

=====  
From: FÃ©lix  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: FÃ©lix

ADDRESS: Fernando Quiroz

POST CODE: 50120

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe3a1f35671000eb3bc8d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/hmN1-cviTICiANk-FalA4Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/hmN1-cviTICiANk-FalA4Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Janet Halley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Janet Halley  
1640 Sykes Creek Dr  
Merritt Island, Florida 32953

=====  
From: Mark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through [battleforthenet.com](http://battleforthenet.com).

---

NAME: Mark

ADDRESS: 16241 SE Sun Ave

POST CODE: 97267

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe37dfbecab000dab1526

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/9gwiQrwWQQqFZIt4M6sMJA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/9gwiQrwWQQqFZIt4M6sMJA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 90745

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe310a419f5000cf4efae

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/KnSZexJuR1KLnbb6X9GnkA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/KnSZexJuR1KLnbb6X9GnkA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:06

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Chieh Chen Chou  
2866 W 27th St  
Brooklyn, NY 11224

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:07

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Barb Lisle  
12017 Sellers Place Rd  
Hindsville, AR 72738

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:07  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Jonathan Hamel  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 6880 W 91st Court  
Zip: 80021

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: jarle ruud  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jarle ruud  
ADDRESS: Å, stliveien 50b  
POST CODE: 1811  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe3d9fbecab000dab1533

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/6siy71dpTmi9m\\_4DQdzMdQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/6siy71dpTmi9m_4DQdzMdQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/6siy71dpTmi9m\\_4DQdzMdQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/6siy71dpTmi9m_4DQdzMdQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Kat Beaulieu  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kat Beaulieu

ADDRESS: 709 Portland

POST CODE: 55104

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe3c6fbecab000b573981

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/7bh1Y5tMTd2kNIwgoMyxaw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/7bh1Y5tMTd2kNIwgoMyxaw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: kenneth malsom  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

kenneth malsom  
1015 E 6th St  
Casper, Wyoming 82601

=====  
From: Rasmus Iversen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rasmus Iversen

ADDRESS: RÅ|vehÃ,jvej 36

POST CODE: 2800

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe3ecfbecab000b573984

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/frpbTeDvS3-rn\\_hWqhMpLA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/frpbTeDvS3-rn_hWqhMpLA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/frpbTeDvS3-rn\\_hWqhMpLA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/frpbTeDvS3-rn_hWqhMpLA/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:07

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the

Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rodrigo Calderon  
300 Topping Ave  
Kansas City, MO 64123

=====  
From: Adam  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam

ADDRESS: 1110 Palm Dr

POST CODE: 90254

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe4e999efdf000c344c9e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/kwlbj\\_6fRROVVZWTJTs4Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/kwlbj_6fRROVVZWTJTs4Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/kwlbj\\_6fRROVVZWTJTs4Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/kwlbj_6fRROVVZWTJTs4Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Aisling Leiva  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aisling Leiva

ADDRESS: 162 West 8th Street, Bayonne NJ

POST CODE: 07002

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe43d99efdf000bbab8d8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/9kmI0-2LQneos-tKftSqSw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/9kmI0-2LQneos-tKftSqSw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Andreas Hammarstrand  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andreas Hammarstrand  
ADDRESS: SommarvÃgen 05  
POST CODE: 177 81

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe41015ab86000e5e9e30

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/LX3W2LGJSBmM8pXUro3w1A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/LX3W2LGJSBmM8pXUro3w1A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Dylan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dylan

ADDRESS: 7600 Feather Court

POST CODE: 95843

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe35815ab86000d44fa49

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/9AN1bBcISB2vo0ZujXFwog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/9AN1bBcISB2vo0ZujXFwog/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:08

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Amanda Davis

1012 Prairie St

Paola, KS 66071

=====

From: Matt

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:08

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matt

ADDRESS: 475 Millett Dr.

POST CODE: 43119

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe4dc99efdf000bbab8de

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Fq8-yrEcTZiQb4B04sCSPg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Fq8-yrEcTZiQb4B04sCSPg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:08  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Lopes  
966 Sunset Ter  
Dover, DE 19904

=====  
From: Debi Hardin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:09  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Debi Hardin  
325 Elm St  
Madison, Tennessee 37115

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Parker  
6697 Jojanne Ln  
Lithonia, GA 30038

=====  
From: Matthew Berggren  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew Berggren  
ADDRESS: 500 3rd Street, San Francisco, CA  
POST CODE: 94116  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe586f35671000d553124

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/JySL9Y99QMu01lo2CbTplQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/JySL9Y99QMu01lo2CbTplQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Carter M  
1456 N Pasadena Cir  
Mesa, AZ 85201

=====  
From: moot  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: moot

ADDRESS: moot

POST CODE: 90640

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe542c60b21000df15b55

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/zQnmmxRUTh6rlYds6lLJQQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/zQnmmxRUTh6rlYds6lLJQQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Valton Morgan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Valton Morgan

ADDRESS: 8025 Ohio Dr, Plano TX

POST CODE: 75024

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe5aff35671000d553127

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/8S3Fala4RUa72R7XkNVDpA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/8S3Fala4RUa72R7XkNVDpA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: BjÃ,rg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: BjÃ,rg

ADDRESS: Storevarden 03

POST CODE: 5337 Rong

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe3fb62b49c000d163ba7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/IicaSQ1dS1C015EbZ3oUdg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/IicaSQ1dS1C015EbZ3oUdg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:10

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lenny Gaither  
4826 Castle Cross  
San Antonio, TX 78218

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:10

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Melinda Harding  
825 Nw 23rd St Apt 31  
Corvallis, OR 97330

=====  
From: Ralph Harrison  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:10  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The Internet has become virtually the biggest tool that ordinary people use for so many different things. They use it to find answers and retrieve information. These use it as their source for news and weather. They will it gives them at least some voice in our political process that they never thought they had before. It allows them to share opinions, make contact to like minded people, a source of entertainment, and perform on-line business of all types. The Internet has literally become the third leg in the stool of life for millions of people and having some degree of control helps them feel as if they are not being spoon-fed information by those who would prefer to have all the power.

Ralph Harrison

32526

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying

for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Power  
1105 S 4th St  
Heber Springs, AR 72543

=====  
From: Taylor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Taylor  
ADDRESS: 20416 N. 30th Pl. Phoenix, AZ  
POST CODE: 85050  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe61e99efdf000d8050df

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/iNQqD9v5TVSo6L3MV1YDfQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/iNQqD9v5TVSo6L3MV1YDfQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Yushanna Eutsay  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:10  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Yushanna Eutsay  
95 Harrishof St # 03  
Dorchester, Massachusetts 02121

=====  
From: Brenda  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brenda

ADDRESS: 3312 27th St. SW

POST CODE: 33976

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe5c3f1110a000e42e30f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/W9-M9nlST2uP1bA-DiVPpw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/W9-M9nlST2uP1bA-DiVPpw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:11

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Mccollum  
313 N Adair St  
Clinton, SC 29325

=====

From: john

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:11

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john

ADDRESS: john

POST CODE: 90640

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe65215ab86000e5e9e68

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/XKyB5c7XRFA49WEQ9PIh5Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/XKyB5c7XRFa49WEQ9PIh5Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: len brusletten  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: len brusletten

ADDRESS: 4806 dominick drine

POST CODE: 55343

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe5b4fbecab000e3ea5bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/YFHiAv-mTt-Yce1Q-unEUA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/YFHiAv-mTt-Yce1Q-unEUA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:11  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Rosa Flores  
 8212 Ten Gallon Ct  
 Las Vegas, NV 89129

=====

From: yes  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:11  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: yes

ADDRESS: s

POST CODE: s

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe56699efdf000e0819f8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/XG1ncAH2RFmJaxK\\_l4WsXQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/XG1ncAH2RFmJaxK_l4WsXQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/XG1ncAH2RFmJaxK\\_14WsXQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/XG1ncAH2RFmJaxK_14WsXQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Calvin C O'Keefe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Calvin C O'Keefe

ADDRESS: 2000 Fairmount Ave.

POST CODE: 55105

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe63615ab86000cb1aed8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/LzmY0qFdTcWFm4Nq0yLc-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/LzmY0qFdTcWFm4Nq0yLc-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: carl straate

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:12

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: carl straate

ADDRESS: 2720 North Frederick

POST CODE: 53211 Milwaukee WI

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe63899efdf000e0819ff

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/5ms4iIY4RKYp7EN1VC5JKQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/5ms4iIY4RKYp7EN1VC5JKQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Corey Worrell  
1215 W Rosecrans Ave Apt 15  
Gardena, CA 90247

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Denise Johnson  
3742 Cornhusker Dr  
Omaha, NE 68124

=====  
From: JEWREMY FLATOFF  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JEWREMY FLATOFF

ADDRESS: 1920 2nd st. n.wi. rapids wi.

POST CODE: 54494/ WI.

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe66b15ab86000e5e9e69

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/RL23QV7qQtO7EyrEn9ag7w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/RL23QV7qQtO7EyrEn9ag7w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Stephanie Hultberg  
10225 Chaparral Way Unit H  
Rch Cucamonga, CA 91730

-----  
From: viviane Tits  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: viviane Tits  
ADDRESS: Rue du Val Benoît, 88  
POST CODE: 4031  
COUNTRY: BE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe673c60b21000df15b6d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/EiOBMFKESZ251PJJaEtZq3A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/EiOBMFKESZ251PJJaEtZq3A/uh1>> .

=====

From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john  
ADDRESS: john  
POST CODE: 90640

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe670fbecab000c288aaf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/L56q9eVHTtyvsMirLKI2qw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/L56q9eVHTtyvsMirLKI2qw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lou  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lou

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 94010

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe66cf35671000bbeb3af

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/i251eny4RCmjBALadFa6Kw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/i251eny4RCmjBALadFa6Kw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:13

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Israel Gutierrez  
1545 Gordon St Apt 02  
Los Angeles, CA 90028

=====

From: Wil Ransz

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:13

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wil Ransz

ADDRESS: Herman Gorterlaan

POST CODE: 4942 Raamsdonksveer

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe68662b49c000cd1e937

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/2m-XPonOREiI5wR-IuUy7w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/2m-XPonOREiI5wR-IuUy7w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:14  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brandon Fadley  
50 Ham St # B  
Dover, NH 03820

=====  
From: erik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: erik

ADDRESS: 17125

POST CODE: 91345

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe69af1110a000e42e32a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/wIQGFghrSRuBo0qFD7bhLQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/wIQGFghrSRuBo0qFD7bhLQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:14  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kenny Tate  
6405 Elmore Rd  
Little Rock, AR 72209

=====  
From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john

ADDRESS: john

POST CODE: 90640

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe688f1110a000e42e326

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/uvIqD-u6Tt-CudYGVRvyXw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/uvIqD-u6Tt-CudYGVRvyXw/uh1>> .

=====

From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john  
ADDRESS: john  
POST CODE: 90640  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe6e4f35671000bbeb3b0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/Yq1jDyCHS-myQwDECvWtyQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/Yq1jDyCHS-myQwDECvWtyQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Stockmen  
6529 27th St  
Berwyn, IL 60402

-----  
From: Kelly Stanley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelly Stanley  
ADDRESS: 2401 Calvert St SW  
POST CODE: 28462  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe30b62b49c000e6c56dd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/bmmpIBPbS2i3mxY8XpEoCw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/bmmpIBPbS2i3mxY8XpEoCw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:15  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are

a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Peter Myers  
56 Payne St  
Hamilton, NY 13346

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Myers  
183 Floyd Atkins Ln  
Bean Station, TN 37708

=====  
From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john

ADDRESS: john

POST CODE: 90640

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe6fc99efdf000c344ce3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/SiKbUx1KS1qBqx-Nm5hhKQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/SiKbUx1KS1qBqx-Nm5hhKQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john

ADDRESS: john

POST CODE: 90640

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe7d662b49c000cd1e952

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/jndXj9d9RsaZCegtFScYmg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/jndXj9d9RsaZCegtFScYmg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:16

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Julie Venegas  
1528 W Harding Ave  
Montebello, CA 90640

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:16

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the

century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kendall Ortego  
2629 Kismet St  
Marrero, LA 70072

=====  
From: Douglas LeRoy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Douglas LeRoy

ADDRESS: 1250 Talcott St, Fort Atkinson, WI

POST CODE: 53538

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe82999efdf000d805105

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/GBZhXAtGQgC6ZqTEc5QonQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/GBZhXAtGQgC6ZqTEc5QonQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: John R.  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John R.

ADDRESS: 229 E Offutt

POST CODE: 42437

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe701f1110a000cc4ebaa

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/oKSKXUaeQ9i2uD\\_\\_8Uk8lA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/oKSKXUaeQ9i2uD__8Uk8lA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/oKSKXUaeQ9i2uD\\_\\_8Uk8lA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/oKSKXUaeQ9i2uD__8Uk8lA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jonathan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:17

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathan

ADDRESS: 713 S Plymouth Pl

POST CODE: 92806

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe70562b49c000e6c5716

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/b0x-wj\\_QRHOawI\\_FnGYXcw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/b0x-wj_QRHOawI_FnGYXcw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/b0x-wj\\_QRHOawI\\_FnGYXcw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/b0x-wj_QRHOawI_FnGYXcw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Oliver Timmons  
4 Harbor Pointe Dr  
Haverstraw, NY 10927

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Reggie Williams  
5133 San Bernardino St  
Montclair, CA 91763

=====  
From: Ruby Jenkins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ruby Jenkins  
1200 Sonnet act  
Las Ctuces, New Mexico 88007

=====  
From: VÃ-ctor Torres  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: VÃ-ctor Torres  
ADDRESS: Av. Buenavista #14 Int A103  
POST CODE: 06300  
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe7a4c60b21000c7f0bf2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/ngQGy3RpSsyH4CB4-JEauQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/ngQGy3RpSsyH4CB4-JEauQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Zach  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zach

ADDRESS: 104 Park Street

POST CODE: 02703

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe73ca419f5000e2fb3eb

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/GBKuHCEkQmC3\\_Ci-Nq0bgg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/GBKuHCEkQmC3_Ci-Nq0bgg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/GBKuHCEkQmC3\\_Ci-Nq0bgg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/GBKuHCEkQmC3_Ci-Nq0bgg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kyle Conover  
88 Dudley Rd  
Blanchester, OH 45107

=====  
From: dan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: dan  
ADDRESS: 242 south 12th street

POST CODE: 95112

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe8c9f35671000eb3bd0b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/JHdhu0VuQkS3zBa5iE\\_mUw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/JHdhu0VuQkS3zBa5iE_mUw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/JHdhu0VuQkS3zBa5iE\\_mUw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/JHdhu0VuQkS3zBa5iE_mUw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Holiday Lammon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:18  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Holiday Lammon

93552

=====  
From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john

ADDRESS: john

POST CODE: 90640

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe7b9fbecab000b5739c4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/ZSWNZbHCTJErpFcIXXH2ZA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/ZSWNZbHCTJErpFcIXXH2ZA/uh1>> .

=====

From: john

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:18

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john

ADDRESS: john

POST CODE: 90640

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe82a62b49c000bd61b96

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/bhfjAIeETxOW5XrykqXbuQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/bhfjAIeETxOW5XrykqXbuQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dan Yap  
1528 Lark Bunting Pl  
Longmont, CO 80501

=====  
From: Peggy O'Connor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:18  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Chairman Wheeler,

I am concerned about preserving net neutrality. Please keep the internet "as is" - free for all and without prioritizing content and access by consumers.

Thank you.

Peggy O'Connor

Peggy O'Connor

19034

=====  
From: Peter Rudl  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: PÃ©ter Rudl

ADDRESS: Hungary

POST CODE: 7940

COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe85299efdf000d805108

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/AuRFLk55Qoahtc4LeLuL3g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/AuRFLk55Qoahtc4LeLuL3g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Verena Murphy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Verena Murphy

ADDRESS: 714 Gatden View Way, Rockville, MD

POST CODE: 20850

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe85ffbecab000dab15ab

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/Ub0TwqJWSXeVzIvU-089HQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/Ub0TwqJWSXeVzIvU-089HQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brandon Gockley  
11519 N Windsor Ave  
Kansas City, MO 64157

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Clark Taylor  
1705 N Mcclay St  
Santa Ana, CA 92705

=====  
From: Katie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katie

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 85213

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe8b415ab86000d44faec

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/EsS0CrtsT5iW56tNW8X4lw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/EsS0CrtsT5iW56tNW8X4lw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Stephen M. Klevas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephen M. Klevas

ADDRESS: 70 Warwick Avenue #601 Cranston, RI

POST CODE: 02905

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe86ef1110a000d0e676c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/K6se1\\_TkSPKdw7lGaielBw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/K6se1_TkSPKdw7lGaielBw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/K6se1\\_TkSPKdw7lGaielBw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/K6se1_TkSPKdw7lGaielBw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tommy Callahan  
509 Wintergreen Dr  
Yorktown, IN 47396

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Carol Turbides  
56 Sagebrush Ln  
Islandia, NY 11749

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Larry Reynolds  
1255 E Mayberry Ave  
Hemet, CA 92543

=====  
From: Nick Asselberghs  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick Asselberghs

ADDRESS: TvÃ|rvej 02, 02 M.F.

POST CODE: 2600

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe8fff1110a000b4b4ed4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/uhDCTZC6S9aIcUc\\_uTrDGQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/uhDCTZC6S9aIcUc_uTrDGQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/uhDCTZC6S9aIcUc\\_uTrDGQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/uhDCTZC6S9aIcUc_uTrDGQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:21  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Alyssa  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 8108 242nd  
Zip: 55079

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: carmela jimenez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: carmela jimenez

ADDRESS: 1821 welwyn ave

POST CODE: 60018

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe992a419f5000b4d4e97

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/5imnuy8fSYugS\\_zbBg9YsA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/5imnuy8fSYugS_zbBg9YsA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/5imnuy8fSYugS\\_zbBg9YsA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/5imnuy8fSYugS_zbBg9YsA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: JARED P STRATTON  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JARED P STRATTON

ADDRESS: 10415 SE 13th St

POST CODE: Bellevue, WA 98004

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe9a3fbecab000dab15c7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/OREPX88bS7euacTiKAlPPw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/OREPX88bS7euacTiKAlPPw/uh1>> .

=====

From: John HeÃ©

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:21

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John HeÃ©

ADDRESS: Tobelstrasse 05

POST CODE: 8340 Hinwil Switzerland

COUNTRY: CH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe957fbecab000c288abe

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/DSLbnOduQGu5D0rQZAXtqw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/DSLbnOduQGu5D0rQZAXtqw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Richard Parker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:21  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Concerning Docket Number 14-28, protecting an open internet for the good of the general public. It is a shame when government agencies are being bought out by wealthy men and women, and not protecting the American citizens the right to use the air waves. When will someone stand up and stand their ground instead of filling their pockets with dirty money. Our founding fathers and the citizens of the Revolutionary War are turning over in their graves. They fought agaisnt oppression and over taxation, and the political system in place now has taken us back to those times. It is shameful very shameful for a goverment to do these things to the people that they are suppose to be serving.

Richard Parker

60638

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gregory Smith  
1178 Peachtree Rd  
Daytona Beach, FL 32114

=====  
From: Dean Underwood  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:22  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I pay for the internet, and like a phone, I have the right to say what I want as given under the first admenment. The internet is neutral and anyone can report news and opinions. "Big Telecom" is attempting to block the first admenment and control the flow of information plus make money. That's just wrong.

Dean Underwood

48188  
=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Hardygottlieb  
11506 Channing Dr  
Silver Spring, MD 20902

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert Schmit  
770 38 Rd  
Bellwood, NE 68624

=====  
From: Travis Haley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Travis Haley

ADDRESS: 61 East Allen Street

POST CODE: 05404

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe9c562b49c000d163c1c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/o6eN9QDARCEhVqSDMBK15g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/o6eN9QDARCEhVqSDMBK15g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Eric Hunter  
518 W Mullin St  
Watertown, NY 13601

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Gaylord Reeve  
1222 Quincy St  
Altoona, KS 66710

=====  
From: Jarmo Mäkelä  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jarmo Mäkelä

ADDRESS: Haukantie 22 B

POST CODE: 04320 Tuusula Finland

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe9f8f35671000c18121e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/hlfxDnqGQWu6g9XRF6n\\_7A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/hlfxDnqGQWu6g9XRF6n_7A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/hlfxDnqGQWu6g9XRF6n\\_7A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/hlfxDnqGQWu6g9XRF6n_7A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Michal  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michal

ADDRESS: Zmartwychwstanc<sup>3</sup>w

POST CODE: 61-501

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fea22fbecab000c288ac7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/sC\\_-xdmxQqytctxyzq1KyQw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/sC_-xdmxQqytctxyzq1KyQw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/sC\\_-xdmxQqytctxyzq1KyQw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/sC_-xdmxQqytctxyzq1KyQw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:23  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Rosemary Lee  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 07 Anthem Creek Circle  
Zip: 89052

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: tim  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: tim

ADDRESS: 381ASHVILL HYW

POST CODE: 37871

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fea5bfbecab000dab15da

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/TZK5G8pZRqqG6lDYZCpKSQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/TZK5G8pZRqqG6lDYZCpKSQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tom Subjeck  
6625 Fair Meadows Dr  
Watauga, TX 76148

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:24  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Bob Allen  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1101 Glenwood  
Zip: 71730

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$0.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen

Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: darius  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: darius

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 89119

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fea1e15ab86000d44fb15

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/90A\\_E\\_-hT3G3lXLsB5\\_Zfg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/90A_E_-hT3G3lXLsB5_Zfg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/90A\\_E\\_-hT3G3lXLsB5\\_Zfg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/90A_E_-hT3G3lXLsB5_Zfg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:24

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Charles Cyganoski  
320 Plain Meeting House Rd  
W Greenwich, RI 02817

=====  
From: Katherine  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Katherine  
ADDRESS: 22525 NE 72nd Ave  
POST CODE: 98604  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feaca15ab86000b62ce8f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/4G2zv1ffTXSVfZGGWVSqXg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/4G2zv1ffTXSVfZGGWVSqXg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Amanda Estep  
Po Box 53  
Ragland, WV 25690

=====  
From: Nadia Leppänen Larsson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nadia Leppänen Larsson

ADDRESS: Kometvägen 61

POST CODE: 18348

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fea6899efdf000c344d4a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/xRUWbYy2RPyQKXr8aRn64g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/xRUWbYy2RPyQKXr8aRn64g/uh1>> .

=====

From: arturo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: arturo

ADDRESS: Torino

POST CODE: 10099

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fea69f35671000c181225

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/O\\_ZEAJiVRoq4PpPXbM4xWQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/O_ZEAJiVRoq4PpPXbM4xWQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/O\\_ZEAJiVRoq4PpPXbM4xWQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/O_ZEAJiVRoq4PpPXbM4xWQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by

government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ron Bishop  
1805 4th Ave S  
Irondale, AL 35210

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Juliana Smith  
1004 Columbia Ave  
Alexandria, IN 46001

=====  
From: Karol Mena  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karol Mena

ADDRESS: 7350 Andalusia Avenue

POST CODE: 34653

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fead5fbecab000b5739f0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/g6bNYZRYRh005FwwHKhdKw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/g6bNYZRYRh005FwwHKhdKw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jeffrey Westfall  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Westfall  
894 Garden Heights Dr  
Charleston, West Virginia 25309

=====  
From: Karin Bjørnness  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karin Bjørnness

ADDRESS: Tangenodden 07

POST CODE: 3234

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feaeaf1110a000e42e396

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/Qh8gQxFeT5KvnE3Uavp7ow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/Qh8gQxFeT5KvnE3Uavp7ow/uh1>> .

=====  
From: marianne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: marianne

ADDRESS: Å,vre fordbakkan

POST CODE: 7550

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fea7afbecab000b5739ec

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/\\_5Mx0u5XR\\_WL8KuMW99kEQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/_5Mx0u5XR_WL8KuMW99kEQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/\\_5Mx0u5XR\\_WL8KuMW99kEQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/_5Mx0u5XR_WL8KuMW99kEQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Serafin Hacinas  
7160 Latrobe Cir  
San Diego, CA 92139

=====

From: Sivert Andersen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sivert Andersen

ADDRESS: bjÃ, rndalvegen 01

POST CODE: 6900

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe9d915ab86000d44fb0e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/YrIzLKjMR9q1f5tNVp0\\_eQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/YrIzLKjMR9q1f5tNVp0_eQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/YrIzLKjMR9q1f5tNVp0\\_eQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/YrIzLKjMR9q1f5tNVp0_eQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Timothy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Timothy

ADDRESS: 8445 NE New Brooklyn Rd.

POST CODE: 98110

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fe8c2fbecab000dab15b2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/J3SOIUN5T2CUQ9JyV7150A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/J3SOIUN5T2CUQ9JyV7150A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ben Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ben Williams  
PO Box 433  
Forsyth, Georgia 31029

=====  
From: Kathryn Wilson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know

that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job â\200\223 providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service â\200\223 it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Wilson  
1820 Chandler Ct SW  
Decatur, Alabama 35603

=====  
From: Kathy Johnso  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear â\200\223 investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kathy Johnso  
9704 Murmuring Creek Dr

Austin, Texas 78736

=====  
From: Paulette FREEL  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Paulette FREEL  
5177 Pecan Point Ln  
Jarreau, Louisiana 70749

=====  
From: Randall L Kiesser sr  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Randall L Kiesser sr

ADDRESS: 503 Jameson

POST CODE: 98284

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feb06fbecab000c288ad0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/-65xuWg8SpGPK9k3Xrvowg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/-65xuWg8SpGPK9k3Xrvowg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Wiley Renee  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Wiley Renee  
236 Highway 565  
Jonesville, Louisiana 71343

=====  
From: Barkeem  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Barkeem  
ADDRESS: 141-36 103 Road Flushing, NY  
POST CODE: 11367  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feb5315ab86000cb1af2f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/D6azgYsRTN-\\_sVLEKpEffA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/D6azgYsRTN-_sVLEKpEffA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/D6azgYsRTN-\\_sVLEKpEffA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/D6azgYsRTN-_sVLEKpEffA/uh1)> .

=====

From: donna baron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:28  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

We need to preserve an open and equal path to democracy through net neutrality. Too many government personnel and services are in danger of being bought and information controlled, repressed, inaccessible, or untrue.

Everyone, rich, poor and all of us need a free space to express opinions,

information

and communicate with one another. We, the people, need net neutrality.

donna baron

97420

=====  
From: gail gendreau  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

gail gendreau  
125 Perkins St  
Bristol, Connecticut 06010

=====  
From: Henrik Wiklander  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Henrik Wiklander

ADDRESS: KlockarvÃ¶gen 05 G

POST CODE: 61041

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feb0c15ab86000b62ce90

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/xBMuzQvASLyXJbI9ahxg-Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/xBMuzQvASLyXJbI9ahxg-Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jared  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jared

ADDRESS: 28506 Herrera St

POST CODE: 91354

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feb38a419f5000d46c15f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/wYMbinu8Qrin7dggd\\_fpMw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/wYMbinu8Qrin7dggd_fpMw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/wYMbinu8Qrin7dggd\\_fpMw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/wYMbinu8Qrin7dggd_fpMw/uh1)> .

=====

From: ThienTin

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:28

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ThienTin

ADDRESS: 213 Morgan rd

POST CODE: 98203

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feb38a419f5000d46c160

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/78FdN0snR1-JcV6dLJXqLQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/78FdN0snR1-JcV6dLJXqLQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Diane Purdes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Diane Purdes  
PO Box 3222  
Jasper, Alabama 35502

=====  
From: Justin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin

ADDRESS: 1662 Ohawai Place

POST CODE: 96821

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feafc15ab86000cb1af2b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/gwLVLnPUR-CJLkbn7kNYyQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/gwLVLnPUR-CJLkbn7kNYyQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lionel PÃ"re  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lionel PÃ"re

ADDRESS: Rue Louis Snyers, 04

POST CODE: 4280

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feb61a419f5000b4d4e9f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/3GhQQNDVRT-Wph6uPvg7Eg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/3GhQQNDVRT-Wph6uPvg7Eg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kenny Chang  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kenny Chang

ADDRESS: 140 S Reno St APT 110

POST CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90057

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540febf8f1110a000d0e67b6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/f9YSTd0wS9-v7Opzp5HB7g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/f9YSTd0wS9-v7Opzp5HB7g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Paul  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paul

ADDRESS: 130 Eldridge

POST CODE: 90807

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fec1815ab86000d44fb5c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/-vHc0V-ETOGZ17KjD7asXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/-vHc0V-ETOGZ17KjD7asXA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kristina Bradwell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:31  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Strongly committed to net neutrality - should not be under the control of large telecom companies. I'm paying top dollar already to Comcast just to have an internet connection.

Kristina Bradwell

97405

=====

From: Lucas Schucht  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lucas Schucht

ADDRESS: Im Alten Dorfe 30, G ttingen

POST CODE: DE-37079

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540febfe62b49c000d163c45

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/06-L108dZR-uwWdFw\\_TBZxg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/06-L108dZR-uwWdFw_TBZxg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/06-L108dZR-uwWdFw\\_TBZxg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/06-L108dZR-uwWdFw_TBZxg/uh1)> .

=====

From: NoÃ© De Cuyper  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: NoÃ© De Cuyper  
ADDRESS: rue posschier 15  
POST CODE: 1040  
COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fec3399efdf000c344d73

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/u9ym7HY2R4yz2Cbs54qIhA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/u9ym7HY2R4yz2Cbs54qIhA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Emmi HÃ¸kkÃ¸  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emmi HÃ¸kkÃ¸

ADDRESS: Porttitie 09 J 91

POST CODE: 00940

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fec58c60b21000c7f0c4f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/pylV80CUSWeDlm7n3AGS8w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/pylV80CUSWeDlm7n3AGS8w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Garrett N  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Garrett N  
ADDRESS: 16400 ledgemont ln #811 Addison TX 75001  
POST CODE: 75201  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feb77a419f5000d46c166

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/bk4iISJ5Si6ROg095c7MsQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/bk4iISJ5Si6ROg095c7MsQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Troy Coleman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Troy Coleman  
ADDRESS: 160 Sydney Rd.  
POST CODE: 28523  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feccd1e0849000d05bdd7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/EjId9szMSZ-pb\\_NYpEoJ1g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/EjId9szMSZ-pb_NYpEoJ1g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/EjId9szMSZ-pb\\_NYpEoJ1g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/EjId9szMSZ-pb_NYpEoJ1g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Carie Crandell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carie Crandell

ADDRESS: 226 S Basque Ave #03

POST CODE: Fullerton CA 92833

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fec399efdf000c344d81

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/AuWzHEqXTJWVXl0jqYd-EQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/AuWzHEqXTJWVXl0jqYd-EQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Gregor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gregor

ADDRESS: PodjavorÅ;kova ul. 11

POST CODE: 3000

COUNTRY: SI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fecb9dac595000d1ea56b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/JsXjBJxLRm25fZm69mWyZw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/JsXjBJxLRm25fZm69mWyZw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jim  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jim

ADDRESS: 231 N. Raymond Rd.

POST CODE: 04039

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fec6bf35671000bbeb3e6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/Is9WVyEWTjuE9peJT6aV\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/Is9WVyEWTjuE9peJT6aV_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/Is9WVyEWTjuE9peJT6aV\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/Is9WVyEWTjuE9peJT6aV_g/uh1)> .

=====

From: michael marr

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:33

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Chairman Wheeler, i am a 65 yr old with some health issues and deep love for other of my cousins whom I contact almost daily, particularly my cousin, Elaine, who is out of state and was recently diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease. I use the internet because I can't receive cell-phone reception in my area and cannot discuss her status with her husband Elliott, whom is her sole care-giver at this time except through the internet. I also share information with friends and use the internet daily for ideas regarding my artwork and cooking pursuits. I think the internet would lose its ability to provide equal and fair access between citizens for whatever reason. Does our democracy not value equal treatment for all her constituents? Please don't allow big business to control the only free remaining information sharing network. It's important for us all!!!! sincerely, Michael Marr, Kittredge, Colorado, 80457

michael marr

80457

=====

From: r mac neil

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:33

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: r mac neil

ADDRESS: 312 esplanade

POST CODE: b1p6p4

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fed018b7a18000ddd5202

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/L9Gnz21JQOSWxtDcYay7kg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/L9Gnz21JQOSWxtDcYay7kg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ana Carrilho  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ana Carrilho

ADDRESS: Estrada de Alfragide, 18 â\200\223 1Â° Esq.

POST CODE: 2610-009

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fed30dfbdb5000d11e627

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/fxIjJMrXRTuzl\\_g25K-VUw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/fxIjJMrXRTuzl_g25K-VUw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/fxIjJMrXRTuzl\\_g25K-VUw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/fxIjJMrXRTuzl_g25K-VUw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Evania Jorquera  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Evania Jorquera  
ADDRESS: 2520 19 St NW  
POST CODE: T2M 0X7  
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fed0db7cb89000b3ce37f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/DE9SJzBSS6O6AR2YKcJHcw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/DE9SJzBSS6O6AR2YKcJHcw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Berke Kagan Zorlu  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Berke Kagan Zorlu  
ADDRESS: KoÅ§ University, Istanbul, Turkey  
POST CODE: 34000  
COUNTRY: TR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fed7e003331000c610694

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/VbyxvS\\_UQeaFm5ECLIQWNA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/VbyxvS_UQeaFm5ECLIQWNA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/VbyxvS\\_UQeaFm5ECLIQWNA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/VbyxvS_UQeaFm5ECLIQWNA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Harry  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Harry

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: Sg137nu

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fed5ab0a7e4000e468c50

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/thCYTBSaR5mnYXqI0P2Jiw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/thCYTBSaR5mnYXqI0P2Jiw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Karen Kuhn

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karen Kuhn

ADDRESS: 2525 lavendale ave

POST CODE: 78748

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fedaadfbd5000e45f749

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/y6gDzIPcQGi9yviD-MVDtw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/y6gDzIPcQGi9yviD-MVDtw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Klaudia Jedyka  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Klaudia Jedyka

ADDRESS: 08 Bridgetown Road

POST CODE: cv37 7ja

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feda5b0a7e4000c164eaa

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/uOVcGrj3SL6nSo0WijGF\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/uOVcGrj3SL6nSo0WijGF_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/uOVcGrj3SL6nSo0WijGF\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/uOVcGrj3SL6nSo0WijGF_g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Edmund Weisberg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
The Internet functions as a public utility and should continue to do so!

Edmund Weisberg  
19103

=====

From: Brandi White  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brandi White  
ADDRESS: 3045 Highland House Villas Ct  
POST CODE: 63010  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fedf362b49c000bd61bd8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/J65fWO7wR\\_KFjDainiFTGA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/J65fWO7wR_KFjDainiFTGA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/J65fWO7wR\\_KFjDainiFTGA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/J65fWO7wR_KFjDainiFTGA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Sean Samford  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:37

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Equal access to the internet is the only way to keep the internet the source of brilliant ideas and innovation that it is today. Net Neutrality is important. Don't let our internet become just another commercial pipeline for whoever can pay the most.

Sean Samford

32601

=====  
From: Alexander  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Alexander

ADDRESS: rÃ|vehÃ,jvej 36 lyngby

POST CODE: 2800

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fed438b7a18000ddd5208

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/rX3EKzr0RuWhhyV4gcrdrQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/rX3EKzr0RuWhhyV4gcrdrQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:38

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Deb B

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: Philadelphia

Zip: 19128

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it

in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Dustin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dustin

ADDRESS: PO Box 552

POST CODE: 11740

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fed4ale0849000d05bddd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/FNXI-Z2cRnq8oIQHonHe8Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/FNXI-Z2cRnq8oIQHonHe8Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: ivanescu viorel

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:38

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ivanescu viorel

ADDRESS: Cluj-Napoca, România

POST CODE: 400647

COUNTRY: RO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fedd215ab86000d44fb74

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/55gW8sVgQuawkjkmjbkkyQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/55gW8sVgQuawkjkmjbkkyQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lisa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa

ADDRESS: 2220 East 4500 South

POST CODE: 84117

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fee5efbecab000b573a18

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/i2o5EvIrSu2dGdC9Pf8jRA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/i2o5EvIrSu2dGdC9Pf8jRA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Annet  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Annet  
ADDRESS: Swaalingsstraat  
POST CODE: 4374BX Zoutelande. Holland  
COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fee9915ab86000d44fb7b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/afBxThfqS1e0vxSBZYuwsg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/afBxThfqS1e0vxSBZYuwsg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Christine Gaites

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:39

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christine Gaites

ADDRESS: 1119 young pl

POST CODE: 21702

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fee7bf35671000eb3bd7c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/4cwD4zanQFWrwC4UWn\\_4HA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/4cwD4zanQFWrwC4UWn_4HA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/4cwD4zanQFWrwC4UWn\\_4HA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/4cwD4zanQFWrwC4UWn_4HA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Erling Limm  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erling Limm

ADDRESS: Freyasdalsveien 62

POST CODE: 4631 Kristiansand

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feef0f1110a000cc4ec0b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/JAE31ijaQomubQUCFbG5Rg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/JAE31ijaQomubQUCFbG5Rg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Richard Marlais  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:39  
Subject: Comment Re: WC Docket No. 14-28

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

Richard Marlais  
705 Modesto Ave  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

<<http://list.credoaction.com/wf/open?upn=CFwW2LiM8egNfp5WPKl72vck1Jm5GzqaOw5FucO4B5JatE7dwdZ-2F9EUxJVQQ2GGzhHqE50kslUSu-2BURYgwrc6jdwM1XXlFjHuvVBkBiy-2FTsE91zyChFKP8UyxEyzozJW1PvdnXlRjiX-2BXpCaCuAgRj-2FrnJ8pwaq1R03sAKvj15uAJn2DDYG1Jx4asbdkMM44uLa8KV1TolcFg7iQZh6rA4g-3D-3D>>

=====  
From: AHMED  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: AHMED

ADDRESS: DUBAI

POST CODE: 143

COUNTRY: AE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feef3a419f5000cf4f02f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/M7kvo6mbTEalszBUujle9g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/M7kvo6mbTEalszBUujle9g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Bill Phill  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bill Phill

ADDRESS: 1713 Teoway Houston Tx

POST CODE: 77043

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feeb98b7a18000e7c3e79

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/0FE0FpcnQMiA85TQmE\\_syw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/0FE0FpcnQMiA85TQmE_syw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/0FE0FpcnQMiA85TQmE\\_syw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/0FE0FpcnQMiA85TQmE_syw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:41  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Gerald Everett  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 180 Minna Lane  
Zip: 32953

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment

and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Natalia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Natalia  
ADDRESS: Cerdanyola del Vallès, Calle La Clota 16B 1o 1a 223 España  
POST CODE: 08290  
COUNTRY: ES  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fee4fdfdb5000e45f74f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/FZNTysq5SPqVqsbm5xcsHg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/FZNTysq5SPqVqsbm5xcsHg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Dagmara  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:42

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dagmara

ADDRESS: Grobla 1b/2a Poznan

POST CODE: 05-820

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff027dac595000d1ea59a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/nOBigdP0TE2IxOLy5v0lKA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/nOBigdP0TE2IxOLy5v0lKA/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Robert weaver  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert weaver

ADDRESS: PO box 1459 Loomis

POST CODE: 95650

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fef499efdf000bbab92f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/Y-BCYeZ1S2CsJtLL9E9njw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/Y-BCYeZ1S2CsJtLL9E9njw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Carl Feiten  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carl Feiten  
ADDRESS: 819 6th AVE N  
POST CODE: 98109  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff0dc1e0849000ec7189e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/B7GzQ2ADR920itk\\_rL2\\_Cg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/B7GzQ2ADR920itk_rL2_Cg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/B7GzQ2ADR920itk\\_rL2\\_Cg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/B7GzQ2ADR920itk_rL2_Cg/uh1)> .

=====

From: eathon walters  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: eathon walters  
ADDRESS: 11309 Leavenworth st  
POST CODE: 68154  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fefebfbecab000b573a2d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/DOtcFbkQSiG91QFj6zIbkw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/DOtcFbkQSiG91QFj6zIbkw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:43

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Keith Miller

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1015 st lukes ave. Plain Wi.  
Zip: 53577

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Margarita Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Margarita Smith

ADDRESS: 6635 NE Cleveland Ave

POST CODE: Portland, OR 97211

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff00c99efdf000c344dab

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/UHXekzmUTxGELJt7j2AJSw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/UHXekzmUTxGELJt7j2AJSw/uh1>> .

-----  
From: martin magnemyr  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: martin magnemyr

ADDRESS: vÃstra palmgrensgatan 139

POST CODE: 42677 Gothenburg/Sweden

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff0acf35671000eb3bd97

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/DyEdhQxFTDKR1YVICVlMrg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/DyEdhQxFTDKR1YVICVlMrg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:43  
 Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Nathaniel  
 Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Address: Kent Ohio  
 Zip: 44240

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be "slightly pregnant."

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government

agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Axel FornbÃck  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Axel FornbÃck

ADDRESS: Grimstagatan 62

POST CODE: 16256

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff0b499efdf000d805167

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/ADiNeWuISqWfKWi19Vv6Rg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/ADiNeWuISqWfKWi19Vv6Rg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: jason p searcy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jason p searcy

ADDRESS: 601 N. Rossmore Ave #307

POST CODE: los angeles, ca 90004

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fedc4c60b21000df15bf3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/98KvuxAGT9uePzvReD3psA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/98KvuxAGT9uePzvReD3psA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kyle B  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle B  
ADDRESS: 301 Rex Road  
POST CODE: 36352

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fef32b7cb89000cb2a7c6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/oaupjCH2QTy2CAWcktsZ5Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/oaupjCH2QTy2CAWcktsZ5Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: maribel Monterroso  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: maribel Monterroso

ADDRESS: 327 pima ave

POST CODE: 91790

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff0c2fbecab000dab163a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/HUgV5yEZQzScWkgeVarbfA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/HUgV5yEZQzScWkgeVarbfA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Paul Spindel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:44  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I urge you to protect net neutrality. Allowing big telecom companies to price people out of the net is wrong. Plain and simple. Stand up to the big corporations. Use your authority to stop this nonsense.

Thank you

Paul Spindel

97068

=====  
From: Linda Mason  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:45  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Chairman Wheeler  
FCC

The FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. As chairperson you do have the power to do this.

This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites.

I do not like for my internet to be slow. It is very irritating! Yes, I may be writing my children or friends, but it is important to me. I may also be doing more business oriented subjects, but that does not mean I want anything to be slower than it is now.

We are all equal on the internet!!!!

Linda Mason

48858

=====  
From: Luke  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luke

ADDRESS: 123 fake st

POST CODE: 90210

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff0e71e0849000cdb225f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/Vn2BP2s5TVCna9BeBfFbHw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Vn2BP2s5TVCna9BeBfFbHw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Matt

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:45

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matt

ADDRESS: 3610 Misty Oak Dr. apt 1416

POST CODE: 32901

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540feebfa26879000ca29297

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/V-rdoU2ETECADtSW5HufGQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/V-rdoU2ETECADtSW5HufGQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Reza  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Reza

ADDRESS: 838 S. Sapphire Ln., Anaheim CA

POST CODE: 92807

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff100a26879000ca2929d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/ghBojtioTtme7LPwT5\\_9Qw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/ghBojtioTtme7LPwT5_9Qw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/ghBojtioTtme7LPwT5\\_9Qw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/ghBojtioTtme7LPwT5_9Qw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Rune Johansen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rune Johansen  
ADDRESS: FagerÅsen 6b  
POST CODE: 5093 Bergen

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff0dbb7cb89000cb2a7d5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/XrbMeeBvRY6v7wJsM500hg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/XrbMeeBvRY6v7wJsM500hg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: BastiÃ«n  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: BastiÃ«n

ADDRESS: Wittevrouwensingel 46c

POST CODE: 3572CA

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff1058b7a18000ddd521b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/uffn9VetS6aGh\\_E5fCclBQ/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/uffn9VetS6aGh_E5fCclBQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/uffn9VetS6aGh\\_E5fCclBQ/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/uffn9VetS6aGh_E5fCclBQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Eli

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:47

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eli

ADDRESS: 76

POST CODE: 73012

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff126dfbdb5000cb0b852

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/Bn60IeHqQWqZzf1Ueie6Bg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/Bn60IeHqQWqZzf1Ueie6Bg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Eric Hanson

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:47

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Greetings. I am writing in concern for the potential limitation of access and high speed service that you are considering applying to the Internet on behalf of certain telecommunication companies. I feel that this is a morally wrong position to pursue as it undermines the purpose of the Internet.

The World Wide Web belongs to everybody. It is the great information highway that connects one side of the world to the other to allow free and open communication. To limit that for the sake of some companies making more money would be to undermine the very purpose of the World Wide Web, and effectively cut off a good many people from family, friends and livelihood.

There are a great many advantages to this equal access to information. It is now easier than ever for independent book authors to find their audience, social gatherings have become commonplace for people with like interests and ideals, and many up and coming acting talents have a venue to showcase their skills and attract a fan base. These types of things are what this push by major telecommunication companies is threatening in their demands to receive payment for faster service. It would be easier for them to push political agendas via suppressing information, and would wrench this safe haven of the first amendment out of the hands of the populace that has treated it so well and responsibly since its birth.

I implore you to reject this push by the telecommunication companies, and hope that you will allow everyone to maintain equal standing on the World Wide Web, where equality for all is insured.

Eric Hanson

45805

=====  
From: Leila  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leila

ADDRESS: Australia

POST CODE: 0849

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff11c99efdf000c344db9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/qCHQ6FJwSDKm14No-4rUiA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/qCHQ6FJwSDKm14No-4rUiA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Manuel Riñigós

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:47

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Manuel Riñigós

ADDRESS: Drummond 952

POST CODE: 1643

COUNTRY: AR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff154a419f5000cf4f040

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/G9CEY-kqQfqL5aZC6wfcw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/G9CEY-kqQfqL5aZC6wfcw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jessica Dang

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:48

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jessica Dang

ADDRESS: 9660 Robin Ave

POST CODE: Westminster 92683

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff166c60b21000b54234f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/Gr\\_opQR8QICnM7jhgsUPWg/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/Gr_opQR8QICnM7jhgsUPWg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/Gr\\_opQR8QICnM7jhgsUPWg/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/Gr_opQR8QICnM7jhgsUPWg/uh1)> .

=====

From: sjors  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sjors  
ADDRESS: koperwiek  
POST CODE: 1713se

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff192a26879000bdcad57

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/AR0e2HfyRDu2uw6n2nU83w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/AR0e2HfyRDu2uw6n2nU83w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Carolyn Wiseley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Wiseley  
223 Blakley Farm Rd  
Colebrook, New Hampshire 03576

=====  
From: Ellie Collett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ellie Collett  
279 Cherry Hills Meadows Dr  
Grover, Missouri 63040

-----  
From: Jon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jon

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 90066

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff168fbecab000e3ea614

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/khP-CXBASRSG5vLgVNZpDw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/khP-CXBASRSG5vLgVNZpDw/uh1>> .

=====

From: M Siddall  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: M Siddall  
ADDRESS: 59 Chatsworth Rd  
POST CODE: S80 4LH  
COUNTRY: GB  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff128a26879000decad5d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/nbeTJCiZQBnimFeD0DePw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/nbeTJCiZQBnimFeD0DePw/uh1>> .

=====

From: CÃ©sar Orozco Buenrostro

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:51

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: CÃ©sar Orozco Buenrostro

ADDRESS: Valladolid 2694

POST CODE: 44230

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff306f35671000d5531e9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/Q4--p8MReau\\_ULtN3aj2g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/Q4--p8MReau_ULtN3aj2g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/Q4--p8MReau\\_ULtN3aj2g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/Q4--p8MReau_ULtN3aj2g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Jay Beach  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jay Beach  
3046 S Hadley Ct  
West Valley City, Utah 84128

-----  
From: Jigar Patel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jigar Patel

ADDRESS: 181 Kern Street

POST CODE: Salinas, CA 93905

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fee7f15ab86000d44fb7a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/bTeKdxqjRRqeL2EdnT6ibw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/bTeKdxqjRRqeL2EdnT6ibw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jonas Friedmann  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonas Friedmann

ADDRESS: BarbarastraÃ\237e 18

POST CODE: 97074

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff390f1110a000e42e412

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/-0b86ks4S56iGBBqk3SMTQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/-0b86ks4S56iGBBqk3SMTQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Margaret Culley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Margaret Culley  
PO Box 4768  
Gary, Indiana 46404

=====  
From: Matt Lopez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Matt Lopez  
26 Jubilee Ct  
Cameron, North Carolina 28326

=====  
From: Trenton Scaife  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Trenton Scaife

ADDRESS: 2230 ironbark Drive

POST CODE: 95403

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff2c1b0a7e4000e468c8d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/WIHhHwqyTvqdgrLsOInw\\_A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/WIHhHwqyTvqdgrLsOInw_A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/WIHhHwqyTvqdgrLsOInw\\_A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/WIHhHwqyTvqdgrLsOInw_A/uh1)> .

=====

From: william  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: william

ADDRESS: 11434 potosi lake rd

POST CODE: 63660

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff2c7dac595000d1ea5b9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/hOuxwCFnQcmue5A-phjnjQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/hOuxwCFnQcmue5A-phjnjQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:51  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: David Young  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1427 west A st #04  
Zip: 83843

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we

trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Alexander  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander

ADDRESS: Södra stenbocksgatan 83

POST CODE: 25247

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff33562b49c000d163c9d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/ICF1GgcXTdSSL0b-hVQldg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/ICF1GgcXTdSSL0b-hVQldg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Deborah Budding  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Deborah Budding

ADDRESS: 509 n sepulveda blvd

POST CODE: Manhattan Beach, cA 90266

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fefec99efdf000d80515f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/-3PEEZsbRqGtZr1Vfu3cfA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/-3PEEZsbRqGtZr1Vfu3cfA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Dustin Schaaf  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dustin Schaaf

ADDRESS: 4655 Hoffman Norton Road

POST CODE: 44491

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fefef003331000ed4d2ac

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/JHmPU\\_giTqeeayB3PL6ycQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/JHmPU_giTqeeayB3PL6ycQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/JHmPU\\_giTqeeayB3PL6ycQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/JHmPU_giTqeeayB3PL6ycQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jackie Baker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jackie Baker  
2200 Steward Rd  
Cookeville, Tennessee 38506

=====

From: Jennifer Smith

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Smith  
9717 Timberwood Cir  
Louisville, Kentucky 40223

=====  
From: Josiah Abbott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Josiah Abbott  
109 E Mississippi St  
Elwood, Illinois 60421

=====  
From: Linda Barnett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Linda Barnett  
11929 SE Holgate Blvd  
Portland, Oregon 97266

=====  
From: Liz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Liz

ADDRESS: 1112 barbados wsy

POST CODE: 29412

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff355003331000ed4d2be

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/hS-B99D9SvOkJi3DggJQHA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/hS-B99D9SvOkJi3DggJQHA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tisha Brady  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tisha Brady  
1566 Ferris Rd  
Columbus, Ohio 43224

=====  
From: Traci Marshall  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already

helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Traci Marshall  
PO Box 3294  
Suffolk, Virginia 23439

=====  
From: wd  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: wd

ADDRESS: dwdw

POST CODE: dwdwd

COUNTRY: SA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff3caf35671000c181254

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/iqe5SwtgTBqqCxN2uotlHg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/iqe5SwtgTBqqCxN2uotlHg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Alice Quandt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Alice Quandt  
187 Charles St  
East Williston, New York 11596

=====  
From: jay  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jay

ADDRESS: 215 s gale dr

POST CODE: 90211

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff478f1110a000b4b4efa

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/Oqfm59ltQvm0\\_fMw7tkgbw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/Oqfm59ltQvm0_fMw7tkgbw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/Oqfm59ltQvm0\\_fMw7tkgbw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/Oqfm59ltQvm0_fMw7tkgbw/uh1)> .

=====

From: luis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: luis  
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 95945  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff483c60b21000c7f0c9e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/kfYL4mUwRG-pu7Ww6Ja38g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/kfYL4mUwRG-pu7Ww6Ja38g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark

ADDRESS: 123 North St

POST CODE: 06492

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff485dac595000cde592e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/dUN9IIKQRhqIXaivbT7YsA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/dUN9IIKQRhqIXaivbT7YsA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: MARY

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:53

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MARY

ADDRESS: 2121 Dartmouth St.

POST CODE: 94306-1201

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff2acf11110a000d0e67ec

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/9Dz0nYg3RbCXHdRCw-SIFg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/9Dz0nYg3RbCXHdRCw-SIFg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mary Enright-Olson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:53  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I'm an independent business woman, educator, and involved citizen. I rely on the internet for my business, for my news and for my entertainment.  
PLEASE protect "net neutrality" and keep it available fairly to all.

Mary Enright-Olson

70506

=====  
From: Melissa Hernandez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Melissa Hernandez  
3010 Bartlett Ave Apt 5E  
Pascagoula, Mississippi 39567

=====  
From: Robert Gore  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert Gore  
971 N Highland St  
Memphis, Tennessee 38122

=====  
From: SANDY BOYER  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

SANDY BOYER  
PO Box 47  
Boaz, Alabama 35957

=====  
From: Ben Vandruff  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ben Vandruff  
1336 Sandy Bend Rd  
Marydel, Delaware 19964

=====  
From: Brian Scranage  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian Scranage

ADDRESS: 05 Pells Close Fleckney Leicestershire

POST CODE: LE8 8TJ

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff48a1e0849000cdb228b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/ZM1Mwj9aRjmqYW6xH6vWmw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/ZM1Mwj9aRjmqYW6xH6vWmw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Brian White  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian White

ADDRESS: 1344 Beverly Blvd

POST CODE: 48390

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff1c3b7cb89000cb2a7e3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/ujsoaqDFTkeAH1VB9Md02A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/ujsoaqDFTkeAH1VB9Md02A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Chandra Worsham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chandra Worsham  
654 S Racine St  
Aurora, Colorado 80012

=====  
From: dannelle johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

dannelle johnson  
4908 Federal Blvd  
San Diego, California 92102

=====  
From: Etta Chavies  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or

false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Etta Chavies  
850 Parker St Unit 222  
Manchester, Connecticut 06042

=====  
From: joanne truett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

joanne truett  
210 Catherine St  
Kings Mtn, North Carolina 28086

=====  
From: Kennedy Coleson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kennedy Coleson  
10043 Katherine Ct  
Houston, Texas 77089

=====  
From: LUKE PEARSON  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: LUKE PEARSON

ADDRESS: 7900 Harbor Island Dr.

POST CODE: 33141

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff4b8dfbdb5000cb0b888

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/oMKS8TPQE-CUeLgJ61RVg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/oMKS8TPQE-CUeLgJ61RVg/uh1>> .

=====

From: PEGGY SCHWIEBERT  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

PEGGY SCHWIEBERT  
9857 State Route 18  
Hamler, Ohio 43524

=====

From: anna miller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this

reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

anna miller  
3456 Hartley Rd  
Jacksonville, Florida 32257

=====  
From: Axel Fornbäck  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Axel Fornbäck

ADDRESS: Grimstagatan 62

POST CODE: 16256

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff4a71e0849000cdb228e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/xq4WBfeuRMSFjTOzeM0WGQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/xq4WBfeuRMSFjTOzeM0WGQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Brenainn Sprouse  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brenainn Sprouse  
5000 Topping Ln  
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

=====  
From: BRENT WILLIAMS  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

BRENT WILLIAMS  
4367 Concord Blvd  
Concord, California 94521

=====  
From: Chanpichit07  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chanpichit07

ADDRESS: 55 ?????????99

POST CODE: 10310

COUNTRY: TH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff490dfbdb5000cb0b883

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/Bh9oW\\_jPSHOjPwobgH8lnQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/Bh9oW_jPSHOjPwobgH8lnQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/Bh9oW\\_jPSHOjPwobgH8lnQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/Bh9oW_jPSHOjPwobgH8lnQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Conny Viklund  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Conny Viklund

ADDRESS: Degerbäck 10

POST CODE: 96195

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff515a26879000bdcad63

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/puie0jUfQty3RD05qytNDQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/puie0jUfQty3RD05qytNDQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Eleanor Harness  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:55  
 Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Harness  
 9500 E 500 N  
 Grovertown, Indiana 46531

=====

From: Craig Chittick  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:56  
 Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Craig Chittick  
4317 S 600 E  
Wabash, Indiana 46992

=====  
From: DENITRA MITCHELL  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

DENITRA MITCHELL  
3254 Lexington Way

Augusta, Georgia 30909

=====  
From: Doris Raphael Raphael  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:56  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Doris Raphael Raphael

14226

=====  
From: Eliza Morrow  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Eliza Morrow  
381 S 4th St  
Tooele, Utah 84074

From: Kerry Aker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kerry Aker  
40861 N Barnum Way  
Anthem, Arizona 85086

=====  
From: Laura valle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Laura valle  
872 Mulberry Ln  
Parlier, California 93648

=====  
From: margie valdez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCCâ\200\231s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

margie valdez  
1405 Wilson Ave  
Alamogordo, New Mexico 88310

=====  
From: Mattias Sjöholm  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mattias Sjöholm

ADDRESS: Sweden

POST CODE: 74732

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff4bd15ab86000e5e9eee

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/weCVBpv8SgOR9AkBqhgUA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/weCVBpv8SgOR9AkBqhgUA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Olivier Detournay  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Olivier Detournay

ADDRESS: 02 montÃ©e Auguste Gassend

POST CODE: 13002

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff51da26879000e0b0ba5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/\\_5MzLGDHTYSUspY40\\_lZIg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/_5MzLGDHTYSUspY40_lZIg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/\\_5MzLGDHTYSUspY40\\_lZIg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/_5MzLGDHTYSUspY40_lZIg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Absil Olivier

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:57

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Absil Olivier

ADDRESS: rue de la station 44

POST CODE: 6440 Froidchappelle

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff58f99efdf000d80517b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/X4lnvP3nS-CuPYjWoggcUQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/X4lnvP3nS-CuPYjWoggcUQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: albert

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:57

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: albert

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 95111

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff277003331000ed4d2b9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/lpFA5fe0SwGfaAjEgbiYQA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/lpFA5fe0SwGfaAjEgbiYQA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Berger Philippe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Berger Philippe  
ADDRESS: Chemin Des MÃtines, 02/03  
POST CODE: 5100

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff55199efdf000e081a70

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/K4Oax1ZVTt6wKLxE00AYmg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/K4Oax1ZVTt6wKLxE00AYmg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Bernardo Tapia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bernardo Tapia  
1430 2nd St Apt 05  
Bakersfield, California 93304

=====  
From: Jonathan Barrons  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathan Barrons  
ADDRESS: 104 Dogwood Dr  
POST CODE: 39180  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff4ec003331000c6106e5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/F1BBzZcxRiefQwhxErogcA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/F1BBzZcxRiefQwhxErogcA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marcus  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcus

ADDRESS: disenÃ

POST CODE: 2114

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff1b2f1110a000b4b4ef1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/lnT8yAXNRhioWj9SP8CcsQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/lnT8yAXNRhioWj9SP8CcsQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: stephen prevatt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

stephen prevatt  
1724 Julia St  
Green Cove Springs, Florida 32043

=====  
From: Wielant Belthle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wielant Belthle

ADDRESS: Turmstr. 02

POST CODE: 52531 Uebach-Palenberg Germany

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff420b7cb89000d44bf9d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/HKLh4C01SueiA4bzyRzcCA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/HKLh4C01SueiA4bzyRzcCA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: bryan hernandez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

bryan hernandez  
525 Russell Ave  
Salina, Kansas 67401

=====  
From: Dogan Mert GÃ¼ven  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dogan Mert GÃ¼ven

ADDRESS: ALTINKUM MAH. 419 SOK. GÃ¼VEN APT. NO:05/02 KONYAALTI / ANTALYA

POST CODE: 07070

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff705fbecab000e3ea62a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/O2FazpVXSrnmEdeE3nHrPWQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/O2FazpVXSrnmEdeE3nHrPWQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Julie Deramo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julie Deramo

ADDRESS: 16040 Northup Way, Bellevue, WA

POST CODE: 98008

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff69ddfdb5000b871c11

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/DNvXZrGyRsiPSqP68E17Tg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/DNvXZrGyRsiPSqP68E17Tg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Mads BrÃ¸dsgaard Pedersen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mads BrÃ¸dsgaard Pedersen

ADDRESS: NÃ¸rshÃ¸jvej

POST CODE: 8462

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff5fca419f5000e2fb4c0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/WYTzDIfvTN6\\_9rjTy6QPMQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/WYTzDIfvTN6_9rjTy6QPMQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/WYTzDIfvTN6\\_9rjTy6QPMQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/WYTzDIfvTN6_9rjTy6QPMQ/uh1)> .

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:58

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: S. Behmke

Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Address: upon request

Zip: 30180

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private

companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Scott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 33647

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff63eb7cb89000d44bfab

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/VUufdG17QSCN8u-3Sr569g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/VUufdG17QSCN8u-3Sr569g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Shaneca Stewart

Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:58

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Shaneca Stewart

920 Poppleton Dr

Hinesville, Georgia 31313

=====

From: Adria Bray  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adria Bray

ADDRESS: 2816 Lahontan dr.

POST CODE: 95358

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff629dfbdb5000cb0b89b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/e4CVrsA4R9yYRUj7GQnaOQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/e4CVrsA4R9yYRUj7GQnaOQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Andrew Allen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Andrew Allen  
PO Box 401  
Toledo, Washington 98591

=====  
From: blow me  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: blow me

ADDRESS: 3201 eatshit way florence Ky

POST CODE: 41042

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff467c60b21000c7f0c9d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/QGsknhCuQP-y4r4kULpA8w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/QGSknhCuQP-y4r4kULpA8w/uh1> .

=====  
From: christian flecha rico  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

christian flecha rico  
1812 W 151st St  
Compton, California 90220

=====  
From: enkhtuvshin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: enkhtuvshin  
ADDRESS: the panopticon  
POST CODE: n/a

COUNTRY: MN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff71ddac595000cde5945

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/mDKHflXqSde9tVnw9lVt\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/mDKHflXqSde9tVnw9lVt_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/mDKHflXqSde9tVnw9lVt\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/mDKHflXqSde9tVnw9lVt_g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Michelle Humphries  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michelle Humphries  
305 Dr Martin Luther King Jr St S Apt 202  
St Petersburg, Florida 33705

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:59  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Sean Gearhart  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 7366 Broken Staff  
Zip: 21045

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Troy Stierwalt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:46:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Troy Stierwalt  
1309 Broadlawn Ave  
Bettendorf, Iowa 52722

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:00  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Carol O'Husky  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 41900 Ivy Street  
Zip: 92562

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Jesse  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesse

ADDRESS: 1900 Big Canyon Drive

POST CODE: 78746

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff7f2f35671000eb3bdd6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/uuhBm\\_M9SwGAu6CtBchXSA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/uuhBm_M9SwGAu6CtBchXSA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/uuhBm\\_M9SwGAu6CtBchXSA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/uuhBm_M9SwGAu6CtBchXSA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Ole-Andr  Hestetun  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ole-Andr  Hestetun

ADDRESS: Kokkervika 07

POST CODE: 6057 Ellings y

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff76a15ab86000d44fbd0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/4VnU0crjS3eOqi4uSjs69w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/4VnU0crjS3eOqi4uSjs69w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Peter BjÃ, rn From  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter BjÃ, rn From  
ADDRESS: BrÃ, nderslevvej 204  
POST CODE: 9900  
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff7abf35671000bbeb3fc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/KWQZ7WIwShyP7inSzAFpWA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/KWQZ7WIwShyP7inSzAFpWA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rita

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:00

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rita

ADDRESS: Scottsdale

POST CODE: 85258

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff7a5b7cb89000e2b24a8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/XpYZznm1Soiboa6Y8RqMeA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/XpYZznm1Soiboa6Y8RqMeA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Adrian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adrian

ADDRESS: 23864 Fleetwood Rd

POST CODE: 20105

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff691b7cb89000cb2a80d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/GXnNV7QNSiqeHkehkwBNiA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/GXnNV7QNSiqeHkehkwBNiA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Josh Cagan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:01

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josh Cagan

ADDRESS: 627 n rossmore #112

POST CODE: 90004

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff89cdfbdb5000e45f795

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/opvopSDbQoqft9lrsPoqow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/opvopSDbQoqft9lrsPoqow/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Kristoffer RÃ¸rhus Flaate  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kristoffer RÃ¸rhus Flaate

ADDRESS: 6264 Tennfjord

POST CODE: 6264 Tennfjord

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff822a419f5000d46c1e2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/kf00ZoEOSP2ik-Fc-ypt9Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/kf00ZoEOSP2ik-Fc-ypt9Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nadine Käning  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nadine Käning  
ADDRESS: Wintersingerstrasse 24, Maisprach  
POST CODE: 4464

COUNTRY: CH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff7d68b7a18000c57441b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/XDmiG4S1QrqSxHU8-FHi4w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/XDmiG4S1QrqSxHU8-FHi4w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: nasrin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: nasrin

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 61802

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff813f1110a000cc4ec42

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/KsgVCudWRGuPnMxa-b597A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/KsgVCudWRGuPnMxa-b597A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:01

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Robin Potter

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 2382 SE Grand Drive Port Saint Lucie, FL

Zip: 34952

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition.

Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Ana Vrbanic  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Ana Vrbanic  
ADDRESS: Grada Mainza 7a  
POST CODE: 1000  
COUNTRY: HR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff87299efdf000bbab94a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/9LTqzF2CS7y9p9\\_CZMNQnQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/9LTqzF2CS7y9p9_CZMNQnQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/9LTqzF2CS7y9p9\\_CZMNQnQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/9LTqzF2CS7y9p9_CZMNQnQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Janet Lighthall

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:02

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Janet Lighthall

428 Patterson Ln

Belle Vernon, Pennsylvania 15012

=====  
From: Paul  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paul

ADDRESS: 4231 Logsdan Rd

POST CODE: 97357

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff8cf1e0849000ec718c9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/lSQd15EASG6MsZdBQp0yFA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/lSQd15EASG6MsZdBQp0yFA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Camille

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:03

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Camille

ADDRESS: 19576 lake Chabot rd

POST CODE: 94546

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff91b1e0849000ec718cd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/pzcn9syBScu84EMUDo0JEg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/pzcn9syBScu84EMUDo0JEg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:03  
Subject: Open internet

The net is fair to all at this time and it needs to stay that way. You can be rich or poor and have an equal playing field. I spend over \$170.00 dollars a month on tv, phone, and internet. I think my cable company is doing very well. Please keep the net as it is.

Regards, H. Reilly

=====  
From: John  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John  
ADDRESS: 8741A NE Sandy, Portland, OR  
POST CODE: 97220  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff8b699efdf000c344e19

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/xt8Ic6C8TXKvwhhl-Fd6EQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/xt8Ic6C8TXKvwhhl-Fd6EQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: shawn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: shawn

ADDRESS: 14711 nanry st

POST CODE: 90604

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff902a419f5000cf4f05b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/DoyE26NQRAa6\\_fU44Reo2Q/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/DoyE26NQRAa6_fU44Reo2Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/DoyE26NQRAa6\\_fU44Reo2Q/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/DoyE26NQRAa6_fU44Reo2Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Alec Kammerer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alec Kammerer

ADDRESS: 251 bedford circle

POST CODE: 46385

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff94adfbdb5000cb0b8c6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/w5HlCgXoSnOpN1mltfARFg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/w5HlCgXoSnOpN1mltfARFg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Charles  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charles

ADDRESS: rue des lavandiÃ"res 04/102

POST CODE: 4300 Waremmes Belgium

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff965fbecab000dab1698

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/0YpyW8ceSL6LkkytWkr7tw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/0YpyW8ceSL6LkkytWkr7tw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Erick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erick  
ADDRESS: 9398 LEDIG DR.  
POST CODE: 91701  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff94da26879000decad91

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/gndRzIbkQPiqxsyeH\\_5hKA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/gndRzIbkQPiqxsyeH_5hKA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/gndRzIbkQPiqxsyeH\\_5hKA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/gndRzIbkQPiqxsyeH_5hKA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Enej  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Enej

ADDRESS: 433 Cherry st

POST CODE: 95928

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff9db8b7a18000c57442a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/UyLlz7ZAS6qoxzcXPM9Z\\_Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/UyLlz7ZAS6qoxzcXPM9Z_Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/UyLlz7ZAS6qoxzcXPM9Z\\_Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/UyLlz7ZAS6qoxzcXPM9Z_Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Bob  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bob

ADDRESS: 2624 233rd Ave SE, Sammamish

POST CODE: 98075

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffa52fbecab000dab16a2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/lkYD1dJ5QsOX4gpu8AgyoQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/lkYD1dJ5QsOX4gpu8AgyoQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe  
ADDRESS: 2019 Lake Shore AVE  
POST CODE: 90039  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffa8da419f5000d46c1fa

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/E52jx0YzR-qPaVKs1JIHxQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/E52jx0YzR-qPaVKs1JIHxQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ole-AndrÃ"   
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ole-AndrÃ"

ADDRESS: Kokkervika 07

POST CODE: 6057 EllingsÃ,y

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff8d4c60b21000c7f0cc4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/iO8SrTSnS-m22DfTuQR6fg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/iO8SrTSnS-m22DfTuQR6fg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: PÃ¥l Simon Salita Pedersen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pål Simon Salita Pedersen

ADDRESS: Furulundsvegen

POST CODE: 2022

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffa6f003331000c61071f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/DYFD4SvWTmO6-hEyPigvVQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/DYFD4SvWTmO6-hEyPigvVQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: vaishnavdavey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: vaishnavdavey  
ADDRESS: Stanford University  
POST CODE: 94305  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffa551e0849000d05be1f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/d1KfwA6HRL0rrSuIOd0COQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/d1KfwA6HRL0rrSuIOd0COQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Michael

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:07

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael

ADDRESS: 4708 17th St N

POST CODE: 58102

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffac0a419f5000d46c1fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/TXAfoPptSrCMWYbNlGHIWQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/TXAfoPptSrCMWYbNlGHIWQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Antonio Ceccatelli  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Antonio Ceccatelli  
ADDRESS: V.le L.da Vinci 114  
POST CODE: 00145 Rome, Italy  
COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ff9aab0a7e4000e468cc3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/XbkiAhXCR2-PSsJTW4DCLA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/XbkiAhXCR2-PSsJTW4DCLA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Aryn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aryn

ADDRESS: 1515 Tiger Ave

POST CODE: 80537

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffb5af35671000eb3bdfe

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/A7tQFHqTLacN1Wgm7Zttg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/A7tQFHqTLacN1Wgm7Zttg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Max GM  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Max GM

ADDRESS: 621 N Plymouth Blvd, LA CA

POST CODE: 90004

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffaeale0849000cdb22d8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/lnnCEYanSlykqSYSFvn52w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/lnnCEYanSlykqSYSFvn52w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nicholas

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:08

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicholas

ADDRESS: 633 4th Ave, Seattle

POST CODE: 98118

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffb618b7a18000c574438

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/KPs5LGSRRH6vve\\_qdjEXQw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/KPs5LGSRRH6vve_qdjEXQw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/KPs5LGSRRH6vve\\_qdjEXQw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/KPs5LGSRRH6vve_qdjEXQw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Tobias Martens  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tobias Martens

ADDRESS: GroÃ237e Promenade

POST CODE: 16727

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffb7ec60b21000b542387

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/T\\_MDelizQ3SFJpE4xX1diw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/T_MDelizQ3SFJpE4xX1diw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/T\\_MDelizQ3SFJpE4xX1diw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/T_MDelizQ3SFJpE4xX1diw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Elke Ploenes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elke Ploenes

ADDRESS: Breyeller Str. 09

POST CODE: Nettetal â\200\223 Germany

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffbbf8b7a18000c57443f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/O9J5Z-ixTB2rd14WGSUhg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/O9J5Z-ixTB2rd14WGSUhg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lee Semanek  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:09  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I have been using the internet since computers really became useful in the early 90s. The internet is now essential to my very survival. You simply must reclassify the internet as a public utility, just like the radio waves, television waves, cable, etc. It is the only course of action that makes sense.

Just do it. The American people will fight you on this one until you get it right. Now amount of payoff for Chairman Wheeler will be enough allow a pay lane internet to stand.

Sincerely,

Lee Semanek

Lee Semanek

10101

=====  
From: Mark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark

ADDRESS: 910 W Lake St, Chicago

POST CODE: 60607

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffb9eb0a7e4000dc633cf

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/aYBpqitcRrutzzZ9OnBZOg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/aYBpqitcRrutzzZ9OnBZOg/uh1>> .

=====

From: stevens  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: stevens  
ADDRESS: brussels  
POST CODE: 1081  
COUNTRY: BE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffc3715ab86000cblaf8f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/bX9WV6BNR3yatBIcmb9ifQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/bX9WV6BNR3yatBIcmb9ifQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Denis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:10

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denis

ADDRESS: KÃ¶rnerstr

POST CODE: 44153

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffc55a26879000bdcad8e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/UyMObxG9S0CNPh2evGoqhQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/UyMObxG9S0CNPh2evGoqhQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Laurelle Swanberg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:10  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I believe my speed access is as important as and any company or wealthy entity.  
protect our open internet.

Laurelle Swanberg  
60404

=====  
From: mark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: mark  
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 97219  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffc51b0a7e4000e468cdc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/tAau1D7fTMaYneD5fQzEeQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/tAau1D7fTMaYneD5fQzEeQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:10  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Richard Hill  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 102 Strickland Ave.  
Zip: 27127

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's

government-created monopoly. They are a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: rt4rtg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: rt4rtg

ADDRESS: rtgfgfrtgz

POST CODE: trtztzrtz

COUNTRY: HR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffc4562b49c000cd1ea16

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/KbDAPv9iQ-q2F65-h2WDzQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/KbDAPv9iQ-q2F65-h2WDzQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: alex

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:11

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: alex

ADDRESS: 3654 salem walk

POST CODE: northbrook il

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffca0c60b21000e117d73

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/bUbEvyCGQRyf6LeyV3WfsQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/bUbEvyCGQRyf6LeyV3WfsQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Aoife Molloy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aoife Molloy  
ADDRESS: Ballydaly, Tullamore, Co.offaly, Ireland  
POST CODE: Nil  
COUNTRY: IE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffcb462b49c000e6c57ac

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/0un0qcbeRM-HH3xmN8igqQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/0un0qcbeRM-HH3xmN8igqQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Cozplay Multiversityty  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cozplay Multiversityty

ADDRESS: 16243 Tierra Rd

POST CODE: 95949

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffce0a26879000ca292c3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/2\\_fQlcVPQS-8MpemHy5D8Q/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/2_fQlcVPQS-8MpemHy5D8Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/2\\_fQlcVPQS-8MpemHy5D8Q/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/2_fQlcVPQS-8MpemHy5D8Q/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:11  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: dan wallace  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 2650 thomas ct.  
Zip: 32503

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You

can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:12  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: James Emerick  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 16506 SE 29th St Apt C-22  
Zip: 98683

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:12  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Susan Wingate  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 330 False Bay Drive  
Zip: 98250

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment

and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Adam  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam  
ADDRESS: 1540 Hudson St  
POST CODE: 94061  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffd51c60b21000c7f0ce4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/HOwEgHn4R1q5NwCqsbTeuA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/HOwEgHn4R1q5NwCqsbTeuA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tamra Lambides  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:14  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

Please protect internet neutrality by continuing access exactly as it is now. As they say, don't fix it if it ain't broke. Providing fast lanes only serves a very small percentage of people. I don't want someone else deciding the priority of delivery for me. I should be the only one deciding what I want delivered not some corporation. The internet should be a level playing field for access and allowing corporations or even individuals with large amounts of cash to control it is un-American, inappropriate and the face of it unfair to the average person. The internet is about connecting people to people and people to services they want and need. It should not be controlled by

any organization that limits the scope of availability or profits inequitably by its use of that control.

Sincerely,  
Tamra Lambides

Tamra Lambides

92024

=====  
From: Cameron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cameron

ADDRESS: 11 Renouf Court

POST CODE: 3796

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffd16a26879000e0b0be8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/4wJkQf6FRuuhjzhhlIXZyA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/4wJkQf6FRuuhjzhhlIXZyA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dejan Djuric  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dejan Djuric  
ADDRESS: RundhÃ,j Alle 78  
POST CODE: 8270  
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffbd31e0849000d05be22

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/AvCdNczNRvGggdc4D\\_pWKA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/AvCdNczNRvGggdc4D_pWKA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/AvCdNczNRvGggdc4D\\_pWKA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/AvCdNczNRvGggdc4D_pWKA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Emil Kleijssen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emil Kleijssen  
ADDRESS: bodarpsvÃ¶gen 210-00  
POST CODE: 23194  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffe1b62b49c000cd1ea25

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/vCds6a11Qsq04Gd1IV2sjQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/vCds6a11Qsq04Gd1IV2sjQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Heiner Schäfer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Heiner Schöfer

ADDRESS: Schmiedestraße 07, Eyendorf, Niedersachsen, Germany

POST CODE: 21376

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffe5edac595000cde5975

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/wE8jNrTISxG6YEV-v-RCmg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/wE8jNrTISxG6YEV-v-RCmg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: John Duran  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Duran  
ADDRESS: RÃ¶amÃ¶llagatan 68  
POST CODE: 25 443  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffddc003331000bc7c225

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/esGUuMaiSA6vxBE1ZFxmTQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/esGUuMaiSA6vxBE1ZFxmTQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kari Hughes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kari Hughes

ADDRESS: PO Box 56565

POST CODE: 99705

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fffe48b7a18000c574456

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/AlZblUS5SZGETwRF-Ej8Gw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/AlZblUS5SZGETwRF-Ej8Gw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lesley Kelling  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lesley Kelling

ADDRESS: 3042 N. Bartlett Ave

POST CODE: 53211

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffd0499efdf000c344e39

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/N\\_9PK-hmQSapFOf8Hk\\_ANg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/N_9PK-hmQSapFOf8Hk_ANg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/N\\_9PK-hmQSapFOf8Hk\\_ANg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/N_9PK-hmQSapFOf8Hk_ANg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Michael Romanowski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Romanowski

ADDRESS: Berkeley ca

POST CODE: 94707

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffba31e0849000cdb22e3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/DRM-m4v8Q2yg7gWxXH97gg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/DRM-m4v8Q2yg7gWxXH97gg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Virgilio Feldman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Virgilio Feldman  
ADDRESS: P. O. Box 324  
POST CODE: 10276  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffd3699efdf000d8051ad

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/MAzn6EAqQtOYuMEbs8\\_pjg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/MAzn6EAqQtOYuMEbs8_pjg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/MAzn6EAqQtOYuMEbs8\\_pjg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/MAzn6EAqQtOYuMEbs8_pjg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Christopher J. Bono  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christopher J. Bono

ADDRESS: 134 Litchfield road, Frankfort NY 13340

POST CODE: 13340

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffdab99efdf000c344e3d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/n-\\_s99IhQH2h4R27XEsG6g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/n-_s99IhQH2h4R27XEsG6g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/n-\\_s99IhQH2h4R27XEsG6g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/n-_s99IhQH2h4R27XEsG6g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Peter Müller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter Müller

ADDRESS: Weinweg 47

POST CODE: 93049

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541000881e0849000d05be34

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/KQ2hGKyISB6eva6sv1X4uA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/KQ2hGKyISB6eva6sv1X4uA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Pierre Collin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pierre Collin

ADDRESS: Petrusberg, 01 3001 Heverlee BELGIUM

POST CODE: B-3001

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fff5ea26879000e0b0bf9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/PtILcpd7S\\_20VqIVU5LDcQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/PtILcpd7S_20VqIVU5LDcQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/PtILcpd7S\\_20VqIVU5LDcQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/PtILcpd7S_20VqIVU5LDcQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Rune Hornung  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Rune Hornung  
ADDRESS: KÃ, bkesvej 26  
POST CODE: 5230  
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fffaeb0a7e4000dc633ea

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/ahIDZfwMTA2hZEYdnazCrw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/ahIDZfwMTA2hZEYdnazCrw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Vojtech CernÃ½

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:16

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vojtech CernÃ½

ADDRESS: Å porkova 428, LysÃ½; nad Labem

POST CODE: 28922

COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540ffd5adfdb5000cb0b8ee

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/WuIssCJ1TVyRNjbjiom8Ng/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/WuIssCJ1TVyRNjbjiom8Ng/uh1>> .

=====

From: Zach

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:16

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zach

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 90807

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100004b0a7e4000e468cf5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/E1IbHhzOTLu8UpcyynCrhQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/E1IbHhzOTLu8UpcyynCrhQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Cheryl Distaso  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cheryl Distaso  
ADDRESS: p.o. box 400  
POST CODE: fort collins, co 80522

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100005dac595000d1lea629

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/1L48j-bwQVq0pJwfUtcRtQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/1L48j-bwQVq0pJwfUtcRtQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Paul Horne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:17  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality has been the default state of the internet since its invention. Internet service providers load all websites at the same speed. Now, Big Telecom wants the FCC to change the rules so they can extract more money from websites and consumers, as we pay all bills, by making them and us pay to be served to customers at faster speeds.

If there is a "fast lane" it is obvious there will also be a "slow lane" which will destroy all Internet Neutrality. Maybe you do not remember when 16 user party lines in the 1950's existed before AT&T finally sold their expensive and monopolistic two user party lines and finally private lines, but I do. It was not until AT&T was split in the 1970's that consumers finally got phone service with more than one extension and with long distance at less than \$01 a minute. This destruction of the Internet Neutrality will be like that in reverse going from freedom to a strict dictatorship of the big 05 monopoly telecom companies. That is not progress,

In order to preserve net neutrality, the FCC needs to ban Big Telecom from creating these so-called "fast-lanes" which only large companies could afford. Start-ups and independent sites like Daily Kos would be left behind and crushed.

In order to legally prevent Big Telecom from destroying net neutrality once again, the FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites.

Seniors like me depend on the Internet for news and entertainment. As a teacher, I need the Internet for research. As a student, I need the Internet for research and learning. Please do not allow the 01% to totally destroy this communication life line for the public.

Paul Horne

33436

=====  
From: Sondre Abrahamsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sondre Abrahamsen

ADDRESS: Sjøskogenveien 44c

POST CODE: 1407 Vinterbro

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410015162b49c000d163d1c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/kxyLbmQzQZyYH\\_h676wAxA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/kxyLbmQzQZyYH_h676wAxA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/kxyLbmQzQZyYH\\_h676wAxA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/kxyLbmQzQZyYH_h676wAxA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Voytek  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:17

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Voytek

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 08638

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541000a6c60b21000c7f0cfe

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/FthMaapqQF6ixFX4DiGiNQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/FthMaapqQF6ixFX4DiGiNQ/uh1>> .

-----  
From: CHARLOTTE NIGHTLINGER  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: CHARLOTTE NIGHTLINGER

ADDRESS: CATHEDRAL CITY, CALIF

POST CODE: 92234

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541001921e0849000d05be39

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/pOkHm7hWS\\_u6-Ma26YWs7w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/pOkHm7hWS_u6-Ma26YWs7w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/pOkHm7hWS\\_u6-Ma26YWs7w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/pOkHm7hWS_u6-Ma26YWs7w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Deanna Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Deanna Smith  
408 8th St  
Oswego, Kansas 67356

=====

From: Leszek  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leszek

ADDRESS: ul Msciwoja II 36/15 Kartuzy

POST CODE: 83-300

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410016c15ab86000e5e9f54

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/HapeSfu\\_TNybRcYUOZsLLg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/HapeSfu_TNybRcYUOZsLLg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/HapeSfu\\_TNybRcYUOZsLLg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/HapeSfu_TNybRcYUOZsLLg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: riccardo lazcano jr.  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: riccardo lazcano jr.

ADDRESS: 543 east h st.

POST CODE: 91764

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541000cd15ab86000cb1af9a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/0LvnqoZVTG6hMft0xfMtsQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/0LvnqoZVTG6hMft0xfMtsQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Henrik Lindström

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:19

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Henrik Lindström

ADDRESS: Koljontie 05 as. 01

POST CODE: 33500

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541001d1fbecab000dab16ea

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/kUP--G2RzKwF\\_prFD8eMw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/kUP--G2RzKwF_prFD8eMw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/kUP--G2RzKwF\\_prFD8eMw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/kUP--G2RzKwF_prFD8eMw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Thomas Skyldahl SÃ,rensen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Skyldahl SÃ,rensen

ADDRESS: RÃ¥dved KÃ|rvej 04

POST CODE: 8700

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541001d58b7a18000e7c3f1f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/gOISF0lMRcuaHPeSE6S9SQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/gOISF0lMRcuaHPeSE6S9SQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: A. Sadeghi

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: A. Sadeghi

ADDRESS: j.p. coenstraat 09

POST CODE: 6828RV Netherland

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100246c60b21000c7f0d06

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/q4w7HHoHTG2Mk55WYLPNQQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/q4w7HHoHTG2Mk55WYLPNQQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Garth Cowpe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Garth Cowpe

ADDRESS: 19, Rue de la RÃ©publique

POST CODE: 06380

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410021ffbecab000dab16eb

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/O8AL0q-pQE2NVHwQ\\_OyObQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/O8AL0q-pQE2NVHwQ_OyObQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/O8AL0q-pQE2NVHwQ\\_OyObQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/O8AL0q-pQE2NVHwQ_OyObQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Deborah Keefe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:21  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
Dear FCC Chair Wheeler,

The internet is my most important link to the world today. It is the conduit of my news, information, social interaction, and work and family relationships. My internet connection is already slower than most because I have the least expensive plan I can buy from my cable provider. It is more of a public utility, more diverse and helpful, and necessary to my life than the telephone. It is not a commodity that should be sold to the highest bidder. Life today necessitates that the internet be treated as a public utility. Please keep access to the net neutral.

Deborah Keefe  
04096

=====

From: john kirkendall  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didnâ\200\231t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â\200\223 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

john kirkendall  
7687 Hicks Dairy Rd  
Silsbee, Texas 77656

=====  
From: Jonathan Jackson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPAâ\200\231s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Jackson  
13 School St  
Rochester, Vermont 05767

=====  
From: Jorge Morales  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:21  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content  
Internet debe ser globalizado y gratis para los usuarios no empresariales.  
No a la privatizaciÃ³n del espacio que es patrimonio de la humanidad.  
El gobierno debe proveer el servicio de internet libre, costado con nuestros impuestos.

Jorge Morales

22003

=====  
From: Justin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin

ADDRESS: 1100 e 2nd st

POST CODE: 78756

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100278a419f5000e2fb514

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/-I8Wo8SeR2mRpfXZRZwtew/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/-I8Wo8SeR2mRpfXZRZwtew/uh1>> .

=====

From: Lisema  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisema

ADDRESS: 11 Leyds Street

POST CODE: 10730

COUNTRY: ZA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410028db7cb89000cb2a84d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/JP\\_PMnYSQiiVVD1PRs3vcQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/JP_PMnYSQiiVVD1PRs3vcQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/JP\\_PMnYSQiiVVD1PRs3vcQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/JP_PMnYSQiiVVD1PRs3vcQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Nick Asselberghs  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick Asselberghs

ADDRESS: TvÃ|rvej 02, 02 M.F.

POST CODE: 2600

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100264c60b21000df15c7b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/5rQRJMW4QDCDZ\\_CmLtomsA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/5rQRJMW4QDCDZ_CmLtomsA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/5rQRJMW4QDCDZ\\_CmLtomsA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/5rQRJMW4QDCDZ_CmLtomsA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Nick Asselberghs  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick Asselberghs

ADDRESS: TvÃ|rvej 02, 02 M.F.

POST CODE: 2600

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541002b599efdf000c344e6a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/isatTArETKSE-T2--0LWDw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/isatTArETKSE-T2--0LWDw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Paul Ashman

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:21

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The Internet was started principally with government and academic resources, for the public good.

It has proven an invaluable democratizing resource, the only place in history where small, low-budget interests can instantly have worldwide exposure to, and be in easy contact with, others with similar interests. The result has been a beautiful mosaic of intercultural connection, hobbies, and clearinghouses of information of all sorts, for the public good, as intended.

As digital technology has improved, everyone's hunger for bandwidth has likewise grown to embrace technologies like video. It is fitting that as bandwidth becomes available, it should be equally available to all.

The private companies lobbying you now have been playing, and thriving, all this time, in this public space. Now they are trying to use the resources and strength they gained in the public arena to seize it from us, and monetize it in a new, unnecessary way.

To allow them to steal the commons that weaned them is grossly unjust, and extremely destructive to the public good. I don't know why you, a public official, would give a moment of thought to such a ridiculous proposal.

Please start regulating the internet as a public utility, as it was made to be.

Paul Ashman

55406

=====

From: Cayman Nava

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cayman Nava

ADDRESS: 50012th st

POST CODE: 94607

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410030c99efdf000e081aa5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/M8BIH4MMTeaY3veN1HeGUg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/M8BIH4MMTeaY3veN1HeGUg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Chris Harris  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris Harris

ADDRESS: 9018 Fairweather drive

POST CODE: 33773

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410031162b49c000e6c57ca

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/xD3DOT9WQVafO43i\\_eBZlA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/xD3DOT9WQVafO43i_eBZlA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/xD3DOT9WQVafO43i\\_eBZlA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/xD3DOT9WQVafO43i_eBZlA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Hayley Ellsworth Billings  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:22  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Hayley Ellsworth Billings

751 Marino Ct  
Bastrop, Texas 78602

=====  
From: Laurent de Laprade  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Laurent de Laprade

ADDRESS: 06 allÃ©e Ascoli Piceno, MASSY, France

POST CODE: 91300

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100308b7cb89000d44c004

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/IS2-JUqsR\\_2UHw71gTa\\_PA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/IS2-JUqsR_2UHw71gTa_PA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/IS2-JUqsR\\_2UHw71gTa\\_PA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/IS2-JUqsR_2UHw71gTa_PA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Alma Käzler

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:23

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alma Käzler

ADDRESS: Neuhauserstr. 05

POST CODE: CH-8500 Frauenfeld

COUNTRY: CH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100104003331000c610756

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/Gi6sbWhXQmmTntXu\\_wOq0w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/Gi6sbWhXQmmTntXu_wOq0w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/Gi6sbWhXQmmTntXu\\_wOq0w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/Gi6sbWhXQmmTntXu_wOq0w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Benjamin Ubach  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benjamin Ubach  
ADDRESS: Chilpa # 33-bis  
POST CODE: 03700  
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410031815ab86000d44fc18

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/jVzww8I\\_Q8G9vFVSzNQx8A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/jVzww8I_Q8G9vFVSzNQx8A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/jVzww8I\\_Q8G9vFVSzNQx8A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/jVzww8I_Q8G9vFVSzNQx8A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Carlos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carlos

ADDRESS: 446 W Elk Ave

POST CODE: 91204

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410039cf1110a000e42e48f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/34ZkAXhyTXycqTz3DLfo3A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/34ZkAXhyTXycqTz3DLfo3A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: christena litton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

christena litton  
3471 S Bender Ave  
Akron, Ohio 44319

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:23  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: K JOHNSON  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 557 Holly St  
Zip: 49323

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling

todayâ\200\231s bipartisan â\200\234Hands off the Netâ\200\235 approach. You canâ\200\231t have â\200\234just enough Title IIâ\200\235 any more than you can be â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ\200\231t intend.

Yet, as youâ\200\231ve noted, Title II wouldnâ\200\231t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\200\234fast lanes.â\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â\200\234vigilant restraint.â\200\235 But remember Kennardâ\200\231s digital mantra, too: â\200\234First, do no harm.â\200\235 If you conclude that existing laws arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Mitchell Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mitchell Brown

ADDRESS: 62 murano st waverley Dunedin new zealand

POST CODE: 9013

COUNTRY: NZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100052dfbdb5000d11e687

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/zuNQxOqnSHeEGJQy84K-3g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/zuNQxOqnSHeEGJQy84K-3g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tim McReynolds  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tim McReynolds  
1751 E Roseville Pkwy Apt 1016  
Roseville, California 95661

=====  
From: Virginia Kelley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Virginia Kelley  
4950 Roundlake Road  
Zellwood, Florida 32798

=====

From: Alex Gomez Fernandez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex Gomez Fernandez

ADDRESS: C/ Roberto Baamonde 37-39

POST CODE: 27400 Monforte de Lemos (spain)

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541003eafbecab000dab16f6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/lwUKNHcST5ysezY\\_trLYFg/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/lwUKNHcST5ysezY_trLYFg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/lwUKNHcST5ysezY\\_trLYFg/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/lwUKNHcST5ysezY_trLYFg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:24  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Clint Simmons  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1225 Abbot Kinney Venice Ca  
Zip: 90405

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading

their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Christiana G Alawine  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christiana G Alawine

ADDRESS: 2375 S Worthington ln

POST CODE: 47401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541003eb8b7a18000c574469

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/Df3nR0H4RQu2fRPFkq0X\\_w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/Df3nR0H4RQu2fRPFkq0X_w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/Df3nR0H4RQu2fRPFkq0X\\_w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/Df3nR0H4RQu2fRPFkq0X_w/uh1)> .

=====

From: dave  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: dave  
ADDRESS: 301 ralston lane  
POST CODE: 95658  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541003def1110a000e42e491

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/pcYUjQosQ8aAlfClkE7WTw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/pcYUjQosQ8aAlfClkE7WTw/uh1>> .

=====

From: JÃ,rgen Tonvang  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JÃ,rgen Tonvang

ADDRESS: Sverresgt. 13

POST CODE: 2317

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541004f7c60b21000c7f0d15

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/IaIkA85cSo2xr7BoiIMuRg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/IaIkA85cSo2xr7BoiIMuRg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Paul Schoenlaub

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:24

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

One doesn't have to be an expert on net neutrality to know this is about corporate greed and influence which could change every facet of the way I (and 10's of millions of others) use the internet.

Paul Schoenlaub

97381

=====  
From: Quashon Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Quashon Smith  
5730 Meadowbrook Dr Apt 140  
Fort Worth, Texas 76112

=====  
From: Spencer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Spencer

ADDRESS: San Fran

POST CODE: 94123

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541003e6dfbdb5000cb0b914

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/CtagpstpS0e\\_S0k7-FGUEg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/CtagpstpS0e_S0k7-FGUEg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/CtagpstpS0e\\_S0k7-FGUEg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/CtagpstpS0e_S0k7-FGUEg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Valentina Ivanova  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Valentina Ivanova

ADDRESS: Långgårsgatan 21

POST CODE: 59073

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541001f9b0a7e4000dc633f9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/m-9UDnH\\_RUWUzbex0AlyQA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/m-9UDnH_RUWUzbex0AlyQA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/m-9UDnH\\_RUWUzbex0AlyQA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/m-9UDnH_RUWUzbex0AlyQA/uh1)> .

=====

From: de liamchine

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:25

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: de liamchine

ADDRESS: rue de Perwez 36

POST CODE: 5300 Andenne

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410054cfbecab000b573ab7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/nW-XRqT\\_QR2lTlKbmQ3BrQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/nW-XRqT_QR2lTlKbmQ3BrQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/nW-XRqT\\_QR2lTlKbmQ3BrQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/nW-XRqT_QR2lTlKbmQ3BrQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: ivan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ivan

ADDRESS: 10069 canyonvie ct

POST CODE: 91977

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541005aef35671000eb3be4a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/IJQ0Ya8ZQIyy9gIxS-i-Sg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/IJQ0Ya8ZQIyy9gIxS-i-Sg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Michael David

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:25

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael David

ADDRESS: 721 w Alamo Dr Chandler AZ

POST CODE: 85225

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410040762b49c000e6c57cc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/6RlqiYDQ02vH2I01I0NYA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/6RlqiYDQ02vH2I01I0NYA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Doherty  
7016 Northlake Dr  
Jacksonville, AR 72076

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jeff Haag  
764 Cheyenne Dr  
Auburn, PA 17922  
=====

From: Shane  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shane

ADDRESS: 424 N Belview Ave

POST CODE: 65802

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541005fffc60b21000b5423be

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/N2R8cmBERQub\\_8\\_eQvHBCQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/N2R8cmBERQub_8_eQvHBCQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/N2R8cmBERQub\\_8\\_eQvHBCQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/N2R8cmBERQub_8_eQvHBCQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Thomas SÃ¶rensen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Thomas SÃ¶rensen

ADDRESS: lejonetsgata 351

POST CODE: 13630

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541005b8c60b21000c7f0d1c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/gKVa1Y2OTlmLIfH1HCwaKg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/gKVa1Y2OTlmLIfH1HCwaKg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tony Masarweh  
14667 Loyola St  
Moorpark, CA 93021

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Debra Stacy  
2033 Greenheath Dr  
Florissant, MO 63033

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Diane Humphrey  
25730 Old Rainier Rd  
Rainier, OR 97048

=====  
From: Gustav Petri  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Gustav Petri  
ADDRESS: GÅtögatan 06  
POST CODE: 17230  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541006c5a419f5000d46c244

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/Cai6f-XBSOif931D5Tr\\_Og/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/Cai6f-XBSOif931D5Tr_Og/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Cai6f-XBSOif931D5Tr\\_Og/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Cai6f-XBSOif931D5Tr_Og/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jonas

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:28

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonas

ADDRESS: Manufakturatan 02

POST CODE: 4170

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410059e8b7a18000e7c3f43

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/HKU\\_mAuUSeiIGAlp6XBpFA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/HKU_mAuUSeiIGAlp6XBpFA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/HKU\\_mAuUSeiIGAlp6XBpFA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/HKU_mAuUSeiIGAlp6XBpFA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Michael  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael  
ADDRESS: 86 Tarrton Court West  
POST CODE: 43228  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100625b7cb89000d44c01c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/dWRZCtnrQaaWWRrRBJAYxQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/dWRZCtnrQaaWWRrRBJAYxQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tony Sandström  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tony Sandström

ADDRESS: Solrängsgatan 14A

POST CODE: 80276

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100584a419f5000cf4f084

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/CyLwOco-TUuMgz551iuJ4A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/CyLwOco-TUuMgz551iuJ4A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Anthony  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Anthony  
ADDRESS: 11932 Cometa Ave  
POST CODE: 91331  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541005c162b49c000cd1ea66

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/sgKPxI9eQxiuNI73-GIr4Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/sgKPxI9eQxiuNI73-GIr4Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ã\201sgeir SÃ¶lvi SÃ¶lvason  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:29  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ã\201sgeir SÃ¶lvi SÃ¶lvason  
 ADDRESS: MiÃ°holt 08  
 POST CODE: 220  
 COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100608b7cb89000e2b24f2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/DdleEinaSQ2PGLL6cBAq9A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/DdleEinaSQ2PGLL6cBAq9A/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:29

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Mata  
2108 Dutton Ave  
Waco, TX 76706

=====  
From: Jon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jon

ADDRESS: California

POST CODE: 90170

COUNTRY: SG

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541005dea26879000bdcadad

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/cLQDNprcS0SowRiXoHQmLA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/cLQDNprcS0SowRiXoHQmLA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:29

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Zoraida Romero

5601 Kennedy Blvd E Apt 25b

West New York, NJ 07093

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Harvey Smith  
108 Campbellsville Pike  
Ethridge, TN 38456

=====  
From: Clément Falda  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Clément Falda

ADDRESS: 24 rue Normandie Niemen

POST CODE: 38130

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541007cf15ab86000e5e9f84

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/FCiuMlxNqlafbOj\\_6ymg7A/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/FCiuMlxNqlafbOj_6ymg7A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/FCiuMlxNqlafbOj\\_6ymg7A/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/FCiuMlxNqlafbOj_6ymg7A/uh1)> .

=====

From: DAVID HALL  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: DAVID HALL

ADDRESS: 05 THE PASTURES, RAMPTON, RETFORD, UK

POST CODE: DN22 0TD

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410060a1e0849000cdb2341

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/35tcrvetRhS0eB3F39-ZIA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/35tcrvetRhS0eB3F39-ZIA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Frank Mesaros  
738 Georgian Dr  
Columbus, OH 43228

=====  
From: Jesse Lerud  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesse Lerud  
ADDRESS: 8300 Sheridan Blvd  
POST CODE: 80003  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410072862b49c000e6c57da

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/0rUMnwiGSX2WwGdVBgnIKw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/0rUMnwiGSX2WwGdVBgnIKw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Joel Orpana  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joel Orpana

ADDRESS: Takametsäntie

POST CODE: 00620

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410082cdfbdb5000cb0b932

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/f15\\_RT\\_BQN6AduzF9QmF7w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/f15_RT_BQN6AduzF9QmF7w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/f15\\_RT\\_BQN6AduzF9QmF7w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/f15_RT_BQN6AduzF9QmF7w/uh1)> .

=====  
From: kim lonnqvist  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: kim lonnqvist

ADDRESS: pitkaniemi

POST CODE: 33380

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100632f35671000d553261

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/-i7vrv4AT3WgVcuIuuwiTw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/-i7vrv4AT3WgVcuIuuwiTw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Marco  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marco

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 46395

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410087a8b7a18000b93cae2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/zq7-T6lEQVS211Cm5LFY-w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/zq7-T6lEQVS211Cm5LFY-w/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Penny Whitman  
152 Houseman St  
Mayfield, NY 12117

=====

From: Selene Vega  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Selene Vega

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 1691

POST CODE: 95061

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100747a26879000e0b0c29

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/p7-4p4rAQn-IaJ97t1lBwQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/p7-4p4rAQn-IaJ97t1lBwQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: t. hearst  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: t. hearst

ADDRESS: 159 forest leesville la.

POST CODE: 71446

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410077615ab86000d44fc2f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/d8NtdlEaRKGVG0J1HWk58w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/d8NtdlEaRKGVG0J1HWk58w/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Angie Watkins  
Po Box 358  
Fairhope, AL 36533

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:31  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Donald Guntner  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: PO Box 849 Matawan NJ  
Zip: 07747

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$0.1 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim, whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Janie Sullivan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Janie Sullivan

ADDRESS: 2173 Camino Del Este, San Diego,ca

POST CODE: 92108

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410074ea26879000decadc8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/22ZL86f-Sq23RJ6Mum0pFA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/22ZL86f-Sq23RJ6Mum0pFA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Fowler  
88 W 600 N  
Kaysville, UT 84037

=====  
From: ????  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ????

ADDRESS: ?????02-02-06?????6F

POST CODE: ?106-0032

COUNTRY: JP

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100875fbecab000b573ac6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/QD1ALY47QGCFxi-UfcS24Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/QD1ALY47QGCFxi-UfcS24Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:32  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Nellie Virginia Casey  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 265 Acoma Blvd N  
Zip: 86403

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$0.1 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II's any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Kelsey Bergeson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelsey Bergeson

ADDRESS: 7322 Heinz PL

POST CODE: Lynnwood, WA 98036

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541009498b7a18000ddd527b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/kwX22wUDTFqa6k\\_xAljMgQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/kwX22wUDTFqa6k_xAljMgQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/kwX22wUDTFqa6k\\_xAljMgQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/kwX22wUDTFqa6k_xAljMgQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jeff Brandenburg  
4211 Crows Landing Rd  
Modesto, CA 95358

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Tammaro  
153 Kathleen Dr Apt 01  
New Brighton, PA 15066

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Debby Calebaugh  
336 Dubois Rd  
Annapolis, MD 21401

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Delores Craig  
1402 Wentbridge Rd  
Richmond, VA 23227

=====

From: G????? ?a?µa?p?????  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: G????? ?a?µa?p?????

ADDRESS: Spiridonos 12

POST CODE: 18233

COUNTRY: GR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100a19003331000bc7c25b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/keJgEJgORcyD0cisHOFysA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/keJgEJgORcyD0cisHOFysA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Lukasz

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:33

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lukasz

ADDRESS: l-duszek.blogger.com

POST CODE: 09-410

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541008fc003331000d00953a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/ETvAbTwQS7uj1kSTTnoCeg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/ETvAbTwQS7uj1kSTTnoCeg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: michelle edmonds  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: michelle edmonds

ADDRESS: 932 Oakland ave

POST CODE: 08016

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541009e7f35671000eb3be66

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/d3fRDd0KQGmf591JEKxu3Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/d3fRDd0KQGmf591JEKxu3Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Thomas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas  
ADDRESS: 1088 Argyle Street  
POST CODE: G3 8LY  
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100a418b7a18000e7c3f61

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/EflKR8PQQViDU4nmiexA2Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/EflKR8PQQViDU4nmiexA2Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Wendetta Watson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wendetta Watson  
ADDRESS: 2366 Jasper St SE #03  
POST CODE: 20020  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541008df15ab86000e5e9f91

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/oQgmngfJQRmAj\\_9U1-ANw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/oQgmngfJQRmAj_9U1-ANw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/oQgmngfJQRmAj\\_9U1-ANw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/oQgmngfJQRmAj_9U1-ANw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:33

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Robinson  
2257 Rose Ave  
Hemet, CA 92545

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brent Popham  
386 E Ashtabula St Apt 104  
Pasadena, CA 91104

=====  
From: Donald Raney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:34  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for

government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Donald Raney  
6501 Germantown Rd Lot 300  
Middletown, Ohio 45042

=====  
From: Mary Taylor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:34  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Mary Taylor

08879

=====  
From: Simon Rännqvist  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simon Rännqvist

ADDRESS: De la Gardiegatan 25 A 28

POST CODE: FI-68600

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100a5af1110a000e42e4bc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/P6hszc3bRtO5K3\\_z5Z7Bzw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/P6hszc3bRtO5K3_z5Z7Bzw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/P6hszc3bRtO5K3\\_z5Z7Bzw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/P6hszc3bRtO5K3_z5Z7Bzw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years -- that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Warren Craddock  
11109 Maiden Dr  
Bowie, MD 20720

=====  
From: Aaron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Aaron  
ADDRESS: 351 south worthington west memphsi arkansas  
POST CODE: 72301  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100a87a419f5000cf4f094

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/VKDHeoZ5QPWLonbsWbVoA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/VKDHeoZ5QPWLonbsWbVoA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:35

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers & just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Antonio Arce  
29923 Abelia Rd  
Canyon Cntry, CA 91387

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dan Fiedler  
850 Alvina St Se  
Salem, OR 97306

=====  
From: Emre  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emre

ADDRESS: Eymir mah. TOKI Konutlati CK-03 No:03 GÃ¶lbası Ankara Turkey

POST CODE: 06830

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100b53b0a7e4000c164f22

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>
- <[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/qISbH9mAThyY\\_ERP\\_mIbtg/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/qISbH9mAThyY_ERP_mIbtg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/qISbH9mAThyY\\_ERP\\_mIbtg/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/qISbH9mAThyY_ERP_mIbtg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jory

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jory

ADDRESS: 4840b Sunset Terrace

POST CODE: 95628

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100a80a26879000decadd0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/Yvk3U3rVRdmPlD26vHkVAw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/Yvk3U3rVRdmPlD26vHkVAw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Martha Simoni  
23229 Califa St  
Woodland Hls, CA 91367

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to

increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Abraham Schrump  
3003 Wausau Rd  
Rhineland, WI 54501

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Debra Ragsdale  
429 Kelley Rd  
Bastrop, TX 78602

=====  
From: François van der Rest  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: François van der Rest  
ADDRESS: Belgium (EU)  
POST CODE: 4607  
COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100bc41e0849000cdb2360

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/otZukgrbQjaLD9Ose-CE-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/otZukgrbQjaLD9Ose-CE-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marc  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marc

ADDRESS: 3951 N 56th hollywood fl

POST CODE: 33028

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541009b6a419f5000e2fb541

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/F96n3EQZQ0KWfKp0KFLKfw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/F96n3EQZQ0KWfKp0KFLKfw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Michael Werner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Michael Werner  
ADDRESS: Am Lagerberg 19  
POST CODE: D-27432 Bremervöörde  
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100bc8f35671000d553271

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/pih3nTysTMe3YihhvHUCEA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/pih3nTysTMe3YihhvHUCEA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Valdimar Á\236Á³r SkarphÁ°insson

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:36

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Valdimar Á\236Á³r SkarphÁ°insson

ADDRESS: Á\2011fhÁ³lfsvegur 43

POST CODE: 200

COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100a76f1110a000e42e4bd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/78GXYyTQTWONyGNTraPB\\_w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/78GXYyTQTWONyGNTraPB_w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/78GXYyTQTWONyGNTraPB\\_w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/78GXYyTQTWONyGNTraPB_w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Andreas Oxenvad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andreas Oxenvad

ADDRESS: rÅ, rhomsgade 24 st

POST CODE: 1352 KÅ, benhavn, Denmark

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100c7df35671000d55327a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/GvUxD0zvQZa55G4ePF0FJQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/GvUxD0zvQZa55G4ePF0FJQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Anna Schmidt Meum  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:37

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anna Schmidt Meum

ADDRESS: NÄ|ringen 48, 02.01

POST CODE: 8240

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100af1b0a7e4000e468d3b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/dc8qowumSiW-Z-v\\_Z46lrg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/dc8qowumSiW-Z-v_Z46lrg/o.gif)>  
If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/dc8qowumSiW-Z-v\\_Z46lrg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/dc8qowumSiW-Z-v_Z46lrg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Barbara Sage  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:37  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Barbara Sage

Springfield, Missouri 65804

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would

justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dawn Brooks  
250 Chapel Hill Rd Trlr 37  
Abilene, TX 79605

=====  
From: Leon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leon

ADDRESS: Australia

POST CODE: 3201

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100c04b7cb89000cb2a870

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/CyfJAo0YQc-6p0mwQA-CxQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/CyfJAo0YQc-6p0mwQA-CxQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should

continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Bobbie Lindenberger  
1270 Lovers Lane Rd  
Norwalk, OH 44857

=====  
From: Marijn Kurik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Marijn Kurik

ADDRESS: Pri Synagge 04 Nitra Slovakia

POST CODE: 94901

COUNTRY: SK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100c8815ab86000e5e9fa3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/YG7XCML7RPSKL7azj2wMEA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/YG7XCML7RPSKL7azj2wMEA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:37

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rachel Locke  
7241 Otis Ct  
Arvada, CO 80003

=====

From: cax  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: cax

ADDRESS: nop

POST CODE: nop

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100cbca26879000ca292f5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/nGBfiRTTR0WctvAWoor-IA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/nGBfiRTTR0WctvAWoor-IA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Saturnino Borromeo  
11191 Crater Dr  
San Diego, CA 92126

=====  
From: Jesper Martinsen FÃ¸risdahl  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesper Martinsen FÃ¸risdahl  
ADDRESS: KÃ¸enveien 03  
POST CODE: 1890  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100c88b7cb89000b3ce3b3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/Ke4GFPHGQbufY0u\\_Xs7bEw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/Ke4GFPHGQbufY0u_Xs7bEw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/Ke4GFPHGQbufY0u\\_Xs7bEw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/Ke4GFPHGQbufY0u_Xs7bEw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Mary Ann Meighan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mary Ann Meighan

ADDRESS: 1541 Elm Street

POST CODE: Denver, Colorado 80220

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100ce1c60b21000c7f0d42

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/uIkC4eZ7SECIBTeVuFqKtA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/uIkC4eZ7SECIBTeVuFqKtA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jobert Solima  
1728 Ashford St  
Honolulu, HI 96819

=====  
From: francisco marchan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: francisco marchan  
ADDRESS: 127 ne cleveland ave  
POST CODE: 97702

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100ce499efdf000c344ead

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/S-NSCvrfRPC4Kc-vP9hBOg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/S-NSCvrfRPC4Kc-vP9hBOg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jaime Gonzalez Garcia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jaime Gonzalez Garcia

ADDRESS: Ã\205dalagatan 4B

POST CODE: 582 26

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100cccdfdb5000d11e6a1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/4dl10kCYQCqUgQXsEqK54Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/4dl10kCYQCqUgQXsEqK54Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jesper Theis Hansen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesper Theis Hansen

ADDRESS: GrÃ, nnehaven 24

POST CODE: 3500

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100d2bb7cb89000b3ce3b6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/-IeiL9LZSYu6qHB6O\\_kpnQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/-IeiL9LZSYu6qHB6O_kpnQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/-IeiL9LZSYu6qHB6O\\_kpnQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/-IeiL9LZSYu6qHB6O_kpnQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:39

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers & just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kim Crist

6720 S D St

Tacoma, WA 98408

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Stephanie Cooper  
10200 Hall Rd  
Cedar Rapids, IA 52411

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Liz Bell  
1103 W Atoka Ave  
Sulphur, OK 73086

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rusty Banner  
1219 Oak Path  
San Antonio, TX 78258

=====  
From: Hhfyr Jjuuf  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly

socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Hhfyr Jjuuf  
8254 Tauromee Ave  
Kansas City, Kansas 66112

=====  
From: James Burrell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Burrell  
5596 S 4225 W  
Roy, Utah 84067

=====  
From: Nicke Johansson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:40

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicke Johansson

ADDRESS: AllÃ©vÃ©gen 05

POST CODE: 77468

COUNTRY: JP

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100d8299efdf000c344eb3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/isvSWl5lRByd9xVU1ldSgw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/isvSWl5lRByd9xVU1ldSgw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Robert Mahon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert Mahon  
1723 W 10th St  
Anderson, Indiana 46016

=====  
From: Robert S. Tinnon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:40  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

It's a no brainer!

Robert S. Tinnon

91302

=====  
From: Tamara Arola  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tamara Arola

ADDRESS: 209 6th St south Virginia mn

POST CODE: 55792

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100d72dfbdb5000d11e6a6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/wxkPHBpkQs288nJ14C5qvA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/wxkPHBpkQs288nJ14C5qvA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:41

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Harold Saburn  
10160 Indian Mound Rd  
Fort Worth, TX 76108

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:41

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Brundidgeharvey  
602 Kates Trace Cir Apt F  
Newport News, VA 23608

=====  
From: Sinclair Janelle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:41  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sinclair Janelle  
381 Asilomar Blvd  
Pacific Grove, California 93950

=====

From: Beverly Heath  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Beverly Heath  
4748 Reading Rd Apt 04  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45237

=====  
From: Carey Kirk  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carey Kirk

ADDRESS: 22068 W. Pima St

POST CODE: 85326

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100df5b7cb89000cb2a876

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/GEIx2qQkRL2yqNs-pfIzzA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/GEIx2qQkRL2yqNs-pfIzzA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Corey Vangundy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Corey Vangundy  
1030 Hemlock St  
Celina, Ohio 45822

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:42  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Ed Rawles  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 61 Juniper Dr. E.  
Zip: 33838

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it

in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Jeppe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeppe

ADDRESS: vÃ|gtergade 1a 11

POST CODE: 6760

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100ebdc60b21000df15cc6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/IGXUXKRBT0-\\_dl2P2av90g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/IGXUXKRBT0-_dl2P2av90g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/IGXUXKRBT0-\\_dl2P2av90g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/IGXUXKRBT0-_dl2P2av90g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Mika-CÃ©line Shiozawa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mika-CÃ©line Shiozawa

ADDRESS: 203 Windsor Court

POST CODE: 55112

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100dddc60b21000df15cba

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/EVAiG6qkTtOPQietF\\_2zEg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/EVAiG6qkTtOPQietF_2zEg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/EVAiG6qkTtOPQietF\\_2zEg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/EVAiG6qkTtOPQietF_2zEg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Nermin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nermin

ADDRESS: Yapi Kredi Bankacilik Ussu Akse Mah. Rahmi Dibek Cad. 207ayirova/Kocaeli

POST CODE: 41420

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100da41e0849000cdb2371

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/BQ7vJBRqQ4aQ7p2qW-0Auw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/BQ7vJBRqQ4aQ7p2qW-0Auw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Theo ter Horst  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Theo ter Horst  
ADDRESS: Haanderik 23  
POST CODE: NL3401 EN IJsselstein  
COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100e03f35671000eb3be7f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/wBx5VIOzSb-gro8Qi7lkww/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/wBx5VIOzSb-gro8Qi7lkww/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tuan Nguyen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tuan Nguyen  
ADDRESS: HelgerÃ, dgata 33  
POST CODE: 1515 Moss  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100dc0a419f5000d46c268

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/EHsu5vRySRmzTH87H-xVvA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/EHsu5vRySRmzTH87H-xVvA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:42

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Viki Miller  
Po Box 642  
Janesville, CA 96114

=====  
From: Archie Anderson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Archie Anderson  
1209 Old Landfill Rd  
Defuniak Springs, Florida 32433

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Marbut  
600 N 4th Ave  
Kelso, WA 98626

=====  
From: Dulce Arroyo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dulce Arroyo  
4711 Karling Pl  
Palmdale, California 93552

=====  
From: Heather Hilbert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:43

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Heather Hilbert  
102 8th St  
Dwight, Kansas 66849

=====  
From: Joe Bennett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joe Bennett  
112 Dobie Blvd  
Cibolo, Texas 78108

=====  
From: Joseph Valentino  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:43  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Joseph Valentino

98042

=====  
From: Mukul Khurana  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Mukul Khurana

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 2773

POST CODE: La Mesa, CA 91943

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100fa199efdf000c344ec0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/FUuqIExyTnm5wVPuXnn8uw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/FUuqIExyTnm5wVPuXnn8uw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:43

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the

other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Terresa Brewer  
912 Turtle Creek Dr  
Rogers, AR 72756

=====  
From: Todd  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Todd

ADDRESS: Beverly Hills, CA

POST CODE: 90210

COUNTRY: IN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100efab0a7e4000e468d4e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/99xnWpIrTPSu6c2Q1fw8kQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/99xnWpIrTPSu6c2Q1fw8kQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Chandra Bingaman  
1161 Cherry Oak Ln  
Manteca, CA 95336

=====  
From: Astra Jade  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Astra Jade  
1402 Houston St  
Austin, Texas 78756

=====  
From: Bruce Basford  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political

agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bruce Basford  
4457 Riverbend Rd  
Marianna, Florida 32448

=====  
From: Denise Linn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Denise Linn  
5505 Mildred Ave  
Alexandria, Louisiana 71301

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:44  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cassandra Smith  
218 Chuck Dr  
Hartsville, SC 29550

-----  
From: Ole Olesen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Ole Olesen  
ADDRESS: Schferivej  
POST CODE: 7400  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100efd8b7a18000b93cae9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/pES5gYvmTiiVkJ-fUC2gWg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/pES5gYvmTiiVkJ-fUC2gWg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Simon Seidl  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simon Seidl  
ADDRESS: HasenbergstraÃ\237e 25  
POST CODE: 70178

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100f9b003331000c6107b6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/05nUbD8jSrCc7GQcTx0xeQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/05nUbD8jSrCc7GQcTx0xeQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:44  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jacob Colmenero  
346 Clifford St  
Crp Christi, TX 78404

=====  
From: Allenea Spicer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:45  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers - just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of

internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Allenea Spicer  
250 Dry Bread Rd  
Jackson, Kentucky 41339

=====  
From: Charles Ladue  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:45  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Charles Ladue  
2411 Quincy St  
Joplin, Missouri 64801

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:45  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying

for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Avis  
12271 Eagle Heart Dr  
El Paso, TX 79936

=====

From: Leif  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leif

ADDRESS: Mariebergsvägen 12, KARLSKRONA

POST CODE: S 37143

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100fa1dac595000d1ea68f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/Fb7kylLxTAYIUcg\\_cdFaHA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/Fb7kylLxTAYIUcg_cdFaHA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/Fb7kylLxTAYIUcg\\_cdFaHA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/Fb7kylLxTAYIUcg_cdFaHA/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:45

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carlton Gilliam  
970 Stoney Creek Ln  
Austell, GA 30168

=====  
From: AndrÃ©  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: AndrÃ©

ADDRESS: 10 b rue moussy

POST CODE: 75004

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100fc6a26879000e0b0c5f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/SkmdWnCPToei8paNIP6mhg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/SkmdWnCPToei8paNIP6mhg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Donna Taylor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Donna Taylor

ADDRESS: 17 Londonderry Sq

POST CODE: 29033

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101019f11110a000e42e4e1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/sVXI-zuQTLcCkUrCIF1ckQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/sVXI-zuQTLcCkUrCIF1ckQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Greger Johansson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Greger Johansson  
ADDRESS: NÅktergalsvÅgen 06  
POST CODE: 274 33  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410102ffbecab000b573aed

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/hUqSRLDIQ2GvGIQMfS5pbg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/hUqSRLDIQ2GvGIQMfS5pbg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:46

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Phillip Hogans  
772 Wrights Creek Rd  
Caryville, FL 32427

=====  
From: Kathi Holloway  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:46  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kathi Holloway  
6413 W 143rd Ter  
Overland Park, Kansas 66223

=====

From: Natalie Knost  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:46  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Natalie Knost  
472 Camel Cir  
Cocoa, Florida 32927

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years - that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Helgeson

7519 E Torrey Point Cir  
Mesa, AZ 85207

=====  
From: Sandra Fairbourn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:46  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sandra Fairbourn  
1008 Arcturus Dr  
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80905

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers - just in case they might do something wrong

someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ernest Burtzell  
15471 W Mescal St  
Surprise, AZ 85379

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Leonard Wright  
5168 Reno St  
Phila, PA 19139

=====  
From: LL  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: LL

ADDRESS: Irvine

POST CODE: 92620

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101040b7cb89000d44c049

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/0DsZfrEeRRag2cF8jUskXQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/0DsZfrEeRRag2cF8jUskXQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Noelle Whitworth  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Noelle Whitworth  
1822 Heber St  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15210

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Treina Shivers  
4428 Westover Dr  
Tallahassee, FL 32303

=====  
From: Tonya Gainey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:47  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tonya Gainey  
4216 Estaban Ct  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89110

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Moffatt  
828 E Washington St  
Greenville, MI 48838

=====  
From: Gary Renton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gary Renton  
250 NE TOMAHAWK Is. Dr.  
Portland, Oregon xxxx@xxxx.xxx

=====  
From: kyle sutliff  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: kyle sutliff  
ADDRESS: 8142 e palm lane  
POST CODE: 85257

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541010b862b49c000e6c57f2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/mLGBTK0UTVWpD5ijZykyAg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/mLGBTK0UTVWpD5ijZykyAg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Grady Stiles  
5306 N Rome Ave  
Tampa, FL 33603

=====  
From: redmond  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: redmond

ADDRESS: valley village

POST CODE: 91607

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100ff199efdf000d8051eb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/zmnFwr5jSAep2Hz8HPiURg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/zmnFwr5jSAep2Hz8HPiURg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Alicia Hays

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:49

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable

will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Alicia Hays  
10715 County Sights  
San Antonio, Texas 78245

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:49  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Karen Ann Alford  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1129 W. Valley Rd McCall, Idaho  
Zip: 83638

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jay Farland  
10253 S 2nd Ave  
Inglewood, CA 90303

=====

From: Landon Wilson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:49  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I would like to keep the internet a neutral ground for all parties involved. Corporations and big money has its hands in so much of our culture, I don't want it too have its hands on the internet, too. The web is for everyone, big or small, rich or poor. Please keep it that way.

Thank you.

Landon Wilson

06516

=====  
From: Tessie Powell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tessie Powell  
102 Ferrell St  
Lucama, North Carolina 27851

=====  
From: Ashley Stoddard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ashley Stoddard

ADDRESS: 3691 Calumet Street, Philadelphia, PA

POST CODE: 19129

COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101106dac595000d1ea696

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/wTEZTYcnT36aFxAjcGAXLw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/wTEZTYcnT36aFxAjcGAXLw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Enhancer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Enhancer  
ADDRESS: 14 rue de l'Ã©rable  
POST CODE: 68260  
COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410115315ab86000e5e9fc0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/N7bmfDOXQrie9Ufxip9-\\_w/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/N7bmfDOXQrie9Ufxip9-_w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/N7bmfDOXQrie9Ufxip9-\\_w/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/N7bmfDOXQrie9Ufxip9-_w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Heidi Pankow  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Heidi Pankow  
225 Ponderosa Cir  
Parachute, Colorado 81635

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jacqueline Lewis  
3415 Francis St  
Petersburg, VA 23805

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Benner  
15746 Ute Rd  
Apple Valley, CA 92307

=====  
From: Krista Vanzuiden  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Krista Vanzuiden  
2005 Campus St  
Cedar Falls, Iowa 50613

=====  
From: Marc Queralt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marc Queralt

ADDRESS: Empordã 27

POST CODE: E-08187

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410106ea419f5000e2fb56a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/4jJh2aycRUiHhszFvJkKfg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/4jJh2aycRUiHhszFvJkKfg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge

you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Bartley  
3333 Oak Tree Trl  
Matthews, NC 28105

=====  
From: Sergej Semibratov  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sergej Semibratov  
ADDRESS: Celovška cesta 263  
POST CODE: 1000  
COUNTRY: SI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410113ddac595000dlea698

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/3o\\_vLBdaS5mCp4JeWWbnqQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/3o_vLBdaS5mCp4JeWWbnqQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/3o\\_vLBdaS5mCp4JeWWbnqQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/3o_vLBdaS5mCp4JeWWbnqQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Tomasine Bullard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option, because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tomasine Bullard  
84 Commonwealth Ave Apt 01  
Boston, Massachusetts 02116

=====  
From: Brett Temple  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brett Temple  
3926 Levi Sloan Rd  
Victoria, Texas 77904

=====  
From: Demetrice James  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Demetrice James  
425 Jefferson St  
Laurel, Mississippi 39440

=====  
From: Nikki Joe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nikki Joe  
301 White St Apt 8D

Key West, Florida 33040

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Roland Payne  
1029 Ne Beaumont Ln  
Bremerton, WA 98311

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tina Bellew  
Po Box 2785  
Clewiston, FL 33440

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Georgene Hockey  
1104 Hummingbird Dr  
Desoto, TX 75115

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:52  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Bernadette Pole  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 4084 bullard ave  
Zip: 99506

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they

wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have "just enough Title II" any more than you can be "slightly pregnant."

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Clarissa Gardenhire  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Clarissa Gardenhire  
2211 SE Maryland Ave  
Topeka, Kansas 66605

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx-express.com  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
K.gregory Reihing  
210 Jennings Rd  
Rossford, OH 43460

=====  
From: Janine Trier  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling

new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Janine Trier  
1720 Nebraska Ave E  
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55106

=====  
From: John Tingle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:52  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

We already have Citizens United that is essentially selling our elections to wealthy corporations and individuals. We do not need to allow these types of folks and companies to dominate the internet. Please maintain net neutrality.

John Tingle

39193

=====  
From: Amy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amy

ADDRESS: 1808 E Union Unit C

POST CODE: 98122

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410102fb0a7e4000e468d57

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Ayip\\_KSVRYWqo1-TCZakAA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Ayip_KSVRYWqo1-TCZakAA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Ayip\\_KSVRYWqo1-TCZakAA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Ayip_KSVRYWqo1-TCZakAA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Brian Wooldridge  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brian Wooldridge  
324 Thomas St  
New Albany, Indiana 47150

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:53  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jeremy Turpitt  
5026 Tunson Rd  
Modesto, CA 95356

=====  
From: Kari Piel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kari Piel  
629 Clara Ave  
Sheboygan, Wisconsin 53081

=====  
From: Martin Vaagan Sjøholt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin Vaagan Sjøholt

ADDRESS: Stokkebakkan

POST CODE: 7206

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541011398b7a18000c5744a0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/gVhJkKQSQtOQoxr9JlyuhQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/gVhJkKQSQtOQoxr9JlyuhQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Van Swisher  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Van Swisher  
1022 W Main St  
Grafton, West Virginia 26354

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Samantha Daws  
504 Blacksmith Ln  
Newark, DE 19702

=====  
From: eline havner's  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: eline havner's

ADDRESS: j.riberget 12

POST CODE: 6520

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541011ddf1110a000e42e4e7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/bf939dJVTAqEODyEXI8Fw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/bf939dJVTAqEODyEXI8Fw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Erik Kubica

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erik Kubica

ADDRESS: c.d. 646, MuÅ¾la, Slovak Republic

POST CODE: 9432

COUNTRY: SK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541012651e0849000ec71927

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/R8CdBR9VQNGNne2cSkPhUQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/R8CdBR9VQNGNne2cSkPhUQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Gerardo Pena  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gerardo Pena

ADDRESS: W. Hollywood

POST CODE: 90046

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101154b7cb89000e2b250f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/9G0Vk8duSuqRsLeY43\\_jLQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/9G0Vk8duSuqRsLeY43_jLQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/9G0Vk8duSuqRsLeY43\\_jLQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/9G0Vk8duSuqRsLeY43_jLQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Kolja

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kolja

ADDRESS: Berlin

POST CODE: 13158

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101108f35671000d55328d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/bdFVwtGiSWqbWORGdHrJWg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/bdFVwtGiSWqbWORGdHrJWg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Mattias Geibel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mattias Geibel

ADDRESS: Altenbraker StraÃ\237e 01

POST CODE: 12053

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54100d43c60b21000df15cb4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/ZOS1J6UIR\\_KGDx\\_rsyRgcQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/ZOS1J6UIR_KGDx_rsyRgcQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/ZOS1J6UIR\\_KGDx\\_rsyRgcQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/ZOS1J6UIR_KGDx_rsyRgcQ/uh1)> .

=====  
 From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54  
 Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: John P Martin  
 Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Address: 4784 W 31st Pl.  
 Zip: 85364

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading

their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Nagy Levente  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nagy Levente

ADDRESS: Szentendre, Hungary, VasÃ°ti villasor 85/B

POST CODE: 2000

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410118e1e0849000ec71923

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/eFIAR1vGQgeWSVkB48EIw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/eFIAR1vGQgeWSVkB48EIw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Niklas SÃ,gaard Pedersen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Niklas SÃ,gaard Pedersen  
ADDRESS: Ã\230stergade 03, 01 sal.  
POST CODE: 7860  
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541011a562b49c000e6c57f5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/HQDCRR4RTyWfzwWTVkPhgw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/HQDCRR4RTyWfzwWTVkPhgw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that

is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Fa'jaun Fairrow  
3375 Mohican St  
Baton Rouge, LA 70805

=====  
From: Philip Giles  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Philip Giles

ADDRESS: 33 Brockville Rd dunedin New Zealand

POST CODE: 9011

COUNTRY: NZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410123915ab86000e5e9fc9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/VWOy1StbReKfv\\_dv6GLGBQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/VWOy1StbReKfv_dv6GLGBQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/VWOy1StbReKfv\\_dv6GLGBQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/VWOy1StbReKfv_dv6GLGBQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Bobby Chang  
645 S Beach Blvd  
Anaheim, CA 92804

=====  
From: Crystal Scaramella  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Crystal Scaramella  
704 3rd St  
Centralia, Kansas 66415

=====  
From: Eric Kähler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Kähler  
ADDRESS: 21 Bathurst Road OCONNELL NSW  
POST CODE: 2795  
COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541011c81e0849000ec71924

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/EhoFyV64STqH4SPmYbEQfA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/EhoFyV64STqH4SPmYbEQfA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Francis Roberts  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Francis Roberts

ADDRESS: 2650 Jamacha Rd Suite 147 PMB 54

POST CODE: 92019-4319

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101052b0a7e4000e468d58

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/RgpgzAWTTb6qc\\_Emsit7Pg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/RgpgzAWTTb6qc_Emsit7Pg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/RgpgzAWTTb6qc\\_Emsit7Pg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/RgpgzAWTTb6qc_Emsit7Pg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Ganna weil Weil  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Ganna weil Weil  
98070

=====  
From: Janice Swearngen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Janice Swearingen  
6644 Green Meadows Ln  
Morrow, Georgia 30260

=====  
From: Katya Sander  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katya Sander

ADDRESS: KÃ¶penickerstr.

POST CODE: 10997

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410127862b49c000cdleaa8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/dGGrTv3QScW8KGNxUMu0eg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/dGGrTv3QScW8KGNxUMu0eg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nick Nicholson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nick Nicholson  
18251 County Road 2529  
Eustace, Texas 75124

=====

From: niki  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: niki

ADDRESS: 1938 87th ave oakland ca

POST CODE: 94621

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541012cda419f5000d46c283

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/oE2WTwH1SmagXhXBtvM3bA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/oE2WTwH1SmagXhXBtvM3bA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Charles Lunsford  
240 Fowler Rd  
Ash Flat, AR 72513

=====  
From: Sigurd Bingen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sigurd Bingen

ADDRESS: Ridehusgata 19

POST CODE: Norway, Fredrikstad1606

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101334dac595000cde59cf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/R9vDp7rYTcCPAPSuu2SXIw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/R9vDp7rYTcCPAPSuu2SXIw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Arne Andersson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Arne Andersson

ADDRESS: skÅrholmen

POST CODE: 12743

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541013a6f1110a000e42e4f2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/YwwVfTiCTSyJy6sFDeg6pQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/YwwVfTiCTSyJy6sFDeg6pQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Erin Banwell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erin Banwell  
ADDRESS: 1340 Arcadian Avenue  
POST CODE: 95926  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541013091e0849000cdb2393

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/ELrnaYh2SIqSng9fvchLAQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/ELrnaYh2SIqSng9fvchLAQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:56

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Burnside  
1712 E Wildcat Ln  
Moses Lake, WA 98837

=====  
From: Johan Danforth  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Johan Danforth

ADDRESS: HÅggvÅgen 03

POST CODE: 14652 Tullinge, Sweden

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541012e0f1110a000e42e4ee

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/Be95EV4BSwSgpxm1NlVEFQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Be95EV4BSwSgpxm1NlVEFQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nicklas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicklas  
ADDRESS: RÃ¥sundavÃ¶gen 110  
POST CODE: 16950  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101369b7cb89000d44c055

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/Q\\_8NeIfAT76w3tMvdgJPVg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/Q_8NeIfAT76w3tMvdgJPVg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/Q\\_8NeIfAT76w3tMvdgJPVg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/Q_8NeIfAT76w3tMvdgJPVg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Hall  
812 Lexington Ln  
Papillion, NE 68046

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kristi Grooms  
371 Plyleys Ln Apt 109  
Chillicothe, OH 45601

=====  
From: Pam  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pam

ADDRESS: 5325 Argos St

POST CODE: 91301

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541013ddc60b21000c7f0d5f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/bKj8-Z4VQMGRmDM7LT0fwA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/bKj8-Z4VQMGRmDM7LT0fwA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Patrick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patrick

ADDRESS: 1033 Red Oak Place, Camarillo, CA

POST CODE: 93010

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101393dac595000ea6fe70

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/K04Ewld3SwwWg2zP48uxjA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/K04Ewld3SwwWg2zP48uxjA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:57

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Leslie Shacklette  
32 Alpine St  
Carbondale, CO 81623

=====

From: Terrika Baker

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:57

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Terrika Baker  
6760 Duval Ave  
West Palm Beach, Florida 33411

=====  
From: Alex  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex

ADDRESS: 525 Sierra Vista Ave

POST CODE: 91107

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410142999efdf000c344ed8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/1pOpktCoS1awvDEOjYQy7w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/1pOpktCoS1awvDEOjYQy7w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Cesar

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:58

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cesar

ADDRESS: Av sanz Caracas

POST CODE: 1070

COUNTRY: VE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541013bfc60b21000e117d83

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/2sFWSNKvSsOR01b4xYLcSg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/2sFWSNKvSsOR01b4xYLcSg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Michael Russitano  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Russitano

ADDRESS: 260 South Bouquet Street

POST CODE: 15213

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541013eda26879000bdcadd1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/i0ecNdpUQJSFfpikH-U-Aw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/i0ecNdpUQJSFfpikH-U-Aw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ramona Brox  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ramona Brox  
ADDRESS: Tromsø, Norway  
POST CODE: 9012  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541012c0f1110a000e42e4ec

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/4tArTV\\_-RFWsDpzDYhA8nA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/4tArTV_-RFWsDpzDYhA8nA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/4tArTV\\_-RFWsDpzDYhA8nA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/4tArTV_-RFWsDpzDYhA8nA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Schulz Tim  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Schulz Tim

ADDRESS: Hollandstr. 11

POST CODE: 80805 Munich / Germany

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541013cef1110a000e42e4f3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/wnunB4kOT26IleeBk0heoQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/wnunB4kOT26IleeBk0heoQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Andrade  
23421 Caminito Telmo  
Laguna Hills, CA 92653

=====  
From: Anna Peksa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Anna Peksa  
ADDRESS: Wroclaw  
POST CODE: 54-705  
COUNTRY: PL  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410144efbecab000e3ea675

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/jRcXyzD2S7KYIE5\\_1rGrfw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/jRcXyzD2S7KYIE5_1rGrfw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/jRcXyzD2S7KYIE5\\_1rGrfw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/jRcXyzD2S7KYIE5_1rGrfw/uh1)> .

=====

From: David  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David  
ADDRESS: 4351 Nittany valley drive  
POST CODE: 16841  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410149c8b7a18000c5744ad

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/150FOdq\\_TXiO4B\\_u20CDdw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/150FOdq_TXiO4B_u20CDdw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/150FOdq\\_TXiO4B\\_u20CDdw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/150FOdq_TXiO4B_u20CDdw/uh1)> .

=====

From: erin

Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:59

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: erin

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 34655

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541014a5dfbdb5000d11e6b4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/q\\_dxCPvLS\\_e2rmXFyiwJtA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/q_dxCPvLS_e2rmXFyiwJtA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/q\\_dxCPvLS\\_e2rmXFyiwJtA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/q_dxCPvLS_e2rmXFyiwJtA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jason Bryan  
237 Woodland St  
Dallas, GA 30157

=====  
From: Matt Dawkins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matt Dawkins  
ADDRESS: 175 Poplar Ave, Trenton, GA  
POST CODE: 30752  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541014b8b0a7e4000e468d6b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/1Ev5RNIkQLmNSQKIQ61ZkQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/1Ev5RNIkQLmNSQKIQ61ZkQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: matthew maxwell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:59  
Subject: RE: Stop Internet Regulation

To Whom it may concern,

I did not submit any comments and am not sure what this email is in reference to,

Very Respectfully,

Matt Maxwell.

> From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

> To: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
> Subject: RE: Stop Internet Regulation  
> Date: Sun, 14 Sep 2014 11:32:11 +0000  
>  
> Thank you, we have received your comments and will review them.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:47:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Raymond Soto  
1316 S Duff Ave Unit 54  
Ames, IA 50010

=====  
From: Bojana Doric  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bojana Doric

ADDRESS: Trg Stjepana Konzula 05

POST CODE: 10000 Zagreb

COUNTRY: HR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541014d415ab86000e5e9fd3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/RmbxnH3US8mmf-iy05Rwog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/RmbxnH3US8mmf-iy05Rwog/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Bickers  
10203 Poco Pl  
Louisville, KY 40291

=====  
From: Jon M. Lattimore  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Jon M. Lattimore

ADDRESS: 1526 E. 37th Street Unit C Savannah, GA

POST CODE: 31404

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410148a99efdf000e081add

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/5g4wcqfeQzqfQAVec-7pbA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/5g4wcqfeQzqfQAVec-7pbA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:00

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Arnold  
4361 Piney Grove Rd  
Cumming, GA 30040

=====  
From: Mikael Resting  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Mikael Resting

ADDRESS: Vigerslev VÃ|nge 54

POST CODE: 2500

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541014b099efdf000d8051f6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/IyKMPYX3Q0iMbNhCq9dgFw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/IyKMPYX3Q0iMbNhCq9dgFw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:01

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

George Sandifer

613 Bramblewood Dr

Hattiesburg, MS 39402

=====  
From: Leif

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:01

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leif

ADDRESS: Karlskrona

POST CODE: S 37143

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410151aa26879000ca29305

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/rzQ2xWf\\_RoOhr4VZ\\_QD9kw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/rzQ2xWf_RoOhr4VZ_QD9kw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/rzQ2xWf\\_RoOhr4VZ\\_QD9kw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/rzQ2xWf_RoOhr4VZ_QD9kw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Mary MULLOWNEY  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

This is just a ploy to get more big bucks from the Republicans and Koch Brothers.

Mary MULLOWNEY

28315

=====  
From: NicolÃ² Maria Lazzarin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: NicolÃ² Maria Lazzarin

ADDRESS: Via Portonovo 02/a

POST CODE: 60018

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101533b7cb89000cb2a893

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/qnYWByl0SGmFxEEI3LukaA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/qnYWByl0SGmFxEEI3LukaA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mark Drango  
1003 Deveron Ct  
Jeannette, PA 15644

=====  
From: Valerie nuti  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Valerie nuti

ADDRESS: 675f Versailles circle

POST CODE: 60007

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541014d8dfbdb5000cb0b983

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/F5D2btccQuuleSK0rw2JhQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/F5D2btccQuuleSK0rw2JhQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dan Motschenbacher  
38733 Conley Ln  
Waubun, MN 56589

=====  
From: eulalio barbero espinosa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: eulalio barbero espinosa

ADDRESS: Pedro Antonio de Alarc3n

POST CODE: 18003

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410158615ab86000e5e9fd7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/atGbWoyJTpezGYw8ayGmmg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/atGbWoyJTpezGYw8ayGmmg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: George  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: George

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 17652

COUNTRY: GR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410153699efdf000c344ede

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/mhJHfdd0TaWatx7PFAoJTw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/mhJHfdd0TaWatx7PFAoJTw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joe Malaterra  
19 Sherwood St  
Norwalk, CT 06851

=====

From: Viktor Rã;di  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Viktor Rã;di

ADDRESS: Batthyã;ny utca 22.

POST CODE: 6000

COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541015221e0849000ec7192f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/hr3O95GnRM28IrKYM0TVdQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/hr3O95GnRM28IrKYM0TVdQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jay Dee  
Po Box 742  
Greenbelt, MD 20768

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job

providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christine Hill  
115 Wahoo Dr  
New Bern, NC 28560

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Bushee  
256 Alderwood Way  
Riverside, CA 92506

=====  
From: Benjamin PAUTARD  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benjamin PAUTARD

ADDRESS: 17, rÃ©sidence la Pommeraie

POST CODE: 16730

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101576f35671000d55329e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Xhf8EE81T\\_SUeDbG2DgGvg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Xhf8EE81T_SUeDbG2DgGvg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Xhf8EE81T\\_SUeDbG2DgGvg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Xhf8EE81T_SUeDbG2DgGvg/uh1)> .

=====

From: bhbhuj hbjbjbjh  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:04  
Subject: Net Neutrality

Please do what's right and keep net neutrality intact instead of dismantling it along with the internet for the benefit of a few.

- Will

=====

From: Daniel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel  
ADDRESS: Tolhuisweg 01  
POST CODE: 1031 CL Amsterdam NL  
COUNTRY: NL  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410167999efdf000e081ae4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/vjCoNwG5Sd-vVIO\\_fPhp5g/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/vjCoNwG5Sd-vVIO_fPhp5g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/vjCoNwG5Sd-vVIO\\_fPhp5g/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/vjCoNwG5Sd-vVIO_fPhp5g/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alexandre Marques  
2806 Olivewood Ln

Vallejo, CA 94591

=====  
From: Donald George  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:04  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The internet has become arguably the single most important public utility of all time. It permeates everything we do - banking, business, shopping, news, involvement in a wider social community, politics, health care, education, entertainment and on and on. It has to be a well regulated utility with absolutely equal access to all users.

If you allow any form of preferential access, the potential for abuse is huge. Political debate will be choked out. News will be manipulated and controlled. Large corporations will have an economic advantage which will shut down competition, innovation and economic development. Those who have much (and can afford priority access) will get even more; those who have little will lose what little they do have. The harm to our country, our economy and our citizens will be incalculable.

It is perfectly clear that if regulation allows for anyone to gain a personal or corporate advantage, they will do so. Just look at tax regulation and inversions, or personal taxes and carried interest loopholes, or stand your ground and gun violence, or voting rights and gerrymandered congressional districts, or any number of other issues. People and corporations will absolutely use any possible loophole to gain and keep power, influence and personal advantage. It happens all the time and it will happen to the internet if you allow it.

Please, please keep the internet absolutely 100% open with equal access to all users - big or small, wealthy or not, popular or unpopular, foreign or domestic. Anything less will destroy it. This has to start with good regulation and be followed up with good enforcement.

Donald George

14580

=====  
From: Jean Denis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jean Denis

ADDRESS: 04 rue Casimir PÃ©rier

POST CODE: 38000

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541016cd1e0849000ec71931

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/2L2qLvPkRzuGwpmfBgj6DA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/2L2qLvPkRzuGwpmfBgj6DA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Julie Wilkinson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julie Wilkinson

ADDRESS: 140 Hangingwater Road

POST CODE: s117es

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101687a26879000decae00

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/0os8TtqcSiew9jE15Nbw2w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/0os8TtqcSiew9jE15Nbw2w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sergey

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:04

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sergey

ADDRESS: ?? . ????????, 37

POST CODE: 347900

COUNTRY: RU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541015f6f1110a000d0e689b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/vewTqsGaSoav8\\_OFuzSJOg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/vewTqsGaSoav8_OFuzSJOg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/vewTqsGaSoav8\\_OFuzSJOg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/vewTqsGaSoav8_OFuzSJOg/uh1)> .

=====

From: steiner elise

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:04

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: steiner elise

ADDRESS: 03 impasse de l'ancre

POST CODE: 67000 strasbourg, France

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541011e4b7cb89000cb2a887

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/AZ39BqeLS6azFML9fRAMPQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/AZ39BqeLS6azFML9fRAMPQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Thomas Andr  Thomson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Andr  Thomson

ADDRESS: DR Berg nil ,sens veg 05

POST CODE: 7630

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410165e62b49c000e6c57fc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/c6cQtsvARM-8FiWNNsvH6Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/c6cQtsvARM-8FiWNNsvH6Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:05  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Archie Jackson  
3601 El Conlon Ave Apt 109a  
Las Vegas, NV 89102

=====

From: John McClain  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:05  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Chairman Wheeler,  
The FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites. Without real net neutrality this country will move another step closer to total control by big business.

As a retired Naval officer, it grieves me to see the country I served and defended being changed to one "of the corporations, by the corporations, and for the corporations!"

Please use the power you have to defend the PEOPLE of this country, and despite what the Supreme Court puppets have declared, corporations are NOT people!!

John McClain

94611

=====

From: Leo whitener  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leo whitener

ADDRESS: 844 Bayou st

POST CODE: 07

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410171215ab86000cb1afca

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/BnHoaaraTA2XQrWwu\\_y8HQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/BnHoaaraTA2XQrWwu_y8HQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/BnHoaaraTA2XQrWwu\\_y8HQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/BnHoaaraTA2XQrWwu_y8HQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Roselene Haines  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:05  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Why should be interned, and the use thereof, be changed? It has always been equally available, so why should that change. Why should large corporations be able to control everything and put small businesses and private parties at a disadvantage? The obvious answer is GREED!  
Net neutrality should be available to everyone, all the time.

Roselene Haines

33844

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:05  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Thomas Charron  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 302 Cohas Ave Manchester NH  
Zip: 03109

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$0.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman

forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: ???? ???????  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ???? ???????  
ADDRESS: Bulgaria, Sofia  
POST CODE: 1000  
COUNTRY: BG  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541016ce15ab86000d44fc6b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/1v7JXRnGRZ2ErM8YCgEh9Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/1v7JXRnGRZ2ErM8YCgEh9Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Andreas Bill  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andreas Bill  
ADDRESS: Nohabgatan 12D  
POST CODE: S46131 Trollhättan  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410175ca26879000decae05

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/5t8ZypEYRgCg2EVJsvkEHA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/5t8ZypEYRgCg2EVJsvkEHA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Wiese  
7021 Ovenbird Rd  
Weeki Wachee, FL 34613

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Alfonso Lopez  
1197 Bramlett Forest Ct

Lawrenceville, GA 30045

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Toomey  
4744 Burr Oak St  
Eagan, MN 55122

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in

the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tina Hickson  
3324 Us Highway 80 E  
Brooklet, GA 30415

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Cole Hughes  
1301 E Monroe Rd  
Tecumseh, MI 49286

=====  
From: guillaume Sabbe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: guillaume Sabbe  
ADDRESS: rue andr   masquelier  
POST CODE: 7000  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541015dca26879000decadfd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/jgYV29w9TTC9CmDQp2MpFw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/jgYV29w9TTC9CmDQp2MpFw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marcell MÃ;tÃ© TÃ³th  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcell MÃ;tÃ© TÃ³th

ADDRESS: Dienes JÃ;nos street 27 apt. 09

POST CODE: 4029

COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541016dda419f5000d46c2a2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/s3f9PGQ9RESO\\_-j2y7c20w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/s3f9PGQ9RESO_-j2y7c20w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/s3f9PGQ9RESO\\_-j2y7c20w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/s3f9PGQ9RESO_-j2y7c20w/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Suchovsky  
44811 Gadsden Ave  
Lancaster, CA 93534

=====  
From: Napoleon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Napoleon

ADDRESS: ??eÃ\237???? 53

POST CODE: 65404

COUNTRY: GR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101780003331000c6107e7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/4Vc4BLbcSheOAMs9Qx1rsg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/4Vc4BLbcSheOAMs9Qx1rsg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rasmus Mygind  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rasmus Mygind

ADDRESS: tjÃ,rnegade 02, 1tv

POST CODE: 2200

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101768dac595000ea6fe77

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/iLcZl3i4TNqNV6wZvULyAg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/iLcZl3i4TNqNV6wZvULyAg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: sofia skoglÃ¶f  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sofia skoglÃ¶f

ADDRESS: sweden/stockholm

POST CODE: 16455

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541017baf35671000bbeb41f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/gGVhC5BASBialRhYjzrDIw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/gGVhC5BASBialRhYjzrDIw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:08

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Ross

228 Lauriston St

Philadelphia, PA 19128

=====  
From: Tanner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tanner

ADDRESS: 304 S Cactus St

POST CODE: 85128

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410187bdfbdb5000cb0b9a9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/BOh5Sr51SdeXSaiNdf0tmg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/BOh5Sr51SdeXSaiNdf0tmg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Theresa Hoffman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Theresa Hoffman

ADDRESS: 246 East market st. williamstown pa

POST CODE: 17098

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101890b0a7e4000c164f3d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/VsaGh-w8TOiOYd9RGyQetg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/VsaGh-w8TOiOYd9RGyQetg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:08

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Justin Shirk  
Rr 03 Box 752  
Mifflintown, PA 17059

=====  
From: Joanne Tatham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:09  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

In the current cultural climate the balance between the middle class and the top 01% is outrageously tipped against the large majority of Americans and the Supreme Court has allowed corporations and the wealthy to essentially buy our elections. It seems absurd to give the corporations (who are NOT people) another bonus just because they can buy it at the expense of those who can't. This country was designed as a Democracy, remember?

Joanne Tatham

92612

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Judson Baker  
6283 Fairway Ave Se

Salem, OR 97306

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jacob Stevenson  
705 N Lexington Ave  
Wilmore, KY 40390

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Raeann Huber  
614 E 5th St  
Yankton, SD 57078

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Clint Carmack  
304 N Pearl St  
Wister, OK 74966

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Louis Schneider  
9 N Academy St  
Janesville, WI 53548

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Peter Hulkovich  
716 Prospect St  
Fort Morgan, CO 80701

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Dennis  
302 E Market St  
Timmons ville, SC 29161

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jimmy Cardwell  
323 Liberty Ln  
La Vergne, TN 37086

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal

government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Johnson  
5515 E Lincoln Rd  
Cedar, MI 49621

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sean Cunningham  
1301 W Rush St  
Philadelphia, PA 19132

=====  
From: ??????  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ??????

ADDRESS: ha50140011

POST CODE: ha50140011

COUNTRY: KR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541019e1f35671000d5532ad

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/4FQWPO\\_uSy-S9BCn75Dm8w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/4FQWPO_uSy-S9BCn75Dm8w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/4FQWPO\\_uSy-S9BCn75Dm8w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/4FQWPO_uSy-S9BCn75Dm8w/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Csaba ZsÃ-ros  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Csaba ZsÃ-ros

ADDRESS: Gemer 227

POST CODE: 98201, Slovakia

COUNTRY: SK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101a38a26879000bdcade2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/OfQOQS0RQkC0pYV\\_QsAA7Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/OfQOQS0RQkC0pYV_QsAA7Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/OfQOQS0RQkC0pYV\\_QsAA7Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/OfQOQS0RQkC0pYV_QsAA7Q/uh1)> .

=====

From: FranÃ§ois-Xavier GUITTON

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:13

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: FranÃ§ois-Xavier GUITTON

ADDRESS: 01, SordÃ©ac

POST CODE: 44130

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101a29c60b21000c7f0d7d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/kxsqrcqtQBuo8\\_fd9-0w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/kxsqrcqtQBuo8_fd9-0w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/kxsqrcqtQBuo8\\_fd9-0w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/kxsqrcqtQBuo8_fd9-0w/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Johan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Johan

ADDRESS: JÃ¶nkÃ¶ping

POST CODE: 55337

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101982b7cb89000d44c074

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/aqHRAU40R7qpAaMeg9xv2g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/aqHRAU40R7qpAaMeg9xv2g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Justin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin  
ADDRESS: 3095 south red pine lane  
POST CODE: 49715

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541017f71e0849000b596884

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/B-yjc92qSt2ZohMAPol3tA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/B-yjc92qSt2ZohMAPol3tA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Witte  
1703 S Canyon Woods Ln  
Spokane, WA 99224

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Randee Stever  
13404 Fruit Ave Ne  
Albuquerque, NM 87123

=====  
From: San-san Ma  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: San-san Ma

ADDRESS: BjÃ¶rnkÃ¶rrsvÃ¶gen 03

POST CODE: 18742

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541018b3dfbdb5000cb0b9ab

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/9NDDx20PStCMezwmAfJyfQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/9NDDx20PStCMezwmAfJyfQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tonya Fowler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tonya Fowler  
607 W Marigold Ave  
Foley, Alabama 36535

=====

From: Adrian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adrian

ADDRESS: alea amur 05

POST CODE: 71520

COUNTRY: RO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101af4003331000c6107f5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>
- <<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/MEuM08z-RdS6ToW0oTGfMw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/MEuM08z-RdS6ToW0oTGfMw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Bonita Parker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:14  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bonita Parker  
PO Box 353  
Big Island, Virginia 24526

=====  
From: Dennis Grayson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:14  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Please do not tamper with access to the internet- this will create chaos on an already

imperfect information grid.

Dennis Grayson

60304

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:14  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: ED L MCLAURIN  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 2308B Elliott ave  
Zip: 37204

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Grzegorz KosciÅ³lek  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Grzegorz KosciÅ³lek

ADDRESS: Wroclaw

POST CODE: 54-129

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410198415ab86000e5e9ff5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/4nT484v5QOqz9EhKLLrVgw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/4nT484v5QOqz9EhKLLrVgw/uh1>> .

=====

From: John Weir  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:14  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Weir  
 ADDRESS: 1609 Grove St, Greensboro NC  
 POST CODE: 27403  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101a2ff35671000eb3bec7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/o38JbWxZQT2lXEhJk8CxXQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/o38JbWxZQT2lXEhJk8CxXQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joyelle Wiemer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:14  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Please preserve net neutrality! The internet is definitively a public utility. The free exchange of ideas and information is a hallmark of American culture and it would be a tragedy to loose it to corporate greed and control. I use the internet many times a day. Sometimes it's for entertainment, but more often it's for information I need to function - from balancing my checkbook with online banking services, to researching better ways to help my daughter control her diabetes, to keeping an eye on the weather (and here in the Blue Ridge, that's critical in winter months). I will be extremely upset if corporations are allowed to interfere, control, or censure the information I can access. I really do believe net neutrality is vital to our way of life. Please protect it!

Joyelle Wiemer  
28698

=====

From: Barros Bruno  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Barros Bruno

ADDRESS: AV. FERNANDO SIMÃO\225ES BARBOSA, N80, APTO 706

POST CODE: 51020390

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101bd5fbecab000b573b22

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/EU0GhOjURrm0Lkv0pq3P7g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/EU0GhOjURrm0Lkv0pq3P7g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:15

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Bruce Martin

Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Address: po box 120416

Zip: 92315

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private

companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Janel McDaniel McDaniel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:15  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Janel McDaniel McDaniel  
5247 Ursula Way  
Denver, Colorado 80239

=====  
From: Nuno Viegas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nuno Viegas

ADDRESS: apartado 79

POST CODE: 9580 â\200\223 Vila do Porto

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101aa0a26879000bdcade3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/8Js6CtZLTXyEqyTGwyZU5g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/8Js6CtZLTXyEqyTGwyZU5g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ogi Ogrimund  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ogi Ogrimund

ADDRESS: 711 East Bolton St

POST CODE: 31401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101ab48b7a18000c5744c5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/XytHUbTAQ7qKam2XGvm7Sw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/XytHUbTAQ7qKam2XGvm7Sw/uh1>> .

=====

From: vicky cosgrove  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:15  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: vicky cosgrove  
 ADDRESS: 249-15 Beechknoll Ave  
 POST CODE: Little Neck NY 11362  
 COUNTRY: US  
 COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101b6db0a7e4000dc6346d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/86N7G9BDTpu9kxJfGBuc4g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/86N7G9BDTpu9kxJfGBuc4g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Wendy S Feldhaus  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wendy S Feldhaus

ADDRESS: 2860 S Olivet Rd

POST CODE: 65201

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101a02b7cb89000cb2a8a8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/nJAhjF2vTbW3iozjSrl8Ww/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/nJAhjF2vTbW3iozjSrl8Ww/uh1>> .

=====

From: Arthur Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Arthur Brown  
5126 Biscayne Dr  
Columbus, Georgia 31907

=====  
From: charkila richardson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

charkila richardson  
606 Emerald Dr  
Savannah, Georgia 31415  
=====

From: Daniel Sjöström  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Sjöström

ADDRESS: Å\205sbacken 14, Sweden

POST CODE: 445 34

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541018f6f35671000eb3bebd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/p85uWgz7SL6TWHNgQKuTfA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/p85uWgz7SL6TWHNgQKuTfA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: JÃ,rgen Skeide  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: JÃ,rgen Skeide  
ADDRESS: Skogveien22  
POST CODE: 1430  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101bdda26879000bdcadea

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/TxkBm8bwRP2avS-vrmVnFw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/TxkBm8bwRP2avS-vrmVnFw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: michael  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: michael

ADDRESS: 112 steamway gardens

POST CODE: 22342

COUNTRY: ZA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541019d7f35671000c1812b2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/Z17dwoQ1SraVQaOcm0eRkA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/Z17dwoQ1SraVQaOcm0eRkA/uh1>> .

=====

From: nioki bradley

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:16

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts

and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

nioki bradley  
1619 Oak St  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28269

=====  
From: Raphael Gagné  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Raphael Gagné

ADDRESS: 790 sacre-coeur est

POST CODE: G8B1A8

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101aa4003331000bc7c28f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/66FMM6i2Rj-JcdamhtHLaw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/66FMM6i2Rj-JcdamhtHLaw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rhett Passey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rhett Passey

ADDRESS: 191 East Spencer Peak Way unit I â\200\223 03

POST CODE: 84020

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101aa3dac595000d1ea6c5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/gBU9b7ZGSoKMgu6y-RRZ-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/gBU9b7ZGSoKMgu6y-RRZ-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sandy Kelly  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:16  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit.

Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sandy Kelly  
112 Overbrook Dr  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37920

-----  
From: Andra Jug  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andra Jug  
ADDRESS: Podgaj 15a  
POST CODE: 3232 Ponikva  
COUNTRY: SI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101c1bdfbdb5000d11e6c5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/\\_3hhMRJXQFuFTl6Gw0ikWg/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/_3hhMRJXQFuFTl6Gw0ikWg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/\\_3hhMRJXQFuFTl6Gw0ikWg/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/_3hhMRJXQFuFTl6Gw0ikWg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Annie Pope  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Annie Pope  
2908 Westberry Ln  
Coeburn, Virginia 24230

=====  
From: Axel Tojo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Axel Tojo

ADDRESS: IliongrÃonden 129

POST CODE: 22472

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101b65fbecab000dab1761

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/RbMt8w5aR3mEcdcPwVPYOA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/RbMt8w5aR3mEcdcPwVPYOA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Danny Borne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Danny Borne  
7743 Bellingham Ave SE  
Port Orchard, Washington 98367

=====  
From: David booth  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David booth

ADDRESS: 1142 Greenwood road

POST CODE: 22932

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101cb2f1110a000e42e516

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/lBbGEQAURGyz4OwKA651yg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/lBbGEQAURGyz4OwKA651yg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Herbert Rauch  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:17  
 Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Herbert Rauch  
 24117 Colmar Ln  
 Murrieta, California 92562

=====

From: Ian Hickman  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:17  
 Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ian Hickman  
708 Honeybee Ct  
Henderson, Nevada 89002

=====  
From: Laverne McClam  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:17  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Laverne McClam

138 Herzl St  
Brooklyn, New York 11212

=====  
From: Maria Papaconstantinou  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maria Papaconstantinou

ADDRESS: Chaussee de Waterloo 606

POST CODE: 1050 Brussels Belgium

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101c0c1e0849000cdb23c1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/M2E3Ub8bS\\_OHRaEwsqIHGw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/M2E3Ub8bS_OHRaEwsqIHGw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/M2E3Ub8bS\\_OHRaEwsqIHGw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/M2E3Ub8bS_OHRaEwsqIHGw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: S. Grose

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:17

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: S. Grose

ADDRESS: 3700 Palm Ave

POST CODE: 32976

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101b18003331000c6107f6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/grRdq62tTdoEkeKHOkbMiA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/grRdq62tTdoEkeKHOkbMiA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Cameron Zavattero  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:18  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Please protect net neutrality.

Cameron Zavattero

06040

=====

From: Deborah Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and

urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Deborah Williams  
5500 Mountain Vista St Apt 914  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

=====  
From: Donna Drissi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Donna Drissi  
10 Tibbitts Rd  
New Hartford, New York 13413

=====  
From: Doyle Gentry  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Doyle Gentry  
1300 Woodcrest Dr  
Kemp, Texas 75143

=====  
From: Erin Garrett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Erin Garrett  
3512 Biltmore Pl  
Augusta, Georgia 30906

=====  
From: Joanne Montgomery  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joanne Montgomery  
417 Locust St  
Turlock, California 95380

=====  
From: John Wasilewski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:18  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

John Wasilewski  
15 Carlyle Dr  
Bayville, New Jersey 08721

=====  
From: sam  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sam  
ADDRESS: 37 brougham street  
POST CODE: pa168ag  
COUNTRY: GB  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101cb5003331000c610801

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/hPXDVkmFT2KhaYOzaeC1Hg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/hPXDVkmFT2KhaYOzaeC1Hg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tyler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 12208

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101c7ca26879000e0b0cb1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/nYzTeQmGSIKxd7vJO7u\\_dA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/nYzTeQmGSIKxd7vJO7u_dA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/nYzTeQmGSIKxd7vJO7u\\_dA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/nYzTeQmGSIKxd7vJO7u_dA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Clyde Jones  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Clyde Jones  
1561 W 238th St  
Harbor City, California 90710

=====  
From: Douglas Waller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Douglas Waller  
1327 NE 145th St  
Miami, Florida 33161

=====  
From: Julio Andr   Gomez Myhre

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julio Andr   Gomez Myhre

ADDRESS: Skerstadveien 01

POST CODE: 8003

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101d1bdfbdb5000cb0b9c2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/AYwu5PnvQheh85vI1l10Atg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/AYwu5PnvQheh85vI1l10Atg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: kathy worley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

kathy worley  
305 E Shafter Rd  
Bakersfield, California 93307

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:19  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Norma Calver  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 111 Waterford Dr  
Zip: 44820

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Nikita  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nikita

ADDRESS: London

POST CODE: E1 4XG

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101cbbb7cb89000cb2a8b6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Bfd3zKXVQFe2n--myYZJ-A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Bfd3zKXVQFe2n--myYZJ-A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: O'lajuwan White  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: O'lajuwan White

ADDRESS: 3842 midvale ave

POST CODE: 94602

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101d6edfdb5000cb0b9c3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/KaY-ePq5Sz-kC0zzADH2qg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/KaY-ePq5Sz-kC0zzADH2qg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Saliou

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:19

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Saliou

ADDRESS: 18 rue Cacheux

POST CODE: 92100 France

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101de299efdf000c344f0c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Bm-iPY1tSJat41gAxx93pg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Bm-iPY1tSJat41gAxx93pg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: sean horan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

sean horan  
1863 Daffodil Dr  
Acworth, Georgia 30102

=====  
From: W. Carmack  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: W. Carmack

ADDRESS: 308 penn av

POST CODE: Lyndora, Pa 16045

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101d82dac595000cde59f6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/\\_ExRxNN9R2CWM7EWEwy9zw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/_ExRxNN9R2CWM7EWEwy9zw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/\\_ExRxNN9R2CWM7EWEwy9zw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/_ExRxNN9R2CWM7EWEwy9zw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Aidan Rowe

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:20

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aidan Rowe

ADDRESS: 2116 w 58th st

POST CODE: 52806

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101dfc1e0849000cdb23ce

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/zAQ44h44Q\\_Gu3vFoYCE-Aw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/zAQ44h44Q_Gu3vFoYCE-Aw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/zAQ44h44Q\\_Gu3vFoYCE-Aw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/zAQ44h44Q_Gu3vFoYCE-Aw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: EÅrendil Enbuske  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: EÅrendil Enbuske

ADDRESS: Durells VÅg 18

POST CODE: 33592

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101e1edac595000cde59fb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/LPmaOhu\\_TSOunWuiYlO2qw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/LPmaOhu_TSOunWuiYlO2qw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/LPmaOhu\\_TSOunWuiYlO2qw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/LPmaOhu_TSOunWuiYlO2qw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Helika Jurgenson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Helika Jurgenson

ADDRESS: VÃ¼ljakÃ¼la kÃ¼la

POST CODE: 86215

COUNTRY: EE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101e1b99efdf000c344f0e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/IrMHSdw4SsWZ5dZGRpVvVg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/IrMHSdw4SsWZ5dZGRpVvVg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Hugo Lehoux  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hugo Lehoux

ADDRESS: 23 rue Henri RenÃ©

POST CODE: 34000

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101e33dac595000cde59fc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/1ReqUSQHRMScLCn\\_LFqgTA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/1ReqUSQHRMScLCn_LFqgTA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/1ReqUSQHRMScLCn\\_LFqgTA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/1ReqUSQHRMScLCn_LFqgTA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: michase deronda

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:20

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

michase deronda  
336884 E Crestview Dr  
McLoud, Oklahoma 74851

=====

From: Reggie Batiste  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Reggie Batiste  
4511 Sunset Blvd  
Zachary, Louisiana 70791

=====  
From: Tabatha Eister  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tabatha Eister  
56 S Jeffery St  
Beverly Hills, Florida 34465

=====  
From: Will  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Will

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 10463

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 540fee4bf1110a000d0e67ce

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/w04dMDDCTdGNDys7WAvEPw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/w04dMDDCTdGNDys7WAvEPw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Anders Wennerström  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anders Wennerström

ADDRESS: Å\205gatan

POST CODE: 31168

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101e41a26879000ca2931a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/YmEsQRtATful-hCU04nPcQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/YmEsQRtATful-hCU04nPcQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Chris-JÃ,ran Borge  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris-JÃ,ran Borge

ADDRESS: trollmyra 41

POST CODE: 8028 BodÃ,

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101e0415ab86000d44fc80

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/gxWZYlc4Sq2M4yfqxDtBLQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/gxWZYlc4Sq2M4yfqxDtBLQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Daniela  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniela

ADDRESS: Frã¼dlantskã; 1749, Frã¼dek- Mã-stek

POST CODE: 73802

COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101a5fdac595000b708516

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/7ftDlqjITcmKeHGf0CGMmA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/7ftDlqjITcmKeHGf0CGMmA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: theodore brewton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

theodore brewton  
1934 N Kenwood Ave  
San Bernardino, California 92404

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:22  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Carl A. Nylund  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 13105 Herrick Ave  
Zip: 64030

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Enrica  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Enrica

ADDRESS: Marotta, Italy

POST CODE: 61038

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101907a419f5000e2fb588

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/ojrtLrsnSjKv0MpbEFrnnA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/ojrtLrsnSjKv0MpbEFrnnA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jamal Morris  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jamal Morris

ADDRESS: Johan Tillanders vÃg 21

POST CODE: 168 52

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101eb7dac595000d1ea6dd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/8iupqU98SHKj4a0elyjYjg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/8iupqU98SHKj4a0elyjYjg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joe McGraw

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:22

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe McGraw

ADDRESS: 117 springside dr

POST CODE: 21093

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101e6db0a7e4000dc6347d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/\\_9CUDGskRg-JwhN5A6AmKQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/_9CUDGskRg-JwhN5A6AmKQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/\\_9CUDGskRg-JwhN5A6AmKQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/_9CUDGskRg-JwhN5A6AmKQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Kristian FallrÃ,  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kristian FallrÃ,

ADDRESS: Linden Av 542

POST CODE: 54235

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101e558b7a18000c5744d5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/-MCXcEf1SL2-aZ7ogZ74wQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/-MCXcEf1SL2-aZ7ogZ74wQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:23  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: A. Brager  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: Roseville, Ca  
Zip: 95746

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment

and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Curt Pawlisch  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Curt Pawlisch  
ADDRESS: 1702 Rutledge Street  
POST CODE: 53704  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101ecaa419f5000e2fb5a6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/8Q4kB516QtyYsOeb9yZ-Kg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/8Q4kB516QtyYsOeb9yZ-Kg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jonathan i  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:23

Subject: Docket numbers: 14-28

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As a former FCC employee, and as an internet user, I urge you to support full internet neutrality. Make it a publicly regulated common carrier.

Jonathan i

21229

=====  
From: Martin Fitz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin Fitz

ADDRESS: Langestra 237e

POST CODE: 6900

COUNTRY: AT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101e9a62b49c000cdleadf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/A\\_TiBCSbRIit82bqM7C0og/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/A_TiBCSbRIit82bqM7C0og/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/A\\_TiBCSbRIit82bqM7C0og/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/A_TiBCSbRIit82bqM7C0og/uh1)> .

=====

From: Patrick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patrick

ADDRESS: 1818 Osborn

POST CODE: 48915

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101f21dac595000d1ea6e0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/Xb8XPBqmTb2PHsYlb4cXyA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/Xb8XPBqmTb2PHsYlb4cXyA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lutz Dudek  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lutz Dudek  
ADDRESS: KreuzstraÃ\237e 03  
POST CODE: D 33602  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101f64003331000d00955a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/LKsjeEFJQT2kbQ8xizGulg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/LKsjeEFJQT2kbQ8xizGulg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:24

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Mike borek  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 6625 31st St N  
Zip: 22213

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: scott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: scott

ADDRESS: 211 industrial blvd

POST CODE: 76040

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101eb8c60b21000e117d92

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/rakL5clpRnG4rOtOfLgzGw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/rakL5clpRnG4rOtOfLgzGw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Connie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Connie

ADDRESS: 8809 Cherbourg Way

POST CODE: 95210

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102005fbecab000e3ea699

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/jhXNMvcCRLue7GBqzNnHdg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/jhXNMvcCRLue7GBqzNnHdg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Donel Poliacu ProsÃ©

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:25

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Donel Poliacu ProsÃ©

ADDRESS: Laan Nieuwer-Amstel 48

POST CODE: 1182 JR

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101fcedac595000ea6fe8a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/IooCfc-VR7uNcMFpYqmDZA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/IooCfc-VR7uNcMFpYqmDZA/uh1>> .

=====

From: John Tuggle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Tuggle  
ADDRESS: 11330 White Bluff Rd Unit 60, Savannah GA  
POST CODE: 31419  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410201862b49c000d163db3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/duq-AlhRjYkB6SDo9cRLw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/duq-AlhRjYkB6SDo9cRLw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:25  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Kim Jennings  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 111 concord rd  
Zip: 37830

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Michael Weber  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Weber

ADDRESS: Rubensstraã\237e 04

POST CODE: 68542

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101fecf35671000eb3beef

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/-2tmc782Q\\_OLpoVUk1t33w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/-2tmc782Q_OLpoVUk1t33w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/-2tmc782Q\\_OLpoVUk1t33w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/-2tmc782Q_OLpoVUk1t33w/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Pedro Manuel Oliveira Lopes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pedro Manuel Oliveira Lopes

ADDRESS: Rua Dr. Artur Maldonado Freitas n.º6, Caldas da Rainha, Portugal

POST CODE: 2500-132

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410200bc60b21000c7f0d98

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/IH4gQGpXQziuka8Z9ufcBg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/IH4gQGpXQziuka8Z9ufcBg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:25  
 Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: laura schalk  
 Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Address: 3116 henslowe drive  
 Zip: 27603

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Arnar Birgisson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Arnar Birgisson  
ADDRESS: VallargerÃ°i 28  
POST CODE: 200  
COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102090fbecab000b573b38

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/f3PCFUhVSEykJ5g9USYgmQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/f3PCFUhVSEykJ5g9USYgmQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Lou Rabon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:26  
Subject: Support for Net Neutrality

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn't decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a slow lane.

But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices- like their cable bills- and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But

these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

Lou Rabon

Los Angeles, CA

=====  
From: Maryanne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Maryanne  
ADDRESS: 32 Wetherill lane  
POST CODE: 19425  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410207515ab86000e5ea01f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/agB274r6TwC97fXa5H0gBA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/agB274r6TwC97fXa5H0gBA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Pavol  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pavol

ADDRESS: Hrejovã; 33

POST CODE: 08045

COUNTRY: SK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541020971e0849000d05be94

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/EWduiOTYQw05-uultOtsHw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/EWduiOTYQw05-uultOtsHw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Chuck

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:27

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through [battleforthenet.com](http://battleforthenet.com).

---

NAME: Chuck

ADDRESS: `19023 Pinedale Circle

POST CODE: Flint, Tx 75762

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541020b3003331000c610823

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/q48oJKS7Q2Oz7yubV3k7Gg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/q48oJKS7Q2Oz7yubV3k7Gg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Erin Powers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erin Powers

ADDRESS: 415 el Greco dr

POST CODE: 33511

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541020e4a419f5000d46c2d5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/CwFxxgwZiT8Cb98Tr-2i32w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/CwFxxgwZiT8Cb98Tr-2i32w/uh1>> .

=====

From: LEILA AVANT  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:27  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

LEILA AVANT  
92405

=====

From: Gerald St. Germaine  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:28  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
ATTENTION FCC!!!

I'M GOING TO EXPRESS MY OPINIONS IN THE INTERNET, AND NOBODY HAS THE RIGHT TO STOP ME!  
Nobody is going to have control over what I say on the Internet! I'm going to express my opinions! I SUPPORT SCIENCE EDUCATION IN OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS! I'm against any and all attempts by right wing Christian Fundamentalists to insert Creationism into science textbooks!

I'M GOING TO EXPRESS MY OPINIONS IN THE INTERNET, AND NOBODY HAS THE RIGHT TO STOP ME!  
GOD DAMN IT!!!

I HATE SPORTS! I HATE FOOTBALL! When I was in the fifth grade, my sports obsessed teacher refused to allow me to check out an Astronomy book from the school library! HE BASHED MY HEAD AGAINST THE CORNER OF A BRICK WALL!

I was reading at high school and adult level when I was only in the third grade! But some moron like Bill O'Riely on FOX NEWS makes MILLIONS OF DOLLARS every year, slobbering and drooling onto his microphone. Yeah! He once said, "the tides go in and the tides go out, and nobody can explain it" when Isaac Newton explained it 300 years ago!!!

When I was 17 years old, I was sexually molested by an older man! I WAS BEATEN AND RAPED! I'll be God damned if big corporations are going to control what I say on the internet! I WILL NOT BE SILENCED!!!

Religion is a lie! EVOLUTION IS A FACT! THE EARTH IS 04.05 BILLION YEARS OLD! THE UNIVERSE IS 13.08 BILLION YEARS OLD! EVOLUTION IS A FACT! GET OVER IT REPUBLICANS! I WILL NOT BE SILENCED ON THE INTERNET! I WILL NOT BE SILENCED ON THE INTERNET! I WILL NOT BE SILENCED ON THE INTERNET!

I don't want to see my favorite websites loading too slow, or seeing them shut down and removed because big Corporations and right-wing Republican Christard Funny-mentalists might not approve of their content!

I fear that my own web blog might be shut down because of content. I have posted SCIENCE articles on Astronomy, and articles debunking Creationism. My articles debunking religion are cynical and sarcastic. But, that is my right under the 1st Amendment. GOD DAMN IT!!!

If I lose my 1st Amendments rights, then I just might have to fall back on #02 to retrieve my #01!!! The big corporations are traitors to America and I charge them with TREASON!!! If I'm silenced on the Internet, then I will go out on my JAZZY power chair, with a battery powered bull horn, and I will go up and down the streets screaming into the night waking everybody up!

NO CENSORSHIP! NO CENSORSHIP! NO CENSORSHIP! NO CENSORSHIP! NO CENSORSHIP!

The big corporations should all be charged with TREASON, and if found guilty, they should get death by firing squad! No blind-fold and no last cigarette!!! BANG! BANG!

Now, I'm gonna have me a cigar!!!

I'm outta here!

Have a nice day!

Gerald St. Germaine

79901

=====  
From: Peter Holtermann  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter Holtermann

ADDRESS: Ellernhorst 07

POST CODE: 18055, Rostock, Germyn

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541020c6dac595000ea6fe90

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/S3K3wyRfSJm-fWn\\_eePVKA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/S3K3wyRfSJm-fWn_eePVKA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/S3K3wyRfSJm-fWn\\_eePVKA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/S3K3wyRfSJm-fWn_eePVKA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Sofia A. Hansen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sofia A. Hansen

ADDRESS: NÅrrebrogade 202, 04. th.

POST CODE: 2200

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102126b7cb89000d44c08d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/xgQ2pwaHT2-zop7kcJleAA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/xgQ2pwaHT2-zop7kcJleAA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Taiking Lee (Justice Ipsofacto xxxx@xxxx.xxx ipsofactoj.com)

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:28

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Taiking Lee (Justice Ipsofacto xxxx@xxxx.xxx ipsofactoj.com)

ADDRESS: 36-02, Jalan Pudu, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

POST CODE: 55100

COUNTRY: MY

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102118dac595000dlea6ec

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/1\\_XByhw7SG-9ZZmbkupDOg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/1_XByhw7SG-9ZZmbkupDOg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/1\\_XByhw7SG-9ZZmbkupDOg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/1_XByhw7SG-9ZZmbkupDOg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:29

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Yvonne Donnelly

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 4603 Seahurst Ave

Zip: 98203

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it

in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Martin Larsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin Larsen

ADDRESS: Åsterbro 39

POST CODE: 9000

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102119dfbdb5000cb0b9de

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/dE4w4PHuRGy3ep\\_4l0e\\_ZA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/dE4w4PHuRGy3ep_4l0e_ZA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/dE4w4PHuRGy3ep\\_4l0e\\_ZA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/dE4w4PHuRGy3ep_4l0e_ZA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Michelle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michelle  
ADDRESS: TromsÅ,  
POST CODE: 9015  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541020f5b7cb89000d44c08b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/vBhM5qIOS2GUgXOoVroW0Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/vBhM5qIOS2GUgXOoVroW0Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: PÃ¶l Ruben Varhaugvik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pål Ruben Varhaugvik

ADDRESS: Elvavegen 06

POST CODE: 6480 Aukra Norway

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541021a5a419f5000d46c2db

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/iP6k72H1T\\_y05ZHRV7\\_sQg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/iP6k72H1T_y05ZHRV7_sQg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/iP6k72H1T\\_y05ZHRV7\\_sQg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/iP6k72H1T_y05ZHRV7_sQg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Randy Jarvis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:30  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

To who it may concern;  
I'm asking you as a US citizen and a tax payer to STOP this docket now!! We depend on the internet as I'm disabled so I need it to do most of my shopping! As it gets harder to go into the stores for people like myself who are wheelchair bound we have to depend on our internet to get the things we need and must have!! Also we who run small businesses will basically be shut down since we're not a BIG & RICH company!! I urge you to vote this down and don't give into these BIG companies anymore than you already do now!!

Sincerely,  
Randy Jarvis

Randy Jarvis

75686

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:30  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: susan ryder  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 3580 16th str  
Zip: 80304

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily

as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Dan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dan

ADDRESS: 07 Priorywood Drive

POST CODE: SS9 4BU

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102155f35671000d5532cf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/L42wX2sjRV-HoWPP-POmqA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/L42wX2sjRV-HoWPP-POmqA/uh1>> .

=====

From: for what  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: for what

ADDRESS: P O Box 57473

POST CODE: 5764557

COUNTRY: UG

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410226c003331000bc7c2aa

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/1pXZuC9ZT8y1p006oHC\\_cQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/1pXZuC9ZT8y1p006oHC_cQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/1pXZuC9ZT8y1p006oHC\\_cQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/1pXZuC9ZT8y1p006oHC_cQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jay Knepper  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jay Knepper

ADDRESS: 3036 Norris Fwy

POST CODE: 37705

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541021cab0a7e4000e468da9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/2I9HtSM-RY-UbbUB7hgsCg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/2I9HtSM-RY-UbbUB7hgsCg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Silvia Lumaca  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Silvia Lumaca  
ADDRESS: Viale San Michele 03  
POST CODE: 43121 Parma (Italy)  
COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410224ea419f5000e2fb5bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/uy6qZuKQSNi0H\\_Ndww6T1Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/uy6qZuKQSNi0H_Ndww6T1Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/uy6qZuKQSNi0H\\_Ndww6T1Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/uy6qZuKQSNi0H_Ndww6T1Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Jacques Lafortune  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacques Lafortune

ADDRESS: 22, rue de Fougères

POST CODE: J5R6W2

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541021d3a419f5000e2fb5bc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/ym9ukSO6SrWbuV\\_ga0anAg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/ym9ukSO6SrWbuV_ga0anAg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/ym9ukSO6SrWbuV\\_ga0anAg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/ym9ukSO6SrWbuV_ga0anAg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: John  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John

ADDRESS: 173 Hawley St

POST CODE: 50648

COUNTRY: RO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102240003331000d00955f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/JuwZrhGATim\\_t8u9UG2\\_ZQ/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/JuwZrhGATim_t8u9UG2_ZQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/JuwZrhGATim\\_t8u9UG2\\_ZQ/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/JuwZrhGATim_t8u9UG2_ZQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Mark Krajnak  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:34

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark Krajnak

ADDRESS: 19 Zachary Drive, Allentown, NJ

POST CODE: 08501

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102200f35671000d5532d2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/OFJnG91FTtWx73Zdm6o3dA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/OFJnG91FTtWx73Zdm6o3dA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:35  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Dennis Williams  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 2618 Lowell Avenue  
Zip: 46516

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Paul  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paul

ADDRESS: Sanfrancisco, CA

POST CODE: 95325

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410228eb0a7e4000e468dac

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/DdVVIgHyS10lB33MUCT3bw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/DdVVIgHyS10lB33MUCT3bw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Camilla Martinsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Camilla Martinsen  
ADDRESS: Å, vremÅ, llenberg gate 04  
POST CODE: 7014  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102296a419f5000cf4f0d0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/7910aa41SbGjYUH5xOFbagg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/7910aa41SbGjYUH5xOFbagg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Christian King  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christian King  
ADDRESS: 1247 Dayton Ave.  
POST CODE: St. Paul, MN 55104  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541022dedac595000dlea6f9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/5OYNlDyPSLeUakAm1PcIEA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/5OYNlDyPSLeUakAm1PcIEA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Clément Gester  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Clément Gester

ADDRESS: 28, bld de Lyon, Strasbourg, France

POST CODE: 67000

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102292003331000bc7c2ac

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/g41svD1bTPKFsk0jadlnjA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/g41svD1bTPKFsk0jadlnjA/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Elin janet jÃ, sang  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Elin janet jÃ, sang  
ADDRESS: Porsmyrvegen 10b  
POST CODE: 4250 kopervik  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541022928b7a18000b93cafb

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/nKlri-uBSRSke3vnaue1ow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/nKlri-uBSRSke3vnaue1ow/uh1>> .

=====

From: Giuseppe van Geloven  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:36  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Giuseppe van Geloven  
 ADDRESS: witbreuksweg 397 308, Enschede  
 POST CODE: 7522ZA  
 COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102251fbecab000dab1781

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/q5btg3NzRYCN-JbBvF0pwg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/q5btg3NzRYCN-JbBvF0pwg/uh1>> .

=====

From: lori

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:36

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: lori

ADDRESS: 9029us31nellsworthmi

POST CODE: 49729

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54101f9bc60b21000c7f0d97

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/5a4MjG2tRb6FzdB-hfnc2w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/5a4MjG2tRb6FzdB-hfnc2w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mike Podevin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike Podevin

ADDRESS: 5812 Newlin Ave.

POST CODE: Whittier, CA 90601

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410228db7cb89000b3ce3cb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/jHhGc5kbRby2NHcT-l3A0w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/jHhGc5kbRby2NHcT-l3A0w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Patricia Carter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Preserve Net Neutrality!

In order to preserve net neutrality, the FCC needs to ban Big Telecom from creating these so-called "fast-lanes" which only large companies could afford. Start-ups and independent sites like Daily Kos would be left behind and crushed.

Thank you

Patricia Carter

37072

=====  
From: Keegan Wedwick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Keegan Wedwick

ADDRESS: 821 Peterson Ct

POST CODE: 54665

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102310b0a7e4000e468db0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/baeb7\\_sxTSKnBr5Pah-pQA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/baeb7_sxTSKnBr5Pah-pQA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/baeb7\\_sxTSKnBr5Pah-pQA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/baeb7_sxTSKnBr5Pah-pQA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Rie Pieper  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rie Pieper

ADDRESS: Jakob DannefÃ|rds Vej 14

POST CODE: 1973

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541023aedfbdb5000e45f83e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/N\\_f6xsa8Q7Ko8axgvtXQiQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/N_f6xsa8Q7Ko8axgvtXQiQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/N\\_f6xsa8Q7Ko8axgvtXQiQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/N_f6xsa8Q7Ko8axgvtXQiQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Bård Anders  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bård Anders

ADDRESS: langhauan 05

POST CODE: 6320

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410242fb0a7e4000e468db6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/DQ7lUtleRNi0zCRiXHNejw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/DQ7lUtleRNi0zCRiXHNejw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:38  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Gene Fritsch  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 10047 Channel Rd  
Zip: 91737

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: ksmaheshwari  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ksmaheshwari

ADDRESS: 1206 challengertowers2 mumbai

POST CODE: 400101:YhbMVfXGyJ

COUNTRY: IN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410242d15ab86000e5ea031

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/brAQaCALQBKjBdAk5uOvPA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/brAQaCALQBKjBdAk5uOvPA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Mathias Malmström  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mathias Malmström

ADDRESS: Lerkeveien 09

POST CODE: 1053

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102353c60b21000c7f0da6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/YuQolILTTY-2v29lqxqghw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/YuQolILTTY-2v29lqxqghw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:39  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Bonnie  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: AZ  
Zip: 85282

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$0.1 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new

entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: LÃ©thien Dulk  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: LÃ©thien Dulk  
ADDRESS: Leiden, the Netherlands  
POST CODE: n/a  
COUNTRY: NL  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102411a26879000e0b0cda

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/qW-Vq7QERdqjIfX6AIUbZw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/qW-Vq7QERdqjIfX6AIUbZw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sean Kennedy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:39  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Big Telecom wants to change the rules so they can extract more money from websites by making them pay to be served to customers at faster speeds.

In order to legally prevent Big Telecom from destroying net neutrality, the FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility.

Please preserve net neutrality!

Sean Kennedy

85032

=====  
From: Branko Lenarcic  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Branko Lenarcic

ADDRESS: Kajuhova 42

POST CODE: 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

COUNTRY: SI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410214cfbecab000b573b3e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/WSPNzNW0QJaFv0-7qEYAJQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/WSPNzNW0QJaFv0-7qEYAJQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Cristina ArdigÃ²

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:40

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cristina ArdigÃ²

ADDRESS: Cremona

POST CODE: 26100

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541022ccf1110a000e42e533

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/1IjwLhOuTmSqfrG\\_5\\_SnFw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/1IjwLhOuTmSqfrG_5_SnFw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/1IjwLhOuTmSqfrG\\_5\\_SnFw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/1IjwLhOuTmSqfrG_5_SnFw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Markus Janica  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Markus Janica  
ADDRESS: MittelstraÃ\237e 10  
POST CODE: 38527  
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410245cdfbdb5000e45f842

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/D0JfVAtEr5ic6cbmuKNQGA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/D0JfVAtEr5ic6cbmuKNQGA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:40  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: timmy kelley  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1900 s orange ave  
Zip: 32806

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: mark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mark  
ADDRESS: redakt@r.thommessens.gt52  
POST CODE: 3188

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410249bfbecab000e3ea6a6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/2trCHzbuRemn\\_fp9TKGCAQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/2trCHzbuRemn_fp9TKGCAQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/2trCHzbuRemn\\_fp9TKGCAQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/2trCHzbuRemn_fp9TKGCAQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Steven Wiese  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:41  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality has been the default state of the internet since its invention. Internet service providers load all websites at the same speed. Now, Big Telecom wants to change the rules so they can extract more money from websites by making them pay to be served to customers at faster speeds.

In order to preserve net neutrality, the FCC needs to ban Big Telecom from creating these so-called "fast-lanes" which only large companies could afford. Start-ups and independent sites like Daily Kos would be left behind and crushed.

The FCC has tried protecting net neutrality twice before, and been defeated by Big Telecom in court both times. In order to legally prevent Big Telecom from destroying net neutrality once again, the FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites.

Steven Wiese

55404

=====  
From: Doug Peitz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Doug Peitz

ADDRESS: 3829 Woodstone Drive

POST CODE: 53719

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102582dac595000d1ea70f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/uCyiGG08TPWJQwOr6lu9Mg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/uCyiGG08TPWJQwOr6lu9Mg/uh1>> .

=====

From: d. scott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: d. scott  
ADDRESS: 1030 cypress ave  
POST CODE: 11385  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102572f35671000d5532e2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/LfNntPohSCqNrpgHV\\_VsYA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/LfNntPohSCqNrpgHV_VsYA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/LfNntPohSCqNrpgHV\\_VsYA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/LfNntPohSCqNrpgHV_VsYA/uh1)> .

=====

From: HÃƒƒvard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: HÃƒƒvard

ADDRESS: bodÃƒ,

POST CODE: 8006

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102371a419f5000e2fb5c5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/USOgQl8HTZax2liHVtZdcQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/USOgQl8HTZax2liHVtZdcQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Line Utne HÃ, sÃ, ien  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Line Utne HÃ, sÃ, ien

ADDRESS: Volaveien 06

POST CODE: 7374

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541023e6a26879000bdcadf8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/gdsDw49hSH6gZl6LlR8yvg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/gdsDw49hSH6gZl6LlR8yvg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mike Cardew

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike Cardew

ADDRESS: 76 Mount View ave Akron, Ohio

POST CODE: 44303

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541023638b7a18000e7c3fc7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/QJRa41fYTmK4OCpXeE4qag/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/QJRa41fYTmK4OCpXeE4qag/uh1>> .

=====

From: Monika Ullian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Monika Ullian  
ADDRESS: 359 Pork Point Road  
POST CODE: 04008  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410248d1e0849000cdb23f9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/3tV7JRcdTrSylmNhQIWqQA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/3tV7JRcdTrSylmNhQIWqQA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Patsy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patsy

ADDRESS: Elders

POST CODE: 73071

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102571c60b21000df15d3c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/w8JssdpyQfy78vV82454vQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/w8JssdpyQfy78vV82454vQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sam Harmic  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam Harmic

ADDRESS: 12819 Gatepost Ct

POST CODE: 20171

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410254bf35671000d5532e1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/pHPUzvqFTHmXuJEKMUopDQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/pHPUzvqFTHmXuJEKMUopDQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sharon Miears  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sharon Miears  
14002 Ballantrae  
Gandview, Missouri 64030

=====  
From: Simon Bunn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simon Bunn

ADDRESS: 16 Vuono Drive

POST CODE: 06905

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410229efbecab000b573b42

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/tZPMaULBRtyAQIUFFThLdw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/tZPMaULBRtyAQIUFFThLdw/uh1>> .

=====

From: SÃ,ren Svanhof

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:42

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: SÃ,ren Svanhof

ADDRESS: Ndr. Stationsvej 14S, 01-01

POST CODE: 4200

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410257d15ab86000d44fcab

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/71rfjlsISZ03eAb\\_FGGQoQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/71rfjlsISZ03eAb_FGGQoQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/71rfjlsISZ03eAb\\_FGGQoQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/71rfjlsISZ03eAb_FGGQoQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Arion Diaz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Arion Diaz

ADDRESS: 167 Wilson Ave

POST CODE: 10308

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541025bf62b49c000d163dd1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/EXi1MjwJSBSPBx2IYdC5qQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/EXi1MjwJSBSPBx2IYdC5qQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dennis Newell

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:43

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on

taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dennis Newell  
131 Boston Rd  
Chelmsford, Massachusetts 01824

=====  
From: Garald R. Hobbs  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Garald R. Hobbs

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 724 , Delta Junction, Alaska

POST CODE: 99737

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410258d62b49c000e6c581e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/cmKkJdLNSNuk1A3wjBPvBA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/cmKkJdLNSNuk1A3wjBPvBA/uh1>> .

=====

From: James Williams

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:43

Subject: Net Neutrality

Hello Chairman Tom Wheeler.

I am calling on you and the commission to reconsider the proposed rules that you claim will "protect" the open internet. Your proposal, under the guise of net neutrality, would instead authorize telecom companies to set up a "fast lane" for deep pocketed websites, while creating a "slow lane" for everyone else's websites. Basically, what you're proposing is payola, but with restrictions for "commercially unreasonable practices" and a rule against blocking lawful content.

The consequences of implementing such a ruleset would be disastrous for the infrastructure of the internet. As an example, most startups would not be able to get off the ground, as their lack of funding would put them at an overwhelming disadvantage over an established company who has deep enough pockets to bribe telecom companies to give it faster access, even if the startup is superior to the established company. Can you imagine if your rule was implemented 20 years ago? Companies like Google and Facebook exist today solely because of net neutrality. Had a payola rule existed in the 1990s, these companies would not have been able to get off the ground because their established, outdated competitors would've been paying the telecom companies off for faster service. In other words, it stifles innovation and only rewards more money to established companies.

Free speech would also be adversely affected. People use the internet as a powerful tool for political speech, and stories that would otherwise not get any attention from

mainstream media (e.g. Ferguson) can reach millions of viewers thanks to social media sites and independent blogs. These stories would never be heard under payola, as a news company like Fox could bribe telecom companies to slow access to those sites, effectively silencing them. Telecom companies could also use payola to slow access to the sites of politicians they don't like while speeding up those of politicians they favor. This can only be described as censorship and a blatant attack on the first amendment.

Another problem I see with the rules is the framework you're using to enforce them. The main reason the 2010 rules were thrown out by the courts was because you tried to enforce them using Section 706. The problem here is that, while the rules are less restrictive on telecom companies (since under S. 706, you have to allow payola), the restrictions on blocking and "commercially unreasonable practices" could still cause your rules to be brought to court, which given the authority you're using, would be unlikely to survive a court challenge.

Listen, Tom. There is only one way to actually preserve an open internet and stop telecom companies from turning the internet into cable TV on crack. You need to reclassify broadband as a common carrier under Title II. That way, you can set strong rules that would prevent discriminatory behavior from telecom companies. What you need to do afterward is put bans on blocking content, as well as a ban on paid prioritization (aka payola), then forbear from regulating the internet any further. This, my deluded friend, is the ONLY way to preserve net neutrality.

Now, I've heard tales from Republicans like Senator John McCain (R-Az) and Congressman Bob Latta (R-Oh) that deregulating telecom companies will lead to greater innovation and more jobs, while Title II will do the opposite. This is a lie. What they're preaching amounts to trickle down economics, and only serves to enrich those who are already rich.

This concludes my comment to the FCC. Have a nice day.

=====

From: Simeon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simeon  
ADDRESS: 610 Madison Street  
POST CODE: 22314  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410258a62b49c000d163dcf

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/XDrWn0xoRViu80uLv89\\_vw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/XDrWn0xoRViu80uLv89_vw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/XDrWn0xoRViu80uLv89\\_vw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/XDrWn0xoRViu80uLv89_vw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Theresa Regan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:43  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it

has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Theresa Regan  
30 Windsor Ave  
Acton, Massachusetts 01720

-----  
From: Ana  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ana

ADDRESS: 67 Rue Decret

POST CODE: Bonneville 74130, FRANCE

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102692b0a7e4000c164f5d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/ArhF9t-hTcKQQNK6pRvwDQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/ArhF9t-hTcKQQNK6pRvwDQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Daniel Walter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Walter  
ADDRESS: Linkenheim-Hochstetteten JahnstraÃ\237e 15  
POST CODE: 76351  
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541024d2b0a7e4000c164f56

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/S7ajD8DKS0SYIfarr47x8A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/S7ajD8DKS0SYIfarr47x8A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: karl

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:44

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: karl

ADDRESS: 50 E. Ridge Rd

POST CODE: 04657

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541025e5a419f5000d46c300

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/1QliV7JQRIOu1Tboz1Dozg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/1QliV7JQRIOu1Tboz1Dozg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: mike mcrill  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: mike mcrill  
ADDRESS: 8522 laymon rd  
POST CODE: 43022

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541026d91e0849000d05bea6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/B7npZfIrTiG2yCginnJTbA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/B7npZfIrTiG2yCginnJTbA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Patrick Morrissey

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:44

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC:

As a US citizen, not only I, but each of you has a duty to protect, preserve and defend our nation, our people (that means all of us) from acts that would harm even the least among us.

Creating so-called "fast-lanes" and destroying net-neutrality is antithetical to what is each of your prime responsibility.

This is a country for all of us to be shared as equitably as possible not as doled out to the rich and powerful.

Do your job!!! For all the people, not just the rich and powerful!!!

Patrick Morrissey

50701

=====

From: Peter Tran

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:44

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter Tran

ADDRESS: 315 W 33rd St APT 35C

POST CODE: 10001

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410264af35671000bbeb42c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/1EfAcfaPQ86VFeehjDvWNA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/1EfAcfaPQ86VFeehjDvWNA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Robert Hoover  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Hoover

ADDRESS: 5825 W. Louisiana ave. Lakewood, Co

POST CODE: 80232

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410264662b49c000cd1eb02

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/UvJgeD6NShKfqi\\_Ry4ztJw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/UvJgeD6NShKfqi_Ry4ztJw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/UvJgeD6NShKfqi\\_Ry4ztJw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/UvJgeD6NShKfqi_Ry4ztJw/uh1)> .

-----  
From: Ryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan

ADDRESS: 42 fairway drive

POST CODE: 90266

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410261c8b7a18000e7c3fd6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/5th6KwNpT5645KgcIWo5-Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/5th6KwNpT5645KgcIWo5-Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Vidar KalsÃ¥s  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vidar KalsÃ¥s

ADDRESS: Stegen 01

POST CODE: 5200

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541025d88b7a18000e7c3fd4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/4SR0CabsQ3600Emt2KKkeA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/4SR0CabsQ3600Emt2KKkeA/uh1>> .

=====

From: David Borja-Jakobsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Borja-Jakobsen  
ADDRESS: sÃ,ndervigvej 101  
POST CODE: 2720  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541027188b7a18000c5744f3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/pT\\_FrtPMS7iy5B7ublDVOg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/pT_FrtPMS7iy5B7ublDVOg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/pT\\_FrtPMS7iy5B7ublDVOg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/pT_FrtPMS7iy5B7ublDVOg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: jamel

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:45

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jamel

ADDRESS: roxas street tagum city

POST CODE: awdawd

COUNTRY: PH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541026e9c60b21000df15d41

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/tJMsaEx6RNqiFBTHBz9FSA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/tJMsaEx6RNqiFBTHBz9FSA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Thor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thor

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 2100

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541026ebf35671000d5532e6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/albkchD7Q1aJcsGZnnytyQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/albkchD7Q1aJcsGZnnytyQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Borja SorlÃ- Sanz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Borja SorlÃ- Sanz

ADDRESS: 01, Av. Pierre MassÃ©

POST CODE: 75014

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102737a419f5000d46c30d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/jUJkq-y8SwCssOp\\_H5gxPw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/jUJkq-y8SwCssOp_H5gxPw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/jUJkq-y8SwCssOp\\_H5gxPw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/jUJkq-y8SwCssOp_H5gxPw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Niall  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Niall

ADDRESS: UK

POST CODE: RH10 1BG

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410275afbecab000c288b24

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/BtnBJUDuQnaNvC7OG12L-A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/BtnBJUDuQnaNvC7OG12L-A/uh1>> .

=====

From: James T. Stewart  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:48  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

FCC,

I am submitting this comment today because I believe very strongly in the first

amendment and  
feel that " net neutrality" is essential for the grass roots person. I believe  
that "net neutrality is  
directly related to insuring our first amendment rights.

We do not need "fast lanes " that would be based on our ability to pay, that would  
give large corporations an unfair advantage over the average person, access should be  
free to all, not based on your ability to pay.

Lastly, "Net Neutrality " is important because it assures that the " average  
Joe " has access to information.

James T. Stewart, Sr.

James T. Stewart

38201

=====  
From: Julio Perez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Julio Perez

ADDRESS: 2329 W Farwell Ave, Unit 3N

POST CODE: 60645

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules  
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its  
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting  
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle  
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become  
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all  
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access  
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby  
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform  
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,  
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning  
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the  
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband  
access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless  
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet  
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.  
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the  
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal  
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses  
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,  
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102796a26879000decae3b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/h33aQ2PMRDWAM0yhpSxP9g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/h33aQ2PMRDWAM0yhpSxP9g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Leda Rucevic  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leda Rucevic

ADDRESS: Marticeva 71

POST CODE: 10000

COUNTRY: HR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102709b7cb89000d44c0b2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/pdPjmrulQP6gzhI-ydORWA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/pdPjmrulQP6gzhI-ydORWA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Mica  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mica  
ADDRESS: 3679 7th Ave  
POST CODE: 21037  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102776dfbdb5000cb0ba0e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/FKLXSpJWQYqARQalypBv8Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/FKLXSpJWQYqARQalypBv8Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: William  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William

ADDRESS: 1609 Quevado Jacksonville Texas

POST CODE: 75766

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541027538b7a18000c5744f5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/dmjia2VGR2ysYmuzcW6frg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/dmjia2VGR2ysYmuzcW6frg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Peter Labrie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter Labrie  
ADDRESS: 154 Bremer St  
POST CODE: 03102  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102734f1110a000cc4ecce

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/\\_fllnWfaSDyQiFsrInUNQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/_fllnWfaSDyQiFsrInUNQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/\\_fllnWfaSDyQiFsrInUNQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/_fllnWfaSDyQiFsrInUNQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Aurora  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aurora

ADDRESS: hÃ,yenhallveien23

POST CODE: 0678

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541027eb62b49c000cd1eb0e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/irR1M9sLS\\_WQDrozm8n8nw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/irR1M9sLS_WQDrozm8n8nw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/irR1M9sLS\\_WQDrozm8n8nw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/irR1M9sLS_WQDrozm8n8nw/uh1)> .

=====

From: David Lundström  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Lundström  
ADDRESS: Stålgatan 26D  
POST CODE: 75452  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410285362b49c000d163de6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/qDtAGjKnToa4O3JZa7W8Gw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/qDtAGjKnToa4O3JZa7W8Gw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:50

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Margaret Hamm  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: Ashland, KY  
Zip: 41105

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Piret  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Piret

ADDRESS: vÃ¤ike ameerika 08, Kesklinn, Tallinn

POST CODE: 15199

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410278bfbecab000e3ea6af

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/LjsYkS51T7ePZLX3eCkJkg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/LjsYkS51T7ePZLX3eCkJkg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ralph Giordano  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ralph Giordano  
ADDRESS: 443 Second av  
POST CODE: 07071  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541027b1c60b21000c7f0dba

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/oGataJrnRz6-0iZs7LqEsw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/oGataJrnRz6-0iZs7LqEsw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dave

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:52

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dave

ADDRESS: 1520 Kilohana Street

POST CODE: 96819

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410280ac60b21000df15d4b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/KSyKF1Y0TT-m\\_0y4k0kUDw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/KSyKF1Y0TT-m_0y4k0kUDw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/KSyKF1Y0TT-m\\_0y4k0kUDw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/KSyKF1Y0TT-m_0y4k0kUDw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Marko Ivanovic  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marko Ivanovic  
ADDRESS: Styrmansgatan 28 Sweden  
POST CODE: 60226 Norrköping  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541028778b7a18000c5744fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/SRF-5svsQ62GLkT\\_i9XpIw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/SRF-5svsQ62GLkT_i9XpIw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/SRF-5svsQ62GLkT\\_i9XpIw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/SRF-5svsQ62GLkT_i9XpIw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Valdis Lisis

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:52

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Valdis Licis

ADDRESS: Varnu iela 06

POST CODE: LV-1009

COUNTRY: LV

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102823dac595000d1ea72c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/YE8pczz2QrySdZ3Ab2htpQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/YE8pczz2QrySdZ3Ab2htpQ/uh1> .

=====  
From: Alexander Micucci  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:53  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Alexander Micucci

14850

=====  
From: Fuck  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Fuck

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 123456

COUNTRY: RO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102897f35671000eb3bf28

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/uwttgT8KRKWK4-wnP2xWbg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/uwttgT8KRKWK4-wnP2xWbg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Paul  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paul  
ADDRESS: Maynooth  
POST CODE: IE  
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541028bff1110a000e42e563

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/MjcvA6PcSAehFqHmSMRslg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/MjcvA6PcSAehFqHmSMRslg/uh1>> .

=====

From: peter

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:53

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: peter

ADDRESS: 10 Boneset rd North York On.

POST CODE: m2j 5c2

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102892f35671000d5532f3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/4aV57lXlQp2zgrpyOBhEuA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/4aV57lXlQp2zgrpyOBhEuA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sarah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah

ADDRESS: 12 der st

POST CODE: 07630

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410288515ab86000d44fcbe

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/o-Er56K2Quq4GH-Hg-Et3Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/o-Er56K2Quq4GH-Hg-Et3Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:54

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Rodney Hale

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 4402 weybridge

Zip: 34235

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be "slightly pregnant."

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Fredrik Laks MÃ¥nsson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Fredrik Laks MÃ¥nsson

ADDRESS: Lundagatan 57

POST CODE: 11728

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102902b0a7e4000e468ddc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/\\_JJF113qTliRIbYX8JXWqg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/_JJF113qTliRIbYX8JXWqg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/\\_JJF113qTliRIbYX8JXWqg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/_JJF113qTliRIbYX8JXWqg/uh1)> .

=====

From: marie

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:54

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: marie

ADDRESS: 1805 Lincoln Ave

POST CODE: 19808

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410291a1e0849000d05beaf

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/oPY3ZoF2Sf-Ra2nnRmHHPA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/oPY3ZoF2Sf-Ra2nnRmHHPA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ryan Roberts  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:54  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

As a student I use the internet for nearly everything, my classes are online, books, and homework is done online. If anything this bill is slowing down the future development of this country through the greed of weak individuals who can't follow whats write and wrong.

Ryan Roberts

08690

=====  
From: Aaron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aaron

ADDRESS: 581 Kamoku

POST CODE: 96826

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102976f35671000d5532fa

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/9AEQPScJTP2I09MhP51MzA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/9AEQPScJTP2I09MhP51MzA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Bjørn Helge Alnes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bjørn Helge Alnes

ADDRESS: Landsbyveien 20

POST CODE: 3158

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410297999efdf000c344f4e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/dAsVPthzRQ6rpi\\_gdWH8eA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/dAsVPthzRQ6rpi_gdWH8eA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/dAsVPthzRQ6rpi\\_gdWH8eA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/dAsVPthzRQ6rpi_gdWH8eA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Flavio Maggioni  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Flavio Maggioni

ADDRESS: Wolliner StraÃ237e 48

POST CODE: 10435

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102959fbecab000dab17aa

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/A1jHVOrdRO6jvqdPqMHMPA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/A1jHVOrdRO6jvqdPqMHMPA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Karen Robert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karen Robert  
ADDRESS: Douglas NB  
POST CODE: E3G3G7

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541026e3fbecab000b573b56

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/isDtv722RXiIno7at\\_4VCw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/isDtv722RXiIno7at_4VCw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/isDtv722RXiIno7at\\_4VCw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/isDtv722RXiIno7at_4VCw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Kevin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin

ADDRESS: 1028 Ganser Dr, Waunakee, WI

POST CODE: 53597

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541029761e0849000ec7196e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/0ISGkVYUuSxaKLSvkczvepw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/0ISGkVYUuSxaKLSvkczvepw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:55  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Suzy Lloyd  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 3605 E 660 N  
Zip: 83434

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

I am an individual citizen from Idaho and use the Internet freely every day, without regulation. I do not want to imagine what abolishing this freedom of information would represent. I'm a big believer in free enterprise and over regulation normally costs money, puts controls on the free flow of information and many other unimagined long-term consequences. I am thankful every day for the break up of AT&T allowing free enterprise to reign in the communications sector.

Please do not break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt start-ups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the undeserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you have noted, Title II would not even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard

called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy!

Thank you,

Suzy Lloyd  
Menan ID

=====  
From: François brazeau  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: François brazeau  
ADDRESS: 41 monseigneur rheaume est rouyn noranda  
POST CODE: J9x3j4  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410298cc60b21000b542413

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/jPmO\\_dhQRf2mbGR8-lpKyA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/jPmO_dhQRf2mbGR8-lpKyA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/jPmO\\_dhQRf2mbGR8-lpKyA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/jPmO_dhQRf2mbGR8-lpKyA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Håvard Karlsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Håvard Karlsen

ADDRESS: Nygata 26b

POST CODE: 2010

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102983b7cb89000cb2a8fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/H1bnzabwQ0-nN7CkzH5aCA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/H1bnzabwQ0-nN7CkzH5aCA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: conrad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: conrad

ADDRESS: 161 Allen St Hamilton

POST CODE: 4007

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102a351e0849000ec71973

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/3Y7FNKtFSji47-NpYgiKng/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/3Y7FNKtFSji47-NpYgiKng/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Daniel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel

ADDRESS: 2529 Berkshire Circle

POST CODE: 37918

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541029a5003331000ed4d357

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/41nh2zXuQTCuPSel9jd59g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/41nh2zXuQTCuPSel9jd59g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: LuÃ-s Miguel Dantas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: LuÃ-s Miguel Dantas

ADDRESS: Impasse Cidade SÃo LuÃ-s do MaranhÃo

POST CODE: 2735

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541029ad8b7a18000ddd52d9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/uWu89MgOQ5uiZkLnk\\_Ccbg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/uWu89MgOQ5uiZkLnk_Ccbg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/uWu89MgOQ5uiZkLnk\\_Ccbg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/uWu89MgOQ5uiZkLnk_Ccbg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Sigrun Gudbrandsdottir  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sigrun Gudbrandsdottir

ADDRESS: FÅ|lledvej 09

POST CODE: 2200

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410297e99efdf000c344f4f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/LCkcxOx5RPK4gYFmZ9a1sA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/LCkcxOx5RPK4gYFmZ9a1sA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Muhammad Ilyas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Muhammad Ilyas

ADDRESS: Ammerudhellinga 70

POST CODE: 0959, Oslo Norway

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102a7b8b7a18000e7c3ff4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/5mww9K\\_eTDaWvSK7hU3PUw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/5mww9K_eTDaWvSK7hU3PUw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/5mww9K\\_eTDaWvSK7hU3PUw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/5mww9K_eTDaWvSK7hU3PUw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Björn Braun  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Björn Braun  
ADDRESS: Hasenmühle 05  
POST CODE: 74177  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102abddac595000ea6fecd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/b9jDKMN5TY-WnNQGRtElg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/b9jDKMN5TY-WnNQGRtElg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Gary Wade

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:59

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The Internet must remain freely available to all interests at the same speed. If FCC allows two or more tiers of service to be established, that will result in a few money interests able to assume control via speed-strangling sites with opinions to which they are opposed.

The fiction that "free market" is in any way a democratic function is now understood for what it is, a power grab and control by large corporations and private interests.

Gary Wade

98225

=====

From: kelly

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:59

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: kelly

ADDRESS: Rua Bernardo Guimarães, 517/1200 Belo Horizonte / MG Brazil

POST CODE: 30.140.080

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102a55b7cb89000cb2a907

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/l\\_kwgZ\\_nSKqc4FPRAiehqA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/l_kwgZ_nSKqc4FPRAiehqA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/l\\_kwgZ\\_nSKqc4FPRAiehqA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/l_kwgZ_nSKqc4FPRAiehqA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Mateusz Kaplon

Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mateusz Kaplon

ADDRESS: Nadrzeczna 06, Polanica-ZdrÃ³j, Poland

POST CODE: 57-320

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541028eea26879000bdcae09

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/V0tp4SZoSO-zcAlSKs6R7w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/V0tp4SZoSO-zcAlSKs6R7w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: tim  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:48:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: tim

ADDRESS: 4724 adams

POST CODE: 66205

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102adcb7cb89000cb2a90a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/ALAo4jnpRpi9x2saMTbPEg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/ALAo4jnpRpi9x2saMTbPEg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:00  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: D  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1305 River Rd  
Zip: 04416

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what

its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Michael Oppliger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Oppliger

ADDRESS: LÃ¼tzelflÃ¼hstrasse 97

POST CODE: 3415

COUNTRY: CH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102adafbecab000b573b67

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Txla5XeQT-CdxN-zTYvLcw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Txla5XeQT-CdxN-zTYvLcw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Nedislav Kamburov  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nedislav Kamburov

ADDRESS: "????????", 05, ., .04, .28

POST CODE: 6200

COUNTRY: BG

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102a9762b49c000cd1eb1c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/0Xi7dFGhTkW9pmyVB\\_Xceg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/0Xi7dFGhTkW9pmyVB_Xceg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/0Xi7dFGhTkW9pmyVB\\_Xceg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/0Xi7dFGhTkW9pmyVB_Xceg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:00  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Ryan Flynt  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1248 Cree Drive

Zip: 80915

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Timo Schwanitz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Timo Schwanitz

ADDRESS: MÃ¶llerkamp 33

POST CODE: 30926

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102ad8f1110a000b4b4f37

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/ICJJP2-gRzKK2qG2S5bU2g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/ICJJP2-gRzKK2qG2S5bU2g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Anna Katich  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

President Obama states it better than I can so here is what he says: "President Obama, August, 2014: "One of the issues around net neutrality is whether you are creating different rates or charges for different content providers. That's the big controversy here. So you have big, wealthy media companies who might be willing to pay more and also charge more for spectrum, more bandwidth on the Internet so they can stream movies faster. I personally, the position of my administration, as well as a lot of the companies here, is that you donâ\200\231t want to start getting a differentiation in how accessible the Internet is to different users. You want to leave it open so the next Google and the next Facebook can succeed."  
I agree completely with him!!

Thanks you,

Anna Katich

Anna Katich

48455

=====  
From: Arthur Cover  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content  
Net Neutrality  
Dear FCC  
Don't you get tired of bowing before your corporate masters? Why not make a decision that's good for the people for a change?

Arthur Cover

92325

=====  
From: Christopher  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christopher

ADDRESS: 231 Alnwick Dr

POST CODE: 37737

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102b511e0849000cdb2433

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/O5O5P1kmTbqVRNPla2t1l0Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/O5O5P1kmTbqVRNPla2tl0Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark

ADDRESS: south hadley

POST CODE: 01075

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102b38c60b21000c7f0dd5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/IxFsd0zTQcaiUxkj8iRBTQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/IxFsd0zTQcaiUxkj8iRBTQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Charles Bucklin  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:02  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charles Bucklin  
 ADDRESS: 733 Morgan hill rd ,new London  
 POST CODE: 03257  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102ab1dac595000d1ea740

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/rxnsLqtOSxySnsbrxDfnAA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/rxnsLqtOSxySnsbrxDfnAA/uh1>> .

=====

From: William Gouveia

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:02

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William Gouveia

ADDRESS: Tv. Manuel Gonalves, 38

POST CODE: 26551-500 BR

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102b62f35671000eb3bf43

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/0UHdHEpTQXi36wCLawxxBw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/0UHdHEpTQXi36wCLawxxBw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Christa Wagner

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:03

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Christa Wagner

=====  
From: John Krzyston  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:03  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners\200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223

Honorable Chairman Wheeler, keeping the internet free and accessible is a cornerstone of our freedom in the 21st century. Only those countries, like China and Russia, who do NOT cherish our freedom of speech ideals have restricted their areas and access of the internet for their people. The internet was created in the mid 1960's as a way for our Federal Government to communicate information and ideas FREELY with universities, I know this because one of my professors was directly involved with the project in Ann Arbor, Michigan. MTS (Michigan Terminal System) was an early iteration of this. Think of how our progress as a country and as a leader of freedom around the world might've been hampered if a private company restricted our government's or our universities' access use of this amazing resource! What if Canadian telecom company Rogers somehow got control of a portion of the U.S. internet and decided that people in the United States did not deserve high speeds reserved for Canadians? Restricting and allowing PRIVATE concerns to rule the internet will only stifle any future progress and free exchange of ideas in the United States and its citizens. It is up to you, sir, to fight for the people, not the national and multinational corporations of the United States of America. On this anniversary of the authoring of "The Star-Spangled Banner", please protect our unique "land of the free and home of the brave"!

Protect our internet from the would-be overlords of the hungry telecom companies. Thank you.

John Krzyston

48210

=====  
From: Jon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jon

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 33441

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102b9ff35671000eb3bf46

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/g0-\\_8LCWT2KvTXhvCgLHsQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/g0-_8LCWT2KvTXhvCgLHsQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/g0-\\_8LCWT2KvTXhvCgLHsQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/g0-_8LCWT2KvTXhvCgLHsQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Michael Day  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Day

ADDRESS: Blaubeurer Weg 05

POST CODE: 72535 Heroldstatt

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102b8adfbdb5000e45f866

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/nINcmAIiTVKstHxDHKFR\\_Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/nINcmAIiTVKstHxDHKFR_Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/nINcmAIiTVKstHxDHKFR\\_Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/nINcmAIiTVKstHxDHKFR_Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Nikola  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nikola

ADDRESS: carl malmstens vÃg 28

POST CODE: 17073

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102b00fbecab000dab17b5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/WUce04usQ0qwx2lkgbt29w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/WUce04usQ0qwx2lkgbt29w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jacek Lekarski

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:04

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacek Lekarski

ADDRESS: Glogera

POST CODE: 02-051

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102aeadfbd5000cb0ba2a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/i3WKXVpiRySZvwLM26Ubxg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/i3WKXVpiRySZvwLM26Ubxg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jackie Gilcrease  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jackie Gilcrease  
323 N Washington St  
Cortez, Colorado 81321

=====  
From: Mary Mayo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Mayo  
5213 Big A Rd  
Douglasville, Georgia 30135

=====  
From: william hooper  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:04  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Do the right thing freedom from corporations is part of the net. the net must stay free

william hooper

60185

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:05  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Sharon Moses  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 215 Eberly Drive  
Zip: 26651

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Misty Lee  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Misty Lee  
2200 Holmes St  
Tupelo, Mississippi 38801

=====  
From: steven smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

steven smith  
926 NW Anjni Cir  
Corvallis, Oregon 97330

=====  
From: Timothy Small  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Timothy Small  
1030 Merrymount Ave S  
Blackwood, New Jersey 08012

=====  
From: randy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: randy

ADDRESS: 1324 alleghany ave

POST CODE: 19601

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102bf3b0a7e4000c164f71

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/z24x8RJ5QeCmmEHvbRSUHw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/z24x8RJ5QeCmmEHvbRSUHw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Richard Vajdel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard Vajdel

ADDRESS: Zelená; 27

POST CODE: martin, slovakia

COUNTRY: SK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102bbdb7cb89000d44c0d1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/xm9TyR9FRkSnemtW96gPiQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/xm9TyR9FRkSnemtW96gPiQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tuukka Apaja

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:08

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tuukka Apaja

ADDRESS: Vuorikuja 04 as. 08

POST CODE: 24100 Salo, Finland

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410282e15ab86000d44fcb9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/MtEu1lFbSS0tOX6uuRXLXg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/MtEu1lFbSS0tOX6uuRXLXg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: aaron osters  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: aaron osters

ADDRESS: 11201 o/s highway

POST CODE: 33050

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102e23c60b21000df15d76

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/-ZnXLVAyTIOuFk6mJYR7xQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/-ZnXLVAyTIOuFk6mJYR7xQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jennifer Hodi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer Hodi  
ADDRESS: 4345 San Cristo Pl  
POST CODE: Victoria V9N 5G5

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102ce7b0a7e4000dc634c6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/hR-eVMRXRQucj4YZH0JlyA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/hR-eVMRXRQucj4YZH0JlyA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jonas Jansen Ramsfjell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonas Jansen Ramsfjell

ADDRESS: RÅ, stvendarveien 32

POST CODE: 7374

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102e36dfbdb5000e45f873

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/nu-thkWRQyeJuS4vmbk7Pg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/nu-thkWRQyeJuS4vmbk7Pg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: paul ferrian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: paul ferrian

ADDRESS: 250 pope road windham maine

POST CODE: 04062

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102c61dfbdb5000cb0ba3b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/dbTDM92gREKrvsZq5FucQw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/dbTDM92gREKrvsZq5FucQw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Peter de jager

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:09

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter de jager

ADDRESS: Brampton, ont

POST CODE: L6s3b1

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102ae7003331000ed4d35b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/BF1Nv6nDQqG17RkrJi3m2Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/BF1Nv6nDQqG17RkrJi3m2Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sylvain-Paul CÃ´tÃ©  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sylvain-Paul CÃ´tÃ©

ADDRESS: 226-78 Pearson

POST CODE: J9H 5N4

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102d34a26879000decae4f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/CTp3Yj9kSHi6YRezZd0lPw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/CTp3Yj9kSHi6YRezZd0lPw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Alexander

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander

ADDRESS: SaltÃ¶ngen 15

POST CODE: 61301

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102faf003331000bc7c2e3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/osYMeCbPQq6Tk1bK5yRYPw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/osYMeCbPQq6Tk1bK5yRYPw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Daniel Dubois  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Dubois

ADDRESS: 04, rue Poincaré®

POST CODE: 89000

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102dcff1110a000d0e6907

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/i9daPvj1T3uDHbaIUw5CLA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/i9daPvj1T3uDHbaIUw5CLA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Freddy Maltbia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Freddy Maltbia  
ADDRESS: 15992 Crestwood Dr  
POST CODE: 66007  
COUNTRY: PH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102cc7a26879000ca2933a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/3GDMiIECSeCGWV7pX7EcXg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/3GDMiIECSeCGWV7pX7EcXg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joan Carles LÃ³pez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joan Carles LÃ³pez

ADDRESS: san vicens 05

POST CODE: 43201

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102c5d1e0849000cdb2441

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/G5JEKvxeQc6-CzLVDrskaQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/G5JEKvxeQc6-CzLVDrskaQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: manuel boquete geymonat  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: manuel boquete geymonat

ADDRESS: calle luis quintas goyanes 08 p4 5Â°0

POST CODE: 15009

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102bc4c60b21000c7f0dd9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/tiL2OV8uTs2Emr4\\_3JDIjQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/tiL2OV8uTs2Emr4_3JDIjQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/tiL2OV8uTs2Emr4\\_3JDIjQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/tiL2OV8uTs2Emr4_3JDIjQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Mark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark  
ADDRESS: 7153 Thomas Blvd.  
POST CODE: 15208  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102d008b7a18000c57451b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/WtIU4rlxQg2XJK-xg9QjvQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/WtIU4rlxQg2XJK-xg9QjvQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Michael Sullivan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

If there are going to be gate-keepers, then it must not be private interests that dominate and control this public resource.

Michael Sullivan

2051

=====

From: Mogens JÃ,rgensen

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mogens JÃ,rgensen

ADDRESS: ValbygÃrdsvej 60

POST CODE: 2500 Valby Denmark

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102cb7b0a7e4000e468dee

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/v0OQ2EKlThuyja4BJG188A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/v0OQ2EKlThuyja4BJG188A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Nicholas Livisay  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicholas Livisay

ADDRESS: 7976 cale falls ln

POST CODE: 38002

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102ee3f1110a000e42e591

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/IwrSBN15RvmAvHj2doX7tA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/IwrSBN15RvmAvHj2doX7tA/uh1>> .

=====

From: orazio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: orazio  
ADDRESS: milano  
POST CODE: 20162  
COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102bf9a419f5000d46c334

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/itq6QjK1RyaBjvh1-4le1g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/itq6QjK1RyaBjvh1-4le1g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Per Steenholdt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Per Steenholdt  
ADDRESS: EgegÃ¥rdsparken 75  
POST CODE: 4000  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102d93fbecab000c288b32

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/54Cw9l5GSFuqvAN2\\_3VuNg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/54Cw9l5GSFuqvAN2_3VuNg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/54Cw9l5GSFuqvAN2\\_3VuNg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/54Cw9l5GSFuqvAN2_3VuNg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Scott

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:10

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott

ADDRESS: 34 Vernon River Dr

POST CODE: 31419

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102e4bdfbdb5000cb0ba4b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/nha\\_gcxl1TL-TQCDp-yDaiw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/nha_gcxl1TL-TQCDp-yDaiw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/nha\\_gcxl1TL-TQCDp-yDaiw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/nha_gcxl1TL-TQCDp-yDaiw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: eon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: eon

ADDRESS: 113 westmkinster wa, madison, AL

POST CODE: 35758

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102d89b0a7e4000bf69de3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/2M\\_7nLcbQwqHzOkTITfxVQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/2M_7nLcbQwqHzOkTITfxVQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/2M\\_7nLcbQwqHzOkTITFxVQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/2M_7nLcbQwqHzOkTITFxVQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: GLORIA VEBBER  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:11  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

GLORIA VEBBER  
PO BOX 184  
SAUQUOIT, New York 13456

=====  
From: Martin Mussmann  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin Mussmann

ADDRESS: Strandgårdsvej 05

POST CODE: 4600

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410300d444842000c5ffa67

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/lPmbuxHHSKqhxT7XH11E2A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/lPmbuxHHSKqhxT7XH11E2A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tim Trefz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:11  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

I writing you in almost a feeling of sadness about the forwarding rules of

cooperation taken over the internet. People all over the world are watching the FCC and you the head of this branch of government. Yoy should be making the right decision "For The People" not for big corporations to take over and rule. We have had enough of corporations call the shots in too many facets of our great country and over our lives. I will be watching this and how you side up very closely alongside the world! Please make the right move and do the "Right" thing. You make more than most Americans and you work for the people. Remember that please and have a heart!

Thank you for reading!

A proud American! Tim

Tim Trefz

27101

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:13  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: John Lorince  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 11121 Vernon Ave  
Zip: 44125

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please donâ\200\231t break the Internet. Donâ\200\231t abandon the â\200\234Hands off the â\200\230Netâ\200\235 approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built todayâ\200\231s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title IIâ\200\231s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&Tâ\200\231s government-created monopoly. Theyâ\200\231re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We donâ\200\231t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling todayâ\200\231s bipartisan â\200\234Hands off the Netâ\200\235 approach. You canâ\200\231t have â\200\234just enough Title IIâ\200\235 any more than you can be â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ\200\231t intend.

Yet, as youâ\200\231ve noted, Title II wouldnâ\200\231t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\200\234fast lanes.â\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â\200\234vigilant restraint.â\200\235 But remember Kennardâ\200\231s digital mantra, too: â\200\234First, do no harm.â\200\235 If you conclude that existing laws arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section

706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Abrahn Guillen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Abrahn Guillen

ADDRESS: 11250 Dale St apt 11 Garden Grove Ca 92841

POST CODE: 92841

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103131444842000ec584aa

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Ailav0JxSUKdTxsZwpW4dg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Ailav0JxSUKdTxsZwpW4dg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Albert

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:14

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Albert

ADDRESS: Wroclaw

POST CODE: 50-511

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102f99dfbdb5000cb0ba51

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/mCYO-iuiQEGWbLHAKrYA4w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/mCYO-iuiQEGWbLHAKrYA4w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Emilio Spinetti  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emilio Spinetti  
ADDRESS: DyvingegrÃ¶nd 23  
POST CODE: 16363  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103092b0a7e4000dc634e0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/IwoT4p1CStmWX-J6uU8IvA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/IwoT4p1CStmWX-J6uU8IvA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marie LavallÃ©e  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marie LavallÃ©e

ADDRESS: Chester Basin, NS

POST CODE: B0J 1K0

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102fa48b7a18000ddd52f1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/1E1k4XAmQX6OxeAE7jfBow/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/1E1k4XAmQX6OxeAE7jfBow/uh1>> .

=====  
From: M.weijerse  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: M.weijerse  
ADDRESS: veen  
POST CODE: 3232gs  
COUNTRY: NL  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102f9fdfdb5000cb0ba53

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/Lp91WeE3SiqUrTvEKfCr8w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/Lp91WeE3SiqUrTvEKfCr8w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Richard Vajdel

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:14

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard Vajdel

ADDRESS: Zelenã; 27

POST CODE: 03608

COUNTRY: SK

COMMENT: Net neutrality is the First Amendment of the Internet, the principle that Internet service providers (ISPs) treat all data equally. As an Internet user, net neutrality is vitally important to me. The FCC should use its Title II authority to protect it.

Most Americans have only one choice for truly high speed Internet: their local cable company. This is a political failure, and it is an embarrassment. America deserves competition and choice.

Without net neutrality, a bad situation gets even worse. These ISPs will now be able to manipulate our Internet experience by speeding up some services and slowing down others. That kills choice, diversity, and quality.

It also causes tremendous economic harm. If ISPs can speed up favored services and slow others, new businesses will no longer be able to rely on a level playing field. When ISPs can slow your site and destroy your business at will, how can any startup attract investors?

My friends, family, and I use the Internet for conversation and fun, but also for work

and business. When you let ISPs mess with our Internet experience, you are attacking our social lives, our entertainment, and our economic well being. We won't stand for it.

ISPs are opposing Title II so that they can destroy the FCC's net neutrality rules in court. This is the same trick they pulled last time. Please, let's not be fooled again. Title II is the strong, legally sound way to enforce net neutrality. Use it.

---

REF: 541030c3dfbdb5000cb0ba5c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/zzSIUkU8TM-FsY0GyJMZIw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/zzSIUkU8TM-FsY0GyJMZIw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: donnie anjomi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: donnie anjomi

ADDRESS: 406 locust st n sulphur springs tx

POST CODE: 75482

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102f41b7cb89000cb2a928

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/nunBlYlTQzmiYW-jplwOCw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/nunBlYlTQzmiYW-jplwOCw/uh1>> .

=====

From: KreÃ\237 Damian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: KreÃ\237 Damian  
ADDRESS: HauptstraÃ\237e 65  
POST CODE: DE-74931  
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103197f1110a000d0e691e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/jP7k6FhYS5mTuGh9MLuYVA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/jP7k6FhYS5mTuGh9MLuYVA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ros Henke  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ros Henke

ADDRESS: B6 Huerta Delos Naranjos

POST CODE: 29650

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54102efcf1110a000e42e593

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/MWZyFRDfT363GSW4SXQFcQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/MWZyFRDfT363GSW4SXQFcQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: SÃ©rgio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: SÃ©rgio

ADDRESS: Portugal

POST CODE: Portugal

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410300f15ab86000d44fcfc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/PkRsnijITpeaA01QnvMnYQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/PkRsnijITpeaA01QnvMnYQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Geraldine Morris  
Po Box 887  
Window Rock, AZ 86515

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Susan Harvey  
8875 Bechtel Rd  
Elyria, OH 44035

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:16  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Randy Bernard  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 18 Plateau Rd  
Zip: 28805

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please donâ\200\231t break the Internet. Donâ\200\231t abandon the â\200\234Hands off the â\200\230Netâ\200\235 approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built todayâ\200\231s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title IIâ\200\231s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&Tâ\200\231s government-created monopoly. Theyâ\200\231re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We donâ\200\231t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling

todayâ\200\231s bipartisan â\200\234Hands off the Netâ\200\235 approach. You canâ\200\231t have â\200\234just enough Title IIâ\200\235 any more than you can be â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ\200\231t intend.

Yet, as youâ\200\231ve noted, Title II wouldnâ\200\231t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\200\234fast lanes.â\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â\200\234vigilant restraint.â\200\235 But remember Kennardâ\200\231s digital mantra, too: â\200\234First, do no harm.â\200\235 If you conclude that existing laws arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Stephen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephen  
ADDRESS: 15 Dutchess Terrace  
POST CODE: 12508  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541031a9c60b21000c7f0e02

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/9FRA1\\_tESQ-ymXNaR2ydDw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/9FRA1_tESQ-ymXNaR2ydDw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/9FRA1\\_tESQ-ymXNaR2ydDw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/9FRA1_tESQ-ymXNaR2ydDw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Brian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian

ADDRESS: 25724 Hanover

POST CODE: 48125

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541032f5f1110a000d0e6924

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/yi8MgVfJRAuxKvFuZ\\_xaOQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/yi8MgVfJRAuxKvFuZ_xaOQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/yi8MgVfJRAuxKvFuZ\\_xaOQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/yi8MgVfJRAuxKvFuZ_xaOQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Andre Tate  
1241 S California Ave  
Chicago, IL 60608

=====  
From: James Haughwout  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James Haughwout

ADDRESS: 2201 Mill Rd, Apt 111, Alexandria, VA

POST CODE: 22314

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410333fdac595000b708537

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/DNZWjeo6RvGEVD-QZOyztA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/DNZWjeo6RvGEVD-QZOyztA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:17

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Roy Richcihi  
5213 Knox Dr  
The Colony, TX 75056

=====  
From: Ryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan

ADDRESS: 26 Hugh Street

POST CODE: BT20 4NE

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410328199efdf000e081b54

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/\\_CF41L6sTcCdvuNWVESN-A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/_CF41L6sTcCdvuNWVESN-A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/\\_CF41L6sTcCdvuNWVESN-A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/_CF41L6sTcCdvuNWVESN-A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Steve Gerdes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:18  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Steve Gerdes

90808

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kyle Lervold  
1905 Washington St  
Concordia, KS 66901

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ferdinand Ignacio  
569 Nantucket Dr  
Chula Vista, CA 91911

=====  
From: Gwen Moore  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:19

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gwen Moore  
1114 Calhoun 149  
Hampton, Arkansas 71744

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:19  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Debra Cigis  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 470 Bombishi Rd Utica, Pa  
Zip: 16362

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the

FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Alysson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Alysson  
ADDRESS: Rua Tenente Jos<sup>o</sup> Roberto Reynaldo  
POST CODE: 13213-129  
COUNTRY: BR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410320d003331000c6108b8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/OaxxM6qLS0malAcytWUawg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/OaxxM6qLS0malAcytWUawg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:20

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Eli Mccauley  
10445 N Oracle Rd Ste 121  
Oro Valley, AZ 85737

=====  
From: Brandy Coghill  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brandy Coghill  
1226 Burrell Ave Apt B  
Lewiston, Idaho 83501

=====  
From: Dan Evans  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dan Evans

ADDRESS: 501 N> Ocean St

POST CODE: Jacksonville fl 32202

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410310f444842000d5b96c6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/rpkvDITGRfCuywj-vW-xNQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/rpkvDITGRfCuywj-vW-xNQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ivana Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ivana Williams

ADDRESS: Kardeljeva c. 68, Maribor, Slovenia

POST CODE: 2000

COUNTRY: SI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410316afbecab000dab17e7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/\\_Ky4Nf9NQh-9bzVbHxAHyA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/_Ky4Nf9NQh-9bzVbHxAHyA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/\\_Ky4Nf9NQh-9bzVbHxAHyA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/_Ky4Nf9NQh-9bzVbHxAHyA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Marcus Callahan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:20

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcus Callahan

ADDRESS: 3562 vinyard way

POST CODE: 30044

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103428c60b21000b542435

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/ZVEooSaYQOy02TKim9uyaQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/ZVEooSaYQOy02TKim9uyaQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Pamela Holcomb  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pamela Holcomb  
ADDRESS: 6059 Applegrove ln  
POST CODE: 49024  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103369b7cb89000b3ce3dc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/7nQjdFuxRXmtpZ1lMfQ6Tg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/7nQjdFuxRXmtpZ1lMfQ6Tg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the

century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Loretta Goberdhan  
15078 87th Rd  
Jamaica, NY 11432

=====  
From: trung  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: trung

ADDRESS: Vung Tã u

POST CODE: 064

COUNTRY: VN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410344d1e0849000d05bee1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/wgp81E81Q4WXf\\_O-cV0zqg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/wgp81E81Q4WXf_O-cV0zqg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/wgp81E81Q4WXf\\_O-cV0zqg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/wgp81E81Q4WXf_O-cV0zqg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Yolande Allen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Yolande Allen

ADDRESS: 137 Blackmon

POST CODE: 39272

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541031288b7a18000ddd52f7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/k4JHDfbfSv6SyJCP06TGcg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/k4JHDfbfSv6SyJCP06TGcg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable

will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sara Chilson  
7375 Greylock Ave  
Riverside, CA 92504

=====  
From: Dan Paulien  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I urge you to preserve the open internet that has served us so well and not allow big corporate interests to buy preferred access, degrading the service for the rest of us. I hope you will listen to the voices of individual citizens who support retaining true net neutrality.

Dan Paulien

80203

=====  
From: Falguni  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Falguni  
ADDRESS: Dubai, UAE  
POST CODE: 9576  
COUNTRY: AE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541034938b7a18000ddd5308

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Gpj37XlEQjGfyrWgo4DRug/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Gpj37XlEQjGfyrWgo4DRug/uh1>> .

=====  
From: F. Kroynik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: F. Kroynik

ADDRESS: 1145 46 street

POST CODE: brooklyn, n.y. 11219

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541031151e0849000cdb2474

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/YUeiD6rRTdehif1SOq7kcg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/YUeiD6rRTdehif1SOq7kcg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Graham Vajnagi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Graham Vajnagi

ADDRESS: 2515 place Ardennes app 302 Brossard QuÃ©bec Canada

POST CODE: J4z3p4

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103017dfbdb5000b871c64

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/4qwaPAbQSgOQ0dBCf3AETA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/4qwaPAbQSgOQ0dBCf3AETA/uh1>> .

=====

From: James. Barnard

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James. Barnard

ADDRESS: 850 Settlers Trace

POST CODE: 4711w

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541030f1dfbdb5000cb0ba60

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/q9Ne-\\_13Q8SKXf3BWVYgWw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/q9Ne-_13Q8SKXf3BWVYgWw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/q9Ne-\\_13Q8SKXf3BWVYgWw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/q9Ne-_13Q8SKXf3BWVYgWw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Kelly  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelly

ADDRESS: 323 Oakwood Terrace

POST CODE: 55127

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410305b003331000c6108ab

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/3G0c-O8HSM61Z9BLfyYOMg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/3G0c-O8HSM61Z9BLfyYOMg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Marek Borik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marek Borik

ADDRESS: Lucenec

POST CODE: 98401

COUNTRY: SK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103198b0a7e4000e468e12

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/mMAjXdZlRuSMrm4B6zsQHg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/mMAjXdZlRuSMrm4B6zsQHg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mayeux  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mayeux

ADDRESS: 3Ã , rue de la marseillaise, Vincennes, France

POST CODE: 94300

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541031adf35671000eb3bf78

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/pCgIG-ZUSEuqWv3-RV5qqg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/pCgIG-ZUSEuqWv3-RV5qqg/uh1>> .

=====

From: n

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: n

ADDRESS: cortland

POST CODE: 44410

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541030ed62b49c000cd1eb55

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/ozdwClp5RmmQCb9rMKhxcw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/ozdwClp5RmmQCb9rMKhxcw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Darrell Hilbourn  
576 Walnut St Apt 02  
Columbia, PA 17512

=====  
From: Valdemar Lemche  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Valdemar Lemche

ADDRESS: Brännestadsvägen 127

POST CODE: 29893

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410329ab0a7e4000c164f86

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/8\\_FMDET0RSKQQS60cvZxtQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/8_FMDET0RSKQQS60cvZxtQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/8\\_FMDET0RSKQQS60cvZxtQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/8_FMDET0RSKQQS60cvZxtQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: ????????

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:22

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ????????

ADDRESS: Moscow

POST CODE: 43700

COUNTRY: RU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541034a8fbecab000b573bad

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/OYsClkdLQDKcsHdGN6uCPg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/OYsClkdLQDKcsHdGN6uCPg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: AndrÅ© Ergin

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:22

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: AndrÅ© Ergin

ADDRESS: VÅ¥rbergsplan 04

POST CODE: 12743

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541034bc8b7a18000c574550

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/hmsKYnFaTxycMRawxYB-lA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/hmsKYnFaTxycMRawxYB-lA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Anna  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anna

ADDRESS: G11pU

POST CODE: DD84DE

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410350edac595000ea6ff0c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/lw-1NOAnTIy1cZmF-x-9nA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/lw-1NOAnTIy1cZmF-x-9nA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
David Colleen  
521 6th St  
San Francisco, CA 94103

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ambrose Gailes  
9170 Marne Rd  
Fort Benning, GA 31905

=====  
From: JÃ,rgen Reitan Sivertsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: JÃ,rgen Reitan Sivertsen  
ADDRESS: Riga, Latvia  
POST CODE: 90210  
COUNTRY: LV  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541034c4f1110a000cc4ecf8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/KXYy860sTvG9MzLFCqrYqw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/KXYy860sTvG9MzLFCqrYqw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: June Harrild  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: June Harrild

ADDRESS: Mindegade 12, 01 sal, dÃ, r 03

POST CODE: 7400

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541033b662b49c000e6c585b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/nAe4pIrVQ2yeV8Udb1n\\_1w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/nAe4pIrVQ2yeV8Udb1n_1w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/nAe4pIrVQ2yeV8Udb1n\\_1w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/nAe4pIrVQ2yeV8Udb1n_1w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Keegan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:22

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Keegan

ADDRESS: 601 Livingston Ave NE

POST CODE: 49503

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541033308b7a18000e7c4039

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/lB6hoh8URGmVobKYOR3aBw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/lB6hoh8URGmVobKYOR3aBw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Glen Francis  
122 N Rutland St  
Watertown, NY 13601

-----  
From: Wojciech Daletka  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wojciech Daletka  
ADDRESS: pl.Solny 15 Wroclaw  
POST CODE: 50-062  
COUNTRY: PL  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541034f6f35671000d55333c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/OAoAwk5OSxqj-xB1t\\_ufQg/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/OAoAwk5OSxqj-xB1t_ufQg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/OAoAwk5OSxqj-xB1t\\_ufQg/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/OAoAwk5OSxqj-xB1t_ufQg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Denis Bereziat  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denis Bereziat

ADDRESS: La coudrÃ©e

POST CODE: 71300

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541033441e0849000ec719a1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/Du8FsCRtT6KmnVIK2sODaQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/Du8FsCRtT6KmnVIK2sODaQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Marijan Mikolic  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marijan Mikolic

ADDRESS: Pridolska 14

POST CODE: 51250

COUNTRY: HR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541034cfb7cb89000e2b2590

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/RNSFEvjRS0W5nwL9-O5aAA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/RNSFEvjRS0W5nwL9-O5aAA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Paul McCann  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Paul McCann

ADDRESS: 1421 Greenwood St. Evanston, IL

POST CODE: 60201

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541034c9c60b21000e117db1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/wUduUG1IRzec9NvWG42wwg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/wUduUG1IRzec9NvWG42wwg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:23

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Thomas Patrick  
13668 Union Village Cir  
Clifton, VA 20124

=====  
From: Carlin Florence  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carlin Florence

ADDRESS: 08 avenue Andr   Malraux

POST CODE: 67400

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541035a6b0a7e4000e468e39

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/TPQq0pFzRj62qAz\\_fVo1Rg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/TPQq0pFzRj62qAz_fVo1Rg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/TPQq0pFzRj62qAz\\_fVo1Rg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/TPQq0pFzRj62qAz_fVo1Rg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dariel Wallace  
4304 Malcolm Ave  
Louisville, KY 40215

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:24  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: effie irene dobson  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1904 loop rd  
Zip: 37766

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible

the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Enter your full name here  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Enter your full name here  
ADDRESS: Enter your address line 01 here  
POST CODE: Enter your zip code here  
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541035f5b0a7e4000e468e3d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/VTxrQLxgS\\_yD-dTrjLoPQA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/VTxrQLxgS_yD-dTrjLoPQA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/VTxrQLxgS\\_yD-dTrjLoPQA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/VTxrQLxgS_yD-dTrjLoPQA/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
William Mooney  
7301 Lola Dr  
N Richlnd Hls, TX 76180

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jesus Lucero  
201 S Oleander Ave  
Compton, CA 90220

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Edith Palmer  
911 Douglas Ave  
Yankton, SD 57078

=====  
From: Frank  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Frank

ADDRESS: 13 Tyler Drive

POST CODE: 17331

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410364dc60b21000c7f0e22

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/F2OLily\\_Q5iWt50AHRU1Mg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/F2OLily_Q5iWt50AHRU1Mg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/F2OLily\\_Q5iWt50AHRU1Mg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/F2OLily_Q5iWt50AHRU1Mg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Krishn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Krishn

ADDRESS: 1423 Northgate Sq Apt 2A, Reston, VA

POST CODE: 20190

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541035f11e0849000cdb24a4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/Ox5Bt2TYRjqRzuEgOXIFOQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/Ox5Bt2TYRjqRzuEgOXIFOQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:25  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: nancy ridenour  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 226 river st  
Zip: 52246

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II's any more than you can be slightly pregnant's.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes's.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Rebecca Söderlund  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rebecca Söderlund

ADDRESS: Vastmanlandsg 4E

POST CODE: 21429

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541035441e0849000cdb249d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/Un0osYRhRRqdb5YlzkB9Qw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/Un0osYRhRRqdb5YlzkB9Qw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:26

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Al Sharkaawy  
301 E 47th St Apt 10b  
New York, NY 10017

=====

From: DAVID DESPRES

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:26

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: DAVID DESPRES

ADDRESS: 169 Newell Hill Road

POST CODE: 015641430

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541032d3f35671000bbeb43e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/KHMSe0f9QrS-5J4a-TbYcA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/KHMSe0f9QrS-5J4a-TbYcA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lori StClair  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lori StClair

ADDRESS: 01 Linden Ln

POST CODE: 46304

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410367b003331000ed4d37f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/Dm1vcuTTQfuasOYgDo4Xuw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/Dm1vcuTTQfuasOYgDo4Xuw/uh1>> .

=====

From: robert deleon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: robert deleon  
ADDRESS: 57 cox st  
POST CODE: 01749  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410367e62b49c000cd1eb81

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/WOe5wcycSbC-gRUYBcV2kg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/WOe5wcycSbC-gRUYBcV2kg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Steve Rosenblath  
Po Box 131503  
Houston, TX 77219

=====  
From: Terry Thomas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Terry Thomas

ADDRESS: 6208+LOLA+DR

POST CODE: 29067

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103554003331000bc7c307

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/piHcZ3iBQ8WT3eIsLg239g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/piHcZ3iBQ8WT3eIsLg239g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Timothy Andersson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Timothy Andersson

ADDRESS: gÅtsgatan 99

POST CODE: 11662

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103620dfbdb5000cb0baa0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/MwGo0lY9RyiiiExdnWlHh7Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/MwGo0lY9RyiiiExdnWlHh7Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:27

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Linda Bryson  
289 Ardsley Rd  
Waterbury, CT 06708

-----  
From: MÃ;rcia Novais  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MÃ;rcia Novais

ADDRESS: Rua de Santo Ildefonso 399 02

POST CODE: 4000

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410368599efdf000e081b77

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/sGsFYsNyQTKNmyJFrAbIPQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/sGsFYsNyQTKNmyJFrAbIPQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Araceli Hernandez  
4706 W 104th St  
Inglewood, CA 90304

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Martha Jensen  
415 Mckenzie Dr  
Dixon, CA 95620

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:28  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: IRIS THOMAS  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 258 Co.Rd. 248  
Zip: 36310

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please donâ\200\231t break the Internet. Donâ\200\231t abandon the â\200\234Hands off the â\200\230Netâ\200\235 approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built todayâ\200\231s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title IIâ\200\231s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&Tâ\200\231s government-created monopoly. Theyâ\200\231re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We donâ\200\231t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling todayâ\200\231s bipartisan â\200\234Hands off the Netâ\200\235 approach. You canâ\200\231t have â\200\234just enough Title IIâ\200\235 any more than you can be â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Lashley A  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lashley A

ADDRESS: 8130 Old Georgetown Road

POST CODE: 20814

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103664003331000ed4d37e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/j1RNh4koQrWMCqx0XR6b6g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/j1RNh4koQrWMCqx0XR6b6g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marko Balkovec  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marko Balkovec

ADDRESS: Kosenice 07

POST CODE: 8000 Novo mesto, Slovenija, Europe

COUNTRY: HR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541036b6c60b21000c7f0e25

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/8KYlE-lHQheOw8vtvqEEKg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/8KYlE-lHQheOw8vtvqEEKg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Monica Trujillo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Monica Trujillo

ADDRESS: 1038 se nazomi ave

POST CODE: 97123

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410373afbecab000b573bc3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/yHzYCzqASfiiZhnP4LFQLg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/yHzYCzqASfiiZhnP4LFQLg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: robin holzner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: robin holzner

ADDRESS: trÅkÅorrslÅottsvÅogen 64

POST CODE: 47141

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541036e5b7cb89000d44c126

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/LS-btpxjSXE7wPikKkODgw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/LS-btpxjSXE7wPikKkODgw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:28

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Terri Bauman

3610 Westgrove Dr

Arlington, TX 76001

=====  
From: Guðsteinn Fannar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Guðsteinn Fannar

ADDRESS: Hringbraut 46

POST CODE: 230 Reykjanesbær

COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541036cf62b49c000e6c5870

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/ACy\\_OOmFSTGr3xA5VZT0eg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/ACy_OOmFSTGr3xA5VZT0eg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/ACy\\_OOmFSTGr3xA5VZT0eg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/ACy_OOmFSTGr3xA5VZT0eg/uh1)> .

=====

From: john

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:29

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john

ADDRESS: 45 shrader

POST CODE: 92110

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541035de003331000c6108da

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/xajnmPGmQgy0tONVp9wvUg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/xajnmPGmQgy0tONVp9wvUg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kena Ficklin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kena Ficklin

ADDRESS: 8575 Briar Rose Point

POST CODE: 33473-7819

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541037a68b7a18000c574561

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/cxmnJVbKSCuDDDYAkir9gw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/cxmnJVbKSCuDDDYAkir9gw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Mike

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:29

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike

ADDRESS: 03 tulip tree Ln

POST CODE: 10543

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103798444842000ba9067a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/Sd2y0co1TPOSROplDKBDqg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/Sd2y0co1TPOSROplDKBDqg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robb Johnson  
245 W Magill Ave  
Clovis, CA 93612

=====  
From: TIFFANY COX  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

TIFFANY COX  
3304 Southgreen Rd  
Windsor Mill, Maryland 21244

=====  
From: Brianna  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brianna  
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 32839  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410381b003331000bc7c316

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/ZxcuKwFtQ1aXMimVBB2LEA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/ZxcuKwFtQ1aXMimVBB2LEA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Young Jang  
1130 Shagbark Ct  
Hoffman Est, IL 60192

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Francisco Rodriguez  
3944 W Palm Ln  
Phoenix, AZ 85009

=====  
From: Jason Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Jason Smith  
ADDRESS: 179 Cassa Loop  
POST CODE: 11742  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541037d88b7a18000ddd5320

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/pCHY-UR2S8-KTza-e5BHyw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/pCHY-UR2S8-KTza-e5BHyw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kent  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kent  
ADDRESS: 715 W. North 5th St.  
POST CODE: 62565  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410374099efdf000e081b7d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/w000tFeiTX6WDyKzfqAqHw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/w000tFeiTX6WDyKzfqAqHw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Robert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert

ADDRESS: 2119 N 59th st

POST CODE: 19131

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103849b7cb89000d44c139

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/NI2-J54MQv6Pv0-00I55AA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/NI2-J54MQv6Pv0-00I55AA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Steffen Eivik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steffen Eivik  
ADDRESS: Olaveien 16 B  
POST CODE: 8008 Bod ,  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541037a88b7a18000c574562

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/UQIfNgv0RSqaAupbiy801Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/UQIfNgv0RSqaAupbiy801Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Armando  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Armando  
ADDRESS: Laredo  
POST CODE: 78045  
COUNTRY: MX  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541038ac15ab86000cb1b040

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/f02m39GjQnKYy8pm2zEeXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/f02m39GjQnKYy8pm2zEeXA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:31

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ivette Melgar  
556 Piccadilly Row  
Antioch, TN 37013

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear Ãçâ\202-â\200\234 investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Terrence Moser  
206 S Bragg St  
Monroe, NC 28112

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ann Frostick  
Po Box 6885  
Charleston, WV 25362

=====  
From: bud day  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: bud day  
ADDRESS: 13604 cypress  
POST CODE: 64030  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541038c6fbecab000dab182b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/ZwuovLukTLiWWquQ2CsK3Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/ZwuovLukTLiWWquQ2CsK3Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:32  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Anita Drink  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 5220 Simpson Ferry Rd, Mechanicsburg, PA  
Zip: 17050

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they

wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have "just enough Title II" any more than you can be "slightly pregnant."

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim, whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Maggie Saucedo  
4605 Elm Tree Ct  
Arlington, TX 76017

=====  
From: Mutlu Can YILMAZ  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Mutlu Can YILMAZ  
ADDRESS: Bozayk/Bilecik/Turkey  
POST CODE: 11300  
COUNTRY: TR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410387799efdf000d80528b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/d2eA8C7DQ7et3hclB5629Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/d2eA8C7DQ7et3hclB5629Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rolling  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rolling

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 75000

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541038e1f1110a000cc4ed10

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/RUUYGScvRB-a\\_erlrQ9Q4Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/RUUYGScvRB-a_erlrQ9Q4Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/RUUYGScvRB-a\\_erlrQ9Q4Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/RUUYGScvRB-a_erlrQ9Q4Q/uh1)> .

=====

From: Sally

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:32

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sally

ADDRESS: 7617 maple branch rd

POST CODE: 20123

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103867b7cb89000d44c13b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/-dxqiw5FRZyRZAvMWWTrZg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/-dxqiw5FRZyRZAvMWWTrZg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: William Hardy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William Hardy

ADDRESS: 51 Players Lane

POST CODE: 08021

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541037bda26879000e0b0d4c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/MN0HEQTLsZuI3EqvVTWkHg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/MN0HEQTLsZuI3EqvVTWkHg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Adam rigoli  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam rigoli

ADDRESS: 9280c boca gardens pkwy

POST CODE: 33496

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541038e3003331000c610901

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/65oJR\\_X\\_SQ2mmhWZAI78w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/65oJR_X_SQ2mmhWZAI78w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/65oJR\\_X\\_SQ2mmhWZAI78w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/65oJR_X_SQ2mmhWZAI78w/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jacob Thomas  
540 Capitol Village Cir  
San Jose, CA 95136

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:33  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Laura Neiman  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 60 Seaman Ave  
Zip: 10034

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II's any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Nguy?n H?ng Quang  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nguy?n H?ng Quang

ADDRESS: Vietnam

POST CODE: 7788

COUNTRY: VN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103929dac595000ea6ff31

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/3hj-4HCPQw2gKzfhJLNoAw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/3hj-4HCPQw2gKzfhJLNoAw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Wayne Fincannon  
115 Glendale Ave  
Asheville, NC 28803

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:34  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Rebecca L. Carter  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 16299 East Idaho Place  
Zip: 80017

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment

and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Jeremias  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeremias  
ADDRESS: Väälimerenkatu 04 A 01  
POST CODE: 00220  
COUNTRY: FI  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103941f1110a000b4b4f4f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/4vQwL0ubScsAts8ofIM1tg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/4vQwL0ubScsAts8ofIM1tg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lill Cecilie Hansen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lill Cecilie Hansen

ADDRESS: Å\205ttringen 107

POST CODE: N- 8070 BodÅ,

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103956c60b21000e117db8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/lGbqzhNTR06VuPB5cwA-Og/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/lGbqzhNTR06VuPB5cwA-Og/uh1>> .

-----  
From: MarÃ-a Cruz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MarÃ-a Cruz

ADDRESS: PO 19

POST CODE: 00918

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541038ef1e0849000cdb24c4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/3s7VEiCeRgWQyENoBbxi0g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/3s7VEiCeRgWQyENoBbxi0g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Scott Erickson

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:34

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott Erickson

ADDRESS: 1108 ANTLER DR

POST CODE: 78154

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541038af444842000ec584c9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/NYh\\_8LaGRBejXkF4wybXqg/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/NYh_8LaGRBejXkF4wybXqg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/NYh\\_8LaGRBejXkF4wybXqg/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/NYh_8LaGRBejXkF4wybXqg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:35

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Orlando Rivera

Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Address: 2819 N Mulligan Ave

Zip: 60634

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we

trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Marc Spafford  
579 E 1640 N  
Orem, UT 84097

=====  
From: JÃ,rgen Sandvik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JÃ,rgen Sandvik  
ADDRESS: Platous gate  
POST CODE: 0190/ oslo  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541039f81e0849000cdb24ce

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/xfJkKeg7S92Lz9Mz4icL4A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/xfJkKeg7S92Lz9Mz4icL4A/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:35  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: paul Oliver  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx .com  
Address: po box 617 hampton  
Zip: 37658

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please donâ\200\231t break the Internet. Donâ\200\231t abandon the â\200\234Hands off the â\200\230Netâ\200\235 approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built todayâ\200\231s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title IIâ\200\231s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&Tâ\200\231s government-created monopoly. Theyâ\200\231re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We donâ\200\231t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling todayâ\200\231s bipartisan â\200\234Hands off the Netâ\200\235 approach. You canâ\200\231t have â\200\234just enough Title IIâ\200\235 any more than you can be â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ\200\231t intend.

Yet, as youâ\200\231ve noted, Title II wouldnâ\200\231t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\200\234fast lanes.â\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard

called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: rich feeney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: rich feeney

ADDRESS: 919ridge rd hodges s.c.

POST CODE: 29653

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410398c1e0849000cdb24cb

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/6v1q3ljcQamtCDds5zLeHw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/6v1q3ljcQamtCDds5zLeHw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tim Harrod  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tim Harrod  
ADDRESS: 17 Bradford Lane  
POST CODE: Litchfield, NH 03052  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410393cf35671000eb3bfd1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Y\\_W1fvpbRJ-xgLZop94Chg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Y_W1fvpbRJ-xgLZop94Chg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Y\\_W1fvpbRJ-xgLZop94Chg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Y_W1fvpbRJ-xgLZop94Chg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:36

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will

changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Nichols  
2604 Driftwood Dr  
Mesquite, TX 75150

=====  
From: Justin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin  
ADDRESS: 158 Greenville Ave  
POST CODE: 75485  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541039c7444842000ba90688

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/w7d42rDsQb2MAe\\_yP15MyA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/w7d42rDsQb2MAe_yP15MyA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/w7d42rDsQb2MAe\\_yP15MyA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/w7d42rDsQb2MAe_yP15MyA/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:36

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Lynn & Gary McGlocklin

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 2100 109th Ave SE

Zip: 98004

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they

wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have "just enough Title II" any more than you can be "slightly pregnant."

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Nils  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nils

ADDRESS: Blåbärsvägen 05

POST CODE: SWEDEN

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541039f1dfbdb5000b871c71

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/7\\_GHPwfT-SgySfFpV2\\_HQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/7_GHPwfT-SgySfFpV2_HQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/7\\_GHPwfT-SgySfFpV2\\_HQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/7_GHPwfT-SgySfFpV2_HQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:36  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Billy Pardo  
Po Box 4449  
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

=====  
From: Carling McManus  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Carling McManus  
ADDRESS: 509 Washington street, lewisburg  
POST CODE: 24901  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103ad0f35671000d55337a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/z9QNgwhOSJSnO3cmwFi3Kg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/z9QNgwhOSJSnO3cmwFi3Kg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: e  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: e

ADDRESS: e

POST CODE: e

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103a29f1110a000cc4ed1a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/nEUqIGB7TtSiMM7\\_q4anqA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/nEUqIGB7TtSiMM7_q4anqA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/nEUqIGB7TtSiMM7\\_q4anqA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/nEUqIGB7TtSiMM7_q4anqA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:37

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Brad Stephenson  
4237 Pecan Rd  
Childersburg, AL 35044

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Rollins  
1455 E Katie Ave Unit S27  
Las Vegas, NV 89119

=====  
From: Martin Rud  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:37

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin Rud

ADDRESS: NÃ|sset 48

POST CODE: DK5330

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103a7edfdbb5000e45f8b3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/EOpBDbAJSh2aRjYeMdgYtA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/EOpBDbAJSh2aRjYeMdgYtA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Campbell  
3334 Jay Bee St  
Muskegon, MI 49444

=====  
From: Cheryl  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cheryl  
ADDRESS: 267 Oakridge Rd  
POST CODE: 65706  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103b35b0a7e4000c164fb2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/hDjrFBi3T4iLa69yeKZzNw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/hDjrFBi3T4iLa69yeKZzNw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Creeger  
37454 Neukom Ave  
Zephyrhills, FL 33541

=====  
From: d  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: d

ADDRESS: d

POST CODE: d

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103b2799efdf000e081ba3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/BQ8w9U-TRq2JeXJNTDKlRQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/BQ8w9U-TRq2JeXJNTDKlRQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:38  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable

outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Ospianli  
3 Nelson Ave  
Norwalk, CT 06851

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Nyssa Rodgers  
1708 N Chama Dr  
Hobbs, NM 88240

=====  
From: f  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: f

ADDRESS: f

POST CODE: f

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103adadac595000ea6ff3d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/TyKWOUSVTj20gUpBE3fQTg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/TyKWOUSVTj20gUpBE3fQTg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: gabriel cavallerio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: gabriel cavallerio  
ADDRESS: impasse petite avenue du patrimoine  
POST CODE: 06100 nice france  
COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103ad3dfbdb5000cb0bae7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/PR\\_hf3hbTPuPXvUVY6ehFA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/PR_hf3hbTPuPXvUVY6ehFA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/PR\\_hf3hbTPuPXvUVY6ehFA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/PR_hf3hbTPuPXvUVY6ehFA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:39

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michael Spence

11863 Lakeside Ave

Lakeside, CA 92040

=====  
From: Richard Herst

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:39

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!I use the internet for my communications and business transacions. I would find it VERY INCONVENIENT and discouraging to have it slowed down and time wasting for me. he internet has proven to be a lifeline for me in operating my business in an efficient and economical manner. I would hate to see it spoiled. I have been discouraged that big business has put their hand into too many operations that initially looked very good to start with. They messed with them and sometimes greatly impaired their usefullness.Please don't let that happen again here!

Richard Herst

90278

=====  
From: VANDENDOOREN JORIS  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: VANDENDOOREN JORIS

ADDRESS: Rue Joseph Jadot 70

POST CODE: 1350 Orp le Grand

COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103a9962b49c000cd1ebb3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/iPlDp-tMTvyuYcReJFFX3Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/iPlDp-tMTvyuYcReJFFX3Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Vera Kalaj  
3719 W Memorial Dr  
Phoenix, AZ 85086

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jim Gilbert  
1988 Hunters Point Ln  
Westlake, OH 44145

=====  
From: leo fabriek  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: leo fabriek

ADDRESS: jan van houtkade 07, 2311 PC Leiden THE NETHERLANDS

POST CODE: 2311 PC Leiden THE NETHERLANDS

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103b6db7cb89000d44c153

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/cUXAoH5qQJGW-zitH70jcw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/cUXAoH5qQJGW-zitH70jcw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: r  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: r

ADDRESS: r

POST CODE: r

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103b43b7cb89000cb2a96e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/VuQHjG-RQWa0DV\\_UwyYSKg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/VuQHjG-RQWa0DV_UwyYSKg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/VuQHjG-RQWa0DV\\_UwyYSKg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/VuQHjG-RQWa0DV_UwyYSKg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Hector Melero  
7166 Bobhird Dr  
San Diego, CA 92119

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's

draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Regie Scott  
952 Orange Ave  
Myrtle Beach, SC 29577

=====  
From: Rebecca Krane  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:41  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Hello,

My name is Rebecca Krane and I am from Los Angeles, CA.

Protecting Net Neutrality is important to me because I believe that internet access is a necessity for modern life, but will be restricted if we don't establish common carrier status for ISPs. Data and information are not finite resources, and we need to keep access to them open and affordable to all.

The FCC's proposal to allow a "fast lane" for Internet Service Providers to charge more for services that are currently included in most data packages is damaging. This will cripple many people's ability to get the content and communication they need for everything from building small business to improving community involvement. ISPs were subsidized by taxpayers for a broadband infrastructure meant to provide access and affordability to all citizens, however, they are now strangling taxpayers to pay again for services businesses and citizens have already paid for. Companies already pay for the bandwidth they use, consumers already pay for internet access, and now ISPs are trying to get consumers and companies to pay double because they are unwilling to invest their large profits in their own networks.

Ending net neutrality will do irrevocable damage to economic and social growth in America. I urge you to dismiss FCC's "fast lane" proposal, and instead begin the process of establishing ISPs as common carriers just like phone service and public utilities. Ignoring this problem will devastate the public's ability to communicate and contribute to your campaign, and any number of other public goods.

Thank you very much for your time, and again, I urge you to defend and protect net neutrality.

Thank you.

Rebecca Krane

90027  
=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jamie Xiong  
972 Kay St  
Arboga, CA 95961

-----  
From: AJ  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: AJ

ADDRESS: 1025 E Lee St

POST CODE: 27405

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103c19f35671000d553385

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/meSMi2cfQ6SkPTPvx\\_koAA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/meSMi2cfQ6SkPTPvx_koAA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/meSMi2cfQ6SkPTPvx\\_koAA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/meSMi2cfQ6SkPTPvx_koAA/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the

Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Irene Muraviov  
17221 Boones Ferry Rd Ne  
Woodburn, OR 97071

=====  
From: Anonymous  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anonymous

ADDRESS: Anonymous

POST CODE: Anonymous

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103b6d8b7a18000e7c408e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/GOIpCztOTLK7tJRDDexTrA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/GOIpCztOTLK7tJRDDexTrA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Daniel Gonzlez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Gonzlez

ADDRESS: 1618 Jefferson ave

POST CODE: 11385

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103c49444842000d5b9722

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/SOvHtlLvQVepZcJICuV5yQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/SOvHtlLvQVepZcJICuV5yQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Douglas Hustedt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:42  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

internet access should be for the "many" not the "few".

Douglas Hustedt

53538

=====  
From: Rondion  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rondion

ADDRESS: 23 Main St

POST CODE: 10020

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103c8e99efdf000c34500a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/LBxbTGCvTw63dB8413Tt2Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/LBxbTGCvTw63dB8413Tt2Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:42

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sarah Stout

1241 Broadmoor Cir

Franklin, TN 37067  
=====

From: tom slowik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: tom slowik

ADDRESS: 37600 utica road

POST CODE: sterling heights, mi 4832

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103c69fbecab000dab184b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/EHko1PqGThu5yYkJUy1S1Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/EHko1PqGThu5yYkJUy1S1Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lesly Dashiell  
2002 N Salinas Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90059

=====  
From: Richard Prochowski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:43  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I pay good money, monthly for my internet service. I expect that service to be fast, reliable and secure. This is sheer madness...it must be stopped!  
Thank you, I trust you'll do the right thing and put a stop to it.  
Regards,  
Richard Prochowski

Richard Prochowski

48097

=====  
From: Tabigale  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Tabigale  
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 97006  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103b2b444842000ba9069a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/1QVwSJWTR16IEzcdViH71A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/1QVwSJWTR16IEzcdViH71A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Bernt

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:44

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bernt

ADDRESS: Tremyravägen 10 Söderhamn Sweden

POST CODE: 82660

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103bc399efdf000c345002

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/wVOqb3wiS660Hxbhdu3\\_Iw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/wVOqb3wiS660Hxbhdu3_Iw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/wVOqb3wiS660Hxbhdu3\\_Iw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/wVOqb3wiS660Hxbhdu3_Iw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Dan Charipar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dan Charipar  
ADDRESS: 5536 41 ave s  
POST CODE: 55417  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103ccc444842000ec584e0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/ipyDMW24RUWdj5\\_N91j8Ng/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/ipyDMW24RUWdj5_N91j8Ng/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/ipyDMW24RUWdj5\\_N91j8Ng/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/ipyDMW24RUWdj5_N91j8Ng/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Daniel

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:44

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel

ADDRESS: 8400 Lauren Dr, College Station, Tx

POST CODE: 77845

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103cbd15ab86000e5ea0c4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/hg6TVkqWQcOxYvU274Ib2Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/hg6TVkqWQcOxYvU274Ib2Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Eugenio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eugenio

ADDRESS: 1001 birchlake crescent

POST CODE: 23451

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103ca21e0849000d05bf2e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/XKczvjTQRDuSjeEWhIwvpw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/XKczvjTQRDuSjeEWhIwvpw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:44

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Walter Laniel

Po Box 642

Danville, CA 94526

=====

From: Julian Korobacz

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:44

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julian Korobacz

ADDRESS: Marszalkowska 36 Poznan Poland

POST CODE: 60-327

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103ca5dac595000ea6ff48

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/apqyEL02SpKJdKxeaNj0lg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/apqyEL02SpKJdKxeaNj0lg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Matthew G. Stratton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew G. Stratton

ADDRESS: 50 Healey Brook Dr

POST CODE: Charlestown RI, 02813

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103bfe62b49c000d163e76

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/D0Q6IAXuR4GjIutm3Dmabg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/D0Q6IAXuR4GjIutm3Dmabg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:44  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Allen Terry  
 4010 N 13th St  
 Philadelphia, PA 19140

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:44  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Stanley  
7184b Mesquite Ct  
Twentynin Plm, CA 92277

=====  
From: Brian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian  
ADDRESS: 9561 Village Hwy  
POST CODE: 24538  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103d18444842000ba906b1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/FexzlHgOSPWcrFPTiOzXFA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/FexzlHgOSPWcrFPTiOzXFA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:45

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carmen Lakomy

Po Box 925

Lodi, NJ 07644

=====  
From: James  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James

ADDRESS: 2415 Las Positas Ave

POST CODE: 93105

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103cc8f35671000d55338c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/ihsQLdvQQuOeqZgQqDvZag/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/ihsQLdvQQuOeqZgQqDvZag/uh1>> .

=====

From: Katie  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:45  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katie  
 ADDRESS: 660 Adams Rd  
 POST CODE: 30214  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103cd6003331000bc7c346

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/R84mkSzSQyyaJvgHmw37xg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/R84mkSzSQyyaJvgHmw37xg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:45  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lloyd Thomas  
111 W Chestnut St  
Riley, KS 66531

=====  
From: Marino Fernandes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marino Fernandes

ADDRESS: Priesing st Boston, Ma

POST CODE: 02130

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103cdd1e0849000ec719d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/AJdGMPUORgGC4UImvrMgkw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/AJdGMPUORgGC4UImvrMgkw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Paulo Guimarães Pedro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paulo Guimarães Pedro

ADDRESS: Lisboa

POST CODE: 1200-227

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103d0eb0a7e4000dc6354d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/ClK4GE6UQRacOkmdNyQwXg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/ClK4GE6UQRacOkmdNyQwXg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:46

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Andrea Confalonieri  
2219 99th St  
East Elmhurst, NY 11369

=====  
From: John  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John

ADDRESS: 82 Plymouth street, abington, ma

POST CODE: 02351

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103bbaf35671000c18130c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/l82iRqVNQQuOvpvBgqhnig/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/l82iRqVNQQuOvpvBgqhnig/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Judy Geer

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:46

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Judy Geer

ADDRESS: 1277Ã¢?Â|sugar hollow rd.

POST CODE: 15613

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103d221e0849000cdb24fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/o5Gp7tICTmall1X1njzz8tg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/o5Gp7tICTmall1X1njzz8tg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:46

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Rocky Hartage  
2424 Townfield Ln  
Virginia Bch, VA 23454

=====  
From: Valters Kroics  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Valters Kroics

ADDRESS: Lapu iela 08; Sigulda; Latvija

POST CODE: LV-2150

COUNTRY: LV

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103d2aa26879000decaf0d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/GapOjDe3RmyJQqcfEgiTQw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/GapOjDe3RmyJQqcfEgiTQw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: William  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William

ADDRESS: York, ME

POST CODE: 03909

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103d661e0849000ec719d5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/uGsyqhbktbGxODbLhTAB9w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/uGsyqhbktbGxODbLhTAB9w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Alexander

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:47

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander

ADDRESS: HÅ, jstrupvej 40

POST CODE: 7120

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103d77c60b21000df15e27

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/KNPPOJc4TQaeAuRZDkW1Ag/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/KNPPOJc4TQaeAuRZDkW1Ag/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Charles Dohoney  
5129 Camino Del N  
Sierra Vista, AZ 85635

-----  
From: Robin Feeney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robin Feeney  
ADDRESS: 919 Ridge Rd Hodges SC  
POST CODE: 29653  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103d6f1e0849000cdb2503

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/3mQ31hfVT5yyaBZUnwoZ8w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/3mQ31hfVT5yyaBZUnwoZ8w/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence

that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers 202-234 just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Darren Madkins  
2220 Reilly Rd  
Merced, CA 95341

=====  
From: Jashayla Clinkscapes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jashayla Clinkscapes  
4141 Old Lyne Rd  
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23453

=====  
From: Juerg Meier  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Juerg Meier

ADDRESS: â\200\224

POST CODE: 8048 Zuerich / Switzerland

COUNTRY: CH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103da2c60b21000df15e29

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/vklM2QCKTDC9A4NChvLU2A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/vklM2QCKTDC9A4NChvLU2A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Lise Snyder

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:48

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Lise Snyder

90034

=====

From: Nick Asselberghs

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:48

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick Asselberghs

ADDRESS: TvÃ|rvej 02, 02 M.F.

POST CODE: 2600

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103dbe99efdf000c34501a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/MFnJwkTmRN2GbhTRty3l8w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/MFnJwkTmRN2GbhTRty3l8w/uh1>> .

=====

From: Vicki  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vicki  
ADDRESS: 243 Crabapple Circle  
POST CODE: 14519

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103dc662b49c000d163e8f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/hUts7naAQB47RxAJ4lX0w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/hUts7naAQB47RxAJ4lX0w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Weber  
1017 Kidder Rd  
Carencro, LA 70520

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Stan Bashmashnikov

27 Brownley Dr  
Stamford, CT 06905

=====  
From: kelly ward  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

kelly ward  
7234 Tapper Ave  
Hammond, Indiana 46324

=====  
From: Kimber Mendoza  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will

defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kimber Mendoza  
672 Old McMinnville Hwy  
Manchester, Tennessee 37355

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Abel Mortero  
9496 Highway 78 Lot 318  
Ladson, SC 29456

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:49  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jane McClelland  
3418 E Sunset St  
Springfield, MO 65804

=====  
From: Nathan Hunter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:49  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Freedom, please demonstrate that you value some form of freedom left in the world.

Nathan Hunter

98661

=====  
From: Shelly Gertge  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Shelly Gertge  
520 E Boulevard St  
Lewistown, Montana 59457

=====  
From: Chico Belser  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chico Belser  
1814 Sylvan Dr  
Montgomery, Alabama 36106

=====  
From: Desiree Kearse  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Desiree Kearse  
8 N Georgia Ave  
Greenville, South Carolina 29611

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Vest  
1313 State Ave  
Kansas City, KS 66102

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Glover  
284 Smith Loop  
Tillar, AR 71670

=====  
From: Claus Piculell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Claus Piculell

ADDRESS: H C Årsteds Vej 12

POST CODE: 3000

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410135a003331000c6107cd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/0epNhnIxRI-RML08bHH-Vg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/0epNhnIxRI-RML08bHH-Vg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Edouard Duliège

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Edouard Duliège

ADDRESS: 10 rue Vauquelin

POST CODE: 75005

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103dd7c60b21000b542464

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/oGAzYi00TbiZWOpGPOUwTQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/oGAzYi00TbiZWOpGPOUwTQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Galon Olson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Galon Olson  
44-703 Alakai St  
Kaneohe, Hawaii 96744

=====  
From: Jacquez Bell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jacquez Bell  
3024 W 34th St  
Davenport, Iowa 52806

=====  
From: JEAN-FRANCOIS BELANGER-DUMAS  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JEAN-FRANCOIS BELANGER-DUMAS

ADDRESS: 1083, RUE ISABELLE Saint-Camille Quebec Canada

POST CODE: G0M1J0

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103e20b7cb89000d44c177

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/yDfABl2xTta6IYmTE8aXWg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/yDfABl2xTta6IYmTE8aXWg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era

phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jeanie Raynes  
120 Riverview Rd  
Fraziers Btm, WV 25082

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Banks  
16715 Waycreek Rd  
Houston, TX 77068

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Orlaine Adams  
4291 Nw 18th St Apt P202  
Lauderhill, FL 33313

=====  
From: Chris Simpson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Chris Simpson  
91354

=====  
From: Daniel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel  
ADDRESS: 98 01/02 Cottage st, easthampton, MA  
POST CODE: 01027  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103e4199efdf000e081bc3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/ITX8dJgpRUK\\_7eNP2S0WKg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/ITX8dJgpRUK_7eNP2S0WKg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/ITX8dJgpRUK\\_7eNP2S0WKg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/ITX8dJgpRUK_7eNP2S0WKg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Debra Salinas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Debra Salinas  
4005 Sycamore Creek Dr  
Bakersfield, California 93313

=====  
From: Elias J rgen Lindau  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elias J rgen Lindau

ADDRESS: Gr nlandsgatan 07 b

POST CODE: 282 34

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103e23f1110a000d0e6972

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/lKFdTrCwS0-nb\\_b93Gqr2A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/lKFdTrCwS0-nb_b93Gqr2A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/lKFdTrCwS0-nb\\_b93Gqr2A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/lKFdTrCwS0-nb_b93Gqr2A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jean  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jean  
ADDRESS: 15, Rue menilmontant  
POST CODE: 75020  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103dd7a26879000e0b0d6c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>
- <<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/si7iprNXTDmS8mJDBLVuPg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/si7iprNXTDmS8mJDBLVuPg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joshua

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joshua

ADDRESS: 3009 Sabo Lane

POST CODE: 97068

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103e331e0849000ec719e2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/1l8rU4aAQiydv-DVd\\_RQNQ/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/1l8rU4aAQiydv-DVd_RQNQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/1l8rU4aAQiydv-DVd\\_RQNQ/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/1l8rU4aAQiydv-DVd_RQNQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Kim Seaford  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation - just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kim Seaford  
120 Birchwood Dr  
Salisbury, North Carolina 28146

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mohammad Masood  
7761 Stonegate Dr  
Corona, CA 92880

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Teresa Mobbs  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1412 E 8th, Odessa, Tx  
Zip: 79761

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Þorvaldur thoroddsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Þorvaldur thoroddsen

ADDRESS: hjardarhagi 62

POST CODE: 107

COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103e1415ab86000cb1b06a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/9lZZxEcpTeezsDEAN7rrsg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/9lZZxEcpTeezsDEAN7rrsg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Caroline Olsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Caroline Olsen

ADDRESS: H. P simonsens AllÃ©

POST CODE: 5250

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103e88f1110a000b4b4f69

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/HA8LWq\\_yQDyzzgv-SRQ17A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/HA8LWq_yQDyzzgv-SRQ17A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/HA8LWq\\_yQDyzzgv-SRQ17A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/HA8LWq_yQDyzzgv-SRQ17A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Roel CantÃ°

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:53

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Roel CantÃ°

ADDRESS: 1010 Hollyfield St

POST CODE: 78572

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103e5015ab86000b62cef9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/XKfeRgC5QmKNYo6H33ggIQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/XKfeRgC5QmKNYo6H33ggIQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Tito Ramos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tito Ramos

ADDRESS: 681 NE 34th Street

POST CODE: 33334

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103e618b7a18000e7c40b7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/aYI92BE-SV2SZE\\_ua7GFKw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/aYI92BE-SV2SZE_ua7GFKw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/aYI92BE-SV2SZE\\_ua7GFKw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/aYI92BE-SV2SZE_ua7GFKw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Tracy May

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:53

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tracy May

ADDRESS: 2804 Tejas Ave

POST CODE: Fort Worth, TX 76116

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103e6f8b7a18000b93cb40

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/vQJ0lsFwSYKZgwgZrhcslg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/vQJ0lsFwSYKZgwgZrhcslg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Zachary Evan Braun  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zachary Evan Braun

ADDRESS: 3030 Cross Creek Dr.

POST CODE: 30040

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103e5199efdf000c345022

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/zxqzKoBfR0-z5BnO-HKUDg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/zxqzKoBfR0-z5BnO-HKUDg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Bettina Soller  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bettina Soller

ADDRESS: FrÃ¶belstr.

POST CODE: 30451

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103ec6c60b21000c7f0e86

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/JXZrgM9TTnSpNYy-Hn6Unw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/JXZrgM9TTnSpNYy-Hn6Unw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jonathan Souder  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathan Souder

ADDRESS: 4252 S Quemoy Ct, Aurora, CO

POST CODE: 80018

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103eebdac595000b708551

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/dvqlS-b3Q8-lZxAepy05kQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/dvqlS-b3Q8-lZxAepy05kQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Lucas GarcÃ-a

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:54

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lucas GarcÃ-a

ADDRESS: 4566 Murphy Ave.

POST CODE: 92122

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103ecbc60b21000c7f0e87

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/Y8qcOz\\_6Sw-sdAsJS0ddag/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/Y8qcOz_6Sw-sdAsJS0ddag/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/Y8qcOz\\_6Sw-sdAsJS0ddag/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/Y8qcOz_6Sw-sdAsJS0ddag/uh1)> .

=====

From: Baldvin Guðmundsson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Baldvin Guðmundsson

ADDRESS: Reykjavik

POST CODE: 105

COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103eb2b7cb89000e2b25ee

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/dUZ830fNSEexndrhCJ2KIA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/dUZ830fNSEexndrhCJ2KIA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dianne Moore

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:55

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dianne Moore

ADDRESS: 523 Bainbridge Dr

POST CODE: 48823

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103f1cc60b21000df15e47

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/Bzp6HiMDQrnm9-UrHu3Uuw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/Bzp6HiMDQrnm9-UrHu3Uuw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Emily Campbell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emily Campbell  
ADDRESS: Portland, OR  
POST CODE: 97202  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103f27f1110a000e42e665

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/v5VbAn5rS7qWaLBJGjvxA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/v5VbAn5rS7qWaLBJGjvxA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: David Beckeith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Beckeith

ADDRESS: Box 1103

POST CODE: Jonesboro GA 30237-1103

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103f5c15ab86000e5ea0da

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/xgH1k9S8Re-QMFBjQjrCrw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/xgH1k9S8Re-QMFBjQjrCrw/uh1>> .

=====

From: horton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: horton

ADDRESS: 48 riggindale road

POST CODE: SW16 QJ

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103f5bfbecab000dab186e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/J\\_Kpf\\_9xRDCuqJOqfxwNWg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/J_Kpf_9xRDCuqJOqfxwNWg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/J\\_Kpf\\_9xRDCuqJOqfxwNWg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/J_Kpf_9xRDCuqJOqfxwNWg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Brooke  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brooke  
ADDRESS: 923 Cherokee Lane  
POST CODE: 37377  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103f64a26879000ca2936e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/g8jSYjsWS2q0RHteGTm2DQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/g8jSYjsWS2q0RHteGTm2DQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Olexiy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:57

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Olexiy

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 19116

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103f60b0a7e4000dc63576

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/DduaIa4kSTCVZYxXqY0oaQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/DduaIa4kSTCVZYxXqY0oaQ/uh1>> .

-----  
From: yjfowler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: yjfowler

ADDRESS: 1000 main st

POST CODE: 45202

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103f36003331000c610960

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/zrXbh9vjRha6N3PxHNTzLQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/zrXbh9vjRha6N3PxHNTzLQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Birgitta Jonsdottir  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Birgitta Jonsdottir

ADDRESS: SigtÃ°n 59

POST CODE: 00105

COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103f9dfbecab000b573c2e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/ocBa9EoETBycXx2uEoX-4g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/ocBa9EoETBycXx2uEoX-4g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Lassi LÃ¤hteenmÃ¤ki  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lassi LÃ¤hteenmÃ¤ki

ADDRESS: 120 E 87th Street

POST CODE: 10128

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103f5ddfdb5000cb0bb33

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/2hmHOAcuR-CiVbYo-gFkiw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/2hmHOAcuR-CiVbYo-gFkiw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Louis S Revesz

Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:58

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC commissioners,

As an entrepreneur and small businessman who creates opportunity for others via the Internet, I am alarmed by the prospect of the demise of net neutrality.

Allowing the big telecom companies to block or impair my access to the Internet could put me out of business by favoring larger competitors with deep pockets who could afford quicker access speeds. The diversity I offer, and the risk-taking I am now able to take would become impossible.

I respectfully request that you do not approve any rules that would restrict access. The open Internet is a boon to our nation and its hard-working citizens.

Thank you for your consideration.

Louis S Revesz

18938

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:58  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Maureen Carson  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 766 Willard St. Unit A12  
Zip: 02169

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws

arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Sascha Christmann  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sascha Christmann  
ADDRESS: GuntherstraÃ\237e 2b  
POST CODE: 69502  
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103fc1444842000ec584fe

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/Y0Z9CCC2QveQHB\\_rtoeBbg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/Y0Z9CCC2QveQHB_rtoeBbg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/Y0Z9CCC2QveQHB\\_rtoeBbg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/Y0Z9CCC2QveQHB_rtoeBbg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Tim Johansson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tim Johansson

ADDRESS: Källängsvägen 63

POST CODE: 18144

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541040138b7a18000b93cb46

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/\\_Niw88hjTsyd6hlgNKa2FA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/_Niw88hjTsyd6hlgNKa2FA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/\\_Niw88hjTsyd6hlgNKa2FA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/_Niw88hjTsyd6hlgNKa2FA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:58  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: tammy  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: budd lake  
Zip: 07828

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President

Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Ali  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ali

ADDRESS: 300 e 56 street

POST CODE: 10022

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410405999efdf000c345046

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/j\\_1pv6MuT8y8pTiMTfKOUw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/j_1pv6MuT8y8pTiMTfKOUw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/j\\_1pv6MuT8y8pTiMTfKOUw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/j_1pv6MuT8y8pTiMTfKOUw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Antoine  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Antoine  
ADDRESS: Dungannon  
POST CODE: BT715DY  
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103faafbecab000e3ea71e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/7jzen-kIR6ybXSyBNmR2tQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/7jzen-kIR6ybXSyBNmR2tQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: chase  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: chase

ADDRESS: spoonrchase

POST CODE: huntin/wrestlin

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410402cf1110a000b4b4f6d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/QklMwIraQE-dUPBpL8Oy9Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/QklMwIraQE-dUPBpL8Oy9Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Gloria Boone  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gloria Boone

ADDRESS: 4801 Abercroft pl

POST CODE: 27526

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104016b0a7e4000bf69e21

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/lrLnUkpgTHq9cJ0FbM15HA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/lrLnUkpgTHq9cJ0FbM15HA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Johnny HÃ,jfeldt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Johnny HÃ,jfeldt  
ADDRESS: Storskovvej 07  
POST CODE: 4681  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104019f1110a000d0e6983

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Akz6n1VXTF2KenqKDFKXEA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Akz6n1VXTF2KenqKDFKXEA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Susan Fleischmann  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:49:59

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I want to express my strong and unwavering support of a free, open and non-discriminatory internet, with equal access for all. The proposed ruling does not support the public interest in any way, it does not benefit the economy, and it will stifle innovation in all areas of our lives. Broadband service providers are using spectrum and property that is owned by the people of this country; eliminating net neutrality is allowing them to take advantage of these resources, to the detriment of the rest of us. Please, please, please maintain an open internet, a level playing field for all, so that we do not create an even bigger schism between the haves and the have nots in this country.

Susan Fleischmann

02139

=====

From: Freedom  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Freedom

ADDRESS: MN

POST CODE: 55401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410406e444842000ec58503

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/XBubrCx8RA6UD-bd70U08Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/XBubrCx8RA6UD-bd70U08Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Gwen Sarandrea  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:00  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Hi,

Net neutrality is so vital to democracy that it needs to be preserved. Corporate money already controls the media to everyone's detriment. Please, let the individual have a chance to get their message out without having to be wealthy. We are all equal spiritual beings but big money eclipses this fact. Don't ruin the voice of the people that can be heard on a neutral net. Its vital for democracy!!!

Thank you, Gwen Sarandrea

Gwen Sarandrea

94903

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:00  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: jennie yasofsky  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 522 10th st  
Zip: 15140

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:00  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: NORMAN CLARK  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 2045 S 49 ST., LINCOLN, NE.  
Zip: 68506

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Gene Pierce  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a retired person who depends on my internet service. Mine and others taxes

contributed to the science that developed the internet. To be kicked to the curb for the benefit of Big Business is not right please keep the internet as it is.

Gene Pierce

29461

=====  
From: Kelsay Bartlett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelsay Bartlett

ADDRESS: 501 E. Mortimer St.

POST CODE: 63363

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410407e8b7a18000e7c40df

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/TjYES8b3T-iUYggfSKOPrA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/TjYES8b3T-iUYggfSKOPrA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Roger St.Amand  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Roger St.Amand  
ADDRESS: 82 Chapin Greene Dr Ludlow Ma  
POST CODE: 01056  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541040a9003331000c61097b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/jawYiuiTQQeWgf\\_zHcWTKw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/jawYiuiTQQeWgf_zHcWTKw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/jawYiuiTQQeWgf\\_zHcWTKw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/jawYiuiTQQeWgf_zHcWTKw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Abby Danielsson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Abby Danielsson

ADDRESS: 100 S Chestnut

POST CODE: Fair Play Mo, 65649

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104073b0a7e4000dc6358c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/KXFhoUQFTqWRkcnIiGbSJw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/KXFhoUQFTqWRkcnIiGbSJw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sarphan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarphan

ADDRESS: caferaga mah gurbuzturk sk n 01 d 03 kadikoy ist

POST CODE: 34430

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541040a9003331000ed4d3d0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/du\\_o7r3gSmu2LQ9cUU-6qw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/du_o7r3gSmu2LQ9cUU-6qw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/du\\_o7r3gSmu2LQ9cUU-6qw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/du_o7r3gSmu2LQ9cUU-6qw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: ellen sellergren  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ellen sellergren

ADDRESS: 2030 Walnut St.

POST CODE: Park Ridge, Il. 60068

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541040f4dac595000d1ea850

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/fnD8UuVzR1qwAr4ggWzpyg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/fnD8UuVzR1qwAr4ggWzpyg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Hannah Bergfeld

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:03

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hannah Bergfeld

ADDRESS: KongensstrÃ|de 5A, 01.

POST CODE: 7000 Fredericia

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541040fdb7cb89000e2b2617

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/2J7GYurMQEy1rd78mB3NPA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/2J7GYurMQEy1rd78mB3NPA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:03  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: PHILIP MOSHER  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: warrensburg, ny  
Zip: 12885

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Vít Gregor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vít Gregor  
ADDRESS: U dšnice 01, Prague, Czech Republic  
POST CODE: 15500  
COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541040def35671000d5533bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/POidXOujQ00Le00lk2mwTA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/POidXOujQ00Le00lk2mwTA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ciro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ciro

ADDRESS: Oluff Nilssons VÅg 16

POST CODE: 43336

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541040f1b0a7e4000c164fe1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/aXDMxvEjQTiThE3pnevEgfw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/aXDMxvEjQTiThE3pnevEgfw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:05  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Adriaan Vanderzwan  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 118 White Cap Cove  
Zip: 94547

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Clayton Cunha Filho  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Clayton Cunha Filho

ADDRESS: Rua Dr. JosÃ© LourenÃ§o 3308

POST CODE: 60115-282

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410411515ab86000e5ea0f6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/NCn2DlapQOKRw37SwcdqNw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/NCn2DlapQOKRw37SwcdqNw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Josefine Ban  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josefine Ban

ADDRESS: Andersbergsringen 133

POST CODE: 302 55 halmstad Sweden

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541040acb0a7e4000c164fdd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/iB6FMwbIRDqn6cbTu8-G1w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/iB6FMwbIRDqn6cbTu8-G1w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Nery

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:05

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nery

ADDRESS: 12042 Tirt Cir.

POST CODE: 32826

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54103ef2f35671000c181328

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/iwo68iUoQ8iR\\_olYPWBcLQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/iwo68iUoQ8iR_olYPWBcLQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/iwo68iUoQ8iR\\_olYPWBcLQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/iwo68iUoQ8iR_olYPWBcLQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Noah Patterson

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:05

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Noah Patterson

ADDRESS: 22 Mowat

POST CODE: 10011

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410412d99efdf000c345055

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/00mgy38mTDO6qxrLGLDAQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/00mgy38mTDO6qxrLGLDAQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: a  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: a

ADDRESS: a

POST CODE: a

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410411c003331000ed4d3da

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/R3hF045\\_RwSmvJ1NPlAP0Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/R3hF045_RwSmvJ1NPlAP0Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/R3hF045\\_RwSmvJ1NPlAP0Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/R3hF045_RwSmvJ1NPlAP0Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Greg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Greg

ADDRESS: 125 Tech Park Drive

POST CODE: Rochester NY 14623

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410410fdfbdb5000d11e75c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/gjwbqjYWTvaQkqKHR-2ltQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/gjwbqjYWTvaQkqKHR-2ltQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kit Mathews  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kit Mathews  
ADDRESS: 02 N Pendleton Ct  
POST CODE: 21703  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410416efbecab000e3ea73b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/COx06uTKTMepRZPYkDsvaQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/COx06uTKTMepRZPYkDsvaQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Nils-Are Johnsplass  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nils-Are Johnsplass

ADDRESS: Bod ,

POST CODE: 8072

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104175dfbdb5000d11e766

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Stmy3YdLQE2OBVN\\_5Av3lw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Stmy3YdLQE2OBVN_5Av3lw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Stmy3YdLQE2OBVN\\_5Av3lw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Stmy3YdLQE2OBVN_5Av3lw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: shaunn farmer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: shaunn farmer

ADDRESS: 1009 Sunset Dr Somerdale

POST CODE: 08083

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104180444842000ba906ef

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/OxGVxM7sRQmXy4I3aQGnYw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/OxGVxM7sRQmXy4I3aQGnYw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Charles S. Mote Jr.  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charles S. Mote Jr.

ADDRESS: 222 Forkner Dr. #12

POST CODE: 30030

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541040fe8b7a18000c5745dc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/jCT7LhxZTG0ye\\_ZBfmSg\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/jCT7LhxZTG0ye_ZBfmSg_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/jCT7LhxZTG0ye\\_ZBfmSg\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/jCT7LhxZTG0ye_ZBfmSg_g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Mustafa Yilmaz

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:07

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mustafa Yilmaz

ADDRESS: Turkey

POST CODE: 11300

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410418c1e0849000d05bf7c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/LE\\_x6A7TSWCi4P5yBeJtYg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/LE_x6A7TSWCi4P5yBeJtYg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/LE\\_x6A7TSWCi4P5yBeJtYg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/LE_x6A7TSWCi4P5yBeJtYg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Tyler Hitchcock  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler Hitchcock  
ADDRESS: 320 Nature View Court  
POST CODE: 33931  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410418699efdf000d8052d3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/ROpSl00BSP-FtqapoKmgHw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/ROpSl00BSP-FtqapoKmgHw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Kjartan Henriksen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kjartan Henriksen

ADDRESS: SolhÃ,gdvegen 14b

POST CODE: 7021

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410419399efdf000d8052d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/c5ozHCipR02sXcfo8AV7fg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/c5ozHCipR02sXcfo8AV7fg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Norbert Wild  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Norbert Wild

ADDRESS: Auf dem BÃ¼hl 02

POST CODE: 78120 Furtwangen

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410418cle0849000d05bf7d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/aV2Efin5RW6GRM576z5b7Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/aV2Efin5RW6GRM576z5b7Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: JÃ,rgen Reutz Ringheim

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:09

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JÃ,rgen Reutz Ringheim

ADDRESS: BjÃ,rgamarki 24

POST CODE: 5709

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541041e3c60b21000e117de0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/FIUsWnthSM-PINtyjEF4PQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/FIUsWnthSM-PINtyjEF4PQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Muharem Ates  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Muharem Ates

ADDRESS: Jan-KÃ¼lper-Weg 05

POST CODE: 22547

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541041aa99efdf000c34505e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/RmmD4wDXRHyVg91\\_5YFMdw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/RmmD4wDXRHyVg91_5YFMdw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/RmmD4wDXRHyVg91\\_5YFMdw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/RmmD4wDXRHyVg91_5YFMdw/uh1)> .

=====

From: steve copley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: steve copley

ADDRESS: wellsboro

POST CODE: 16901

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104190444842000d5b977a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/HDOqGPrXTvyQxSqPxqn\\_Ow/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/HDOqGPrXTvyQxSqPxqn_Ow/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/HDOqGPrXTvyQxSqPxqn\\_Ow/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/HDOqGPrXTvyQxSqPxqn_Ow/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Alejandro SÃ¡nchez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alejandro SÃ¡nchez

ADDRESS: 150 Huntington Avenue

POST CODE: 02115

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541042061e0849000cdb2557

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/BFvRu-w2RqOfjWwZssiZhA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/BFvRu-w2RqOfjWwZssiZhA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jeff Upton

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:10

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeff Upton

ADDRESS: 2514 W. 43rd St. S.

POST CODE: 67217

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104201003331000bc7c396

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/-UIQ4KiiRw-ZQKHMCT1ytQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/-UIQ4KiiRw-ZQKHMCT1ytQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Shawn Neal  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shawn Neal

ADDRESS: Siloam Springs, Arkansas

POST CODE: 72761

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541042161e0849000ec71a09

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/1Ie-GGj2RNO4N\\_aGkpukhA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/1Ie-GGj2RNO4N_aGkpukhA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/1Ie-GGj2RNO4N\\_aGkpukhA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/1Ie-GGj2RNO4N_aGkpukhA/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:11  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Glenda Cartwright  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 15270 S.Plaza Dr.  
Zip: 48180

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment

and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Crystal  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Crystal  
ADDRESS: Austin  
POST CODE: 78704  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541042a6c60b21000c7f0ec3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/17LyK6H2SyW8kDUZn5QXYQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/17LyK6H2SyW8kDUZn5QXYQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:12

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Judy James  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 115 Gum St Barberton OH  
Zip: 44203

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: JosÃ©  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JosÃ©

ADDRESS: 14301Sw 176terr

POST CODE: 33177

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104202a26879000decaf8a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/mccKLiVtTYGGgHkwzqqOcw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/mccKLiVtTYGGgHkwzqqOcw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kat  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kat

ADDRESS: 111 Rogers Ave

POST CODE: 13760

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold

and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410425edfdb5000cb0bb8a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/91FPIKxNTdKLkXAcXkQ7jA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/91FPIKxNTdKLkXAcXkQ7jA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tom Pendergast  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tom Pendergast  
ADDRESS: 428 Avenue J, Snohomish  
POST CODE: 98290  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410423f62b49c000d163ed9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/BOKS-N1nTsemS0iywmPiwA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/BOKS-N1nTsemS0iywmPiwA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Astrid JÃ,rgensen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Astrid JÃ,rgensen

ADDRESS: Hovedgaden 02

POST CODE: 4270

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541041fddac595000dlea865

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/85G9shs5Roe4FV\\_d1z-ZfQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/85G9shs5Roe4FV_d1z-ZfQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/85G9shs5Roe4FV\\_d1z-ZfQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/85G9shs5Roe4FV_d1z-ZfQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Celia Oâ\200\231Kelley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Celia Oâ\200\231Kelley

ADDRESS: 12 Hillcrest

POST CODE: 35401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541042d299efdf000c34507a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/xduy9-L1TX6Qt4\\_CrDypcw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/xduy9-L1TX6Qt4_CrDypcw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/xduy9-L1TX6Qt4\_CrDypcw/uh1> .

=====  
From: forest massa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

forest massa  
4415 Drury Rd  
Bloomsdale, Missouri 63627

=====  
From: joanna sarabia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:13  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:

What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

joanna sarabia  
716 Pennsylvania St  
South Houston, Texas 77587

=====  
From: Katy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katy

ADDRESS: 214 W Greenway Blvd

POST CODE: 22046

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541042d0003331000c6109bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/RxYdDMGES2KBr9W33sf2YQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/RxYdDMGES2KBr9W33sf2YQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: GRANT MADDEN  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: GRANT MADDEN

ADDRESS: 19826 jou road

POST CODE: 81410

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541042d862b49c000cd1ec47

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/5sELW\\_6gScqGWNxMOfPC6A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/5sELW_6gScqGWNxMOfPC6A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/5sELW\\_6gScqGWNxMOfPC6A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/5sELW_6gScqGWNxMOfPC6A/uh1)> .

=====

From: HannoGrimm  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: HannoGrimm

ADDRESS: KaistraÃ\237e

POST CODE: 24113

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410428c444842000d5b9788

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/kZ0cwDR-RW6NHkK3CeTWA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/kZ0cwDR-RW6NHyKk3CeTWA/uh1> .

=====  
From: Jennifer Cordeiro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:14  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Cordeiro  
451 Alden St  
Fall River, Massachusetts 02723

=====  
From: Jon Pinkus  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:14  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Girls and Boys at the FCC,

Surely you know better than to give ANY snarling Hogs at the Trough priority over any of the sustenance that it takes to nourish a human being (you or me) -- whether it be oxygen, water, food, or access to the online world and its intimate connection now to the collective history and wisdom of our several civilizations.

This is a very SIMPLE yes or no decision. Those who wish you to think it is complicated are snowing you with blather ... and more blather.

So your mission, should you choose to accept it ... is COMPLETE NET NEUTRALITY ... do not let it self-destruct on your watch, my Friends. The Internet is the SOCIAL, SHARED PROPERTY of all Humankind.

Jon Pinkus  
Washington, DC

Jon Pinkus

20036

=====  
From: Mags  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mags

ADDRESS: Skopje

POST CODE: 1000

COUNTRY: MK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541042b1fbecab000b573c73

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/nwgE23i1SAOgeGUjIKCMCw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/nwgE23i1SAOgeGUjIKCMCw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rosemary Ferreira  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:14  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Ferreira  
10537 Wheelhouse Cir  
Boca Raton, Florida 33428

=====  
From: wavley champion  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:14  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

wavley champion  
2517 Faraon St  
Saint Joseph, Missouri 64501

=====  
From: diana cantu  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:15  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

diana cantu  
59 Calle Miller Apt B11  
La Jara, Colorado 81140

=====  
From: Diane Hull  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:15  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCCâ\200\231s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Diane Hull  
909 Hawk Dr SW  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87121

=====  
From: Rafael Ovalle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:15  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

A bite of information whether sent by a 09-yr old or a mega-corporation is the same. It travels the same lines and arrives at its destination in the same way. By charging the mega corporation less for the price of its message, or giving its message priority

over the message of a 09 yr old a judgement is made over the relative importance of use of the internet by corporations over individuals.

Currently, the internet treats everyone equally, as any paved highways do in our country. If I am commuting to work and a company is shipping 200 18-wheeler trucks on the same highway, I can take my turn to move into traffic. The proposed change to the internet, if applied to highway commerce would mean that I would have to wait for a 200 trucks to pass me by before I could drive on the highway, and that I would have to leave the highway when any truck wishes to take my lane.

Rafael Ovalle

10025

=====  
From: Rebecca Laprad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:15  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Laprad  
3456 State Route 217  
Willow Wood, Ohio 45696

=====  
From: Ficaja StÃ©phane  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ficaja StÃ©phane

ADDRESS: Paris

POST CODE: 75000

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541043abb0a7e4000e468f10

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/lxpDGfHeSUyZA2WWk0022Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/lxpDGfHeSUyZA2WWk0022Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: gregg abbey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: gregg abbey  
ADDRESS: 864main st  
POST CODE: 29649  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104321f35671000eb3c082

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/GqswGEHTBO32xpWTmlwNQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/GqswGEHTBO32xpWTmlwNQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Manuel

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:16

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Manuel

ADDRESS: Vigom España

POST CODE: 36207

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104397dfbdb5000e45f924

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/cIfPXn6ySYeloGbzKNvSBg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/cIfPXn6ySYeloGbzKNvSBg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Max Claussen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Max Claussen

ADDRESS: PÃ¼cklerstrasse 22

POST CODE: 10997 Berlin

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410432cf11110a000cc4ed6e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/8WJOh68sQVi5cX\\_3oqC-hQ/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/8WJOh68sQVi5cX_3oqC-hQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/8WJOh68sQVi5cX\\_3oqC-hQ/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/8WJOh68sQVi5cX_3oqC-hQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Ragnar Storstr m  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ragnar Storstr m  
ADDRESS: Gamle Enebakkvei 63  
POST CODE: 1188 Oslo

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541043511e0849000ec71a18

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/udPePAVRR3icWLLFI9Zs9w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/udPePAVRR3icWLLFI9Zs9w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: VÃ-ctor Moreno Alhambra  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: VÃ-ctor Moreno Alhambra

ADDRESS: 30 Dawson House

POST CODE: 08

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410431bf35671000eb3c081

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/vnlQSlDMSKWU63XU7nSSMA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/vnlQSlDMSKWU63XU7nSSMA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Herman ChromÃ½  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Herman ChromÃ½

ADDRESS: RakovnÃ-k

POST CODE: 269 01

COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541043d7c60b21000c7f0ed8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/x0fc6wFiQ2G9nd4HQ31eEQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/x0fc6wFiQ2G9nd4HQ31eEQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: João Canoso

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:17

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: João Canoso

ADDRESS: Rua Maria Albertina Agostinho Sabino N.º123

POST CODE: 2090-124

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541043cda26879000ca29388

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/PNicaHi-Tf6lfzbyqFm2Ag/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/PNicaHi-Tf6lfzbyqFm2Ag/uh1>> .

=====

From: Katherine Ross  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:17  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler:  
Since its invention, net neutrality has been the default state of the internet so that internet service providers load all websites at the same speed. Big Telecom wants to change an effective and fair system and introduce rules that will enable them to extract more money from smaller websites. The FCC needs to prevent these so-called "fast-lanes" which only large companies can afford. Independent sites, such as Daily Kos and other smaller sites would be left behind and crushed.

As a citizen who wishes to have access to opinions of all sorts as well as a professional editor who relies on the internet constantly for sources, opinion and data of all stripes, I urge you to uphold the First Amendment and prevent the Big Telecom from prioritizing, blocking or slowing down access to the internet or to allow the largest internet providers to act as Gatekeepers of what we can read and respond to.

Respectfully and urgently,  
Katherine B. Ross

Katherine Ross

10027

=====  
From: Mikkel Kirkgaard Nielsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mikkel Kirkgaard Nielsen  
ADDRESS: TjÃ|reborg Stationsvej 01, 01. sal  
POST CODE: 6731  
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541043971e0849000cdb257b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/P3E8hjZsQz6L5Wagz00EeQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/P3E8hjZsQz6L5Wagz00EeQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Scotty Mitchell

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:17

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scotty Mitchell

ADDRESS: 115 N college Ave. Bloomington IN

POST CODE: 47404

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104332b7cb89000cb2a9a5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/vWXfy-\\_9T5Sqa-25M1YBHg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/vWXfy-_9T5Sqa-25M1YBHg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/vWXfy-\\_9T5Sqa-25M1YBHg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/vWXfy-_9T5Sqa-25M1YBHg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Sharon Hoover Jackson

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:17

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please consider this " By the people and for the people " still means something in America. It doesn't apply only to government, it is something deep in each of us. It is a belief, a rallying cry, and fundamentally American. That is why I and my fellow citizens are asking you to protect an open internet. Thank you

Sharon Hoover Jackson

95687

=====  
From: Daniel Santos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Santos

ADDRESS: Muñoz Arenillas nº 04

POST CODE: 11010

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541043de003331000bc7c3c0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/Mq4j71GQSpCBoI\\_VnXMtpg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/Mq4j71GQSpCBoI_VnXMtpg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/Mq4j71GQSpCBoI\\_VnXMtpg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/Mq4j71GQSpCBoI_VnXMtpg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:18

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Glenys MAson

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 2807 Kings Mill Dr

Zip: 36693

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be "slightly pregnant."

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:18  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: henry orszula  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 516 wyatt rd  
Zip: 28651

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private

companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Joe Langefeld  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe Langefeld

ADDRESS: PrinzeÃ\237-Luise StraÃ\237e 160

POST CODE: 45479

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104444dfbdb5000cb0bbc7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/QpBEboIDRfaqQgdsJjE59A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/QpBEboIDRfaqQgdsJjE59A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kevin huang  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin huang  
ADDRESS: 505 Comstock Ave  
POST CODE: 13210  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541043b18b7a18000c57460e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/fxdvFlNKRTiEizBFGGd7yA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/fxdvFlNKRTiEizBFGGd7yA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Martin Krusell Nielsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin Krusell Nielsen

ADDRESS: MarkvÃ|nget 16C

POST CODE: 7700

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104401b7cb89000e2b2661

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/pnQ5CzQ7TnyaguXqT78vzA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/pnQ5CzQ7TnyaguXqT78vzA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: eirik

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:19

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: eirik

ADDRESS: schweigÅrdsvei 11a

POST CODE: 5063

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410442562b49c000cd1ec63

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/OgXilWJaRg-RYDIXTVxsiQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/OgXilWJaRg-RYDIXTVxsiQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:20  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: donna  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address:  
Zip: 75703

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Jean-Marie Galliot  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jean-Marie Galliot  
ADDRESS: 3375 BeausÃ©jour  
POST CODE: H4K1W3  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541044741e0849000d05bfa4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/CcCvrGF0Qce8PgOjrbGfUA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/CcCvrGF0Qce8PgOjrbGfUA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:20  
 Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Nickie Johnson  
 Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Address: 32968 Indian Reservation Road  
 Zip: 93257

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim

whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Shubhankar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Shubhankar

ADDRESS: Dubai

POST CODE: 40010

COUNTRY: AE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104481003331000ed4d40c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/DTVB6wLJTXqNhEC9HLgXGw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/DTVB6wLJTXqNhEC9HLgXGw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Brad Mansell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brad Mansell

ADDRESS: 811 9th St. SE Decatur, AL

POST CODE: 35601

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410446e1e0849000cdb2591

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/NdOSFNv1RuSBPCgHZgJaHg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/NdOSFNv1RuSBPCgHZgJaHg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Fabie Duhamel

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:21

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fabie Duhamel

ADDRESS: 3375 BeausÃ©jour

POST CODE: H4K1W3

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410449a444842000d5b97a7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/76NpOV3bTk-1opvwksKGxA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/76NpOV3bTk-1opvwksKGxA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joe Valentino  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:21  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joe Valentino  
291 Sutton St  
North Andover, Massachusetts 01845

=====

From: Robby  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robby

ADDRESS: .....

POST CODE: .....

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104477c60b21000df15eb9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/UzVyKmxqRJSNN-9oFDpk1g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/UzVyKmxqRJSNN-9oFDpk1g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Rusty  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rusty

ADDRESS: 236 Philadelphia Ave

POST CODE: 11762

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541044698b7a18000c57461f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/9nXlfcN7R9SI3YchCWei4Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/9nXlfcN7R9SI3YchCWei4Q/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Oscar SÃ,ndergaard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Oscar SÃ,ndergaard

ADDRESS: aspevej 06

POST CODE: 2680

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104483c60b21000e117df6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/Ey0PvqEmRjWjGqJc-hMVmg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Ey0PvqEmRjWjGqJc-hMVmg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:22  
 Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Robert Doobay  
 Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Address: 7630 Copeland Road  
 Zip: 33556

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be "slightly pregnant."

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government

agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Bradley Sullivan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bradley Sullivan

ADDRESS: 11741 Arborhill Drive

POST CODE: 46077

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410450ef35671000c18136c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/FmZG-17BTe-3BeSahbU0gQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/FmZG-17BTe-3BeSahbU0gQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: greg

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:23

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: greg

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 29732

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104580a26879000decafe5

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/XmoSJgiITEKVYXW3uliaRg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/XmoSJgiITEKVYXW3uliaRg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Susan Lawhorn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Susan Lawhorn  
ADDRESS: 26 Applewood Lane  
POST CODE: Taylorsville, GA 30178

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541044b762b49c000d163f10

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/MDi2GgJDQlCUZiXffo-1NQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/MDi2GgJDQlCUZiXffo-1NQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Thomas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas

ADDRESS: 04 Shamrock Drive

POST CODE: 14623

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541044e1003331000bc7c3d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/17v570iRTjikyGEOeSaWg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/17v570iRTjikyGEOeSaWg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Eduardo Olson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eduardo Olson

ADDRESS: C/ San Viator NÂ°4 1Â°B

POST CODE: 50007

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104512dfbdb5000e45f93b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/oh0g0QxkTXOi1DLkCCE24Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/oh0g0QxkTXOi1DLkCCE24Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Dylan

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:25

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dylan

ADDRESS: 2319 Woodmount Drive

POST CODE: 37172

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541045b999efdf000e081c2a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/LewaPexxRYWAS6WmlZkyaw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/LewaPexxRYWAS6WmlZkyaw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Etta  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Etta

ADDRESS: 1055 Major Potter Road

POST CODE: 02818

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541045b7c60b21000df15edd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/yxK5g7spQGquahnJOAbpAg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/yxK5g7spQGquahnJOAbpAg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ron Geertse

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ron Geertse

ADDRESS: Marconilaan Noord 52

POST CODE: 4614 HB Bergen op Zoom

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410458115ab86000d44fe48

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/jbS2zJZ2Th2AQFBXT21ZEQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/jbS2zJZ2Th2AQFBXT21ZEQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sean Murray-Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean Murray-Smith

ADDRESS: PO Box 123 Marlestone

POST CODE: 5033

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541045c4f1110a000e42e708

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/7yht90S-TiGU22AFLC2YXg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/7yht90S-TiGU22AFLC2YXg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Aletha Fulbright  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:27  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

We have students in our family that depend on the internet. Please do not interfere with their education by changing how our internet works.

Aletha Fulbright  
30120

=====

From: Mary Dickens  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Dickens  
7519 E 102nd St  
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74133

=====  
From: Mauricio Morga del Castillo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mauricio Morga del Castillo

ADDRESS: AV. republica argentina 231 bajos 1°

POST CODE: 08023

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410455f444842000ba90748

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/FvQnj6oNRjyZ-8CMVoyRLw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/FvQnj6oNRjyZ-8CMVoyRLw/uh1>> .

=====

From: N. Aiello  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: N. Aiello  
ADDRESS: South Plainfield  
POST CODE: 07080  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541045ad99efdf000e081c29

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/BqLHNTxoToi-jgUkxfk5Dg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/BqLHNTxoToi-jgUkxfk5Dg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Teresa RodrÃ-guez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Teresa RodrÃ-guez  
ADDRESS: 91 Paisaje del Lago  
POST CODE: 00773  
COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541045db003331000bc7c3eb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/rZujBrFTN2j8KA2n\\_DVwg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/rZujBrFTN2j8KA2n_DVwg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/rZujBrFTN2j8KA2n\\_DVwg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/rZujBrFTN2j8KA2n_DVwg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Celia Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:28  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Net neutrality is a no brainer! Please do not give corporations more power to negativity affect our thoughts and opinions!  
Thank you!

Celia Smith

98282

=====  
From: Colin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Colin

ADDRESS: 402 Gerald Street

POST CODE: 16801

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104628dfbdb5000d11e7aa

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/OfCV-wzUQAS2lDNuvSUVeg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/OfCV-wzUQAS2lDNuvSUVeg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: John Mooney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Mooney

ADDRESS: 7924 Sloan Circle

POST CODE: 75025

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104615b7cb89000e2b2693

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/cPA1HfXPRRqr40ILn3zulg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/cPA1HfXPRRqr40ILn3zulg/uh1>> .

=====

From: marÃ§al  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: marÃ§al  
ADDRESS: isboa  
POST CODE: 2700611  
COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410460ef1110a000e42e70f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/JlUuNZVyQfOCgNC7N8FbVQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/JlUuNZVyQfOCgNC7N8FbVQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Michael summers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael summers

ADDRESS: 2160 tower grove 3n

POST CODE: St. Louis mo. 63110

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104623fbecab000b573cc7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/t5Z24RaURmG4jTuHxvKrnA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/t5Z24RaURmG4jTuHxvKrnA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Michal Zienkiewicz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michal Zienkiewicz

ADDRESS: Kowalskiego 4b m.39

POST CODE: 16-400

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541044cf15ab86000e5ea12b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/41hHTatuRZCUXCJ-59KxyQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/41hHTatuRZCUXCJ-59KxyQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:29  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Samuel Young  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 16430 Dodson Branch Hwy.  
Zip: 38501

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Bruce Borowsky  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bruce Borowsky

ADDRESS: 1160 Smith Street

POST CODE: Providence RI 02908

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104601a26879000e0b0ddd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>
- <<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/7n6RZ0yKRSy7FezGBWlbtg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/7n6RZ0yKRSy7FezGBWlbtg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:29  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: John J. Elliott  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1319 W. Rosedale av  
Zip: 60660

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be

â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ\200\231t intend.

Yet, as youâ\200\231ve noted, Title II wouldnâ\200\231t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\200\234fast lanes.â\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â\200\234vigilant restraint.â\200\235 But remember Kennardâ\200\231s digital mantra, too: â\200\234First, do no harm.â\200\235 If you conclude that existing laws arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Eugene McCormick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eugene McCormick

ADDRESS: 6375 Schaaf Dr

POST CODE: Brook Park OH 44142

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410463ca26879000ca29394

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/7tKcUsgwSiuMTluMiSx-0w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/7tKcUsgwSiuMTluMiSx-0w/uh1>> .

=====

From: JesÃ's  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JesÃ's

ADDRESS: 7964 77th rd

POST CODE: 11385

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410466c62b49c000cd1ec93

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/U8pYlah2TQ6ckUjp28o3hw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/U8pYlah2TQ6ckUjp28o3hw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Randall Edmunds  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Randall Edmunds

ADDRESS: 5354 Croton Court, San Diego, CA

POST CODE: 92109

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104619b7cb89000cb2a9c4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/H3nHHokiRaeR3lsRm2hsyA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/H3nHHokiRaeR3lsRm2hsyA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sarah

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:29

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah

ADDRESS: 7034n n 87 ave

POST CODE: 68122

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410462e15ab86000d44fe57

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/qNipbme3SsuMtkX6c3idvg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/qNipbme3SsuMtkX6c3idvg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Barbara J. Nekola  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:30  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Hello,

As a 78 yo retired woman on a small fixed income I depend on the Internet as is. I urge, no, I demand you support net neutrality. Taking it away will negatively affect millions of Americans.

Sincerely,  
Barbara J. Nekola

Barbara J. Nekola

19966

=====  
From: Kathy Borowski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kathy Borowski

ADDRESS: 5891 Fleming Ct.

POST CODE: Greendale, WI53129

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410462afbecab000b573cc9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/dv3WYGPSTQuTuX8lJdPePg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/dv3WYGPSTQuTuX81JdPePg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: RichardL Scott Sr  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: RichardL Scott Sr  
ADDRESS: 338 Potomac Avenue Greenville South Carolina  
POST CODE: 29605  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410469a62b49c000d163f38

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/IUs0kWs6SNWFQsAbPhA3IA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/IUs0kWs6SNWFQsAbPhA3IA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Stephanie

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:30

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephanie

ADDRESS: 06 north road

POST CODE: 10509

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410465d444842000d5b97d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/NQs-c4j1T0igolg2KNvB9Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/NQs-c4j1T0igolg2KNvB9Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Celia Oâ\200\231Kelley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Celia Oâ\200\231Kelley

ADDRESS: 12 Hillcrest

POST CODE: 35401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: I make my living selling my hand made jewelry over the internet through an Etsy store. I will not be able to pay the high fees that will be charged to get my information to my customers at a speed that will keep them in my store to look at my items. Instead huge companies from places like China will be able to pay the fees and when people go to their stores they will get my sales. I am located in the US and I make my items in the US. My money stays in the US. I buy my supplies from US companies that manufacture most of their items in the US. This will hurt the US as AT&T, Comcast, etc are multinationals and have no interest in keeping the US strong. Their loyalty is to what ever keep them strong and destroys their competition. They are not

interested in what is best for the US and what will keep it strong, but only how much money they make and how few taxes they pay to the US.

Net neutrality is the First Amendment of the Internet, the principle that Internet service providers (ISPs) treat all data equally. As an Internet user, net neutrality is vitally important to me. The FCC should use its Title II authority to protect it.

Most Americans have only one choice for truly high speed Internet: their local cable company. We need internet providers that are truly neutral that people can access. We need the internet to be funded by taxes, not monopolies that only want what makes them the most money. This is a political failure, and it is an embarrassment. America deserves competition and choice.

Without net neutrality, a bad situation gets even worse. These ISPs will now be able to manipulate our Internet experience by speeding up some services and slowing down others. That kills choice, diversity, and quality.

It also causes tremendous economic harm. If ISPs can speed up favored services and slow others, new businesses will no longer be able to rely on a level playing field. When ISPs can slow your site and destroy your business at will, how can any startup attract investors?

Almost everyone I know use the Internet for social interactions, fun, but most importantly also for work and business. When you let ISPs mess with our Internet experience, you are attacking our lives in many many ways.

ISPs are opposing Title II so that they can destroy the FCC's net neutrality rules in court. This is the same trick they pulled last time. Please, let's not be fooled again. Title II is the strong, legally sound way to enforce net neutrality. Use it.

---

REF: 541046a3b0a7e4000dc63606

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/8o0n3QxQTaSvmf98xVs36g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/8o0n3QxQTaSvmf98xVs36g/uh1>> .

=====

From: JesÃ's Pantel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JesÃ's Pantel  
ADDRESS: 401 Little Texas Lane, #1625  
POST CODE: 78745

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104677b0a7e4000dc635ff

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/aW3PaxrvTbmjFEd4Tb-F5Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/aW3PaxrvTbmjFEd4Tb-F5Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Alex  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex

ADDRESS: 410 E. South St.

POST CODE: 46052

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541046c9dfbdb5000d11e7bb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/F1soq61nRHeSsARNvz7E4Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/F1soq61nRHeSsARNvz7E4Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:33

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Brian Krzywicki

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 125 Howsington PLace

Zip: 08520

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition.

Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: dd  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: dd

ADDRESS: d

POST CODE: d

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541046d78b7a18000c574640

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/ZF90jczfRTuK8tAU0KZN4w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/ZF90jczfRTuK8tAU0KZN4w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: george patterson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: george patterson

ADDRESS: 9801 S. Normandale St. Ft. Worth, Tx.

POST CODE: 76116

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541046a5444842000ba90760

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/\\_erD\\_Z\\_1SQimpWvmCe2fhA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/_erD_Z_1SQimpWvmCe2fhA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/\\_erD\\_Z\\_1SQimpWvmCe2fhA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/_erD_Z_1SQimpWvmCe2fhA/uh1)> .

=====

From: grfd

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:33

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: grfd

ADDRESS: fgtvxc

POST CODE: gggggggggggggg

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541046b0dfbdb5000d11e7b8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/AJPWLpGCRkuMeCjxtdrp6A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/AJPWLpGCRkuMeCjxtdrp6A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: James Benson

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:33

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

At this point it seems that my comments are falling on deaf ears. The fact that you have NOT already come out strongly for a truly open internet without any sort of "pay to play" fast lane provisions suggests, heck, downright declares that you are well in the pocket of the major telecom corporations who are the ONLY ones who stand to benefit from the proposed rule changes. PLEASE assert your authority to regulate the internet as a public utility for the benefit of small businesses, the freedom of speech, of the press and of the American people.

Do what you know is right: reclassify broadband internet service as a public utility without delay.

Sincerely,  
James Benson

James Benson

87510

-----  
From: László Sándor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: László Sándor

ADDRESS: 11 Royal Ave, Cambridge, MA

POST CODE: 02138-1301

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541046cadfbdb5000e45f952

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/G1ve06\\_TQF2DnWxUmSmZ3w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/G1ve06_TQF2DnWxUmSmZ3w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/G1ve06\\_TQF2DnWxUmSmZ3w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/G1ve06_TQF2DnWxUmSmZ3w/uh1)> .

=====

From: Mark Huddle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:33  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I feel that we can no longer be called the greatest democracy when we are implementing something like this law which will effectively restrict the voice of the few from ever being heard. We will no longer have a government of the people but rather the best government money can buy...

Mark Huddle

22304

=====

From: Maureen Vantrease  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:33  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please don't give in to the giant corporations. It's hard enough to meet all the demands of daily living without corporations telling us what we can and can't look at if we want decent service. I have a website of my own and my acting jobs depend on casting agents being able to access my site as quickly as any other site. If they have to wait too long, they are on to the next person and I lose out on being considered for a job.

This country already gives enough to major corporations in the form of subsidies and tax breaks while they rake in money hand over fist. I already pay enough money to a cable company just so I can have internet service. Don't let them control the speed of it, too.

Thanks for reading.

M. Vantrease - Astoria, NY

Maureen Vantrease

11105

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:34  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Colleen E Stock  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 2967 Etowah Park Blvd, Tavares, FL,  
Zip: 32778

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard

called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Eric  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric  
ADDRESS: 602-440 McMurphy Ave. S., Brampton, ON  
POST CODE: L6Y 2N5  
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104670b7cb89000d44c1fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/bRenVoCQRQ-pZGb2Xtbh2Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/bRenVoCQRQ-pZGb2Xtbh2Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Thor L. Nielsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thor L. Nielsen

ADDRESS: sÃ,hÃ,jen 64

POST CODE: 8381

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541046ec444842000ec58554

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/i5u6mmEtQGwx\\_PzViIhYsQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/i5u6mmEtQGwx_PzViIhYsQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/i5u6mmEtQGwx\\_PzViIhYsQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/i5u6mmEtQGwx_PzViIhYsQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Adam Hajnos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam Hajnos

ADDRESS: 423 W Fullerton Ave.

POST CODE: 60639

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104717444842000ba90764

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/0nMbtJQiQ7mPZc\\_VTpLNzA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/0nMbtJQiQ7mPZc_VTpLNzA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/0nMbtJQiQ7mPZc\\_VTpLNzA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/0nMbtJQiQ7mPZc_VTpLNzA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Dustin Kline  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dustin Kline

ADDRESS: 14 Tyler St

POST CODE: 01247

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410473fdac595000d1ea8d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/PZz3ygrYQFORvxyWK-ZsCw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/PZz3ygrYQFORvxyWK-ZsCw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Henrik Vesterberg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Henrik Vesterberg

ADDRESS: Årstra torggatan 19

POST CODE: 65224

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410475cb7cb89000d44c21a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/u\\_KaGU1jRCu9COW7BmXgDw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/u_KaGU1jRCu9COW7BmXgDw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/u\\_KaGU1jRCu9COW7BmXgDw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/u_KaGU1jRCu9COW7BmXgDw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Kim Meacham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kim Meacham

ADDRESS: 3793 N Hampton Dr Kennesaw GA

POST CODE: 4400

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541046f2c60b21000c7f0f20

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/ExKtgtIkQruwzDzs6TlGkQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/ExKtgtIkQruwzDzs6TlGkQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: MariajosÃ© Mellado  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MariajosÃ© Mellado  
ADDRESS: El Peumo 187  
POST CODE: 7690000

COUNTRY: CL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410473cfbecab000b573ce1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/VFYCx85nSUEkSjGcnMCoHg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/VFYCx85nSUEkSjGcnMCoHg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Saul  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Saul

ADDRESS: Calle Rio Nervion nÂ°9 escalera 04 2Â°M

POST CODE: 12005

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541046c215ab86000e5ea14c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/DgpYq5PWQuGjbSAVB75gCg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/DgpYq5PWQuGjbSAVB75gCg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:36

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Bob Oneill

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 108 Dovetail Drive

Zip: 28115

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition.

Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:36  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Andrea Potts  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1832 Laguna St  
Zip: 93955

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private

companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:36  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: barbara beausoliel  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: po box 1842  
Zip: 48826

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Rodrigo Yanis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rodrigo Yanis

ADDRESS: San Blas 1674 01Ã° â\200\234Bâ\200\235

POST CODE: 1416

COUNTRY: AR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541046038b7a18000ddd53bc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/SM0JetrXSJeR2q4HZPfGkw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/SM0JetrXSJeR2q4HZPfGkw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ashly Tolbert

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:37

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ashly Tolbert  
4055 Hawk St  
Cumming, Georgia 30041

=====  
From: Flemming Miloh Heimdal Johannesen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Flemming Miloh Heimdal Johannesen

ADDRESS: Ved Bellahøj 1A, 03. mf.

POST CODE: 02700

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104723003331000bc7c3fe

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/aWpbFEvIRC0t2PJh7HfyWQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/aWpbFEvIRC0t2PJh7HfyWQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jed Kundl  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jed Kundl

ADDRESS: 59 Main Street

POST CODE: 06851

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541047b0f1110a000e42e73d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/poWWJeJxRU6kXFpnt5JiDg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/poWWJeJxRU6kXFpnt5JiDg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marten Peereboom

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:37

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marten Peereboom

ADDRESS: BÃ¼rgermeister-Ocker-StraÃe 237e 02

POST CODE: 31655

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541047791e0849000cdb25e8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/TFGVZ0NPTIyi-Z8ScQQDaw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/TFGVZ0NPTIyi-Z8ScQQDaw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Rayon al  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rayon al

ADDRESS: 141

POST CODE: 10550

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541047a21e0849000d05bfcf

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/uoxNntuhSeyc8ga\\_i7XLlg/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/uoxNntuhSeyc8ga_i7XLlg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/uoxNntuhSeyc8ga\\_i7XLlg/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/uoxNntuhSeyc8ga_i7XLlg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Andr s Villarreal  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andr s Villarreal

ADDRESS: Jujuy 480 09 B

POST CODE: C1405ANI

COUNTRY: AR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541047c5c60b21000c7f0f36

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/hu-\\_0MUKSVKxHfXhxoBnUw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/hu-_0MUKSVKxHfXhxoBnUw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/hu-\\_0MUKSVKxHfXhxoBnUw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/hu-_0MUKSVKxHfXhxoBnUw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: denis dzaferovic  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: denis dzaferovic  
ADDRESS: pod kostanji, celje, slovenia  
POST CODE: asdasd  
COUNTRY: SI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104794003331000c610a2e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/UZ6iG03qRA6Ikf07xgmVGQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/UZ6iG03qRA6Ikf07xgmVGQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: simon

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:38

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: simon

ADDRESS: kkkknjpi1300

POST CODE: 1405

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541047b48b7a18000ddd53ef

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/nJWlpY4cTGGsqr9WZQj9ug/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/nJWlpY4cTGGsqr9WZQj9ug/uh1>> .

=====

From: Yos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Yos

ADDRESS: Vlaardingen

POST CODE: Netherlands

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104791b7cb89000e2b26b3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>
- <[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/\\_aK0sqiqQau4S2eA\\_3GrYQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/_aK0sqiqQau4S2eA_3GrYQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/\\_aK0sqiqQau4S2eA\\_3GrYQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/_aK0sqiqQau4S2eA_3GrYQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Fabrizio  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fabrizio

ADDRESS: Polonorte

POST CODE: 2050

COUNTRY: AR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541047c462b49c000d163f52

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/F3t790-5Sd6BA6QVtV5xQw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/F3t790-5Sd6BA6QVtV5xQw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Kira Snyder  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:39  
Subject: In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America, West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn't decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a slow lane.

But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices- like their cable bills- and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

Kira Snyder

=====  
From: Marc  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marc

ADDRESS: 3263 Flowers Rd S Atlanta

POST CODE: 30341

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541047de99efdf000bbaba00

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/cOGZ4tiSRcej5ZmOC7j1Dw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/cOGZ4tiSRcej5ZmOC7j1Dw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:40

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Michael Carlyle

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: Massachusetts

Zip: 01095

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws

arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: GÃ\226RKEM  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: GÃ\226RKEM

ADDRESS: Turkey/ordu

POST CODE: 08

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410480ea26879000decb023

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/laMxOg3USFW9lfXaqe39zQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/laMxOg3USFW9lfXaqe39zQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:40

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Rick McComas

Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Address: 422, Hayes Michigan City, Indiana

Zip: 46360

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily

as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Veres P@ter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Veres P@ter

ADDRESS: Veszpr@em

POST CODE: 8200

COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104825dfbdb5000cb0bc1a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/jJsJYJnoTkuaFCMohspc2Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/jJsJYJnoTkuaFCMohspc2Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Armaan Patel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Armaan Patel

ADDRESS: 14698 Drayton Dr

POST CODE: 46062

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104835a26879000bdcaefb

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/o\\_ZlIPQBR0SLOKP\\_v1cSNw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/o_ZlIPQBR0SLOKP_v1cSNw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/o\\_ZlIPQBR0SLOKP\\_v1cSNw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/o_ZlIPQBR0SLOKP_v1cSNw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Marshall  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marshall

ADDRESS: 14706 Sun Harbor Dr

POST CODE: 77546

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104821f1110a000d0e6a01

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/4EiGbR6GSoOUKud-aY8ILA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/4EiGbR6GSoOUKud-aY8ILA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Robert McAlister  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:41  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I'm frankly without the words to properly express how important I feel this issue is. Not only for internet access, which should be a public utility, but because any support for tiered access to internet service is not concerned with the welfare of the average American citizen. This is about corporate profits and monopoly. I think this will shape how I feel about elected officials for the rest of my life, which understandably does not compete with the money being paid by Comcast etc. to steal our country.

Robert McAlister

97404

=====  
From: Ann  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ann

ADDRESS: 696 Columbia Road

POST CODE: 02125

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104849c60b21000df15f1d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/uEgSpjiSRqC5H2efDbj17g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/uEgSpjiSRqC5H2efDbj17g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Carmen

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:42

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carmen

ADDRESS: 2301 Atkinson Rd.

POST CODE: 39531

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541048881e0849000ec71a5e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/VgNCbIN1Su2KoolT-sbq6A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/VgNCbIN1Su2KoolT-sbq6A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: James Saunders  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:42  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

James Saunders

19004

=====  
From: naatalie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: naatalie

ADDRESS: 130 south st

POST CODE: 06443

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410486f62b49c000cd1ecc7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/SetlKLncQNCGipcBfyWSbQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/SetlKLncQNCGipcBfyWSbQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Robert Morrison  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Morrison

ADDRESS: 9210 Waterbird Dr

POST CODE: 33578

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410483afbecab000c288b92

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/f-rerrlbRPqYDM598YvCAg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/f-rerrlbRPqYDM598YvCAg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sadoht GÃ³mez Fernandez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sadoht GÃ³mez Fernandez

ADDRESS: Ramlerstr 26A

POST CODE: 13355

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541047fb62b49c000cd1ecb9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/Rx6kPl8AR\\_eJNHKzGFdD9g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/Rx6kPl8AR_eJNHKzGFdD9g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Rx6kPl8AR\\_eJNHKzGFdD9g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Rx6kPl8AR_eJNHKzGFdD9g/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Seán Garrett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Seán Garrett

ADDRESS: 9500 Markham Road

POST CODE: 14a 4s7

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541047fefbecab000c288b90

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/S29-7vunQ82YUQoPP0Kzhw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/S29-7vunQ82YUQoPP0Kzhw/uh1>> .

=====

From: dan hedlund

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:43

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: dan hedlund

ADDRESS: bÃckgatan

POST CODE: 60347

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104842f35671000d553455

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/FGNUd38oRkehq7jYOI57vw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/FGNUd38oRkehq7jYOI57vw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kris  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kris  
ADDRESS: 2909 13th St NW  
POST CODE: 20009  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410489015ab86000e5ea16a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/S8yezwbARXKu18AFDFM3oQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/S8yezwbARXKu18AFDFM3oQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Liberty  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Liberty

ADDRESS: 133 Peachtree St. NE

POST CODE: 30303

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104893f35671000eb3c0f7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/GRTYkLTDTiKFwEG38Rjx4Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/GRTYkLTDTiKFwEG38Rjx4Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: M Leighland  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: M Leighland

ADDRESS: 209 S Prospect Ave.

POST CODE: Redondo Beach, CA 90277

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410489df1110a000b4b4f9d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/ojK3-ZH5QlCcepKndoXxtA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/ojK3-ZH5QlCcepKndoXxtA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Anthony Madden  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anthony Madden  
ADDRESS: 11429 Pippin Road  
POST CODE: Cincinnati, OHIO 45231  
COUNTRY: JP

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541048bc8b7a18000e7c4198

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/lwb40m7cQIucndPEOf5ZCA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/lwb40m7cQIucndPEOf5ZCA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:44  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: C Mullen  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Zip: 95125

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Douglas A Doner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:44  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I urge net neutrality for the people of America. Not for just corporations  
Doug Doner

Douglas A Doner

91765

=====  
From: Fight  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fight

ADDRESS: Finch

POST CODE: 64575

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541048a71e0849000ec71a61

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/Ct6GGeFbSbqFr7b-E8ymFQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Ct6GGeFbSbqFr7b-E8ymFQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jake Willis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:44

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jake Willis

ADDRESS: 02

POST CODE: 07928

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541048a7444842000d5b980b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/2IUZrZBmSzSG04jj3zzS7g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/2IUZrZBmSzSG04jj3zzS7g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Julie Barman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:44  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

This isn't about something like internet piracy. It's about all content being available at the same speed. Faster load times shouldn't go to the highest corporate bidder.

Julie Barman  
94015

=====  
From: Allen Root  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:45  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Chairman Wheeler -  
I sincerely hope you have the foresight to defend net neutrality. As the internet has blossomed, it has become the most important vehicle for preserving our democracy, and for giving a voice to those that are under represented around the globe. Commercializing the internet will, over time, dramatically erode those qualities. Please, preserve our first ammendment right of free speach, and classify the internet as a public utility.  
Thank you,  
Allen Root

Allen Root  
93405

=====  
From: Laila BornÃ,y  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Laila BornÃ,y

ADDRESS: Busveien 27

POST CODE: 9910

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541048dadfbdb5000e45f967

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/ikfhHQW-RNO2DGVcuxQiDg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/ikfhHqw-RNO2DGVCuxQiDg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Alyssa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alyssa

ADDRESS: 6829 loca st

POST CODE: 32842

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541048fcc60b21000df15f28

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/hY2o-eZpQtyfxGUJJxQbNQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/hY2o-eZpQtyfxGUJJxQbNQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Andre Joseph

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:46

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andre Joseph

ADDRESS: 4424 parthenais Montreal Qc

POST CODE: H2H2G5

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410490d99efdf000d805344

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/KnnILFa4QKK5yTdG6epZ7Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/KnnILFa4QKK5yTdG6epZ7Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Michael  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael  
ADDRESS: 10097 Sunburst Court  
POST CODE: 34608  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104936b7cb89000cb2a9f2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/y3aylEG5SAKmqcP7oiTTaA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/y3aylEG5SAKmqcP7oiTTaA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:46

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Robert Hadley

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 206 Morningstar N

Zip: 28584

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: TT  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: TT  
ADDRESS: TT  
POST CODE: T

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541048f799efdf000c34510c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/45OvATu0StuD5cfrerz2PQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/45OvATu0StuD5cfrerz2PQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Anthony  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anthony

ADDRESS: 76 Fairview Place

POST CODE: 07109

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541048c3b7cb89000e2b26cd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/LbKzz9EORc6WCD3WbmBmTg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/LbKzz9EORc6WCD3WbmBmTg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: M  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: M

ADDRESS: 49 Butterfield St

POST CODE: 01854

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410492bb7cb89000e2b26d7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/iMM7Qyr-QdiE2UcX2BdEXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/iMM7Qyr-QdiE2UcX2BdEXA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marvin Eitrich

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:47

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marvin Eitrich

ADDRESS: LeibnizstraÃ\237e

POST CODE: 72760

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410493b99efdf000d805347

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/go05afzsQGSom\\_xMQ1hYWQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/go05afzsQGSom_xMQ1hYWQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/go05afzsQGSom\\_xMQ1hYWQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/go05afzsQGSom_xMQ1hYWQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Stephanus Lourens CronjÃ©  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephanus Lourens CronjÃ©

ADDRESS: 26 Vewrsailes

POST CODE: 7500

COUNTRY: ZA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104918fbecab000b573d0e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/bkfKYyIrnTnWNC7X9tGeuog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/bkfKYyIrnTnWNC7X9tGeuog/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jasmine PeÃ±a

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jasmine Peñãa

ADDRESS: 508 W 180th St Apt 32

POST CODE: 10033

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104947444842000ba9079e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/FDhgmnrTCCqIVldRi7bsw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/FDhgmnrTCCqIVldRi7bsw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: paul  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: paul

ADDRESS: 17 arthur crescent

POST CODE: bt367al

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410491599efdf000c34510f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/WLpcNz9sQYeS\\_GxcMfkCCQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/WLpcNz9sQYeS_GxcMfkCCQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/WLpcNz9sQYeS\\_GxcMfkCCQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/WLpcNz9sQYeS_GxcMfkCCQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: eliel memdez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: eliel memdez  
ADDRESS: 409 Joplin pl Russellville, Ar  
POST CODE: 72801  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410495a62b49c000bd61c8a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/ZShiXFlgR\\_OPzoArg3jInA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/ZShiXFlgR_OPzoArg3jInA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/ZShiXFlgR\\_OPzoArg3jInA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/ZShiXFlgR_OPzoArg3jInA/uh1)> .

=====

From: E Racer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: E Racer  
ADDRESS: 911 laurel circ  
POST CODE: 32976  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410497c15ab86000cb1b0f1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/ErnVzUkVSBuLKGqoa5wTAW/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/ErnVzUkVSBuLKGqoa5wTAW/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Marit NygÅ¥rd  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marit NygÅrd

ADDRESS: Haldenveien 121

POST CODE: 3515

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410495bf35671000eb3c10a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/QYroiId6RwGB63xQ1IJcOQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/QYroiId6RwGB63xQ1IJcOQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rachã"l Gerrits  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rachã"l Gerrits  
ADDRESS: Gruttersdijk  
POST CODE: 3514 BJ  
COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410493cf35671000c18139d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/MZrAdmptRMSmrHoAXAOwhQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/MZrAdmptRMSmrHoAXAOwhQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Seosamh Andr  

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:50

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Seosamh Andr  

ADDRESS: Canada

POST CODE: J3E 2S1

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104954444842000ba907a0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/DuC6O7hqRNWVmpwoDdzaGw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/DuC6O7hqRNWVmpwoDdzaGw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Shelley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shelley

ADDRESS: 183 Usher Road

POST CODE: 35757

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410495db0a7e4000e468f8f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Vr8QZYNCTXiWAnIGa33fLg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Vr8QZYNCTXiWAnIGa33fLg/uh1>> .

=====

From: cato  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: cato

ADDRESS: 8851 herÃ,y

POST CODE: 8851

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410494ef1110a000d0e6a16

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/OwhJK8DrRFyjJG0lafhbSQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/OwhJK8DrRFyjJG0lafhbSQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jim Brauner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:51  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

There is no good reason, that is no good reason for anyone except internet gateway holders, to grant special rules or abilities for any company or corporation to control the access and overall speed and quality of internet access.

The desire to control how much bandwidth a corporation is going to allow to pass to me unless I pay more just because this is the way they want to make lots more off the same bandwidth is counter to what is needed to keep the internet free of big money and power brokers who could care less about freedom and the First Amendment.

Its basic and infinitely important to not let this erosion of competition to take place. DO NOT LET CORPORATIONS GET CONTROL OF INTERNET ACCESS BY MAKING DEALS WHICH ARE FOR THEIR GREED AND CERTAINLY FOR WHAT IS BEST FOR THE PEOPLE.

Jim Brauner

63011

=====  
From: Ricardo RaÃ±a Mendoza  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ricardo RaÃ±a Mendoza

ADDRESS: Lope de Vega 104-401

POST CODE: 11560

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541049adb7cb89000e2b26e4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/\\_Q7hIIqlTnyuvAxeD\\_I2Wg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/_Q7hIIqlTnyuvAxeD_I2Wg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/\\_Q7hIIqlTnyuvAxeD\\_I2Wg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/_Q7hIIqlTnyuvAxeD_I2Wg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Richard Robbins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard Robbins

ADDRESS: 19114 Broadwind Lane

POST CODE: 77449

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104988c60b21000df15f33

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/JWcM1i5vQnipu0Ys5GBEog/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/JWcM1i5vQnipu0Ys5GBEog/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Frederik Jeppesen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Frederik Jeppesen

ADDRESS: BrÃ¸nshÃ¸jvej 04

POST CODE: 2700

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104982003331000bc7c428

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/PARSptnBQ7Kzcs6u3G4YLg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/PARSptnBQ7Kzcs6u3G4YLg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jonas Behrmann  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:52  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonas Behrmann

ADDRESS: fÃrvadvej 10

POST CODE: 8570

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541049a4f35671000eb3c115

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/6s9zqBniRlOIII-ZBxFt\\_Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/6s9zqBniRlOIII-ZBxFt_Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/6s9zqBniRlOIII-ZBxFt\\_Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/6s9zqBniRlOIII-ZBxFt_Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Kathy brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kathy brown

ADDRESS: 50 Acadia ave

POST CODE: 03842

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541049f515ab86000d44feb8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/UOvnvrX6TSKs24YuvVQ\\_Nw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/UOvnvrX6TSKs24YuvVQ_Nw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/UOvnvrX6TSKs24YuvVQ\\_Nw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/UOvnvrX6TSKs24YuvVQ_Nw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Ismat Schroderus  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ismat Schroderus  
ADDRESS: Retkeilijärnkatu 4C 63  
POST CODE: 00980

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104a11fbecab000e3ea7bb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/CcQ5GcUdTvSc0Pe-ayXp-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/CcQ5GcUdTvSc0Pe-ayXp-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Mithu Melanie Sanyal Sanyal  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mithu Melanie Sanyal Sanyal

ADDRESS: Siemensstr.

POST CODE: DÃ¼sseldorf

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104a1215ab86000cb1b0fa

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/XFr6KhlKRQaFMRDfaxlceQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/XFr6KhlKRQaFMRDfaxlceQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Shirley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Shirley  
ADDRESS: 2130 N 16th St  
POST CODE: 19121-1628  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410499015ab86000b62cf4a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/TV1YUrdhS5eZJ8pXVoN9DQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/TV1YUrdhS5eZJ8pXVoN9DQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tyler

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:53

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler

ADDRESS: 31 fisher st.

POST CODE: 02053

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104a3a62b49c000d163f7b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/7xn6hrHFSvGE-k21sbdCyg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/7xn6hrHFSvGE-k21sbdCyg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Virginia Mann

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:53

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC Chairman Wheeler:

This country already has too many outrageously HUGE corporations, many of which are so huge they are nearly monopolies, one of which is Comcast. Where I live we have two choices for cable broadband, Comcast and AT&T, both of which have terrible customer service and relations. They are hated by all who use them. Comcast raises prices indiscriminately, dictates terms, makes unwelcome changes, and is tolerated only because there is no real competition. I am writing to ask you please not to let Comcast, or any other huge telecom company to control the internet. I understand you, or our government, is considering permitting this to happen, and I beg you please NOT

to allow this.

I have been following the arguments online and in print pro and con regarding this matter and while I do not know every detail about it, it seems to me that giving control of the internet to a huge corporation is a violation of our First Amendment rights.

I am an editor and a writer and I love and rely on the research capabilities I now have available to me because of the internet and my access to it.

Corporations already have too much control over our food, energy, health, and financial life. Please don't sell the internet.

Thank you,  
Virginia Mann

Virginia Mann

94903

=====  
From: Vladimir Hron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vladimir Hron

ADDRESS: Ceská; 758/75

POST CODE: 43401

COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104a2d8b7a18000b93cb86

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/ZDbHC0kCQsyxbR4Z5sInBQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/ZDbHC0kCQsyxbR4Z5sInBQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Natasha  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Natasha  
ADDRESS: GranÃngsringen 49  
POST CODE: 13544  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104a5599efdf000e081c70

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/teuRg14UTrqS7l8f3tb1SA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/teuRg14UTrqS7l8f3tb1SA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:54

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Carol Boutin

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 60 Hanover St., Apt. 1510

Zip: 06451

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Ahsoka Alienez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ahsoka Alienez

ADDRESS: W4rselen

POST CODE: 52146

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104a9df35671000d553483

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/MGhk83-sTv6a8mSMEvzBZA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/MGhk83-sTv6a8mSMEvzBZA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Christina  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christina

ADDRESS: 6911 Sharpsburg Drive

POST CODE: 20121

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104aa762b49c000d163f81

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/OTGDQkJOSj2gnwA0u30pWA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/OTGDQkJOSj2gnwA0u30pWA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jayson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jayson

ADDRESS: Calle Cedro D6 â\200\223 Valle Arriba Heights â\200\223 Carolina, PR 00983

POST CODE: 00983

COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541049f91e0849000cdb2642

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/55TWAs5HS0Cu\\_EWKR6L8dw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/55TWAs5HS0Cu_EWKR6L8dw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/55TWAs5HS0Cu\\_EWKR6L8dw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/55TWAs5HS0Cu_EWKR6L8dw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:56

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Dave Brown

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 5779 W. School Rd.

Zip: 48858

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$0.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman

forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Paul  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paul

ADDRESS: 819 S Quade Rd

POST CODE: 65802

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104a65fbecab000dab191b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/UZiGxf9wQVWwV7-6jB3RBg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/UZiGxf9wQVWwV7-6jB3RBg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Adams

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:57

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adams

ADDRESS: 66899 32nd Street

POST CODE: 49065

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104addb0a7e4000e468fb8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/j330jlIySniIk5TAXPGrBA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/j330jlIySniIk5TAXPGrBA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jared roper  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jared roper

ADDRESS: middlesex, nc

POST CODE: 275?07

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104aadb7cb89000b3ce445

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/rTxk6EEcTYaZaMouf8aMeg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/rTxk6EEcTYaZaMouf8aMeg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:57  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Jake Triebisch  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1533 Beckwith View Ave N.E. #07  
Zip: 49505

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be

your legacy.

=====  
From: Ronald  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ronald

ADDRESS: 3948 Abby Lane

POST CODE: 72762

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104b47b7cb89000e2b2711

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/N\\_Xs1vx5RAyQFAvBuGtXGQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/N_Xs1vx5RAyQFAvBuGtXGQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/N\\_Xs1vx5RAyQFAvBuGtXGQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/N_Xs1vx5RAyQFAvBuGtXGQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Ron Giddings

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:57

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

To the deciders:

The internet should not be a "pay to play" forum.

I love poking into the odd corners to get alternative viewpoints. This is healthy for the economy and the democracy. Recall that we are concerned with "public" airwaves. Do not cave to private interests trying to completely privatize and control in order to discriminate and censor.

Cheers to an open internet.

Ron Giddings

93402

=====  
From: Zeb Strait

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:57

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zeb Strait

ADDRESS: 145 Brooks Rd

POST CODE: 33917

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104ae98b7a18000ddd542c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/RiSh3kRNQ8KI\\_t1TblylA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/RiSh3kRNQ8KI_t1TblylA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/RiSh3kRNQ8KI\\_t1TblylA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/RiSh3kRNQ8KI_t1TblylA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Christina  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christina

ADDRESS: 780 Pleasant Cross Rd

POST CODE: 27203

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104b0ff1110a000e42e795

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/Uhsd9zOhS2u\\_b7Jl0H6hwA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/Uhsd9zOhS2u_b7Jl0H6hwA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/Uhsd9zOhS2u\\_b7Jl0H6hwA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/Uhsd9zOhS2u_b7Jl0H6hwA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Erasmo Joseph Furiati

Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:58

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erasmo Joseph Furiati

ADDRESS: urb. el pÃ±al. nÃ° 10

POST CODE: 3001

COUNTRY: VE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104b5b99efdf000d805366

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/kSMrmYy3TeiJH\\_x5kxAwew/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/kSMrmYy3TeiJH_x5kxAwew/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/kSMrmYy3TeiJH\\_x5kxAwew/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/kSMrmYy3TeiJH_x5kxAwew/uh1)> .

=====

From: J F RodrÃ-guez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: J F RodrÃ-guez  
ADDRESS: 04 Verona Lane  
POST CODE: 31419  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104b45003331000c610a94

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/z\\_hmfqZNTu2bcIf5V4pAGw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/z_hmfqZNTu2bcIf5V4pAGw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/z\\_hmfqZNTu2bcIf5V4pAGw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/z_hmfqZNTu2bcIf5V4pAGw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Rainier Rodriguez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rainier Rodriguez  
ADDRESS: 2161 Steinway St  
POST CODE: 11105

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104b24dfbdb5000cb0bc69

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/9vC0\\_EMJRkOuhrKQPhtBXA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/9vC0_EMJRkOuhrKQPhtBXA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/9vC0\\_EMJRkOuhrKQPhtBXA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/9vC0_EMJRkOuhrKQPhtBXA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Steele  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steele

ADDRESS: 835 Urban St

POST CODE: 80401

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104a7099efdf000c34513a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/dphhNiTDT0Ccv3qdF61ciw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/dphhNiTDT0Ccv3qdF61ciw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Susan Stevenson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:58  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

The corporations buy politicians so why not the internet too. Stop screwing the little guys. NO to the fast lane!!

Susan Stevenson

19090

=====  
From: Eli Richmomd  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesnâ\200\231t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Eli Richmomd

5030 Iroquois St  
College Park, Maryland 20740

=====  
From: patty forshey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:50:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

patty forshey  
205 NE 1st St  
Muldrow, Oklahoma 74948

=====  
From: amanda Andrew  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:00  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal

government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

amanda Andrew  
6137 N Atkinson Ave  
Roswell, New Mexico 88201

=====  
From: Angela Cunningham  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:00  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Angela Cunningham  
135 Ann St  
Leesville, South Carolina 29070

=====  
From: Benjamin

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benjamin

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 60076

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104b298b7a18000e7c41df

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/DdAnNnPVTkesDuujlg0Xdw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/DdAnNnPVTkesDuujlg0Xdw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: RÃ©mi Sormain  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: RÃ©mi Sormain

ADDRESS: Paris

POST CODE: 75013

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104be415ab86000cb1b115

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/\\_X0oQpThRourHZT\\_eLPaVg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/_X0oQpThRourHZT_eLPaVg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/\\_X0oQpThRourHZT\\_eLPaVg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/_X0oQpThRourHZT_eLPaVg/uh1)> .

=====

From: vicente banda zuñiga  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: vicente banda zuñiga

ADDRESS: mexico

POST CODE: 87078

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104bc662b49c000cd1ed08

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/xHHpAZy3QuabXiXo3n5s9A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/xHHpAZy3QuabXiXo3n5s9A/uh1>> .

=====

From: W. Allen Wrede  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:00  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am appalled at the idea that we would allow a company's economic standing determine the speeds at which their internet content would be available. This policy would place an undue burden on any new company and would stifle the creation of new jobs.

Furthermore, the slowing down would devastate the transmission of public information, including the sharing of health care information.

The internet has taken a role in our country that warrants public protections that guard over public utilities.

We have seen the examples of internet protected for the citizens of a country -- we can look to England and South Korea for two vibrant examples of a utility that is faster and cheaper than internet available in the United States.

Please protect the open internet.

W. Allen Wrede

11103

=====  
From: Dimitar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dimitar

ADDRESS: Peju Javorov 02

POST CODE: 1000

COUNTRY: MK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104c19444842000ba907e4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/Pp2yn7r4S2-GD7g-uI76xg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Pp2yn7r4S2-GD7g-uI76xg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:01  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Karin Schrank  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 335 S. 82nd St.  
Zip: 53214

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard

called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Åsmund Gravem  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Åsmund Gravem  
ADDRESS: Kampheimveien 24b  
POST CODE: 0685  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104bf7a26879000e0b0e2c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/dlWeWczjSPKGkbO\\_V29ojw/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/dlWeWczjSPKGkbO_V29ojw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/dlWeWczjSPKGkbO\\_V29ojw/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/dlWeWczjSPKGkbO_V29ojw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Cheryl Dixon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:02  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Cheryl Dixon  
75231

=====

From: Fuck  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fuck

ADDRESS: Asshat

POST CODE: 66666

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104beea26879000e0b0e2b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/ak7\\_rcr3TEWdF7pfrHinxw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/ak7_rcr3TEWdF7pfrHinxw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/ak7\\_rcr3TEWdF7pFRHinxw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/ak7_rcr3TEWdF7pFRHinxw/uh1)> .

-----  
From: Hugo GarcÃ-a  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hugo GarcÃ-a

ADDRESS: Mexico City

POST CODE: 54607

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104c368b7a18000e7c41fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/noCZRhI7QfieRzPLu91bWg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/noCZRhI7QfieRzPLu91bWg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jay Bitkower  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:02  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jay Bitkower  
 ADDRESS: 150 West 75th Street  
 POST CODE: New York, NY 10023  
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104c09f35671000eb3c148

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/drHjNkWSR\\_Ori1SQd899Vg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/drHjNkWSR_Ori1SQd899Vg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/drHjNkWSR\\_Ori1SQd899Vg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/drHjNkWSR_Ori1SQd899Vg/uh1)> .

=====

From: m

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:02

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: m

ADDRESS: d

POST CODE: 890923

COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104c7bb0a7e4000c16506d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/StkLb3meTvedPIdgYqnLgQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/StkLb3meTvedPIdgYqnLgQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Maksim Trajkovski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maksim Trajkovski

ADDRESS: Jurij Gagarin 51/36

POST CODE: Skopje, Macedonia

COUNTRY: MK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104bd9444842000ec58583

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/3fVCeHVGRzu5JkLDMv0bqw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/3fVCeHVGRzu5JkLDMv0bqw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: MARY  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MARY

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx, COM

POST CODE: 33455

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104bda62b49c000e6c595d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/9haI9KZCSb6Gccbzm3qkcQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/9haI9KZCSb6Gccbzm3qkcQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Michael Nielsen

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:02

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Nielsen

ADDRESS: Kummerowsvej 5L

POST CODE: 9400 Noerresundby Denmark

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104c12dac595000d1ea948

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/x1FXeFrlRjCyY\\_9bcPlFDA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/x1FXeFrlRjCyY_9bcPlFDA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/x1FXeFrlRjCyY\\_9bcPlFDA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/x1FXeFrlRjCyY_9bcPlFDA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: emily songer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: emily songer

ADDRESS: Las Vegas

POST CODE: 89119

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104c53a26879000e0b0e32

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/e3fd4XKESSOhwomj-8vOoA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/e3fd4XKESSOhwomj-8vOoA/uh1>> .

=====

From: olympia Thomas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change

Campaign Committeeâ\200\231s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. Thatâ\200\231s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

olympia Thomas  
2944 Jackson St Apt 07  
Fort Myers, Florida 33901

=====  
From: Betty Walker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didnâ\200\231t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â\200\223 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Betty Walker  
2508 Shady Ln Apt 02  
Burnsville, Minnesota 55337

=====

From: Susan C Gilkey Gilkey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Susan C Gilkey Gilkey  
1039 W 5th St Apt 01  
Erie, Pennsylvania 16507

=====  
From: April porter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

April porter  
300 S 24th St  
Broken Arrow, Oklahoma 74014

=====  
From: Barbara Marshall  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Barbara Marshall  
3722 Taylors Ridge Ct  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65109

=====  
From: Flynn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Flynn

ADDRESS: 515 East Wynnewood Road

POST CODE: 19066

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104c55dac595000d1ea94e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/-5gKHPu0TmidbbFJ6ooa9w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/-5gKHPu0TmidbbFJ6ooa9w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Marian Hull  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marian Hull  
1015 Grey Oak Dr  
San Antonio, Texas 78213

=====  
From: Peter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter

ADDRESS: 28 Lafayette St

POST CODE: 80205

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104c50c60b21000b542508

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/LoZacDcDQ8qXQ7p4WKQ9qQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/LoZacDcDQ8qXQ7p4WKQ9qQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Therese Jonsson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Therese Jonsson

ADDRESS: Å\226rlogsgatan 23

POST CODE: 93235

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104ca262b49c000d163fb2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/EBcmZCkqQ8mtvxJCb-vHzQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/EBcmZCkqQ8mtvxJCb-vHzQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Timothy Robbins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Timothy Robbins  
ADDRESS: 319 Cricket Hill Road  
POST CODE: 12522/Dover Plains, NY  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104cafb7cb89000d44c28c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/YXISwqP5Snqyv5Bg2a\\_\\_Gg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/YXISwqP5Snqyv5Bg2a__Gg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/YXISwqP5Snqyv5Bg2a\\_\\_Gg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/YXISwqP5Snqyv5Bg2a__Gg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:05

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: violet hall

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 14 Kelloran st

Zip: 04730

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws

arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:05  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Wes Ball  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address:  
Zip:

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please donâ\200\231t break the Internet. Donâ\200\231t abandon the â\200\234Hands off the â\200\230Netâ\200\235 approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built todayâ\200\231s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title IIâ\200\231s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&Tâ\200\231s government-created monopoly. Theyâ\200\231re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We donâ\200\231t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling todayâ\200\231s bipartisan â\200\234Hands off the Netâ\200\235 approach. You canâ\200\231t have â\200\234just enough Title IIâ\200\235 any more than you can be â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ\200\231t intend.

Yet, as youâ\200\231ve noted, Title II wouldnâ\200\231t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\200\234fast lanes.â\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â\200\234vigilant restraint.â\200\235 But remember Kennardâ\200\231s digital mantra, too: â\200\234First, do no harm.â\200\235 If you conclude that existing laws arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224

whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Ida SjÃ¶berg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ida SjÃ¶berg

ADDRESS: 17 Kellett road

POST CODE: B7 4NQ

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104c2015ab86000d44fee6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Z3Ph9heqS4StAbN1c6GVAw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Z3Ph9heqS4StAbN1c6GVAw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Jorge Martinez Monjardin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jorge Martinez Monjardin

ADDRESS: Calle Cabrales 79, 2<sup>o</sup>B

POST CODE: 33205

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104cbff1110a000cc4edf3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/ZxtbpbNiQp-OGiIBsTxElg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/ZxtbpbNiQp-OGiIBsTxElg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Michal Pietraszko  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michal Pietraszko  
ADDRESS: Karmazynowa 03/16  
POST CODE: 85-436  
COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104cfedfbdb5000d11e82a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/SXMua4rLQdqeTG3smI5T9Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/SXMua4rLQdqeTG3smI5T9Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Nile Weaver  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nile Weaver  
4709 Dix St NE  
Washington, District of Columbia 20019

=====  
From: Richard Plastik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Richard Plastik

ADDRESS: 226 W.20th St. #5A

POST CODE: 10011

COUNTRY:

COMMENT: Net neutrality is the First Amendment of the Internet, the principle that Internet service providers (ISPs) treat all data equally. As an Internet user, net neutrality is vitally important to me. The FCC should use its Title II authority to protect it.

Most Americans have only one choice for truly high speed Internet: their local cable company. This is a political failure, and it is an embarrassment. America deserves competition and choice.

Without net neutrality, a bad situation gets even worse. These ISPs will now be able to manipulate our Internet experience by speeding up some services and slowing down others. That kills choice, diversity, and quality.

It also causes tremendous economic harm. If ISPs can speed up favored services and slow others, new businesses will no longer be able to rely on a level playing field. When ISPs can slow your site and destroy your business at will, how can any startup attract investors?

My friends, family, and I use the Internet for conversation and fun, but also for work and business. When you let ISPs mess with our Internet experience, you are attacking our social lives, our entertainment, and our economic well being. We won't stand for it.

ISPs are opposing Title II so that they can destroy the FCC's net neutrality rules in court. This is the same trick they pulled last time. Please, let's not be fooled again. Title II is the strong, legally sound way to enforce net neutrality. Use it.

Martin Niemöller's poem, "First they came" illustrates perfectly why we MUST make the stand today. YOU have the power to STOP the disappearance of the First Amendment's right to Freedom of Speech on the Internet. PLEASE STOP THE DISSOLUTION OF NET NEUTRALITY.

---

REF: 54104ccele0849000d05c01e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/MAHQVE-6QMG69SwHgpea4Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/MAHQVE-6QMG69SwHgpea4Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: sally watkins

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:06

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by

regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

sally watkins  
207 Chestnut St  
New Paris, Pennsylvania 15554

=====  
From: sdafsad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sdafsad

ADDRESS: asd

POST CODE: f

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104caldac595000d1ea958

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/5xganLAXSru4X4Dmcpstg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/5xganLAXSru4X4Dmcpstg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Amber Hamrick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:07  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps,

millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Amber Hamrick  
1150 E Rockefeller Ln  
Hernando, Florida 34442

=====  
From: Jackj all  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jackj all

ADDRESS: 2240 mt Vernon st, Philadelphia PA

POST CODE: 19130

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104cefb7cb89000e2b2740

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/zdDbRuPZTvCZycRhH3iNiw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/zdDbRuPZTvCZycRhH3iNiw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: LiÃu haddock  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: LiÃu haddock

ADDRESS: 12645 redbub ln

POST CODE: 77086

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104d25a26879000ca293c3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/O951\\_QYnRVu2Ia4wV5Mcnw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/O951_QYnRVu2Ia4wV5Mcnw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/O951\\_QYnRVu2Ia4wV5Mcnw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/O951_QYnRVu2Ia4wV5Mcnw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Robert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert  
ADDRESS: Otto-RÃ¶hm Str. 10  
POST CODE: 06151

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104d2efbecab000b573d84

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/-RigrRBdQ8KM4vrFOnhSuw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/-RigrRBdQ8KM4vrFOnhSuw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sergio Castell MartÃ-nez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sergio Castell MartÃ-nez

ADDRESS: Calle Mulhacen, n12, 2d, Cartagena, Spain

POST CODE: 30310

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104ce01e0849000ec71aa3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/sx05UUEORpinhEdoyVjOvQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/sx05UUEORpinhEdoyVjOvQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sybilla Pitkäänen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sybilla Pitkäänen

ADDRESS: Särkiniementie 9B19

POST CODE: 00210

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104cdfb0a7e4000c165076

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/NdKMFBz-RtGAEMmbzgZo\\_Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/NdKMFBz-RtGAEMmbzgZo_Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/NdKMFBz-RtGAEMmbzgZo\\_Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/NdKMFBz-RtGAEMmbzgZo_Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: stephanie anthony  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:08  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

stephanie anthony  
63027 Hill St  
Roseland, Louisiana 70456

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Angelica Caracosa  
2295 Alsacia Ct  
San Diego, CA 92139

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and

budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ernest Tatum  
3075 Heather View Ct  
Winston Salem, NC 27127

=====  
From: Sonni Roth  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:09  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

We don't need the internet controlled by a few huge companies. It should be open to all be they small or tiny.

Sonni Roth

33449

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:10  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Karl Van Tassel  
3150 Moorehouse Rd  
Erie, PA 16509

=====  
From: Priscilla Guzman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:10  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Priscilla Guzman  
204 N Houser Dr  
Covina, California 91722

=====  
From: Tamas Guomundsson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tamas Guomundsson

ADDRESS: Miklabraut 88

POST CODE: 105

COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104d49c60b21000c7f0f9b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/hXblRQKxQBmJM4J86r1yJQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/hXblRQKxQBmJM4J86r1yJQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: guido  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:11

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: guido

ADDRESS: vista

POST CODE: 92084

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104d7d8b7a18000e7c420d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/OcIMfUoCRJO1Pp1F8XRTjg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/OcIMfUoCRJO1Pp1F8XRTjg/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Jeff Tobler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeff Tobler

ADDRESS: PO Box 20

POST CODE: St Clair MO 63077

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104d0662b49c000bd61ca3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/mZN3FNfXTgu6oYd0MkALKA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/mZN3FNfXTgu6oYd0MkALKA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jesper  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesper  
ADDRESS: Byager VÃ|nge 112B  
POST CODE: 3460  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104d71f35671000d5534b9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/pcSiyESju755TN-PpqAw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/pcSiyESju755TN-PpqAw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jon Oak  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jon Oak

ADDRESS: 11 Knollwood Drive, North Caldwell, NJ

POST CODE: 07006

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104d62b7cb89000e2b2750

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/kkn\\_69RtTiaO4Y4w6wwzHQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/kkn_69RtTiaO4Y4w6wwzHQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/kkn\\_69RtTiaO4Y4w6wwzHQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/kkn_69RtTiaO4Y4w6wwzHQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Kelsie Piercey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelsie Piercey

ADDRESS: 127 Fleet Rd

POST CODE: 42120

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104da1c60b21000b54251f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/\\_9\\_SeVlhSeqSf0glHcmmiw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/_9_SeVlhSeqSf0glHcmmiw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/\\_9\\_SeVlhSeqSf0glHcmmiw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/_9_SeVlhSeqSf0glHcmmiw/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Michael Rizzi  
10281 Maikai Dr  
Huntingtn Bch, CA 92646

=====

From: rjan Danielsen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: rjan Danielsen  
ADDRESS: Rise 109  
POST CODE: 8370  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104d5ab7cb89000cb2aa25

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/j6YcUMy4RJezV5GfXEH97g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/j6YcUMy4RJezV5GfXEH97g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:11  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Orlin Nikiforov  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 3525 Country Square Dr 3525 #B101  
Zip: 75006

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:11

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
William Larson  
2801 3rd Ave S  
Escanaba, MI 49829

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Alexander  
10338 Homestead Rd  
Houston, TX 77016

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:12  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Theresa Lindsay  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 105 crockett rd.  
Zip: 76088

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:12

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mm Monroe  
1530 Arliss Ct  
San Diego, CA 92154

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John J. Coble  
22201 Kobs Rd  
Tomball, TX 77377

=====  
From: Lol  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lol

ADDRESS: Lol

POST CODE: Lol

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104df699efdf000e081cb5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/YIHa9LG4TP-1nPICoFyinA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/YIHa9LG4TP-1nPICoFyinA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:13

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Amanda Arellano

3916 W Lee St

Thatcher, AZ 85552

=====

From: NÃ©

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:13

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: NÃ©

ADDRESS: Residence la graniÃ"re bat K63

POST CODE: 13015

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104db5c60b21000c7f0fa4

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/jcv7d\\_fgTuC9gTZk6RYIww/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/jcv7d_fgTuC9gTZk6RYIww/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/jcv7d\\_fgTuC9gTZk6RYIww/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/jcv7d_fgTuC9gTZk6RYIww/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Ralph  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ralph

ADDRESS: 1011 7th st se

POST CODE: 56721

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e01fbecab000b573d9f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/\\_DAdl0KtSC6QhBKwRoWcIw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/_DAdl0KtSC6QhBKwRoWcIw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/\\_DAdl0KtSC6QhBKwRoWcIw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/_DAdl0KtSC6QhBKwRoWcIw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:14

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Albert Campbell JR

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 1001 City Ave.WA-204

Zip: 19096

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition.

Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Allan Storgaard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Allan Storgaard

ADDRESS: Reerslevvej 85

POST CODE: Dk-2640 Hedehusene

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e08c60b21000b542526

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/uNPF3iE8RlG7LUb4YqVuVA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/uNPF3iE8RlG7LUb4YqVuVA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Claudiana

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:14

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Claudiana

ADDRESS: 125-0584th ave

POST CODE: 11415

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e03f35671000c1813dc

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/LxJpiiUHQXqRXOCmKCmsyw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/LxJpiiUHQXqRXOCmKCmsyw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:14

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
William Desa  
3059 Paintedhills Ave  
Las Vegas, NV 89120

=====  
From: Donald Hymer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:14  
Subject: Open Internet

The does not need fastlanes or any other regulations. It certainly doe not need the government messing it up. Everything the government has been in lately has been a mess.

Don

Hymerd xxxx@xxxx.xxx hughes.net

=====  
From: Irvin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Irvin

ADDRESS: 3207 sw 174th ave Beaverton OR

POST CODE: 97006

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104dc5f35671000d5534bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/u\\_gb5y2SQeKtsetCEof6cw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/u_gb5y2SQeKtsetCEof6cw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/u\\_gb5y2SQeKtsetCEof6cw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/u_gb5y2SQeKtsetCEof6cw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jill  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jill  
ADDRESS: 8992 Mockingbird Lane  
POST CODE: 4555  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104de299efdf000c345195

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/ISkzy7pLTbmjxwy2sE-wmA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/ISkzy7pLTbmjxwy2sE-wmA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Josh Goldstein

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:14

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josh Goldstein

ADDRESS: 911C 19th Ave North

POST CODE: 37208

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104d81003331000bc7c45c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/iljCWF0gSwCYyxfSSpYcWw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/iljCWF0gSwCYyxfSSpYcWw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:14  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Joseph Ibrahim  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: Leland,NC  
Zip: 28451

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be

your legacy.

=====  
From: Torbjörn Löv  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Torbjörn Löv  
ADDRESS: Bondegatan 04  
POST CODE: 416 65  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104df7b0a7e4000dc6368b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/vzglIWzjS1GzxvF1naQScQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/vzglIWzjS1GzxvF1naQScQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:15

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cheri Taggard

1542 Nw Willowbrook Ct

Gresham, OR 97030

=====  
From: jane clinton

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:15

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jane clinton

ADDRESS: 575 sentinel rd

POST CODE: 08057

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104dd2b0a7e4000c165084

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/\\_z1Nf20YRSmIBNLWJY8-1w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/_z1Nf20YRSmIBNLWJY8-1w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/\\_z1Nf20YRSmIBNLWJY8-1w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/_z1Nf20YRSmIBNLWJY8-1w/uh1)> .

=====

From: JosÃ© Capella  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JosÃ© Capella

ADDRESS: Miami

POST CODE: 33125

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e34f1110a000cc4ee0c

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/20SoDhEJT6i34ezEX9drbw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/20SoDhEJT6i34ezEX9drbw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Joseph M Olonia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph M Olonia  
ADDRESS: 10701 Connemara Dr SW  
POST CODE: 87121  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e1eb7cb89000cb2aa2f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/mI1Z2gVITd61FF4ddvRaNg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/mI1Z2gVITd61FF4ddvRaNg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Todd Corboy

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:15

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

I have come to understand that it is within your power to protect net neutrality for all of us by classifying the internet as a regulated utility. This only makes sense to me. The internet has become ingrained in our daily lives as a necessary tool for communication, business, education, etc. If big telecom companies are allowed to create 'fast lanes' which only big companies could afford, it would unfairly leave the rest of us in their wake.

Please keep our internet access neutral, fair and available to all, no matter how large or small one's wallet may be.

Thank you.

Concerned citizen,

Todd

Todd Corboy

94114

=====  
From: Yogith Tupakula  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Yogith Tupakula

ADDRESS: 12136 cypress spring rdgng rd

POST CODE: 20871

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e40444842000d5b988d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/p07P\\_4-GTCCfCXy9NVaGUA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/p07P_4-GTCCfCXy9NVaGUA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/p07P\\_4-GTCCfCXy9NVaGUA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/p07P_4-GTCCfCXy9NVaGUA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:16

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Ephraim Smallwood  
1226 Summerville Ave  
Columbia, SC 29201

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:16

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new

regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shawn Black  
448 Summit Ave  
Gearhart, OR 97138

=====  
From: Sacha Vandermeulen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sacha Vandermeulen

ADDRESS: OrÃ©e 39

POST CODE: 2054

COUNTRY: CH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e49dfbdb5000b871cd8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/t95Gm8u3Qo2WBA6wUeQm8w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/t95Gm8u3Qo2WBA6wUeQm8w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: simon nitz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: simon nitz

ADDRESS: holmvägen 14

POST CODE: 19435

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e3cfbecab000b573da8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/M6KfSge\\_Soeo3USTEBhmZA/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/M6KfSge_Soeo3USTEBhmZA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/M6KfSge\\_Soeo3USTEBhmZA/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/M6KfSge_Soeo3USTEBhmZA/uh1)> .

=====

From: Thomas Breit

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:16  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I have been an Internet user since the early 1990s - before there was a Google, or Firefox. And, I have worked in the IT industry. Unbiased Internet access is vital to any modern business, as well as any modern home. Net Neutrality is the only way to accomplish that. Allowing a company like Comcast to throttle down streaming video from Netflix in order to force a competitive advantage for its own product is unfairness at its core - as though the post office sold stationery, and delivered envelopes you bought from them more quickly than envelopes you bought from Staples.

The claim is that there are multiple Internet Service Providers to choose from, and if I don't like mine, I can go to another one. The reality is that there is NOT - I live in a large suburb of Seattle, and the only ISP with 'streaming-quality' Internet service that's available to me is Comcast. They have the cable in place, that gives them an unfair advantage in competing with anyone else.

The situation in Industry is slightly different; my company recently moved to a new ISP. However, the move is very complicated, and it took months to evaluate the options, make the choice, sign contracts, and implement the changes. This makes changing a VERY difficult proposition, less like buying envelopes from a new vendor, and more like moving to a new building.

The reality in the world is that ISPs are a common carrier and MUST be treated as such.

Thomas Breit

98155

=====  
From: Y  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Y

ADDRESS: G

POST CODE: Ggg

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e7cfbecab000b573dad

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/qTst18rcR4WgrRbBMohqxQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/qTst18rcR4WgrRbBMohqxQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Courtney

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:17

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Courtney

ADDRESS: 3931 N. Hermitage Ave.

POST CODE: 60613

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e49444842000d5b988f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/msxepbUHTuaw\\_Abg1oQLgw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/msxepbUHTuaw_Abg1oQLgw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/msxepbUHTuaw\\_Abg1oQLgw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/msxepbUHTuaw_Abg1oQLgw/uh1)> .

=====

From: David  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David

ADDRESS: 116 Route 100

POST CODE: 05356

COUNTRY: TH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e6e99efdf000c3451a6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/As-ZeuzDRQyyAwQsLHTTHg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/As-ZeuzDRQyyAwQsLHTTHg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Crystal Begallia  
22112 92nd Ave E  
Graham, WA 98338

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free

and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joelan May  
20 Orchard St  
Spencer, NY 14883

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lloyd McLaughlin  
225 Oregon Ave  
Weirton, WV 26062

=====  
From: Yogi Derry  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Yogi Derry

ADDRESS: 3644 silver springs ct

POST CODE: 30034

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104ea48b7a18000ddd545b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/N9\\_UQDNuTu00jSoMi3QyLA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/N9_UQDNuTu00jSoMi3QyLA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/N9\\_UQDNuTu00jSoMi3QyLA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/N9_UQDNuTu00jSoMi3QyLA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shirleyne Millien  
211 Atlantic St  
Central Islip, NY 11722

=====  
From: Heather Latch  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Heather Latch

ADDRESS: 1701 Newport Rd

POST CODE: 19021

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104ed0f1110a000e42e7fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/ngDHHljmQUmK7M7Jjc9PBw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/ngDHHljmQUmK7M7Jjc9PBw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Julia Talcott  
3727 Iona Ave  
Stow, OH 44224

=====

From: mac  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mac

ADDRESS: 5565 North keystone

POST CODE: 462105

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the

certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104e94c60b21000df15fb7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/VcvDmfN2SpKQmT-OrQBmCQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/VcvDmfN2SpKQmT-OrQBmCQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Mary Holm  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:18  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

I am so outraged!! These mega-corporations are truly gutting American democracy. The government is rigged to continue to enrich the mega-wealthy. It is so obvious now. We have seen it exposed dozens of times. I am disgusted, infuriated with those who are perpetrating this and going along with it. Agency after agency does not work for the common good, but for the wealthy. May the wealthy inherit the Earth, huh!! And what do the wealthy do but destroy the Earth. The People need a free Internet just to get true news and information. We miss most of the news that the rest of the world hears or sees. Most U.S. broadcast and cable news shows are a joke--pretty hokey! And if they are serious, they are usually very biased. I read my news on the Internet, and I can go to numerous and varied sites to get it. It is just INCREDIBLE that the FCC would go along with such a fascist idea as to enable these corporations to rule the Internet and slow or even cut out sites they don't agree with! How can you even consider such a travesty of justice! If you go ahead with this, the truth will be that you are absolutely corrupted. I guess that means that revolution will be what it will take. That is not a threat but a realization of what is dawning.

Mary Holm

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:18  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Cody Howe  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 2084 S. 5th Ave  
Zip: 85364

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Andrew  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew

ADDRESS: 9321 Classic rd.

POST CODE: 23060

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104ecf444842000c5ffaf1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/VBMWnZlNT5WMXSbw8t-TJw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/VBMWnZlNT5WMXSbw8t-TJw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Clayton Cunha Filho  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Clayton Cunha Filho

ADDRESS: Rua Dr. Josã© Lourenã§o 3308

POST CODE: 60115-282

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f171e0849000cdb26d6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/WgETnYWpQJuoIdUDyI1qSA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/WgETnYWpQJuoIdUDyI1qSA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:19

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Dawn Gambino

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 08 covered Bridge Road

Zip: 10990

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it

in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Erasmo Joseph Furiati  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Erasmo Joseph Furiati  
ADDRESS: urb. el pÃ±al. nÂ° 10  
POST CODE: 3001  
COUNTRY: VE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104ef3b0a7e4000dc6369e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/eJ-9Iu\\_fRw6VBDg86KIVjg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/eJ-9Iu_fRw6VBDg86KIVjg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/eJ-9Iu\\_fRw6VBDg86KIVjg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/eJ-9Iu_fRw6VBDg86KIVjg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Hannah Stanford  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hannah Stanford  
ADDRESS: 150 Habersham Drive Athens, GA  
POST CODE: 30606  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104ed7444842000ba90827

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/BInB-xrLQHKQyM3znGaRpA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/BInB-xrLQHKQyM3znGaRpA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: John  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John

ADDRESS: 833 Norwalk drive

POST CODE: 37214

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104ebd15ab86000cb1b13d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/-fKtGqFpSVaYSyPdHQqs5Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/-fKtGqFpSVaYSyPdHQqs5Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Paula Bailey  
1078 Webber Pond Rd  
Vassalboro, ME 04989

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington

bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mary Willett  
813 Leonard Ct  
La Porte, IN 46350

-----  
From: Brian Jackson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian Jackson

ADDRESS: 1550 night

POST CODE: 63031

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f4ddfdb5000cb0bcf3

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/TvPzA0wmRz6BTxsbP8fCiQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/TvPzA0wmRz6BTxsbP8fCiQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Petty  
5924 Hilton St

Plano, TX 75093

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:20  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: suzanne  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 6532 eldorado  
Zip: 21632

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: gregg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: gregg

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 10033

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104efel5ab86000b62cf73

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/oT-jMZBiSLa3BbdGv74ljQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/oT-jMZBiSLa3BbdGv74ljQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:20  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Duan Blackston  
 2315 National St  
 Richmond, VA 23231

=====

From: Ståle Sletta Stubberud  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:20  
 Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ståle Sletta Stubberud

ADDRESS: Engerveien 60

POST CODE: 1900

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f4ddac595000b7085bb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/zx5aNLsnT2GSKRbS0\\_sfwg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/zx5aNLsnT2GSKRbS0_sfwg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/zx5aNLSnT2GSKRbS0\\_sfwg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/zx5aNLSnT2GSKRbS0_sfwg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Sven E Johansson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sven E Johansson

ADDRESS: Luleå, Sweden

POST CODE: s97452

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f23c60b21000c7f0fc3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/jq0YtDroQ\\_2MFEmab6Yt8A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/jq0YtDroQ_2MFEmab6Yt8A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/jq0YtDroQ\\_2MFEmab6Yt8A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/jq0YtDroQ_2MFEmab6Yt8A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Elizabeth Hall

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:21

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth Hall

ADDRESS: 13079 n. Hunters cir.

POST CODE: 78230

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f6ef35671000d5534d9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/gNLN3t9ASRSI02fN2U\\_6yg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/gNLN3t9ASRSI02fN2U_6yg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/gNLN3t9ASRSI02fN2U\\_6yg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/gNLN3t9ASRSI02fN2U_6yg/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the

future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jim Hayes  
1018 Live Oak Ln  
Seabrook, TX 77586

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:21  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Kathryn Chavez  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 9105 W. Flamingo Rd #1018 Las Vegas NV  
Zip: 89147

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be

your legacy.

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Stacy Moore  
20 E Janere Ct  
Sparks, NV 89436

=====  
From: Rachel Hiskes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rachel Hiskes

ADDRESS: 58 calle tizol, San Juan Puerto Rico

POST CODE: 00925

COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f34003331000bc7c482

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/amLY93gRQvCBjfYoyWzq9Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/amLY93gRQvCBjfYoyWzq9Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:21  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Latrenia Moore  
424 Mcrae Dr  
Fayetteville, NC 28305

=====  
From: Alexis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexis

ADDRESS: 1411 Goose Pond Ct

POST CODE: 21085

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f95003331000c610b05

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/n9P-ciurSTmZkL-zJKXhKA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/n9P-ciurSTmZkL-zJKXhKA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Bartosz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bartosz  
ADDRESS: Sklodowskiej Curie 07/03  
POST CODE: 58500  
COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f8b15ab86000d44ff46

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/VwZhG2nXSny1UwTa9-KbYw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/VwZhG2nXSny1UwTa9-KbYw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Charlie Morton  
97 Oil Field Rd  
Vaughan, MS 39179

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kyle Pokorney  
258 22nd St Nw  
Barberton, OH 44203

=====  
From: Diana  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:23

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Diana

ADDRESS: silver spring M.D.

POST CODE: 10209

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f99c60b21000c7f0fca

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/MHyqu5nlSzuKYTa-TDzipg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/MHyqu5nlSzuKYTa-TDzipg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Yolanda Walker  
800 Vista Valet Apt 1004  
San Antonio, TX 78216

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jason Lizotte  
105 Purington St  
Somerset, MA 02726

=====  
From: Tim  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Tim

ADDRESS: 02 halite

POST CODE: 22405

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104fe1b7cb89000b3ce471

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/BTmv658ySJmPi6PGtitxzg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/BTmv658ySJmPi6PGtitxzg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Brian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian  
ADDRESS: 20 SICKLES ST APT 3B  
POST CODE: 10040  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105004444842000d5b98c0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/zlX7gyDOQcW42alxwASeKA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/zlX7gyDOQcW42alxwASeKA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dan Stadtmueller  
111 College Ave  
Cheney, WA 99004

-----  
From: Hakan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hakan

ADDRESS: 19 Sevenoaks Close

POST CODE: SM26NL

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104ff0444842000d5b98bc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/o9jCZkiaSsm1DU7\\_t1TdPQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/o9jCZkiaSsm1DU7_t1TdPQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/o9jCZkiaSsm1DU7\\_t1TdPQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/o9jCZkiaSsm1DU7_t1TdPQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: JesÃ's Garcia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JesÃ's Garcia  
ADDRESS: 1511 Bethlehem road  
POST CODE: 75002  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f81dfbdb5000d11e853

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/3BSzS-SnT0efGvlUyX9GFQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/3BSzS-SnT0efGvlUyX9GFQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: jill Cobb  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jill Cobb

ADDRESS: 7621 Bristol Park Dr 33572

POST CODE: 33572

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104f7b1e0849000d05c054

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/AqOiW23-ROmdsHYbRDy6Tw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/AqOiW23-ROmdsHYbRDy6Tw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Randal Sullivan  
2621 Franklin Ave E Apt C  
Seattle, WA 98102

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jozef Wazinski  
6400 Hope Cir  
Wolcott, NY 14590

=====  
From: Katie Day  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katie Day

ADDRESS: 19 Windmill Way

POST CODE: Northants NN12 8BZ

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104fb762b49c000e6c599c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/sjNcogO-R6GuMPANg9Up-A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/sjNcogO-R6GuMPANg9Up-A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Marco

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:25

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marco

ADDRESS: 340 e 29 st, New York NY

POST CODE: 10016

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105087444842000ba90848

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Glme-WxLQ7KezQZA5IAQDQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Glme-WxLQ7KezQZA5IAQDQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Wragge  
2569 E 37th St  
Lorain, OH 44055

=====

From: sharon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sharon

ADDRESS: 18 Pleckgate Rd

POST CODE: BB1 8NN

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050231e0849000ec71ae0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/5W\\_zlMc9TiWEccjig5hdjg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/5W_zlMc9TiWEccjig5hdjg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/5W\\_zlMc9TiWEccjig5hdjg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/5W_zlMc9TiWEccjig5hdjg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Schreiner  
424 Becking Ave  
Woodstock, IL 60098

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Bell  
7 Mesquite Ln  
Carson, CA 90745

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joanne Reid  
32103 S Spring Ct  
Laurel, DE 19956

=====  
From: zj  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: zj

ADDRESS: zj

POST CODE: zj

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105056b0a7e4000dc636c3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/pO\\_qCtdqR7CIkUlx4aouaw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/pO_qCtdqR7CIkUlx4aouaw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/pO\\_qCtdqR7CIkUlX4aouaw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/pO_qCtdqR7CIkUlX4aouaw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Bianca  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bianca

ADDRESS: Constan?a, Romania

POST CODE: 900160

COUNTRY: RO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105034444842000ec585ca

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/UABX1uS5QRWotrCzeozPZA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/UABX1uS5QRWotrCzeozPZA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:27

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jose L. Castellanos  
1200 Gaviota Ave Apt 205  
Long Beach, CA 90813

=====

From: Chuck

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:27

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chuck

ADDRESS: 301 Ashton

POST CODE: K1Z 6T6

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050b862b49c000cd1ed6f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/3xxgbP2tQeSQiFHpJk87VQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/3xxgbP2tQeSQiFHpJk87VQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Len Conrad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:27  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
big corporation have screwed up America

Don't allow big corporations to screw up Internet

define the big cable operators as common carriers and regulate the hell out of them.

also, we need FEDERAL LAW negating ALL states' laws/regs that block GONs, municipal  
govt owned networks.

Len Conrad

78218

=====  
From: Nicole  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicole

ADDRESS: Hudson fl

POST CODE: 34667

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050e1f35671000eb3c1c8

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/YbBjG6deT82IjOJ-xg1EtQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/YbBjG6deT82IjOJ-xg1EtQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private

property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Pedro Mota  
256 Danze Dr  
San Jose, CA 95111

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:27  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Relford  
5286 N Lovers Lane Rd Apt 127  
Milwaukee, WI 53225

=====  
From: Susan Carter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:27  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
We need to keep public television PUBLIC - no corporations allowed. We already have

corporations running the entire country, we do NOT need anymore.

Susie Carter

Susan Carter

59911

=====  
From: Bridget  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bridget

ADDRESS: canada

POST CODE: v3c3z3

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050d6dfbdb5000cb0bd32

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/mQmqCx4UQ6a7uVHfk86TyQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/mQmqCx4UQ6a7uVHfk86TyQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: k.e.  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: k.e.  
ADDRESS: illinois  
POST CODE: 60098  
COUNTRY: PH  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050dfa26879000decb132

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/XbqxStqFSqGFrigrwThuVMg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/XbqxStqFSqGFrigrwThuVMg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Leslie Gibson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leslie Gibson

ADDRESS: 472 Shreve Dr Louisville, Ky.

POST CODE: 40222

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050b7003331000ed4d4d7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/JM5-A6y8Sg2EDaZ8VNNLOA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/JM5-A6y8Sg2EDaZ8VNNLOA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:28

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Clemente Garza  
124 Tranquility Dr  
Donna, TX 78537

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:28  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Richard Spiegelberg  
108 Hartman Knoll Ct  
Nappanee, IN 46550

=====  
From: Aimon Sikder  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Aimon Sikder  
17035 93rd Ave  
Jamaica, New York 11433

=====  
From: karpatfalvi Bence  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: karpatfalvi Bence

ADDRESS: koszeg

POST CODE: 9730

COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104fffa26879000ca293d9

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/YOGwYApfQ1GFfdDlCIIZXQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/YOGwYApfQ1GFfdDlCIIZXQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Kerry Hampton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kerry Hampton

ADDRESS: 228 n brown av

POST CODE: 47803

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050e7fbecab000dab1975

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/88A9Bj4sShalhesB-f7IXw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/88A9Bj4sShalhesB-f7IXw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lokman Mohd Noh  
1440 Hubbard St  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109

=====  
From: Mary Lindt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mary Lindt  
ADDRESS: 2804 Roselawn Ave  
POST CODE: Baltimore, MD 21214  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050eddfbdb5000cb0bd3c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/0fU\\_74AcRuqWJltieibvTw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/0fU_74AcRuqWJltieibvTw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/0fU\\_74AcRuqWJltieibvTw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/0fU_74AcRuqWJltieibvTw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Minerva Flecha  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:29  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Minerva Flecha  
30 Brookdale Gdns Apt C  
Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sonja Mcneely  
417 Harvard Dr  
Red Oak, TX 75154

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:30

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kay Brown  
1191 Camelot Dr  
Boise, ID 83704

=====  
From: Carl Stanard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carl Stanard  
1508 Edwards Rd

Alma, Arkansas 72921

=====  
From: caryl allen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

caryl allen  
971 Evergreen Dr  
West Blocton, Alabama 35184

=====  
From: Gina Salinas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid

of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gina Salinas  
7500 Savoy Ln Unit D  
Bridgeview, Illinois 60455

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shawn Tate  
8675 Amador Ave  
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

=====  
From: Desiray Crane  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and

urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Desiray Crane  
305 Pioneer Creek Ln  
Mitchell, Indiana 47446

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Dora Taitingfong  
11635 1st Ave S Apt 201  
Seattle, WA 98168

=====  
From: Frankie Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Frankie Smith  
124 Stonehaven Dr  
Frierson, Louisiana 71027

=====  
From: Joshua Deveso  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joshua Deveso  
1531 Eggert Road  
Amherst, New York 14226

=====  
From: Linda Calloway  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Linda Calloway  
1462 Beddington St  
Temperance, Michigan 48182

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:31  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Douglas Long  
710 Peachtree St Apt 306  
Atlanta, GA 30308

=====  
From: Lynda Lewis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:31  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I vote NO for Net Neutrality

Lynda Lewis

30045

=====  
From: Wanda Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Wanda Williams  
308 26th Ct NW  
Center Point, Alabama 35215

=====  
From: Ana Istre  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ana Istre  
605 Pelican St  
Magnolia, Texas 77355

=====  
From: jermaine conner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open

and free is what has kept it open and free all along â\200\223 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

jermaine conner  
306 Court Dr  
Bessemer City, North Carolina 28016

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Joey Oakley  
305 Coronado Ave Apt 15  
Long Beach, CA 90814

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lance Saltzman  
2 Forrest St  
Warwick, RI 02889

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Doug Himes  
1556 Summers School Rd  
Morgantown, WV 26508

=====  
From: Jim Stutz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jim Stutz  
60 Timber Ridge Dr  
Byhalia, Mississippi 38611

=====  
From: Johnny Valle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:33  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of

unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Johnny Valle  
1064 Jefferson Ave Apt 01  
Brooklyn, New York 11221

=====  
From: Mona Robinson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mona Robinson

ADDRESS: 08 Silkwood, Aliso Viejo, CA

POST CODE: 92656

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our

communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54104fda444842000ec585c0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/28rBBpBsSIWxciccv5Mwbg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/28rBBpBsSIWxciccv5Mwbg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Dadi Regmi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:34  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dadi Regmi  
482 Pleasantview Ave  
Syracuse, New York 13208

=====  
From: Marie SÃ,rensen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marie SÃ,rensen

ADDRESS: 1722 Copenhagen V

POST CODE: 1722 Denmark

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050d699efdf000c3451e6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/EGF3AU07T7OadXhSVILKDQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/EGF3AU07T7OadXhSVILKDQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: markus halonen

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:34

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: markus halonen

ADDRESS: yrjÄnkkuja 03

POST CODE: 44500

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050ed15ab86000cb1b170

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/8vWYLB2mSuiFS95BV74Cig/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/8vWYLB2mSuiFS95BV74Cig/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Michael Wright  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:34  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michael Wright  
3032 Sumac St Apt 03  
Fort Collins, Colorado 80526

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:35  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Debbie Ratliff  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: Lexington, KY  
Zip: 40504

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$0.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Keith Zaring  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Keith Zaring

ADDRESS: 7905 S Niagara Ct

POST CODE: Centennial, CO 80112

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105102a26879000ca293e6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/dqTqvh0bRlaBkTeBHRar5A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/dqTqvh0bRlaBkTeBHRar5A/uh1>> .

=====

From: Layla sells

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:35

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Layla sells

ADDRESS: 3513 wallingford ave n unit c

POST CODE: 98103

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541050f315ab86000cb1b171

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/8IkRZHjnShercJZcD5LxpQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/8IkRZHjnShercJZcD5LxpQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Benjamin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benjamin

ADDRESS: 383 Broadway Greenlawn, Huntington, NY

POST CODE: 11743

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410511ddac595000d1ea9ca

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/JgY7M8uKRlGiovMEf9\\_ZGQ/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/JgY7M8uKRlGiovMEf9_ZGQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/JgY7M8uKRlGiovMEf9\\_ZGQ/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/JgY7M8uKRlGiovMEf9_ZGQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:36

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Lucas W Fowler

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 1021 West 5th St

Zip: 63090

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment

and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Helmer Fundin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Helmer Fundin  
ADDRESS: Knut Håkmars gränd 6G  
POST CODE: 51169  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105107dfbdb5000cb0bd44

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/rqhZK8rCSjKiaHGFHDYctA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/rqhZK8rCSjKiaHGFHDYctA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: aaron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:37

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: aaron

ADDRESS: 2353 Massachusetts Ave

POST CODE: 02140

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410512adfbdb5000d11e872

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/LKEOsTBmQ6anW8yPNTdVcg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/LKEOsTBmQ6anW8yPNTdVcg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Betty Waddell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:37  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Betty Waddell  
1631 Churchill Ln  
Mansfield, Texas 76063

=====  
From: c gorman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: c gorman

ADDRESS: dogwood grove

POST CODE: 28805

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105108b0a7e4000bf69ea7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/AGslHHZdRwaK1pxARRpqSw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/AGslHHZdRwaK1pxARRpqSw/uh1> .

=====  
From: Gerry Thomason  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:37  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:  
"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gerry Thomason  
17717 Sahale Dr  
Mount Vernon, Washington 98274

=====  
From: Joni  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joni

ADDRESS: Metsähiirenkatu

POST CODE: 20240

COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410513edac595000d1ea9ce

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/Usi5FwAhR4SfYq64CeCVqg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/Usi5FwAhR4SfYq64CeCVqg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Maddy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maddy

ADDRESS: whitecourt AB

POST CODE: t7s 1h9

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105141dac595000cde5bac

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/fUgAGF7yQtexSZqziVvN9Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/fUgAGF7yQtexSZqziVvN9Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: rex lane

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:37

Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

rex lane

831 Dyer St

Johnson City, Tennessee 37601

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:37

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Scott J. Smith

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 14288 K B Rd  
Zip: 61012

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Ben  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ben

ADDRESS: 230 Walnut Street

POST CODE: 02460

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105158b0a7e4000dc636dc

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/bR2jdqfvTLaxmqJf-Kyn3Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/bR2jdqfvTLaxmqJf-Kyn3Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Suzanne Campbell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:38  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are at a crossroads in the US with the critical issue of net neutrality. Only the FCC can keep the internet open and free to all, no matter what size of company we represent, whether we are only a small business owner or just an individual speaking out. I worry that corporate America is taking control of so many aspects of our lives, and the FCC is in a position to protect this one aspect of our freedom from corporate America.

Thank you for your consideration in this critical matter.

Sincerely,  
Suzanne Campbell  
Key West, FL 33040

Suzanne Campbell

33040

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:39  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Dale Pherson  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 26 Colchester Ave  
Zip: 06424

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it

in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Kayla  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Kayla  
ADDRESS: 10805 Winder Cres, North Battleford, SK  
POST CODE: S9A 2B9  
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410515e8b7a18000c5746ff

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/6sXWGmCkQ1m3nWTTSVrsQA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/6sXWGmCkQ1m3nWTTSVrsQA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Terri Valdes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Terri Valdes  
ADDRESS: Po Box 6205  
POST CODE: 77491  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105192003331000bc7c4b2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/3I1yyDHqRaaLJVgJOPjg9A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/3I1yyDHqRaaLJVgJOPjg9A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Valter Francesco Gobbato  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Valter Francesco Gobbato

ADDRESS: Via Sassari-Str. 20/05

POST CODE: I-39100 Bolzano/Bozen

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105183f11110a000d0e6ac0

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/lW96JFi6TEaFK4kvJwfwg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/lW96JFi6TEaFK4kvJwfwg/uh1>> .

=====

From: ???  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ???  
ADDRESS: ??  
POST CODE: zip  
COUNTRY: CN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for

the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410520cf1110a000d0e6ad1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/yIFNPpWlT1-DrIsyiKgSMg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/yIFNPpWlT1-DrIsyiKgSMg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Amanda Ross  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amanda Ross

ADDRESS: 08 Yarmouth Rd

POST CODE: 04039

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105217f35671000eb3c1f1

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/q2JH5lGbSDGeL3m0iov2sQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/q2JH5lGbSDGeL3m0iov2sQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Roachelle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Roachelle

ADDRESS: 7632 Star Avenue Cleveland ohio

POST CODE: 44103

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541051a8fbecab000b573e0f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/LWpVovc7R36IYK18q4PIlg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/LWpVovc7R36IYK18q4PIlg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Thomas Hauser  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Hauser

ADDRESS: 510 meanderwood rd

POST CODE: 53575

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410520b99efdf000e081d18

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/Aqd695uaTNWjTCxTTNxtTw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/Aqd695uaTNWjTCxTTNxTTw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:40  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Timothy Everett  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 5735 Cartagena St  
Zip: 77035

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: b- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:41  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Barbara B. Ruge  
Email: b- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1891 NW 36 St. Oakland Park, FL  
Zip: 33309

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Christine Bell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christine Bell

ADDRESS: 57 Riveria Ct. Malverne NY

POST CODE: 11565

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541051aa15ab86000cb1b17b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/Half4sXEQa2b7cGG0HtoGw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/Half4sXEQa2b7cGG0HtoGw/uh1>> .

=====

From: David  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David  
ADDRESS: Johnson  
POST CODE: 33935  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541051e31e0849000d05c090

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/MMu16qE0SjmfIj3qmut1Dg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/MMu16qE0SjmfIj3qmut1Dg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Janet mcmillan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Janet mcmillan  
ADDRESS: mesa  
POST CODE: az  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Net neutrality is the First Amendment of the Internet, the principle that Internet service providers (ISPs) treat all data equally. As an Internet user, net neutrality is vitally important to me. The FCC should use its Title II authority to protect it.

Most Americans have only one choice for truly high speed Internet: their local cable company. This is a political failure, and it is an embarrassment. America deserves competition and choice.

Without net neutrality, a bad situation gets even worse. These ISPs will now be able to manipulate our Internet experience by speeding up some services and slowing down others. That kills choice, diversity, and quality.

It also causes tremendous economic harm. If ISPs can speed up favored services and slow others, new businesses will no longer be able to rely on a level playing field. When ISPs can slow your site and destroy your business at will, how can any startup

attract investors?

My friends, family, and I use the Internet for conversation and fun, but also for work and business. When you let ISPs mess with our Internet experience, you are attacking our social lives, our entertainment, and our economic well being. We won't stand for it.

ISPs are opposing Title II so that they can destroy the FCC's net neutrality rules in court. This is the same trick they pulled last time. Please, let's not be fooled again. Title II is the strong, legally sound way to enforce net neutrality. Use it.

---

REF: 5410525c99efdf000d8053de

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/pHfrr4u5Q0eshmhkZSmJyg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/pHfrr4u5Q0eshmhkZSmJyg/uh1>> .

=====

From: John Tillman

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:41

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Tillman

ADDRESS: 927 Walton Green Way

POST CODE: 30144

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410521dfbecab000c288bf6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/qluthdIoRBSS7bcbi8Wk0Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/qluthdIoRBSS7bcbi8Wk0Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Stephen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephen  
ADDRESS: 15 Mount Saint Mary's Way, Unit 13  
POST CODE: 03106  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410524fc60b21000b542569

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/fzfcoFHuQ0-KlV1kiMPE\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/fzfcoFHuQ0-KlV1kiMPE_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/fzfcoFHuQ0-KlV1kiMPE\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/fzfcoFHuQ0-KlV1kiMPE_g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Stephen Bohac  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:41  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Stephen Bohac

95383

=====  
From: Ajay  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ajay

ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 80124

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105238fbecab000dab1994

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/iFlRD3M9Q86J935XPR30Vw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/iFlRD3M9Q86J935XPR30Vw/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:42

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Karen Lorraine Rice

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Address: 26651 Myrtle Lane NE C106

Zip: 98346

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it

in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Stéphanie Laroche-Pierre  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Stéphanie Laroche-Pierre  
ADDRESS: 4061, Edna St. Montréal, QC, Canada  
POST CODE: H4G 1Y6  
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105268b0a7e4000bf69eb1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/c9gbIDN-RIit-22bO-yrWw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/c9gbIDN-RIit-22bO-yrWw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Geraldine Clemons  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:43  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Please Keep current Internet neutrality.

Geraldine Clemons  
71129

=====

From: Kevin Papp  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:43

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin Papp

ADDRESS: 305 Grandview PT

POST CODE: 25064

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105273dfbdb5000d11e88a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/QOQ7zUU0Rla-4YlQwPkKmg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/QOQ7zUU0Rla-4YlQwPkKmg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: tracy edwards  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:43  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

This is horse chit, we need to preserve net neutrality. If not, we end up like China, Russia, or Iran, where the state ends up controlling the internet. We are America and we need net neutrality.

tracy edwards

33604

=====  
From: Eric  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric

ADDRESS: 10108 E 26TH PL

POST CODE: 74129

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410529cfbecab000dab199d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/oHHfTq0WSkaAG59TnhGH2w/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/oHHfTq0WSkaAG59TnhGH2w/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Grace  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Grace

ADDRESS: 760 evergreen ave

POST CODE: 11207

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105280a26879000decb170

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/AFuCqo9MRDas3ffI-ubhPg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/AFuCqo9MRDas3ffI-ubhPg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: LLLongley

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: LLLongley

ADDRESS: Holland Patent, New York

POST CODE: 13354

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541052a599efdf000e081d2b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/XvyJ8kRvR2-ZeJ7VZ5jeEA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/XvyJ8kRvR2-ZeJ7VZ5jeEA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sarah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah

ADDRESS: Via marciala

POST CODE: 41070

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105277f11110a000e42e872

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/p-GAHCAnQ1-FwNVa9cSbXA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/p-GAHCAnQ1-FwNVa9cSbXA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Bill Kettering  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:46  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
o Content Found -- Please specify some content

Bill Kettering  
30062

=====

From: Christopher Baz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:46  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
I am a small business owner with my own website and I very much support the Internet as a means to support business in America that we need net neutrality to stay equal. small business needs an equal playing field.

Christopher Baz  
96790

=====

From: Jan Conner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:46  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Give the "little people" a break! This is a valuable tool for communication for 98% of the people who don't have mega-billionaire backing. This is curbing free speech using something that was developed by the government and given to the people. Now that the big business wants to take it from us because they can smell profits in the wind. They can't stand it that there might be something out there that gives the masses equal footing. They aren't content to share - they have to have it all to reinforce their feelings of adequacy and prove they are superior- which is a fallacy.

Jan Conner

55350

=====  
From: Jonathan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathan

ADDRESS: 06 Portland Drive

POST CODE: ST8 6RY

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541052b48b7a18000c574716

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/LmrKbzunRiyS\\_ahIQGOU\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/LmrKbzunRiyS_ahIQGOU_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/LmrKbzunRiyS\\_ahIQGOU\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/LmrKbzunRiyS_ahIQGOU_g/uh1)> .

=====

From: Kara  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kara  
ADDRESS: 5654 SW Martin Commons Way  
POST CODE: 34990  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541052b3003331000ed4d515

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/ZZkkuUkTQCywFPbOYbE9dg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/ZZkkuUkTQCywFPbOYbE9dg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Sevval Besli  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sevval Besli

ADDRESS: Ankara

POST CODE: 06540

COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105301dfbdb5000d11e89f

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/wIWYMad4T7eWVygEXMkXWQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/wIWYMad4T7eWVygEXMkXWQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Robert Licker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Licker

ADDRESS: 43 Hausman Street

POST CODE: Brooklyn , NY 11222

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105314444842000ba9088e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/sj6ZCPvvT2u5y2agd8x\\_EQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/sj6ZCPvvT2u5y2agd8x_EQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/sj6ZCPvvT2u5y2agd8x\\_EQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/sj6ZCPvvT2u5y2agd8x_EQ/uh1)> .

=====

From: Tiffany  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tiffany  
ADDRESS: 504 W. 10th Street  
POST CODE: 77008  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105272fbecab000c288bf9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/phbjlPkTS2WlpLAbWf-ghQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/phbjlPkTS2WlpLAbWf-ghQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:49

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Pattie Flora

Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx

Address: 2070 Van Wormer Rd

Zip: 48609

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily

as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: CharriÃ© Estelle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: CharriÃ© Estelle  
ADDRESS: AngoulÃªme, France  
POST CODE: 16000  
COUNTRY: FR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410530db0a7e4000dc63708

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/mjTMfvIjSCOTzduk8HMUjA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/mjTMfvIjSCOTzduk8HMUjA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:51  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Robin  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 1353 Unter Ave NW  
Zip: 32907

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment

and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----  
From: Loredana  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Loredana  
ADDRESS: 1369 Bloor Street West  
POST CODE: M6P 4J4  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105326a26879000decb18b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/RZbRsg7dTuel1MohHb-3OQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/RZbRsg7dTuel1MohHb-3OQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Al Romano  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:53

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Al Romano

ADDRESS: 63 Roehampton Ave

POST CODE: M4P 1R1

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410537bf35671000d553553

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/AHwla6IAQq2yOCxpYMMI-Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/AHwla6IAQq2yOCxpYMMI-Q/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Ana  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Ana

ADDRESS: 510 W52nd st. apt. 8N

POST CODE: 10019

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining

moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541053cd1e0849000ec71b13

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/rOURuFkNQBuqNNmXq5xfaw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/rOURuFkNQBuqNNmXq5xfaw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Anna Walker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anna Walker  
ADDRESS: 625 n Redbarn ln  
POST CODE: 67212  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband

access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105366003331000ed4d531

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/V85Depk\\_Q9qXqQg-pueQ1Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/V85Depk_Q9qXqQg-pueQ1Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/V85Depk\\_Q9qXqQg-pueQ1Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/V85Depk_Q9qXqQg-pueQ1Q/uh1)> .

=====

From: Anne Grau

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:53

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anne Grau

ADDRESS: 1864 S, Washington St

POST CODE: 80210

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting

toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105316003331000ed4d523

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/6OUkvZV7SnmA3Y7s\\_vYdqQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/6OUkvZV7SnmA3Y7s_vYdqQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/6OUkvZV7SnmA3Y7s\\_vYdqQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/6OUkvZV7SnmA3Y7s_vYdqQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:53

Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Jack Clark

Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 11 San Remo dr.  
Zip: 34848

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Darian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Darian

ADDRESS: Efrata st

POST CODE: 93384

COUNTRY: RS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541053cbb7cb89000d44c32e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/2Tey0aAbReC8nJXe8JApUA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/2Tey0aAbReC8nJXe8JApUA/uh1>> .

-----  
From: Markus Janica  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Markus Janica

ADDRESS: MittelstraÃ\237e 10

POST CODE: 38527

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541053cef35671000eb3c22a

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/cD3TSEKbSxiFPZbUrn7m-g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
 <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/cD3TSEKbSxiFPZbUrn7m-g/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:55  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
 Anthony Bendos  
 7051 Eckstrom Ave  
 San Diego, CA 92111

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:55  
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes

through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years -- that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
John Cude  
2908 Amherst Ave  
Dallas, TX 75225

=====  
From: Mikolaj Switalski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mikolaj Switalski

ADDRESS: Sowiniec 01

POST CODE: 62050

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541053dd8b7a18000c57472a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/00ho3UBCQ20tHfHqn5eiOw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/00ho3UBCQ20tHfHqn5eiOw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Peter

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:55

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter

ADDRESS: 1585larsen st

POST CODE: 08724

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541053e8c60b21000e117e9b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/f-XZOyEQSdS3zbRn9wzJFg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/f-XZOyEQSdS3zbRn9wzJFg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Rebecca Kennedy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rebecca Kennedy

ADDRESS: 613 E Henry St.

POST CODE: 44691

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541053e88b7a18000ddd54c7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/qd4jsEaYQ4GfCwX7QB47Ng/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/qd4jsEaYQ4GfCwX7QB47Ng/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Carolina Meza  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carolina Meza  
1345 E 28th St  
Los Angeles, California 90011

=====  
From: Jeffery Bolden  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have

actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jeffery Bolden  
2125 Joseph E Boone Blvd NW Apt 52D  
Atlanta, Georgia 30314

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
James Jennerich  
14 Grissom Ave  
Staten Island, NY 10314

=====

From: Judyta Pelka  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Judyta Pelka

ADDRESS: Krzyzowa 12/01 ChorzÅ³w

POST CODE: 41-500

COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541053e9b7cb89000d44c330

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/AJoSRNCmQHehckZe86Hwcg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/AJoSRNCmQHehckZe86Hwcg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Laurel J Hughes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:56  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Don't end our FREEDOM!!! Don't cater to greed and profit mongering Big Telecoms.  
DON'T PUT NON-PROFITS AND SMALL BUSINESS IN THE SLOW LANE!!!

NET NEUTRALITY MUST BE PRESERVED FOR THE GOOD OF OUR COUNTRY AND OF THE WORLD!!!!!!

Laurel J Hughes

98026

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That's what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Lloyd Cannon  
16824 Nw 174th Ter

Alachua, FL 32615

=====  
From: nancy tucker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

nancy tucker  
444 Cloverdale Ave  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45246

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet

after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Tommy Mashburn  
55 Elizabeth Church Rd Ne  
Marietta, GA 30060

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Jorge Sanchez  
6269 Chadwick Ave  
San Diego, CA 92139

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Chris Triance  
5325 County Road 747  
Cullman, AL 35058

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mario Castellanos  
919 Wheeler Ave N  
Douglas, GA 31533

=====  
From: Drew Hamilton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:57  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please keep the internet as it is. A two tiered system (fast lane for \$) will stifle innovation. The cable companies have copiously demonstrated their total lack of

concern for customers.. why give them more power and profit?

Drew Hamilton

95012

=====  
From: Gloria Corum  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gloria Corum  
329 Toddville Rd  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28214

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no

longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Holly Mott  
765 Johnson Rd  
Kimberly, AL 35091

=====  
From: Joseph  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph  
ADDRESS: PSC 80 box 22344  
POST CODE: 96367  
COUNTRY: JP  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105406b7cb89000b3ce4ab

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/gHNYLyF6SiGmLEBsNwdkxA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/gHNYLyF6SiGmLEBsNwdkxA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:57

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

William Roberts  
3607 Monte Vista Dr  
Chattanooga, TN 37411

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Douglas Dicenzo  
202 Smith St  
Buffalo, NY 14210

=====  
From: Mel Henderson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better

services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mel Henderson  
2195 Brickyard Rd  
Comer, Georgia 30629

-----  
From: Olivier Oberholzer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Olivier Oberholzer

ADDRESS: CrÃ©t-Martin 40

POST CODE: 1450

COUNTRY: CH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105402444842000d5b993d

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>
- <<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/6PYvg7swRwmfMZTFvIIQgg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/6PYvg7swRwmfMZTFvIIQgg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Brendan Jacquemin  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 919 S. Willamette Spokane Wa  
Zip: 99212

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be

â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ\200\231t intend.

Yet, as youâ\200\231ve noted, Title II wouldnâ\200\231t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\200\234fast lanes.â\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â\200\234vigilant restraint.â\200\235 But remember Kennardâ\200\231s digital mantra, too: â\200\234First, do no harm.â\200\235 If you conclude that existing laws arenâ\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâ\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â\200\224 whether thatâ\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: costes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: costes

ADDRESS: 18 cours du 7<sup>Ã</sup>me art

POST CODE: 75018 PARIS

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105417f11110a000b4b4ff4

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/YFW85w-PTn69fH7IzLE7Pw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/YFW85w-PTn69fH7IzLE7Pw/uh1>> .

=====

From: craig  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: craig

ADDRESS: 2843 baneberry

POST CODE: 21209

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105400003331000c610ba3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/y\\_JuZ8UJRCqKnUPCdmKI2A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/y_JuZ8UJRCqKnUPCdmKI2A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/y\\_JuZ8UJRCqKnUPCdmKI2A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/y_JuZ8UJRCqKnUPCdmKI2A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Dyllan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dyllan

ADDRESS: 324 Centre st

POST CODE: 02130

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105424a26879000decb1b3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/WS2f-7DQTWObbAy\\_bdbTTw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/WS2f-7DQTWObbAy_bdbTTw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/WS2f-7DQTWObbAy\\_bdbTTw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/WS2f-7DQTWObbAy_bdbTTw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Joe Zappa

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe Zappa

ADDRESS: 3025 Dottie Lane

POST CODE: Edgewood, KY 41017

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative

startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541053fcfbecab000dab19bd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/y5Wn5GpqTr01pZ4PpDfMMPA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/y5Wn5GpqTr01pZ4PpDfMMPA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jorgen Harle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jorgen Harle

ADDRESS: Pobox341

POST CODE: 98245

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541053faf1110a000e42e8b2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/WALj7D7dTfKu3dYmMxnxXg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/WALj7D7dTfKu3dYmMxnxXg/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most

onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robert Myers  
18809 Huntingtower Castle Blvd  
Pflugerville, TX 78660

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Micah Young  
7016 Ne 410th St  
La Center, WA 98629

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Vince Duenas Jr.  
961 Erin Ln W  
Eatonville, WA 98328

=====  
From: Waller Todd  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
This is a terrible idea and only proves to help big companies make money and hurt the business' that are the backbone of this country.

Waller Todd  
64068

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:58  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Kimberly Whitaker  
2725 W 16th St Apt A9  
Anderson, IN 46011

=====  
From: Abigail  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Abigail

ADDRESS: 1745 Ponderosa Lane

POST CODE: 54017

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105469c60b21000e117ea1

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/bdfPCnFbRx20fGo0\\_bvw3A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/bdfPCnFbRx20fGo0_bvw3A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/bdfPCnFbRx20fGo0\\_bvw3A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/bdfPCnFbRx20fGo0_bvw3A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Carolina Barros  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carolina Barros  
ADDRESS: ManhuaÃ§u â\200\223 MG  
POST CODE: 36900000  
COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the

so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541053d91e0849000ec71b14

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/H\\_qwZPqvSv22BSZwarUh8A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/H_qwZPqvSv22BSZwarUh8A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/H\\_qwZPqvSv22BSZwarUh8A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/H_qwZPqvSv22BSZwarUh8A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Eric Hagen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Hagen  
ADDRESS: 2550 NE 117th Ave Portland Oregon  
POST CODE: 97220  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105455f35671000eb3c241

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/MDv-EWGoS3WHIUJvtIYmqQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/MDv-EWGoS3WHIUJvtIYmqQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
J Lam  
6926 73rd Dr Ne  
Marysville, WA 98270

=====  
From: Michael  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael  
ADDRESS: 729 Olivieri Ln  
POST CODE: 23455  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,

a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541054a415ab86000e5ea273

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/Ieb4XohsR1amrsMwGtdC1A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/Ieb4XohsR1amrsMwGtdC1A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:59

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government

control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Paul Stephens  
Po Box 126573  
San Diego, CA 92112

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:51:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Mack Miller  
1320 Maple St  
Racine, WI 53404

=====  
From: cornelius Nilmeir  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:00  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found --I VOTE FOR NET NEUTRALITY!

cornelius Nilmeir

94118

=====  
From: Fernando  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fernando

ADDRESS: 10461 Louisa RD

POST CODE: 22942

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541054adfbecab000b573e78

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/CYLp7woRS4S1g2rPx741vg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/CYLp7woRS4S1g2rPx741vg/uh1>> .

=====

From: JoÃ£o Pedro

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:00

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JoÃ£o Pedro

ADDRESS: rua prof. dr. josÃ© marques da cruz

POST CODE: 04707

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,

would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410548f15ab86000b62cfb6

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/zW\\_TmGBJS7SWNmCUt6ZMhw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/zW_TmGBJS7SWNmCUt6ZMhw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/zW\\_TmGBJS7SWNmCUt6ZMhw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/zW_TmGBJS7SWNmCUt6ZMhw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:00

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joe Kayed  
1044 F Herrera St  
Calexico, CA 92231

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don't give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Shaela Malena  
6418 Boggy Meadow Ln  
Bakersfield, CA 93313

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become

largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Brown  
7570 24th St Apt 04  
Sacramento, CA 95822

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:00  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Benjamin Custodio  
5165 Bradley Cir  
Colorado Spgs, CO 80911

=====  
From: Anders Roos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anders Roos

ADDRESS: Sankt Göransgatan 135

POST CODE: 11238

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410547af1110a000cc4ee7e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/10FsxdOtTA63Vcc-MMQEyw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/10FsxdOtTA63Vcc-MMQEyw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it's only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Anu Desjar  
89 Idora Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94127

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bridget Pouk  
807 E 12th St  
Streator, IL 61364

=====  
From: Carmen Madrigal Lopez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Mr. Chairman Wheeler,

Please protect at ALL cost Net neutrality. If you approve wealthy companies to have control we ALL know you have sold yourself and you do not deserve to ever be in any job because of your lack of integrity and should be looking at having you prosecuted if your own wealth or your family improves eventually. I'm sure you are not that type and I use Verizon and Dish, etc. those improvements sound great but not at cost of damage to others.

Carmen Madrigal Lopez  
96122

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Randy Slusher  
16080 Kaila Ct  
Gulfport, MS 39503

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Darren Simpson  
533 Westcliff Dr  
Laurens, SC 29360

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab

threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Sonia Carrillo  
5669 Roosevelt Pl  
Fremont, CA 94538

=====  
From: Terrance Leach  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler;

I would like to inform you that I heartily support a 'neutral' or 'open' internet. I feel this way because:

1. Bandwidth on the internet backbone is not an issue. There is no good reason to create a bandwidth tier system unless one considers carrier profit a good reason.
2. Data prioritization should be based on the type of data and not the data's or content's source.
3. Carriers can not be trusted to not interfere with external content provider's data. Comcast's admission that they purposely slowed Netflix's content some months back is a good example.
4. Americans already spend more for 'broadband' internet access than many other countries. This cost will only rise if content providers have to pay more to play and pass this cost to consumers. The consumer and the content provider have already paid!

Thank you for taking time to read my opinions.

Terrance J. Leach

Terrance Leach

87114

=====  
From: Vanja Krüger Skogen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vanja Krüger Skogen

ADDRESS: StÅyle kyllingstadvei 10b

POST CODE: 4317

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541054cf1e0849000cdb27a3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/91\\_xBegzQf2R97LIEwaytQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/91_xBegzQf2R97LIEwaytQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/91\\_xBegzQf2R97LIEwaytQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/91_xBegzQf2R97LIEwaytQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Carol Gardner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Carol Gardner  
ADDRESS: Warwick,N.Y.  
POST CODE: 10990  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541054abdfbdb5000d11e8d7

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/8PgwPIyDSL CZ4uzCs7U1Nw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/8PgwPIyDSL CZ4uzCs7U1Nw/uh1>> .

=====

From: John

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:02

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John

ADDRESS: 01 Pine street

POST CODE: 01524

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541054e5444842000c5ffb29

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/iLY-eIRxTQWycGp9lngs0A/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/iLY-eIRxTQWycGp9lngs0A/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:02  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Joan King  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 916 Franklin Ave Pittsburgh, PA  
Zip: 15221

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new

entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Mark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark

ADDRESS: 1162 Meaderboro road

POST CODE: 03835

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541054cbdac595000d1eaa57

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/iAhrFaJrLqJ-rBc0lyLpQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/iAhrFaJrLqJ-rBc0lyLpQ/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the

improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Robby Testa  
168 S Park St  
San Francisco, CA 94107

=====  
From: Tracey Sheasby  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Tracey Sheasby

ADDRESS: 1026 E Pleasant Street, #306

POST CODE: 53202

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the

essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541054d6b0a7e4000c165103

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/762rHJfYRMq0TM\\_30\\_rr2w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/762rHJfYRMq0TM_30_rr2w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/762rHJfYRMq0TM\\_30\\_rr2w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/762rHJfYRMq0TM_30_rr2w/uh1)> .

=====

From: tyhrhrth

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:03

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: tyhrhrth

ADDRESS: rthrthrhr

POST CODE: hrthrth

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform

for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541054e6c60b21000b542598

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/ZZAWDPyAR-e5sxtvf70bqA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/ZZAWDPyAR-e5sxtvf70bqA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ana Salvado  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ana Salvado

ADDRESS: Pct Henrique Ferreira nÂ°3 1Â°drt

POST CODE: 2625

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541054e91e0849000d05c0e7

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/AVbQoleET6K6ApRfWbZhcw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/AVbQoleET6K6ApRfWbZhcw/uh1>> .

=====

From: Daniel

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel

ADDRESS: 691 Rahway Ave

POST CODE: 07095

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105547fbecab000c288c1b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/oaVCB2spRjuJPvaQG1f\\_TQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/oaVCB2spRjuJPvaQG1f_TQ/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/oaVCB2spRjuJPvaQG1f\\_TQ/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/oaVCB2spRjuJPvaQG1f_TQ/uh1)> .

=====  
From: dfh  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: dfh

ADDRESS: fghecgghk

POST CODE: guttghfg

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105504f11110a000cc4ee85

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/-S3t8eoLQ-G7L3J\\_\\_HYH5A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/-S3t8eoLQ-G7L3J__HYH5A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/-S3t8eoLQ-G7L3J\\_\\_HYH5A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/-S3t8eoLQ-G7L3J__HYH5A/uh1)> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:04  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Melva Zellick  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 3601 Tayen dr  
Zip: 83686

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what

its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: PÃ©tur  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: PÃ©tur  
ADDRESS: vollur2  
POST CODE: 860  
COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541055148b7a18000e7c4302

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/9z11YFL0QYWD9MnTIpRtiw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/9z11YFL0QYWD9MnTIpRtiw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: randy baun  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: randy baun

ADDRESS: 608 randall rd

POST CODE: 49441

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410554b8b7a18000c57474b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/YvU1\\_U-ZQNiYAf5f1w-vEw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/YvU1_U-ZQNiYAf5f1w-vEw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/YvU1\\_U-ZQNiYAf5f1w-vEw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/YvU1_U-ZQNiYAf5f1w-vEw/uh1)> .

=====  
From: RÃ³i Heinason  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: RÃ³i Heinson

ADDRESS: Plateauet 36. 01. 16

POST CODE: 9000

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541054fbfbecab000e3ea867

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/kysx\\_wBaR1GKxayIZdELPg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/kysx_wBaR1GKxayIZdELPg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/kysx\\_wBaR1GKxayIZdELPg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/kysx_wBaR1GKxayIZdELPg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Sheila Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sheila Brown

ADDRESS: 235 Jones Rd, Winlock, WA

POST CODE: 98596

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105396fbecab000dab19b5

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/1mQLKGbbTw-jR2Y-f0iEtQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/1mQLKGbbTw-jR2Y-f0iEtQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ashley Long

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:05

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ashley Long

ADDRESS: 5133 Nicholson Dr Apt. B21

POST CODE: 70820

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410554e15ab86000cb1b1d6

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/ooFxHK11Tpu9qxjzfJy6kg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/ooFxHK11Tpu9qxjzfJy6kg/uh1>> .

=====

From: DesirÃ©e Ball  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: DesirÃ©e Ball  
ADDRESS: 22A Segar Mountain Road  
POST CODE: 06757  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all

speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105565b0a7e4000dc63743

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/jtEEgnJfQ6aF94MJIFxVgg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/jtEEgnJfQ6aF94MJIFxVgg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Howard Clark

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:06

Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

What is the justification for changing the net rules to allow Powerful & Rich to become more so (with the loss of a level playing field)? Leave it Neutral!

Howard Clark

06320

=====  
From: Joseph Cavanaugh  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph Cavanaugh

ADDRESS: 1415 E Santa Ana

POST CODE: 93704

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410555fdac595000b7085f9

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/LatoU-9ES0KpomNi9inbKg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/LatoU-9ES0KpomNi9inbKg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Michael

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:06

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: V2M 1T4

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410556a99efdf000e081d6e

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/QuUb98hRR5GnddWAbYjPBA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/QuUb98hRR5GnddWAbYjPBA/uh1>> .

=====

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:06  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Douglas McMahon  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 2217 Gramercy Avenue  
Zip: 90501

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====

From: Chris  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris  
ADDRESS: QuerstraÃ\237e 06  
POST CODE: 27324  
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  
  
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410558615ab86000d450019

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/3onysoToR5mTDDcdjd3Eew/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/3onysoToR5mTDDcdjd3Eew/uh1>> .

=====

From: Eyvette Floria  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eyvette Floria  
ADDRESS: middlebury IN  
POST CODE: 46540

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410558362b49c000e6c5a10

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/nNSBKf0ZRYKYU65OT1stAA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/nNSBKf0ZRYKYU65OT1stAA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: John Gotimer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:07  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Leave net neutrality alone. No fast lanes for mega-users

John Gotimer  
01905

=====  
From: June Davis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:07  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC Chairman, I am a retired R. N. Who has several seniors I monitor via the internet. It is their way of gaining access to me for discussions, rides to activities and companionship. Not only to reach me, but their families (especially their grandchildren) and their healthcare professionals. Please do not allow the big Telecom to establish "Fast-lanes". Maintain net neutrality so we can continue to afford the use of the internet. I understand that under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 the internet is considered a public utility. Please continue the rules as they exist today. Thank you for your attention. Sincerely, June E. Davis RN, MSN.

June Davis  
49855

=====  
From: Pierre  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pierre  
ADDRESS: 58 Rectory Lane  
POST CODE: SW17 9PY  
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105572a26879000bdcafb0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
  - \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>
- <<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/ZfBxejAHT8WnOxUW3LFswQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/ZfBxejAHT8WnOxUW3LFswQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ricardo Vivanco  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ricardo Vivanco

ADDRESS: Alvarez 522, dpto n-23 Viña del Mar, Chile

POST CODE: 2581592

COUNTRY: CL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410557999efdf000c345271

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/\\_NtFkRCJRT2hYifJ3jPYhA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/_NtFkRCJRT2hYifJ3jPYhA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/\\_NtFkRCJRT2hYifJ3jPYhA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/_NtFkRCJRT2hYifJ3jPYhA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Adam Caryll  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam Caryll

ADDRESS: 1605 summerdale st

POST CODE: 16

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541055c68b7a18000b93cbe2

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/PENE3dmjSP-gM6qqlg3l4g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/PENE3dmjSP-gM6qqlg3l4g/uh1>> .

=====

From: Alejandro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alejandro  
ADDRESS: AlmerÃ-a 02  
POST CODE: 41940  
COUNTRY: ES  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541055ea8b7a18000ddd550c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/0lQgwsLTQMmJnsVpxZqItw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/0lQgwsLTQMmJnsVpxZqItw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Roar Lundbeck Andersen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Roar Lundbeck Andersen

ADDRESS: Dybbølsgade 48. 02. 34

POST CODE: 1721

COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541055d2dac595000d1eaa7b

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/-xHI16iDRDqQ54g3k5py0Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/-xHI16iDRDqQ54g3k5py0Q/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Stuart Gathman

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:08

Subject: Compromise proposal on "Net Neutrality"

Short version: Officially \*define\* the marketing term "unlimited bandwidth" as applied to internet service to mean no prioritization by

source or destination IP or port.

Long version: Instead of directly regulating internet providers (with doubtful constitutional authority), require internet providers to label their products using terminology officially defined by the FCC (as provided for by the "weights and measures" clause). Most importantly, the term "unlimited" can be defined to mean no prioritization by source or destination IP or port. Companies can still offer "economy" plans that throttle by various "commercially realistic" means - but they have to disclose the basis of this throttling (truth in labeling).

=====  
From: Tor Alv  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tor Alv  
ADDRESS: NestÅsveien 3c  
POST CODE: 8011  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410556c003331000c610bd2

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/2ph22GmdT4qesNY7owk6Uw/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/2ph22GmdT4qesNY7owk6Uw/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Bruce McIlhaney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bruce McIlhaney

ADDRESS: 3157 Beaufort Dr.

POST CODE: 18017

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541055bc003331000c610bda

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/D3A3UhJ4SlCFRQl0JFEpzQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/D3A3UhJ4SlCFRQl0JFEpzQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: patti

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:10

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: patti

ADDRESS: 5176 Clay Street

POST CODE: 80221

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541055a6a26879000ca29417

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/geWEvtmJSJKwyr7tC16ubg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/geWEvtmJSJKwyr7tC16ubg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:10  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Richard Bryant  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 8308 Via Serena Boca Raton, Fl  
Zip: 33433

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Dr Jack Pierce  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dr Jack Pierce

ADDRESS: 11475 lake dr

POST CODE: 35111

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105619f1110a000e42e8fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/lj95NFn7Qf2cY44kV\\_5A-w/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/lj95NFn7Qf2cY44kV_5A-w/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/lj95NFn7Qf2cY44kV\\_5A-w/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/lj95NFn7Qf2cY44kV_5A-w/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Gina  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gina

ADDRESS: 2612 Guadalupe

POST CODE: 78705

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541055f4444842000ec58639

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/1ypqBVIxSZOeqPugHdqkDg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/1ypqBVIxSZOeqPugHdqkDg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: 'Great White' (Shark: Earth & Beings Rights Person)

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:11

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: â\200\230Great Whiteâ\200\231 (Shark: Earth & Beings Rights Person)

ADDRESS: 25050 SW 189th Ave.

POST CODE: 33031

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105616f11110a000cc4ee99

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/FmUE\\_UuNRRCue41D\\_yG90A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/FmUE_UuNRRCue41D_yG90A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/FmUE\\_UuNRRCue41D\\_yG90A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/FmUE_UuNRRCue41D_yG90A/uh1)> .

=====

From: Jack Farrell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:11  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Sirs,

I highly recommend you move to preserve/restore net neutrality. Allowing toll takers to control the speed of lanes harkens back to the Medieval castles on the Rhine, with outposts to stop the flow of traffic on the river and collect tolls. Much of the infrastructure of the internet was built with public funds and is a public good, when not used to advantage those with more influence and cash. Treat it the public utility that it is and regulate it for the benefit of all..

Jack Farrell

93546

=====

From: James  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James

ADDRESS: 114 Glenn Road Ardmore PA

POST CODE: 19003

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105617c60b21000c7f106b

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/bpOpJhubSvuo\\_F0UeikLLg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/bpOpJhubSvuo_F0UeikLLg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/bpOpJhubSvuo\\_F0UeikLLg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/bpOpJhubSvuo_F0UeikLLg/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Lou Rowan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:11  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Please protect net neutrality: it is basic to freedom of expression. There is no reason why a public good created by government research should become a shortcut for corporations, many not paying their fair share of taxes, to receive preferential treatment over the rest of us. Please stand for something! Faithfully, Lou Rowan

Lou Rowan

98105

=====  
From: Ryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan

ADDRESS: 2009 random rd.

POST CODE: 67214

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410564cb0a7e4000e469121

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/7YBkB0gSTpWpMmfa4QbVSg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/7YBkB0gSTpWpMmfa4QbVSg/uh1>> .

=====

From: Tarcisio Praciano Pereira  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tarcisio Praciano Pereira  
ADDRESS: Av da Universidade, 850 â\200\223 Sobral CearÃ; Brazil  
POST CODE: 19100-0000

COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541055ec003331000d009635

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/eYM1MxGbSfCIq1\\_MVsOWTg/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/eYM1MxGbSfCIq1_MVsOWTg/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/eYM1MxGbSfCIq1\\_MVsOWTg/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/eYM1MxGbSfCIq1_MVsOWTg/uh1)> .

=====

From: Vance Feyereisen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:11  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  
Chairman Wheeler,

I am a 76 year old who depends on the Internet for some income (be it quite small), it does supplement my SS.

I get much of my news on the Internet and it is a source of much of my entertainment.

I strongly urge you to declare the Internet as a public utility. It is my understanding that it developed with the use of government funds in some cases. It seems rather odd to use the taxes people pay to develop something like the Internet, then turn it over to a couple of large corporations to control the content, the price and decide who gets use it on the basis of your economic situation.

As of now, I feel I already pay hefty price to use the Internet. From my research I understand that there are only four large providers that control the Internet to a large degree. How is cutting that figure in half going to help anyone other than the corporation. I thought competition was supposed to be a large part of the capitalistic system.

Again, I urge you to consider declaring the Internet a public utility. I cannot pay more than I already pay. If the cost goes up and service goes down, it will have a life changing effect me and many others.

Thank you,  
Vance Feyereisen

Vance Feyereisen

57533

=====  
From: Aude ALEXANDRE  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aude ALEXANDRE

ADDRESS: 42 bis avenue du gÃ©nÃ©ral

POST CODE: 77330

COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410567615ab86000e5ea2a0

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/pVderq\\_FQJ29d6Ic7FB6\\_g/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/pVderq_FQJ29d6Ic7FB6_g/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/pVderq\\_FQJ29d6Ic7FB6\\_g/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/pVderq_FQJ29d6Ic7FB6_g/uh1)> .

=====

From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john

ADDRESS: larisa

POST CODE: 40200

COUNTRY: GR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410568bb7cb89000d44c37e

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/2HxcxnbRRZW2-yMJRc1EIg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/2HxcxnbRRZW2-yMJRc1EIg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:14  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Marquita Taylor  
Email: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
Address: 6430 Terracina Ave.  
Zip: 91737

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the \$01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====  
From: Daniel Christinat  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  
NAME: Daniel Christinat

ADDRESS: Birkenweg 36

POST CODE: CH-2543 Lengnau BE

COUNTRY: CH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410565bdac595000d1eaa8d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/iLIUhbCETU6FLyDaQT-E5Q/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/iLIUhbCETU6FLyDaQT-E5Q/uh1>> .

=====

From: Ian

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:14

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ian

ADDRESS: 81 fox run

POST CODE: 22958

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses

and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105695b7cb89000b3ce4ce

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/90xH7GKFQZqcK9PZXuRRSA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/90xH7GKFQZqcK9PZXuRRSA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Ilya RodrÃ-guez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ilya RodrÃ-guez

ADDRESS: 13811 sw 115 st

POST CODE: 33187

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access

to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410568f99efdf000c345298

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/zhsaPWaiTpyQ-2a2ehwGTQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/zhsaPWaiTpyQ-2a2ehwGTQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Jane Buckley-Farlee  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jane Buckley-Farlee  
ADDRESS: 3115 32nd Ave. S.  
POST CODE: Minneapolis, MN 55406

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410569d003331000d00963d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/X9rm0zXOSzCm64Ru8c1axA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/X9rm0zXOSzCm64Ru8c1axA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Sako  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sako

ADDRESS: 17918 NE 90TH ST.

POST CODE: 98052

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410570ffbecab000e3ea891

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/OUS4nJraRgCHPqMaHgG36g/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/OUS4nJraRgCHPqMaHgG36g/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Stephen Love  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:14  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

The scheme to give a fast lane on the Internet to certain corporations while relegating everyone else to slow lanes is reprehensible.

It is clear to anyone with common sense that the Internet should be considered as a Public Trust, and that companies that provide Internet access are utilities.

In this age of industries rigging laws for their own benefit, it would be nice if the FCC, for a change, would protect the interests of the American People and Democracy, rather than being a mere puppet of the telecom industry.

Protect an open Internet. Don't give it away to corporate interests.

Stephen Love

10040

=====  
From: MacKenzie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MacKenzie

ADDRESS: 203 Margie dr

POST CODE: 94523

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541056cf15ab86000d450047

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/Z9zXXAh6T\\_uxIvqEGGOj0A/o.gif](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/Z9zXXAh6T_uxIvqEGGOj0A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/Z9zXXAh6T\\_uxIvqEGGOj0A/uh1](http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/Z9zXXAh6T_uxIvqEGGOj0A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: cat  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:16

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: cat

ADDRESS: southlea, bishop sutton, bristol

POST CODE: bs39 5uz

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105725f1110a000cc4eeb3

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>  
\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/0s6u77gRSzOkeGH91\\_QZ1Q/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/0s6u77gRSzOkeGH91_QZ1Q/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/0s6u77gRSzOkeGH91\\_QZ1Q/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/0s6u77gRSzOkeGH91_QZ1Q/uh1)> .

=====  
From: d thomas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:16  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223  
No Content Found -- Please specify some content

d thomas  
76001

=====  
From: Taras  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Taras

ADDRESS: 1635 Williams

POST CODE: 80203

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning

access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541057751e0849000ec71b6f

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/NM2ShLeQQ\\_yg-mP6xdZxVw/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/NM2ShLeQQ_yg-mP6xdZxVw/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/NM2ShLeQQ\\_yg-mP6xdZxVw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/NM2ShLeQQ_yg-mP6xdZxVw/uh1)> .

=====

From: Andreas Ã\230sthus Saltveit  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andreas Ã\230sthus Saltveit

ADDRESS: Holbergsgate 34

POST CODE: 4009

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules

that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105769003331000ed4d5a8

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/KIVK9jHaSpa3x00jVvWHxA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/KIVK9jHaSpa3x00jVvWHxA/uh1>> .

=====

From: Bridget LaValley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bridget LaValley

ADDRESS: 43 Church Street

POST CODE: 02739

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541057361e0849000d05c11c

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/R4\\_FsAipQK2ZHEvKrSFqyA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/R4_FsAipQK2ZHEvKrSFqyA/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/R4\\_FsAipQK2ZHEvKrSFqyA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/R4_FsAipQK2ZHEvKrSFqyA/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Cameron Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cameron Johnson

ADDRESS: 136 Barrett pl Edmond

POST CODE: 73003

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the

highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410571a8b7a18000c574771

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightfortheftfuture.org>>  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/rZh7XnrKR06qHLin8yYAHQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightfortheftfuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/rZh7XnrKR06qHLin8yYAHQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: grace  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: grace  
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 77292  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless

of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541057798b7a18000c57477a

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/TFHAIHP4Q22ZghjN5-g4jQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/TFHAIHP4Q22ZghjN5-g4jQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: Judith Waide  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Judith Waide

ADDRESS: 689 Hidden Valley RD

POST CODE: Rochester NY 14624

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle

free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541056ac8b7a18000ddd5520

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/O-06uB30Tqyxng4zxUWJOg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/O-06uB30Tqyxng4zxUWJOg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Mark Richland  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark Richland

ADDRESS: 1306 Ocean Ave Sea Bright NJ

POST CODE: 07760

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410568cb0a7e4000dc63764

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/IObaQeTXTWCMLbgogQ3fEg/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/IObaQeTXTWCMLbgogQ3fEg/uh1>> .

=====  
From: DarÃ-o Morell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: DarÃ-o Morell

ADDRESS: 13405 SW 01 Terr

POST CODE: 33184

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it

affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410577a15ab86000d450061

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/gHuC86BOS0Kore03vpjJpA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/gHuC86BOS0Kore03vpjJpA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Kalle Thern

Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:18

Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kalle Thern

ADDRESS: Tvårgatan 02 viskafors

POST CODE: 515 34

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet

currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105771444842000d5b99ac

---

Want more awesome more often?

- \* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>
- \* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/CzTb-OZVT7ylaV9apdvqpQ/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here  
<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/CzTb-OZVT7ylaV9apdvqpQ/uh1>> .

=====

From: rick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: rick  
ADDRESS: 3908 cjris cole rd sanford nc  
POST CODE: 27330  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410579df35671000d5535bb

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/3sekqpDsQYWpQqecJ\\_\\_98A/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/3sekqpDsQYWpQqecJ__98A/o.gif)>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<[http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/3sekqpDsQYWpQqecJ\\_\\_98A/uh1](http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/3sekqpDsQYWpQqecJ__98A/uh1)> .

=====  
From: Angelo Meneghini  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Angelo Meneghini

ADDRESS: Castello, 2340

POST CODE: 30122, Venezia â\200\223 Italia

COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105783f1110a000e42e92d

---

Want more awesome more often?

\* Like us on Facebook <<https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Follow us on Twitter <<https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>>

\* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <<https://donate.fightforthefttr.org>>

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/aKLuBUUGS2qzuNB3FJ8xPA/o.gif>>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<<http://list.fightforthefttr.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/aKLuBUUGS2qzuNB3FJ8xPA/uh1>> .

=====  
From: Dorothy McGinty  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:19  
Subject: RE: Stop Internet Regulation

I did not send this, what comments.

Sincerely,  
Dorothy McGinty  
National Health Insurance Sales Director  
866-472-4255 2hsa.com  
862-266-6104 Office  
862-266-6156 cell

xxxx@xxxx.xxx

-----Original Message-----  
From: OpenInternet [mailto:xxxx@xxxx.xxx]  
Sent: Friday, September 12, 2014 10:41 PM  
To: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Subject: RE: Stop Internet Regulation

Thank you, we have received your comments and will review them.